

# EXERCISES

## CHAPTER 2

MAKABAKA1880<sup>1</sup>

1. Reducted for privacy

This is a sample document to demonstrate what cyan-report is capable of. The problems below come from the book *An Introduction to Formal Languages and Automata*.

### 1. Example Usage of Containers

We are given a language

$$L = \{ab, a, baa\}$$

And sentences

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= abaabaaaabaa \\ u_2 &= aaaabaaaa \\ u_3 &= baaaaaabaaaab \\ u_4 &= baaaaaaabaa \end{aligned}$$

#### Problem

Which of the strings are in  $L^*$ ?

*Solution.* In order for a sentence to be in the star-closure of a language  $L$ , then the sentence must be constructable from concatenations of substrings in  $L$ . Let's prove this lemma.

---

*Lemma 1.*  $u \in L^* \iff \exists w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n \in L, u = w_1 w_2 \dots w_n$

*Proof.* From the definition of star-closure we have

$$u \in L^* \iff u \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} L^i$$

Therefore  $u$  must be in at least one of  $L^n$ . An induction  $n$  can be done, starting from  $n = 0$  as the base case. Here,  $u = \lambda$ , which exactly the empty concatenation of elements of  $L$ .

Now suppose the lemma holds for  $n$ . For  $n + 1$  by definition

$$L^{n+1} = L^n L = \{vw : v \in L^n, w \in L\}$$

Therefore if  $u \in L^{n+1}$ , then  $u$  must be the concatenation of some  $v$  from  $L^n$  and  $w$  from  $L$ . By the inductive hypothesis,  $v$  is the concatenation of substrings from  $L$ , thus  $u$  is the concatenation of substrings from  $L$  and a substring from  $L$ , thus the concatenation of substrings of  $L$ . ■

---

Therefore in order for a sentence to be in  $L^*$ , one just need to guarantee that the sentence can be constructed from  $\{ab, a, baa\}$ .

One can easily check that

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= abaaabaaabaa \\ u_2 &= aaaabaaaa \\ u_3 &= baaaaabaaaab \\ u_4 &= baaaaaaabaa \end{aligned}$$

So the answer is **all of them are sentences under  $L^*$** .

### Problem

Which of the strings are in  $L^4$ ?

*Solution.*  $u_2$  and  $u_3$  are.

*Proof.* Previously constructed concatenations proved that

$$u_2, u_4 \in L^3 \subseteq L^4$$

By a DFS search it can be easily proven that  $u_1, u_3 \notin L^4$ .

```

1 set  $L' \leftarrow L \cup L^0$ 
2 for  $s_1$  in  $L'$  do
3   | for  $s_2$  in  $L'$  do
4     |   | for  $s_3$  in  $L'$  do

```

```

5   ||| for  $s_4$  in  $L'$  do
6     || set  $s \leftarrow s_1s_2s_3s_4$ 
7       | if  $s = u_1$  then return  $s$ 
8       | else continue
9 s not found

```

Following is an implementation in C99.

```

#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>
#include <stdbool.h>

#define MAX_LEN 100

//  $L' = L \cup L^0$ 
const char* L_prime[] = {"", "a", "aa"};
const int L_prime_size = 3;

bool search_u1(const char* target) {
    char concat[MAX_LEN];

    for (int i = 0; i < L_prime_size; i++) {
        for (int j = 0; j < L_prime_size; j++) {
            for (int k = 0; k < L_prime_size; k++) {
                for (int l = 0; l < L_prime_size; l++) {
                    // s = s1 s2 s3 s4
                    snprintf(concat, MAX_LEN, "%s%s%s%s",
                            L_prime[i], L_prime[j],
                            L_prime[k], L_prime[l]);
                    if (strcmp(concat, target) == 0) return true;
                }
            }
        }
    }
    return false; // s not found
}

```

