

17789

NORTH CAROLINA

WAKE COUNTY

BEFORE THE
GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE
OF THE
NORTH CAROLINA STATE BAR
0226GR006

IN THE MATTER OF

LEON ORR,
ATTORNEY AT LAW

)
)
)
)
)

REPRIMAND

On April 17, 2003, the Grievance Committee of the North Carolina State Bar met and considered the grievance filed against you by Willodean Ashford.

Pursuant to section .0113(a) of the Discipline and Disability Rules of the North Carolina State Bar, the Grievance Committee conducted a preliminary hearing. After considering the information available to it, including your response to the letter of notice, the Grievance Committee found probable cause. Probable cause is defined in the rules as "reasonable cause to believe that a member of the North Carolina State Bar is guilty of misconduct justifying disciplinary action."

The rules provide that after a finding of probable cause, the Grievance Committee may determine that the filing of a complaint and a hearing before the Disciplinary Hearing Commission are not required, and the Grievance Committee may issue various levels of discipline depending upon the misconduct, the actual or potential injury caused, and any aggravating or mitigating factors. The Grievance Committee may issue an admonition, a reprimand, or a censure to the respondent attorney.

A reprimand is a written form of discipline more serious than an admonition issued in cases in which an attorney has violated one or more provisions of the Rules of Professional Conduct and has caused harm or potential harm to a client, the administration of justice, the profession, or a member of the public, but the misconduct does not require a censure.

The Grievance Committee was of the opinion that a censure is not required in this case and issues this reprimand to you. As chairman of the Grievance Committee of the North Carolina State Bar, it is now my duty to issue this reprimand, and I am certain that you will understand fully the spirit in which this duty is performed.

In February 2002, Willodean Ashford filed a complaint against you with the Mecklenburg County Bar. You were notified of the grievance on Feb. 20, 2002 and were asked to respond within 15 days. When you did not respond, the attorney assigned to investigate the matter for the Mecklenburg County Bar contacted you at least once and reminded you of your obligation to respond. Nevertheless, you failed to respond to the complaint until June 5, 2002. Your failure to respond to the letter of notice sent to you by the 26th Judicial District Grievance Committee constituted a violation of Rule 8.1(b) of the Revised Rules of Professional Conduct.

Your misconduct is aggravated by the fact that you were reprimanded in July 2000 for, among other things, failing to respond promptly to a letter of notice from the State Bar concerning another client complaint.

You are hereby reprimanded by the North Carolina State Bar due to your professional misconduct. The Grievance Committee trusts that you will heed this reprimand, that it will be remembered by you, that it will be beneficial to you, and that you will never again allow yourself to depart from adherence to the high ethical standards of the legal profession.

In accordance with the policy adopted October 15, 1981 by the Council of the North Carolina State Bar regarding the taxing of the administrative and investigative costs to any attorney issued a reprimand by the Grievance Committee, the costs of this action in the amount of \$50.00 are hereby taxed to you.

Done and ordered, this 29 day of April, 2003.

Sharon B. Alexander
Sharon B. Alexander
Chair, Grievance Committee