NORTH CAROLINA

BEFORE THE GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE OF THE NORTH CAROLINA STATE BAR 08G1015

WAKE COUNTY

| IN THE MATTER OF |) | | |
|------------------|---|---------|--|
| |) | | |
| Brian R. Brown, |) | CENSURE | |
| ATTORNEY AT LAW |) | | |
| |) | · | |
| | | | |

On January 14, 2010, the Grievance Committee of the North Carolina State Bar met and considered the grievance filed against you by the North Carolina State Bar.

Pursuant to section .0113(a) of the Discipline and Disability Rules of the North Carolina State Bar, the Grievance Committee conducted a preliminary hearing. After considering the information available to it, including your response to the letter of notice, the Grievance Committee found probable cause. Probable cause is defined in the rules as "reasonable cause to believe that a member of the North Carolina State Bar is guilty of misconduct justifying disciplinary action."

The rules provide that after a finding of probable cause, the Grievance Committee may determine that the filing of a complaint and a hearing before the Disciplinary Hearing Commission are not required and the Grievance Committee may issue various levels of discipline depending upon the misconduct, the actual or potential injury caused, and any aggravating or mitigating factors. The Grievance Committee may issue an admonition, a reprimand, or a censure.

A censure is a written form of discipline more serious than a reprimand, issued in cases in which an attorney has violated one or more provisions of the Rules of Professional Conduct and has caused significant harm or potential significant harm to a client, the administration of justice, the profession or a member of the public, but the misconduct does not require suspension of the attorney's license.

The Grievance Committee believes that a hearing before the Disciplinary Hearing Commission is not required in this case and issues this censure to you. As chairman of the Grievance Committee of the North Carolina State Bar, it is now my duty to issue this censure.

You represented the estate of K. J. W. on behalf of its administratrix, I. J. During your representation of the estate I. J. sent you signed estate account checks with the payee line blank to be used for payment of estate expenses. Your paralegal used the blank estate account checks to write checks made payable to her and thereby embezzled over \$200,000 from the estate. You failed to fulfill your duty of safekeeping for the signed estate bank account checks in violation Rule 1.15-2(d). Your paralegal was able to embezzle from the estate because you failed to properly supervise her in violation of Rule 5.3(b). Also, you failed to regularly review the bank statements and cancelled checks in violation of Rule 1.3.

You are hereby censured by the North Carolina State Bar for your violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct. The Grievance Committee trusts that you will ponder this censure, recognize the error that you have made, and that you will never again allow yourself to depart from adherence to the high ethical standards of the legal profession. This censure should serve as a strong reminder and inducement for you to weigh carefully in the future your responsibility to the public, your clients, your fellow attorneys and the courts, to the end that you demean yourself as a respected member of the legal profession whose conduct may be relied upon without question.

In accordance with the policy adopted January 24, 2008 by the Council of the North Carolina State Bar regarding the taxing of the administrative and investigative costs to any attorney issued a censure by the Grievance Committee, the costs of this action in the amount of \$100.00 are hereby taxed to you.

Done and ordered, this 22 day of Fel., 2010.

Ronald G. Baker, Sr., Chair

Grievance Committee

The North Carolina State Bar