

Introduction to Machine larning

Majid Farhadloo – farha043@umn.edu

March 2020

1 Question3-programming

method: MultiGaussClassifyWithFullMatrix, dataset : Boston50

method : MultiGaussClassifywithFullMatrix

dataset: Boston50

Fold 1	Fold 2	Fold 3	Fold 4	Fold 5	mean	std dev
0.1471	0.2376	0.1881	0.2475	0.2079	0.2056	0.0361

=====

method: MultiGaussClassifyWithFullMatrix, dataset : Boston75

method : MultiGaussClassifywithFullMatrix

dataset: Boston75

Fold 1	Fold 2	Fold 3	Fold 4	Fold 5	mean	std dev
0.2647	0.2178	0.2772	0.2376	0.1980	0.2391	0.0292

=====

method: MultiGaussClassifyWithFullMatrix, dataset : Digits

method : MultiGaussClassifywithFullMatrix

dataset: Digits

Fold 1	Fold 2	Fold 3	Fold 4	Fold 5	mean	std dev
0.0556	0.0361	0.0474	0.0585	0.0557	0.0506	0.0082

=====

method: MultiGaussClassifyWithDiagonal, dataset : Boston50

method : MultiGaussClassifywithDiagonal

dataset: Boston50

Fold 1	Fold 2	Fold 3	Fold 4	Fold 5	mean	std dev
0.2157	0.2277	0.2178	0.2277	0.1584	0.2095	0.0260

=====

method: MultiGaussClassifyWithDiagonal, dataset : Boston75

method : MultiGaussClassifywithDiagonal

dataset: Boston75

Fold 1	Fold 2	Fold 3	Fold 4	Fold 5	mean	std dev
0.2255	0.1881	0.2574	0.2376	0.2673	0.2352	0.0277

=====

method: MultiGaussClassifyWithDiagonal, dataset : Digits

method : MultiGaussClassifywithDiagonal

dataset: Digits

Fold 1	Fold 2	Fold 3	Fold 4	Fold 5	mean	std dev
0.0583	0.0417	0.0279	0.0557	0.0446	0.0456	0.0109

=====

method: LogisticRegression, dataset: Boston50 method: LogisticRegression

dataset: Boston50

Fold 1	Fold 2	Fold 3	Fold 4	Fold 5	mean	std dev
0.2157	0.1683	0.1386	0.1386	0.1485	0.1619	0.0290

=====

method: LogisticRegression, dataset: Boston75

method: LogisticRegression

dataset: Boston75

Fold 1	Fold 2	Fold 3	Fold 4	Fold 5	mean	std dev
0.1078	0.0792	0.1485	0.0891	0.1386	0.1127	0.0270

=====

method: LogisticRegression, dataset: Digits

method: LogisticRegression

dataset: Digits

Fold 1	Fold 2	Fold 3	Fold 4	Fold 5	mean	std dev
0.0500	0.0278	0.0501	0.0279	0.0362	0.0384	0.0100

intro to ML

Q10

$$(a) p(x|\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\theta} \exp\left(\frac{-x^2}{2\theta^2}\right)$$

form likelihood

$$L = \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{1/2} \times \frac{1}{\theta} \times \exp\left(\frac{-x_i^2}{2\theta^2}\right) \quad (1)$$

$$L = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{n/2} \times \frac{1}{\theta^n} \times \exp\left(\frac{-\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{2\theta^2}\right) \quad (2)$$

$$\log(L) \Rightarrow \log L = -\log(2\pi\theta) - \frac{n}{2} \log\theta - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{2\theta^2} \quad (3)$$

$$-\frac{n}{2} \log 2\pi - n \log \theta - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i^2}{2\theta^2} \quad (4)$$

L

derivative of (4) and equal to zero

w.r.t θ

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L = -\frac{n}{\theta} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{\theta^3} = 0 \quad (5) \times \underline{\theta}$$

$$-\frac{n}{\theta} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{\theta^2} = 0$$

$$n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{\theta^2} \rightarrow \theta = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{n}} \quad (6)$$

(b) Similar to part (a) we form the likelihood function and then take the log and we will have the following:

$$P(x|\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} \exp\left(\frac{-x_i}{\theta}\right)$$

$$\frac{1}{\theta^n} \times \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\theta}\right) = -\log \theta^n - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\theta} \quad (1)$$

get the derivative of (1):

$$\frac{-n}{\theta} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\theta^2} = \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} = 0$$

$$-n + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\theta} \Rightarrow \theta = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{n} = \underline{\underline{\text{avg}}}$$

