## COMP 333 — Week 11 Machine Learning Process

# **Machine Learning Process**

EDA builds *models* to capture the insight to predict outcomes in new situations as aids to decision-making.

The criteria for our choice of model are:

- ▶ Whether the models meets the business goal
- ► How much *pre-processing* the model needs including the time required to build (train) the model
- ► <u>How accurate</u> is the model in general, how well does it perform during evaluation
- ► How *explainable* is the model
- ▶ How fast is the model in making predictions
- ► How scalable is the model
  when deployed
  for both building the model and making predictions

From the video

Choosing the right ML algorithm,

by MS Azure Team, DevDays Asia 2017

https://channel9.msdn.com/Events/OpenSourceTW/DevDays-Asia-2017/AI12

### ML Process in Overview

Once you have clean data, and have completed feature engineering,

there are several major steps for machine learning:

Training a model

Evaluating a model

Deploying a model

Using the model to make predictions

Validating the model in real-world use

Explaining predictions of the model

Scaling up

Most discussions of machine learning will focus in the first two steps

training a model, and

**evaluating** a model.

# Building an ML Model

We will assume we are doing supervised learning.

Input The key input to the ML process is a clean dataset with a target variable that is labelled and a set of features that form the basis of the prediction.

Input Concerns In general, there are several concerns

- ▶ How many observations do I have?
- ▶ Do I have enough observations for each label value?
- ► Is the dataset balanced?

  That is, for each label value, do I have roughly the same number of observations?

## Separation of Training and Evaluation

For the evaluation of a model, it is important that the test data is independent of the training data.

In particular,

if an observation is used during the training of a model then that observation cannot be in the test dataset. Splitting the dataset The (independent) test set is taken as a random subset of the dataset with the remainder of the dataset being used as the training set.

This is called *splitting* the dataset into training set + test set.

It is also called hold-out of a test set when you split up your dataset into training set + test set.

A common split is 20% as the test set and 80% as the training set.

**Training the model** The model is constructed by the ML algorithm using the training data.

This is called *training* the model, or *fitting* the model to the data.

Evaluating the model The model is evaluated by running the model on unseen data, which is the test set.

For each observation in the test set, you compare the model's prediction with the target value in the observation.

From the test run, you calculate **performance metrics**.

#### To summarize:

- ▶ Split the dataset into two pieces: a training set and a testing set.
- ► Train the model on the training set.
- ▶ Test the model on the testing set, and evaluate how well our model did.

#### Advantages of train/test split:

- ▶ Model can be trained and tested on different data than the one used for training.
- ▶ Response values are known for the test dataset, hence predictions can be evaluated
- ► Testing accuracy is a better estimate than training accuracy of out-of-sample performance.

#### From

Learning Model Building in Scikit-learn: A Python Machine Learning Library

https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/learning-model-building-scikit-learn-python-machine-learnser.

#### Performance Metrics

There are number of common performance metrics in use.

Here we present them in the context of a binary classifer.

For a binary classifier, we have one class and data

labelled as *Positive* (P) examples, or *Negative* (N) examples.

Our classifier makes predictions, either P or N.

To define our metrics, we count

Total = the number of test cases

TP = the number of *true positives* that is, a P test case that is predicted as P

TN = the number of *true negatives* that is, a N test case that is predicted as N

FP = the number of *false positives* that is, a N test case that is predicted as P

FN = the number of *false negatives* that is, a P test case that is predicted as N

Note that

$$Total = TP + TN + FP + FN$$

Number of Positive actual test cases = TP + FN

Number of Negative actual test cases = TN + FP

Number of Positive predictions = TP + FP

Number of Negative predictions = TN + FN

**Precision** is a measure of how many positive predictions were really positive:

Precision = TP / (TP + FP)

Recall is a measure of how many positive test cases were predicted as positive:

Recall = TP / (TP + FN)

**Accuracy** is a measure of the number of correct predictions made by the model overall:

Accuracy = (TP + TN) / Total

**Specificity** is a measure of how many negative test cases were predicted negative:

Specificity = TN / (TN + FP)

Specificity is the opposite of recall.

Sensitivity is the same as recall:

Sensitivity = TP / (TP + FN)

**F-measure** also called *F1-measure* or *F1-score* or *F-score*,

is the harmonic mean of precision and recall:

F-measure = 2 x Precision x Recall / (Precision + Recall)

 $F-measure = 2 \times TP / (2xTP + FP + FN)$ 

MCC (Matthews Correlation Coefficient) a correlation coefficient between the observed (actual) and predicted binary classifications.

It returns a value between +1 and +1.

A coefficient of +1 represents a perfect prediction,

0 no better than random prediction

and -1 indicates total disagreement between prediction and observation.

$$MCC = [ (TP \times TN) - (FP \times FN) ] / sqrt( (TP+FP)x(TP+FN)x(TN+FP)x(TN+FN) )$$

Confusion matrix The confusion matrix shows the ways in which your classification model is confused,

that is, when the model is making wrong predictions.

It is a useful aid to understanding your model.

and it works well for imbalanced data.

What is a Confusion Matrix in Machine Learning

by Jason Brownlee

https://machinelearningmastery.com/confusion-matrix-machine-learning/

Which single metric to use? The best metric to use as a single performance metric is MCC as it considers both correct and incorrect predictions,

### **Cross-Validation**

Cross-validation is an evaluation method.

Let us look at k-fold cross-validation, where k = 5.

We divide our dataset D into 5 "folds", that is, disjoint subsets D1, D2, D3, D4, D5 of D of the same size and chosen randomly.

The evaluation does 5 iterations: i = 1 ... 5

use Di as the test set train your model on  $D \setminus Di$  use this model to predict each test case in Di

At the end, you have a prediction for each case in the dataset D and you can calculate performance metrics comparing actual classification to the predicted classification.

It is common to use 5-fold and 10-fold cross-validation.

**Leave-One-Out Cross-Validation (LOOCV)** is the extreme cross-validation where k is the size of D.

That is, you use each d in D as a test case and the remainder  $D \setminus \{d\}$  as the training set.

### **Evaluation versus Validation**

I like to reserve the term *validation* for confirmation that a model in real-world deployment and use is performing as well as, or better than, (human) experts in its predictions.

Or that the value of the model in the decision-making process has been tested in the real-world context and been accepted by the decision makers.

So validation is an evaluation, but in a real-world context and measured in its context of application.

Other people make the distinction between

internal validation, and

external validation

where internal validation is evaluation in a test set-up and external validation is evaluation in the real-world context.

So their external validation is what I call validation and I would call their internal validation as evaluation.

Beware The use of the two terms *evaluation* and *validation* is very confusing in practice.

For example, the term *cross-validation* is the standard term for the method of evaluation in ML.