NORTH CAROLINA

WAKE COUNTY

BEFORE THE
GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE
OF THE
NORTH CAROLINA STATE BAR
88G 0224

IN RE

WILLIAM M. HOLLAND, ATTORNEY AT LAW ORDER OF RECIPROCAL DISCIPLINE

This matter coming on to be heard by the Grievance Committee of the North Carolina State Bar in regular quarterly session on July 13, 1989 pursuant to Section 16 of Article IX of the Rules and Regulations of the North Carolina State Bar, and it appearing that William M. Holland, who is a member of the North Carolina State Bar, was suspended from the practice of law in the State of Florida for six months by order of the Florida Supreme Court on February 25, 1988 because of professional misconduct and was suspended from the practice of law in Florida for one year by subsequent order of the Florida Supreme Court nunc pro tunc to March 25, 1988; and it further appearing that the North Carolina State Bar issued a notice of reciprocal discipline to Holland after the first suspension order was received, such notice being received by Holland on April 13, 1988; and it further appearing that Holland, through Counsel, requested that the North Carolina State Bar not act until the subsequent proceeding in Florida was concluded; and it further appearing that the subsequent Florida proceeding concluded with the one year suspension mentioned above.

1367

NOW THEREFORE, the Grievance Committee enters the following CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

- 1. The North Carolina State Bar has jurisdiction over the subject matter and the person of William M. Holland.
- 2. The procedure required by Section 16 of Article IX of the Rules and Regulations of the North Carolina State Bar has been complied with.
- 3. The violations of professional ethics found by the Florida Supreme Court also constitute substantial violations of the Code of Professional Responsibility of the North Carolina State Bar, particularly Disciplinary Rules 6-101(A)(2) and 6-101(A)(3).
- 4. The discipline imposed by the Florida Supreme Court should be imposed in North Carolina with a condition for reinstatement in North Carolina that Holland first be reinstated in Florida prior to petitioning in North Carolina.

WHEREFORE, it is hereby ORDERED that:

1. William M. Holland be suspended from the practice of law

in North Carolina for one year nunc pro tunc to March 25, 1988.

- 2. William M. Holland be relicensed by the Florida Bar prior to petitioning for reinstatement of his license in North Carolina.
- 3. William M. Holland is taxed with the costs of this proceeding.

This the 2t day of

1989.

Robert A. Wicker, Chairman Grievance Committee

#93

、 炒