In the Supreme Court of Georgia

Decided: #111 15 1938

IN THE MATTER OF: OLIVER.

(Supreme Court Disciplinary No. 503)

PER CURIAM.

On March 6, 1986, Donald F. Oliver pled guilty in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia to a violation of Title 21, United States Code Section 841 (a) (1); and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2 (distribution and possession with intent to distribute amphetamines). On March 28, 1986, pursuant to Bar Rule 4-203 (i), he filed a petition for voluntary surrender of his license. In his petition, he admits that his plea of guilty would constitute grounds for disbarment under Standard 66 of Bar Rule 4-102 of the Georgia Bar Rules. The State Disciplinary Board recommends that his petition be granted.

Having reviewed the file, we agree with the recommendation and accept petitioner's voluntary surrender of his license, which is equivalent to disbarment.

Voluntary surrender of license accepted. All the Justices concur.

JAN 1 2 1998

Decided:

S98Y0340. IN THE MATTER OF DONALD F. OLIVER

PER CURIUM

Donald F. Oliver seeks reinstatement to the practice of law. We agree with the Review Panel's recommendation and order that he be reinstated.

This Court accepted Oliver's petition for voluntary surrender of his license to practice law. In the Matter of Donald F. Oliver, 256 Ga. 152 (347 SE2d 227) (1986). Oliver violated State Bar Rule 4-102, Standard 66, due to his convictions under 21 USC § 841 (a) (1) and 18 USC § 2 for distribution and possession with intent to distribute amphetamines. Oliver has completed his sentence for those crimes, and has been granted an early termination of probation. He has admitted his culpability, and expressed remorse over his commission of the crimes. Since his release from prison, he has remained involved in church and community activities, and has provided for himself and his family with steady employment. The Special Master concluded that Oliver's conduct since his release has been of the highest ethical standard. Viewing the record in this matter as a whole, we are convinced by clear and convincing proof of Oliver's rehabilitation.

We agree with the Review Panel that Oliver has met the conditions for reinstatement, and order that he be reinstated to the practice of law.

Reinstated. All the Justices concur.