264 GA. 470 (1994)
448 SE 2d 448

In the Supreme Court of Georgia

Decided: SEP 21 1994

S94Y1162.   IN THE MATTER OF: HILL R. JORDAN.

PER CURIAM.

The State Bar of Georgia filed a formal complaint against Hill
R. Jordan alleging a violation of Standards 4 (engaging in
professional conduct involving dishonesty and fraud), 45 (b)
(knowingly making a false statement of law or fact), 45 (e)
(knowingly engaging in illegal conduct or conduct contrary to a
disciplinary rule), 61 (failing to notify a client promptly of the
receipt of funds and deliver the funds to the client), 63 (failing
to maintain complete records and promptly account for client
funds), 65 (A) (commingling client and personal funds and failing
to account for funds held in a fiduciary capacity), and 65 (D)
(failing to keep records of money held in trust) of Bar Rule 4-102
(d).   The complaint was based on client grievances alleging
Jordan: (1)   endorsed   a   settlement   check   without   client
authorization; (2) issued a settlement check to a client that was
dishonored because of insufficient funds; and (3) withdrew money
from client trust accounts for personal use.

Finding that Jordan committed the alleged violations and had
received sanctions in two prior disciplinary cases, the Special

Master recommended that Jordan be disbarred. Jordan filed a petition for voluntary surrender of license, admitting to violating Standards 61, 65 (A) and 65 (D). The State Bar responded that it had no objections to Jordan's petition. The Review Panel recommends that this Court accept Jordan's petition.

Based on the record, this Court adopts the Review Panel's recommendation and accepts Hill R. Jordan's petition for voluntary surrender of his license to practice law in Georgia, which is tantamount to disbarment. Jordan is reminded of his duties under Bar Rule 4-219 (c) to timely notify all clients of his inability to represent them, take all actions necessary to protect the interests of his clients, and certify to this Court that he has satisfied the requirements of the rule.

All the Justices concur.