



2325

Orange County

Office of the Clerk of the Superior Court

9, Mary D. Walters, Asst; Clerk of the Superior Court of							
Orange County, State of North Carolina, said Court being							
a Court of Record, having an official seal, which is hereto affixed,							
do hereby certify the foregoing and attached (sheets)							
to be a true copy of							
Transcript of negotiated plea; Judgment suspending sentence State -vs-							
Charles Lawrence James. Case: no. 74CR9749.							
i '							
as the same is taken from and compared with the original now on							
file in this office.							
•							
In Witness Whereof, I hereunto subscribe my name and affix the							
seal of the Superior Court of County, at my							
office in HIlsborough , North Carolina, this 8th. day of							
man D Walters							
Assistant Plenk Superior Court Ex Officio Judge of Probate							
Ex Officio Juage of Probate							

SEAL

-00369

AOC-L FORM-147

sțate of north caroi	. Ani	File #_	DYCR 974	<u> </u>
County of	1.	Film #_		
		In The	General Court of J	ustice
STATE OF NORTH	CAROLINA .	· Aspe	Court Div	Tajou
vs.	•	}		
Charley Las	Imen of Stral			
	a service of	TRANSCI	RIPT OF NEGOTIATED	PLEA
			,	
		•		
asked by the President	, being first duly sworn, ling Judge:	, makes the following	answers to the ques	rtions
1. Are you able to	hear and understand my	statements and questi	ons? Answer	J ()
2. Are you now und	ler the influence of any		rics, medicines, or	other
	and that you are charged	with the (falony) (mis	demeanor) of	
	and to pass		- 	temes
tour amphoto			? Answer ?	vel CS,
4. Has the charge	been explained to you?	i var pro	Answer 7/1	(2.5)
5. Do you understa prisoned for as	ind that upon your plea of much as(nenth	f (guilty)(n ole conte s)(years)?	ndere) you could be	1m-
6. Do you understa	and that you have the rig	ht to plead not mulita		ربحيح
Jury?	and that you have the 22g	we to bress not knift.	Answer 70	
7. Have you had ti	me to talk and confer wi	th and have you confer		
this case and a	re you satisfied with hi	s services?	,	w (8)
8. I now inquire o	of the district attorney	and of the prisoner a		1 . A . Line
not there have	been plea negotiations.	Before permitting you	ı to respond. Fadv	ise vou
essential compo	have specifically appronent of the administrati	ved pies bargaining ar	nd have sald that i ncouraged. You sho	t is an uld.
therefore, advi	se me truthfully of any	ples negotiations with	out the slightest	fear of
(n o pa contenge r incorring great	proval of the court. No a) upon conditions?	w therefore, have you		(CS)
Q. S'Are those the e	andibles and all of the	_a	Answer 7/2	2.20
	onditions and all of the		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,
tru State a	spends accept	molenia	s please a	in .
all f	lay whiten	t de l	tite news	miles de la company
17 st ans	Jano no	tracquie an	artice por	tores
Hat he	surrender.	his leaves	+ practice	low
· to the Cou	- for trans	without to the	Style Bas	لينبنين.
21 the	, Not be le	consed until	the Ban R	ee fir
todo so.	Dut Le	not prach	ie laster	- Philip
State y	W 3 yand	···································	Answer	Jan Ce
10. Except for the made to you to	promises set out above (induce you to plead (gui	paragraph 9), have any Lty)(nole contendere)	promises or threat upon these condition	nas a peen
•	,		Answer	<u>, as</u>
11. Do you now free:	ly, voluntarily and under	rstandingly authorize	and instruct your i	lawyer
to enter on your	r behalf a plea of (guil	ty) (no lo-eentende re) u	pon the conditions	above A O
·				ا هي رجو
12. Do you have any said to you?	questions or any stateme	ent to make at this ti	• "	. Ollo
	1		Answer	s "9)
•		•	•	, T
•				
		•		-

[OVER]

