NORTH CAROLINA

WAKE COUNTY

BEFORE THE

ISSS AUG -C TY Z DISCIPLINARY HEARING COMMISSION
OF THE

NORTH CAROLINA STATE BAR
86 DHC 4

THE NORTH CAROLINA STATE BAR, )
Plaintiff )
vs. )
ORDER OF DISCIPLINE
RENN DRUM, JR., )
Defendant )

BASED UPON the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law of even date herewith; and based upon the evidence presented in the second phase of the hearing and arguments of counsel, the hearing committee enters the following ORDER OF DISCIPLINE:

- 1. The Defendant, Renn Drum, Jr., is hereby DISBARRED.
- 2. Defendant shall surrender his license and membership card to the Secretary of the North Carolina State Bar.
- 3. Defendant shall comply with \$24 of Article IX of the Rules and Regulations of the North Carolina State Bar regarding winding down his practice and notifying his clients.
- 4. Defendant is taxed with the costs of this action.

Signed by the undersigned Chairman with the full knowledge and consent of the other members of the hearing committee this the 8th day of August  $\Lambda$  1986.

John B. McMillan, Chairman

NORTH CAROLINA

FILED

WAKE COUNTY

d.E. Janes, SEC er in sintera

BEFORE THE 1986 AUG -8 FH 2: 43 DISCIPLINARY HEARING COMMISSION OF THE NORTH CAROLINA STATE BAR 86 DHC 4

THE NORTH CAROLINA STATE BAR, Plaintiff	) )
Vs.	) FINDINGS OF FACT ) AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
RENN DRUM, JR., Defendant	)

This matter coming on to be heard and being heard on August 8, 1986 before a hearing committee of John B. McMillan, Chairman, John Shaw, and Alice Penny:

AND BASED upon the evidence presented at the hearing, the hearing committee finds the following by clear, cogent, and convincing evidence:

- The Plaintiff, the North Carolina State Bar, is a body duly organized under the laws of North Carolina and is the proper party to bring this proceeding under the authority granted it in Chapter 84 of the General Statutes of North Carolina, and the Rules and Regulations of the North carolina. State Bar promulgated thereunder.
- 2. The Defendant, Renn Drum, Jr., was admitted to the North Carolina State Bar on August 30, 1965 and is, and was at all times referred to herein, an Attorney at Law licensed to practice in North Carolina, subject to the rules, regulations, and Code of Professional Responsibility of the North Carolina State Bar and the laws of the State of North Carolina.
- During all of the periods referred to herein, the Defendant was actively engaged in the practice of law in the State of North Carolina and maintained a law office in the City of Winston-Salem, Forsyth County, North Carolina.
- 4. On or about August 21, 1984, Defendant was found guilty by a jury of: (1) one count of conspiracy to distribute cocaine, a Schedule II narcotic controlled substance in violation of 21 U.S.C. 841(a)(1); all in violation of 21 U.S.C. 846 as charged in count 1 of an indictment.
- On August 29, 1984, Judge Richard C. Erwin entered judgment in the above-referenced case in the United States

District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina, Greensboro Division, docket number CR-84-31-07-G.

- 6. The crime Defendant was convicted of is a serious crime as defined in  $\S 3(30)$  of Article IX of the Rules and Regulations of the North Carolina State Bar (The Red Book).
- 7. The crime Defendant was convicted of is a criminal offense showing professional unfitness.
- 8. In an opinion decided February 24, 1986, the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit affirmed the conviction of Defendant.

BASED UPON the foregoing Findings of Fact, the hearing committee makes the following Conclusions of Law:

The conviction of Defendant as set out above constitutes grounds for discipline pursuant to N.C.G.S. §84-28(b)(1) and N.C.G.S. §84(B)(2) in that Defendant engaged in illegal conduct involving moral turpitude in violation of DR1-102(A)(3) of the Code of Professional Responsibility.

Signed by the undersigned Chairman with the full knowledge and consent of the other members of the hearing committee this the 8th day of August, 1986.

John B. McMillan, Chairman