8150

NORTH CAROLINA

WAKE COUNTY

BEFORE THE GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE OF THE NORTH CAROLINA STATE BAR 95G1265(II)

IN THE MATTER OF)	
CONRAD A. AIRALL,)	REPRIMAND
ATTORNEY AT LAW)	•
)	· · ·

On July 25, 1996, the Grievance Committee of the North Carolina State Bar met and considered the grievance filed against you by Dr. Nathaniel Carter.

Pursuant to section .0113(a) of the Discipline and Disability Rules of the North Carolina State Bar, the Grievance Committee conducted a preliminary hearing. After considering the information available to it, including your response to the letter of notice, the Grievance Committee found probable cause. Probable cause is defined in the rules as "reasonable cause to believe that a member of the North Carolina State Bar is guilty of misconduct justifying disciplinary action."

The rules provide that after a finding of probable cause, the Grievance Committee may determine that the filing of a complaint and a hearing before the Disciplinary Hearing Commission are not required and the Grievance Committee may issue various levels of discipline depending upon the misconduct, the actual or potential injury caused, and any aggravating or mitigating factors. The Grievance Committee may issue an admonition, reprimand, or censure to the respondent attorney.

A reprimand is a written form of discipline more serious than an admonition issued in cases in which an attorney has violated one or more provisions of the Rules of Professional Conduct and has caused harm or potential harm to a client, the administration of justice, the profession, or a member of the public, but the misconduct does not require a censure.

The Grievance Committee was of the opinion that a censure is not required in this case and issues this reprimand to you. As chairman of the Grievance Committee of the North Carolina State Bar, it is now my duty to issue this reprimand and I am certain that you will understand fully the spirit in which this duty is performed.

In April of 1994, you began representing Dr. Nathaniel Carter in an employment discrimination action. On June 5, 1995, Dr. Carter forwarded a check to you in the amount of \$1,500.00. The check included a notation of "depositions." Additionally, enclosed with the check was a letter from Dr. Carter which stated in pertinent part that, "I have forward [sic] to you a check for \$1,500.00 to be placed in your trust fund.

These moneys... should be ample funding to conduct a minimum of four or five depositions." However, regardless of Dr. Carter's specific instructions that those funds be used for depositions, you applied the \$1,500.00 toward payment of your fees.

By ignoring your client's specific instructions regarding the distribution of those funds, you engaged in conduct in violation of Rule 10.2(e).

You are hereby reprimanded by the North Carolina State Bar due to your professional misconduct. The Grievance Committee trusts that you will heed this reprimand, that it will be remembered by you, that it will be beneficial to you, and that you will never again allow yourself to depart from adherence to the high ethical standards of the legal profession.

In accordance with the policy adopted October 15, 1981 by the Council of the North Carolina State Bar regarding the taxing of the administrative and investigative costs to any attorney issued a reprimand by the Grievance Committee, the costs of this action in the amount of \$50.00 are hereby taxed to you.

Done and ordered, this 10 th day of Augus

Ann Reed

Chairman, Grievance Committee The North Carolina State Bar