٠,	I have read or heard read all of the questions and answers on the reverse hereof and understand them, and the answers shown are the ones I gave in open Court, and they are true
	and correct, and the basis for the negotiated plea of (guilty) (nole contendere) as stated on the reverse hereof is accurate and is the basis upon which I entered this plea of (guilty)
	(nole-contendere).
	By 1 1924 Charles Tournes tone
	Defendant Defendant
-3	i
	10 of 70 m
	Sworn to and subscribed before me this day of, 19
•	
	Than OHIVIA Ton
•	Clerk of Superior Court
	$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcalOO_{\mathcal{A}} =$
	As attorney for the defendant,
	who defendant a mlos of (quilty) (nolo contendare) was entered are correct and they are the
	conditions agreed to by the defendant and myself as his attorney upon which the defendant's plea of (guilty) (nelo contendere) was entered.
•	Plea of (Batter)
	C Laure I / World
	Date Attorney for Defendant
	the second secon
	to the material comparison with the control of the
	As district attorney for the Judicial District, I hereby certify that the conditions stated on the reverse hereof (paragraph 9) are the conditions agreed to by the
	defendant and his counsel and myself for the entry of the plea of (guilty) (note-contenders)
	by the defendant to the charge in this case.
	Day 1 1924 W. hunful toy
	Date District Attorney
•	The second secon
	ADJUDICATION
	ADDODUCTION.
	The undersigned Presiding Judge upon examination of the record proper and hearing
•	statement of counsel for the defendant and the district attorney, and upon considering the evidence offered, makes the following findings:
	1. That the conditions of the negotiated plea of (guilty) (nolo contendere) are rea-
	soughle and the acceptance and approval of the plea of (guilty)(nolo contenders) by the
	court would be to the best interest of the defendant and society and the administration of justice.

	2. That substantial evidence has been produced to support the charge.
	3. That the negotiated plea of (guilty) (nelo-contendere) was entered into by the defendant after consultation with counsel and with advice of counsel and that he did so
	freely, voluntarily and with full knowledge of the consequences.
	Upon the foregoing findings, the defendant's plea of (guilty) (nolo-contendere) is hereby accepted by the Court.
	This day of north, 1975.
	Ω
	Con & Breun
	Judge Presiding
	9 7 8 2 2 3

		,	File #
	•	•	Film #
STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA			In The General Court of Justice
County of Orange		•	Superior Court Division

The State of North Carolina

JUDGMENT SUSPENDING SENTENCE

Charles Lawrence James

Name, Age, Sex, and Race of Defendant

In open court, the defendant appeared for trial upon the charge or charges of

Conspiracy to manufacture, distribute, sell and deliver controlled substances to wit: amphetamine, MDA, BDMA

to written information guilty/to a conspiracy to possess a controlled substance and thereupon entered a plea of to wit: amphetamines which wes then and is now set out in schedule 2 of the North Carolina Controlled Substance Act

Having plead guilty of the offense of conspiracy to possess a controlled substance to wit: amphetamines as set out in schedule 2 of the North Carclina Controlled substance act

which is a violation of

County of .

the law "

and of the grade of

misdemeanor

It is ADJUDGED that the defendant be imprisoned for the term of two years

county jail of Orange County to be assigned. in the to work under the supervision of the North Carolina Department of Correction.

The execution of this sentence is suspended, however, for three years upon compliance with the

following conditions, to which the defendant gave assent: That the defendant hot have in his pessession at any time any form of narcotics except those narcotics prescribed by a duly licensed physician and contained in a druggist labeled contains 2. That the defendant not violate any penal law of any State or the Federal Government during t next 3 years. 3. That the defendant surrender his license to practice law in the State of North Carolina to the North Carolina State Bar. License of the Defendant has been submitted to the Co in order that same may be forwarded by the Court to the North Carolina State Bar. 4. That the defendant not engage in the practive of law in State of North Carolina for a period of three yes. That in lieu of a fine the Court has determined that Mr. James has represented a number of the court has determined that Mr. James has represented a number of indigent defendants of which he has not submitted a fee schedule to be submitted to the Adminis time Office of the Court in order that he be paid. Defendant will not and shall not submit a schedule of fees to the Administrative office of the Courts. These fees are forfeited. 7. That defendant pay the costs of this action.

Attorney for Defendant: James Rowan Attorney for the State: Lunsford Long AOCA, FORM 154

November 1, 1974.