

EXERCISES

CHAPTER 7

SEAN LI ¹

1. Redacted

Reference - Propositional Logic in λC

$$\frac{A \quad \neg A}{\perp} \perp\text{I or } \neg\text{E} \quad \frac{\perp}{A} \perp\text{E} \quad \frac{A \quad B}{A \wedge B} \wedge\text{I} \quad \frac{A \wedge B}{A} \wedge\text{EL}$$

$$\frac{A \wedge B}{B} \wedge\text{ER} \quad \frac{a}{a \vee b} \vee\text{IL} \quad \frac{b}{a \vee b} \vee\text{IR} \quad \frac{A \Rightarrow B \quad A}{B} \Rightarrow\text{E}$$

$$\frac{A \vee B \quad A \rightarrow C \quad B \rightarrow C}{C} \vee\text{E} \quad \frac{a \in S \quad P(a)}{\exists a \in S, P(a)} \exists\text{I}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} 1. \quad A \\ 2. \quad \left| \begin{array}{l} \dots \\ 3. \quad \perp \end{array} \right. \\ \hline \neg A \end{array} \neg\text{I} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1. \quad A \\ 2. \quad \left| \begin{array}{l} \dots \\ 3. \quad B \end{array} \right. \\ \hline A \Rightarrow B \end{array} \Rightarrow\text{I} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1. \quad a \in S \\ 2. \quad \left| \begin{array}{l} \dots \\ 3. \quad P(a) \end{array} \right. \\ \hline \forall a \in S, P(a) \end{array} \forall\text{I}$$

$$\frac{\exists x \in S, P(x) \quad \forall x \in S, (P(x) \Rightarrow A)}{A} \exists\text{E} \quad \frac{a \in S \quad \forall x \in S, P(x)}{P(a)} \forall\text{E}$$

$$\frac{}{\neg\neg A \Rightarrow A} \text{DN (Classical)} \quad \frac{}{A \vee \neg A} \text{ET (Classical)}$$

Reference - 2nd Encoding for Propositional Logic

| Proposition | Minimal Propositional Logic |
|-------------------------|---|
| \perp | $\forall A, A$ |
| $A \Rightarrow B$ | $A \Rightarrow B$ |
| $\neg A$ | $A \Rightarrow \perp$ |
| $A \wedge B$ | $\forall C, (A \Rightarrow B \Rightarrow C) \Rightarrow C$ |
| $A \vee B$ | $\forall C, (A \Rightarrow C) \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow C) \Rightarrow C$ |
| $\forall a \in S, P(a)$ | $\forall a \in S. P(a)$ |
| $\exists a \in S, P(a)$ | $\forall \alpha, (\forall a \in S, (P(a) \Rightarrow \alpha)) \Rightarrow \alpha$ |

Problem

(7.1 a) Prove in natural deduction and λC the tautology

$$B \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow B)$$

Solution.

Natural Deduction.

1. B
2. $\left| \begin{array}{l} A \\ \left| \begin{array}{l} B \\ \hline A \Rightarrow B \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right. \Rightarrow \mathbf{I}$
- 3.
- 4.
5. $B \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow \mathbf{I}$

■

λC . Assuming context $\Gamma \equiv A : *, B : *$. By the PAT paradigm the proof is equivalent to an inhabitant of $B \rightarrow A \rightarrow B$.

1. $A : *, B : *$
2. $\left| \begin{array}{l} x : B \\ \left| \begin{array}{l} y : A \\ \left| \begin{array}{l} x : B \\ \hline \lambda y : A. x : A \rightarrow B \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right. \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{Weak} \\ \mathbf{4 Abst} \end{array}$
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
6. $\left| \begin{array}{l} \lambda x : B. \lambda y : A. x : B \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \end{array} \right. \mathbf{5 Abst}$

■

Problem

(7.1 b) Prove in natural deduction and λC the tautology

$$\neg A \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow B)$$

Solution.

Natural Deduction.

1. $\neg A$
2. $\begin{array}{|l} A \end{array}$
3. $\begin{array}{|l} \neg A \end{array}$
4. $\begin{array}{|l} A \end{array}$
5. $\begin{array}{|l} \perp \end{array} \quad \perp \text{I}$
6. $\begin{array}{|l} B \end{array} \quad \perp \text{E}$
7. $\begin{array}{|l} A \Rightarrow B \end{array} \quad \Rightarrow \text{I}$
8. $\neg A \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow B) \quad \Rightarrow \text{I}$

■

λC . Assuming context $\Gamma \equiv A : *, B : *$. By the PAT paradigm the proof is equivalent to an inhabitant of $(A \rightarrow \perp) \rightarrow A \rightarrow B$.

