

Proofs sample.

Majd Jamal

March 22, 2021

## PCA and MDS

*Claim:* Consider the classical MDS algorithm when  $Y$  is known. In that case, we form  $S = Y^T Y$  and obtain the MDS embedding by the eigen-decomposition of  $S$ . Show that this procedure is equivalent to performing PCA on  $Y$ .

*See proof on next page.*

*Proof:*

### PCA

The PCA embedding is summarized as follows,

$$X = W^T Y \quad \text{eq 1.}$$

$$W = U I_{d \times k} \quad \text{eq 2.}$$

$$Y = U \Sigma V^T \quad \text{eq 3.}$$

We use eq. 2 and 3 to configure the embedding equation,

$$\begin{aligned} X &= (U I_{d \times k})^T U \Sigma V^T \\ &= I_{k \times d} (U^T U) \Sigma V^T \\ &= I_{k \times d} \Sigma V^T \end{aligned}$$

We can conclude that,

$$X_{PCA} = I_{k \times d} \Sigma V^T$$

### MDS

The data matrix Y can be decomposed as follows,

$$Y = W X$$

The Similarity matrix can be written as,

$$\begin{aligned} S &= Y^T Y \\ &= X^T W^T W X \\ &= X^T X \end{aligned}$$

The eigendecomposition of the similarity matrix is,

$$\begin{aligned} S &= V \Lambda V^T \\ &= V \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} V^T \\ &= (\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} V^T)^T \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} V^T \end{aligned}$$

The similarity equations are equal to each other,

$$S = S$$

$$X^T X = (\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} V^T)^T \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} V^T$$

$$X_{MDS} = \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} V^T$$

Next we solve for  $\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}$

$$\begin{aligned} S &= Y^T Y \\ &= (U \Sigma V^T)^T (U \Sigma V^T) \\ &= V \Sigma^T U^T U \Sigma V^T \\ &= V \Sigma^2 V^T \end{aligned}$$

$$S = S$$

$$V \Lambda V^T = V \Sigma^2 V^T$$

We get,

$$\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} = \Sigma$$

If the eigenvalues are sorted in descending order, then the estimated K-dimensional latent variables can be computed as the product,

$$X_{MDS} = I_{k \times d} \Sigma V^T$$

Finally, we can conclude that,

$$X_{PCA} = X_{MDS} \quad \blacksquare$$

Q: *In terms of computation, which is the best way to perform the embedding?*

Assume that we have a data matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times N}$ , where  $D$  is number of features and  $N$  is number of data points,

The computational complexity for PCA is dependent on the SVD, which is  $\mathcal{O}(D^2N)$

The computational complexity for MDS is  $\mathcal{O}(N^3)$ , since we compute the Eigen-decomposition on the distance matrix,  $D$ , which has the dimensions  $N \times N$ .

If  $N > D$ , i.e. number of data points are bigger than dimension, then it would be convenient to select **PCA** as embedding.

Q: Prove the Double Centering Trick

Given

Gram Matrix:  $S = Y^T Y$ , which can be computed through,

$$s_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2}(d_{ij}^2 - s_{ii} - s_{jj}) \quad \text{eq. 1}$$

$$s_{ij} = y_i^T y_j \quad \text{eq. 2}$$

*Claim.* The Gram Matrix, S, can be computed through the double centering trick.

$$S = -\frac{1}{2}(D - \frac{1}{n}D1_n1_n^T - \frac{1}{n}1_n1_n^TD + \frac{1}{n^2}1_n1_n^TD1_n1_n^T) \quad (\text{matrix form})$$

*Proof.*

We start by computing the mean of  $i$ th row of matrix D,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_j(d_{ij}^2) &= \mu_j((y_i - y_j)^T(y_i - y_j)) \\ &= \mu_j((y_i^T y_i - 2y_i^T y_j + y_j^T y_j)) \\ &= y_i^T y_i - 2(y_i^T \mu_j(y_j)) + \mu_j(y_j^T y_j) \end{aligned}$$

We use  $\mu_j(y_j) = 0$  because data is centered.

$$\begin{aligned} &= y_i^T y_i - 2(y_i^T 0) + \mu_j(y_j^T y_j) \\ &= y_i^T y_i + \mu_j(y_j^T y_j) \\ &= s_{ii} + \mu_j(y_j^T y_j) \end{aligned}$$

We get,

$$s_{ii} = \mu_j(d_{ij}^2) - \mu_j(y_j^T y_j)$$

We compute the mean of  $j$ th column of distance matrix D. Since D is sym-

metric, we can infer that,

$$\mu_i(d_{ij}^2) = s_{jj} + \mu_i((y_i^T y_i))$$

$$s_{jj} = \mu_i(d_{ij}^2) - \mu_i((y_i^T y_i))$$

Finally, we want to compute a mean of all entries in distance matrix D,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{ij}(d_{ij}^2) &= \mu_{ij}((y_i - y_j)^T (y_i - y_j)) \\ &= \mu_{ij}(y_i^T y_i) - 2\mu_{ij}(y_i^T y_j) + \mu_{ij}(y_j^T y_j) \\ &= \mu_i(y_i^T y_i) - 2\mu_i(y_i^T \mu_j(y_j)) + \mu_j(y_j^T y_j) \\ &= \mu_i(y_i^T y_i) - 2\mu_i(y_i^T 0) + \mu_j(y_j^T y_j) \\ &= \mu_i(y_i^T y_i) + \mu_j(y_j^T y_j) \end{aligned}$$

Those operations summarizes to the following result,

$$\begin{aligned} s_{ii} &= \mu_j(d_{ij}^2) - \mu_j(y_j^T y_j) \\ s_{jj} &= \mu_i(d_{ij}^2) - \mu_i(y_i^T y_i) \\ \mu_{ij}(d_{ij}^2) &= \mu_i(y_i^T y_i) + \mu_j(y_j^T y_j) \end{aligned}$$

We use this with eq. 1 and get,

$$\begin{aligned} s_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2}(d_{ij}^2 - \mu_j(d_{ij}^2) + \mu_j(y_j^T y_j) - \mu_i(d_{ij}^2) + \mu_i(y_i^T y_i)) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}(d_{ij}^2 - \mu_j(d_{ij}^2) - \mu_i(d_{ij}^2) + \mu_i(y_i^T y_i) + \mu_j(y_j^T y_j)) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}(d_{ij}^2 - \mu_i(d_{ij}^2) - \mu_j(d_{ij}^2) + \mu_{ij}(d_{ij}^2)) \end{aligned}$$

, which can be written in matrix form as,

$$S = -\frac{1}{2}(D - \frac{1}{n}D1_n1_n^T - \frac{1}{n}1_n1_n^TD + \frac{1}{n^2}1_n1_n^TD1_n1_n^T)$$

■