(c) $p(x|\theta) = \theta x^{\theta-1}$ ~~same~~ same as previous form
the likelihood organization

$$\Rightarrow \theta^n \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \rightarrow \log L = \log \theta^n + \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i \quad (1)$$

derivative of (1):

$$n \log \theta + (\theta-1) \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i$$

$$\frac{n}{\theta} + \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$\theta = \frac{-n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i}$$

(d) similar approaches, we will have the following:

$$p(x|\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} \quad 0 < x < \theta, \theta > 0$$

$$L = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\theta} \rightarrow L = \left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right)^n \rightarrow \text{take the Log (1)}$$

$$\log L = -\log \theta^n \rightarrow (2)$$

Derivative of (2) w.r.t. $\underline{\theta}$

$$\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} = -\frac{n}{\theta} = 0 \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{n}{\theta} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta}$$

in order to maximize the function
is through minimize θ , and based
on provided interval $0 < x < \theta, \theta > 0$

$$\theta = \max \underline{x_i}$$

the reason behind this is the derivative
indicates this is a decreasing
function.

Q2. form the likelihood estimation:

$$L = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2} |\Sigma|^{1/2}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} (x_i - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (x_i - \mu) \right] \quad (1)$$

$$\hookrightarrow L = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{nd/2} |\Sigma|^{n/2}} \exp \left[-\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} (x_i - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (x_i - \mu) \right] \quad (2)$$

take Log (2)

$$\hookrightarrow \text{Log} L = -\frac{nd}{2} \log 2\pi - n \frac{1}{2} \log |\Sigma| - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (x_i - \mu) \quad (3)$$

in the following we need to take derivative twice
once w.r.t μ and next time Σ .

derivative of (3) w.r.t μ

$$\hookrightarrow \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mu} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n -2 \Sigma^{-1} (x_i - \mu) = 0 \quad (4)$$

~~-2 × -1/2~~ cancel out, and divid by Σ^{-1} we have

following:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu) &= 0 \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n\mu = 0 \\ \mu &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{n} \rightarrow \underline{\underline{\mu = \bar{x}}} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

now get derivative w.r.t $\underline{\Sigma}^{-1}$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \Sigma^{-1}} = \frac{n}{2} \Sigma - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n [(x_i - \mu)(x_i - \mu)^T] = 0$$

$$\Sigma = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n [(x_i - \mu)(x_i - \mu)^T]}{n} \quad (6)$$

(b) estimation of μ :

↳ Method of Least Squares

$$E(\hat{\mu}_n) = E\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{n}\right) \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}_n = \bar{x}_i$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E(x_i) = \frac{1}{n} \times n\mu = \underline{\mu}$$

it is unbiased estimate.

(c)

$$E[\hat{\Sigma}_n] = E\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)(x_i - \mu)^T\right]$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E[(x_i - \mu)(x_i - \mu)^T] =$$

$$\underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n [E(x_i x_i^T) - n E(\mu \mu^T)]}_{\text{with}}$$

$\frac{n-1}{n} \Sigma$, it is biased.

Q3.

(a)

compute the risk for C_1

9h

$$P(C_1 | \text{test}) \times n + P(C_2 | \text{test}) \times n + P(C_3 | \text{test}) + P(\text{reject} | \text{test})$$

$$0 + 0.25 \times 100 + 0.25 \times 100 + 10 = \boxed{37.5}$$

$$2.5 + 2.5 + 10$$

similar procedure for C_2 and C_3

↙ C_2

$$1 \times 0.5 + 0 + 0.25 \times 100 + 10 =$$

$$0.5 + 2.5 + 10 = \boxed{35.5}$$

$$C_3 = 1 \times 0.5 + 10 \times 0.25 + 0 + 10 = \boxed{13} \quad \checkmark$$

(b) similar procedure as above we will have the following

$$0 + 0.5 \times 10 + 0.1 \times 100 + 5 = C_1 = 20$$

$$0.4 + 0 + 0.1 \times 100 + 5 = C_2 = 15.4$$

$$0.4 + 10 \times 0.25 + 0 + 5 = C_3 = \boxed{10.4} \quad \checkmark$$

on part (a) base on the miss classification cost and complexity of model 2 (rejection)

(a) → model C_3

(b) → mod → C_3