NORTH CAROLINA
WAKE COUNTY



BEFORE THE SECRETARY OF THE NORTH CAROLINA STATE BAR 93 BSR 4

IN	RE:	ŔĘŢ	NSTATEMENT GRANDY	OF
MAI	COIN	IB.	GRANDY	

ORDER OF REINSTATEMENT

THIS MATTER is before the Secretary of the North Carolina State Bar pursuant to an Amended Petition for Reinstatement filed by Malcolm B. Grandy on October 20, 1993.

Based upon a review of the records of the North Carolina State Bar, the Secretary makes the following

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Pursuant to the Order of Discipline in N.C. State Bar v. Grandy, 91 DHC 24, the Petitioner was suspended from the practice of law for six months, beginning on July 1, 1992.
- 2. Before Petitioner sought reinstatement from the suspension order entered in 91 DHC 24, a second disciplinary action was filed against the Petitioner by the N.C. State Bar in N.C. State Bar v. Grandy, 93 DHC 1.
- 3. Pursuant to the consent order of discipline entered in 93 DHC 1, the Petitioner was suspended from the practice of law for an additional period of six months, beginning on May 12, 1993.
- 4. The Order of Discipline in 93 DHC 1 required the Petitioner to make restitution to the following individuals no later than June 1, 1993: 1) \$500 to Joseph McCann, 2) \$100 to Brian Weavil and 3) all individuals referred to in the Order of Discipline entered in 91 DHC 24.
- 5. Petitioner has complied with the Orders of Discipline in 91 DHC 24 and 93 DHC 1 as follows:
 - a. Petitioner has made restitution as ordered in 91 DHC 24 and 93 DHC 1;
 - b. Petitioner has complied with Section 24 of Article IX of the Rules and Regulations of the North Carolina State Bar in that Petitioner had already wound down his law practice pursuant to the Order of Discipline entered in 91 DHC 24 as of the effective date of the Order of Discipline in 93 DHC 1 and therefore had no current clients as of the effective date of the Order of Discipline in 93 DHC 1;

- c. Petitioner abstained from the unauthorized practice of law during the period of suspension;
- d. Petitioner has paid the costs in 91 DHC 24 and 93 DHC 1.
- 6. After conducting necessary investigation regarding the Petitioner's compliance with the requirements set out in Section 25(B)(3) of the Discipline & Disbarment Rules of the North Carolina State Bar, counsel for the North Carolina State Bar did not file an objection to the petition.

Based upon the foregoing FINDINGS OF FACT, the Secretary makes the following

CONCLUSION OF LAW

1. The Petitioner, Malcolm B. Grandy, has satisfied the requirements set out in Section 25(B) of of Article IX of the Discipline & Disbarment Rules of the North Carolina State Bar and is therefore entitled to reinstatement of his license to practice law in this jurisdiction.

Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusion of Law, the Secretary enters the following

ORDER OF REINSTATEMENT

THEREFORE, pursuant to Section 25(B)(5) of Article IX of the Discipline & Disbarment Rules of the North Carolina State Bar, it is hereby ordered:

- 1. Malcolm B. Grandy is hereby reinstated to the active practice of law in North Carolina as of the date of this order.
- 2. Malcolm B. Grandy's North Carolina State Bar membershp card and license shall be returned to him.

This the 12th day of November, 1993.

L. Thomas Lunsford II, Secretary
The North Carolina State Bar