出 4 年 り 9 年 5 6

NORTH CAROLINA

WAKE COUNTY

BEFORE THE
DISCIPLINARY HEARING COMMISSION
OF THE
NORTH CAROLINA STATE BAR
88 BCR 2

THE NORTH CAROLINA STATE BAR, Plaintiff) } }
vs.	ORDER OF REINSTATEMENT
RICHARD MAURICE DAILEY, JR., ATTORNEY, Defendant.))))

This matter came on to be heard by the Council of The North Carolina State Bar on April 14, 1989, upon the written Findings of Fact and Recommendation of the Hearing Committee of the Disciplinary Hearing Commission dated February 9, 1989. No transcript of the hearing was requested by either the Petitioner or counsel to The North Carolina State Bar. The Council considered the Findings of Fact and Recommendation of the Hearing Committee and the statements presented by Counsel for the State Bar and Counsel for the Petitioner.

Based upon the Findings of Fact and Recommendation of the Hearing Committee, and pursuant to the provisions of Section 25 of the Rules of Discipline and Disbarment, upon motion duly made, seconded, and adopted, it is hereby ORDERED by the Council of The North Carolina State Bar that:

- 1. The Petitioner, Richard Maurice Dailey, Jr. shall be reinstated to the practice of law in North Carolina upon successfully sitting for and obtaining passing grades on the bar examination administered by the North Carolina Board of Law Examiners and the Multistate Professional Responsibility examination approved by the Board of Law Examiners.
- 2. Petitioner is taxed with the costs of this proceeding as ordered by the Secretary.

This the $\frac{/9^{+h}}{}$ day of April, 1989.

Robert G. Baynes, President The North Carolina State Bar

#809