

THE NORTH CAROLINA STATE BAR Petitioner v.))))	ORDER OF RECIPROCAL DISCIPLINE PROCEEDING
CARL D. LEE, ATTORNEY Respondent)	
Respondent)	

Pursuant to the authority vested in me as Chair of the Grievance Committee of the North Carolina State Bar by 27 N.C. Admin. Code Chapter 1, Subchapter B, §§ .0105(a)(12) and .0116(a) of the N.C. State Bar Discipline & Disability Rules and based upon the record in this matter, the undersigned finds as follows:

- 1. By a Judgment and Order dated January 10, 2006, the Supreme Court of Arizona censured you and placed you on probation for one year effective upon your signing the probation contract.
- 2. On March 7, 2006, a Notice of Reciprocal Discipline Proceeding was served upon you by registered mail.
- 3. You failed to respond or show cause that imposition of the identical discipline would be unwarranted within 30 days of service upon you of the Notice of Reciprocal Discipline.

BASED UPON THE FOREGOING FINDINGS the Chair of the Grievance Committee makes the following CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

1. The North Carolina State Bar has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this proceeding and over the person of the respondent, Carl D. Lee.

400

- 2. The procedure for imposition of reciprocal discipline pursuant to 27 N.C. Admin. Code Chapter 1, Subchapter B, § .0116(a) of the N.C. State Bar Discipline & Disability Rules has been complied with.
- 3. The January 10, 2006 order of the Supreme Court of Arizona disciplined Lee for conduct in violation of his duties and obligations as a lawyer, as set out in the Disciplinary Commission Report.
- 4. The order of discipline imposed by the Supreme Court of Arizona should be imposed on Lee in the State of North Carolina. The equivalent discipline in North Carolina is a censure.

THEREFORE IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. Carl D. Lee is hereby censured.

2... Respondent is hereby taxed with the costs of this proceeding as assessed by the Secretary.

This the day of

Barbara B. Weyher, Chair Grievance Committee