1. $A : *, B : *$
2. $\begin{array}{|l} x : \neg A \end{array}$
3. $\begin{array}{|l} y : A \end{array}$
4. $\begin{array}{|l} x y : \Pi \alpha : * . \alpha \end{array} \quad \mathbf{2,3 \text{ App (Neg Elim)}}$
5. $\begin{array}{|l} x y B : B \end{array} \quad \mathbf{4,1 \text{ App (Ex Falso)}}$
6. $\begin{array}{|l} \lambda y : A . x y B : A \rightarrow B \end{array} \quad \mathbf{5 \text{ Abst}}$
7. $\begin{array}{|l} \lambda x : \neg A . \lambda y : A . x y B : \neg A \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \end{array} \quad \mathbf{6 \text{ Abst}}$

■

Problem

(7.1 c) Prove in natural deduction and λC the tautology

$$(A \Rightarrow \neg B) \Rightarrow ((A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow \neg A)$$

Solution.

Natural Deduction.

1. $A \Rightarrow \neg B$
2. $\begin{array}{|l} A \Rightarrow B \end{array}$
3. $\begin{array}{|l} A \end{array}$
4. $\begin{array}{|l} \neg B \end{array} \quad \mathbf{1,3 \Rightarrow E}$
5. $\begin{array}{|l} B \end{array} \quad \mathbf{2,3 \Rightarrow E}$
6. $\begin{array}{|l} \perp \end{array} \quad \mathbf{5,4 \perp I}$
7. $\begin{array}{|l} \neg A \end{array} \quad \mathbf{3,6 \neg I}$
8. $\begin{array}{|l} (A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow \neg A \end{array} \quad \mathbf{2,7 \Rightarrow I}$
9. $(A \Rightarrow \neg B) \Rightarrow ((A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow \neg A) \quad \mathbf{1,8 \Rightarrow I}$

■

λC . Assuming context $\Gamma \equiv A : *, B : *$. The proof should be equivalent to an inhabitant of $(A \rightarrow B \rightarrow \perp) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow A \rightarrow \perp$.

1. $A : *, B : *$
2. $\begin{array}{|l} h : A \rightarrow \neg B \end{array}$
3. $\begin{array}{|l} q : A \rightarrow B \end{array}$
4. $\begin{array}{|l} a : A \end{array}$
5. $\begin{array}{|l} q a : B \end{array} \quad \mathbf{3,4 App}$
6. $\begin{array}{|l} h a : B \rightarrow \perp \end{array} \quad \mathbf{2,4 App}$
7. $\begin{array}{|l} h a (q a) : \perp \end{array} \quad \mathbf{6,5 App (Neg Elim)}$
8. $\begin{array}{|l} \lambda a : A . h a (q a) : \neg A \end{array} \quad \mathbf{7 Abst (Neg Intro)}$
9. $\begin{array}{|l} \lambda q : A \rightarrow B . \lambda a : A . h a (q a) : A \rightarrow B \rightarrow \neg A \end{array} \quad \mathbf{8 Abst}$
10. $\begin{array}{|l} \lambda h : A \rightarrow \neg B . \lambda q : A \rightarrow B . \lambda a : A . h a (q a) : (A \rightarrow \neg B) \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow \neg A \end{array} \quad \mathbf{9 Abst}$

■

Problem

(7.1 d) Prove in natural deduction and λC the tautology

$$\neg(A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow \neg B$$

Solution.

Natural Deduction.

$$\begin{array}{ll}
1. & \neg(A \Rightarrow B) \\
2. & \begin{array}{|l} B \\ \hline \end{array} \\
3. & \begin{array}{|ll} & A \\ \hline \end{array} \\
4. & \begin{array}{|lll} & & B \\ \hline \end{array} \\
5. & A \Rightarrow B & 3,4 \Rightarrow \mathbf{I} \\
6. & \perp & 5,1 \perp \mathbf{I} \\
7. & \neg B & 6 \neg \mathbf{I} \\
8. & \neg(A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow \neg B & 1,7 \Rightarrow \mathbf{I}
\end{array}$$

■

λC . Assuming context $\Gamma \equiv A : *, B : *$. The proof should be equivalent to an inhabitant of $((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow \perp) \rightarrow B \rightarrow \perp$.

$$\begin{array}{ll}
1. & n : \neg(A \rightarrow B) \\
2. & \begin{array}{|l} b : B \\ \hline \end{array} \\
3. & \begin{array}{|ll} & a : A \\ \hline \end{array} \\
4. & \begin{array}{|lll} & & b : B \\ \hline \end{array} & \mathbf{Weak} \\
5. & \lambda a : A . b : A \rightarrow B & \mathbf{4 Abst} \\
6. & n (\lambda a : A . b) : \perp & \mathbf{1,5 App (Neg Elim)} \\
7. & \lambda b : B . n (\lambda a : A . b) : \neg B & \mathbf{6 Abst (Neg Intro)} \\
8. &
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\lambda n : \neg(A \rightarrow B) . \lambda b : B . n (\lambda a : A . b) & \\
: \neg(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow \neg B & \mathbf{7 Abst}
\end{array}$$

■

Problem

(7.2) Formulate the double negation law as an axiom in λC , and prove the following tautology in λC with DN.

$$(\neg A \Rightarrow A) \Rightarrow A$$

Solution. The rule

$$\frac{}{\neg \neg A \Rightarrow A} \text{DN-E}$$

Could be translated into lambda calculus as

$$\Pi A : * . ((A \rightarrow \perp) \rightarrow \perp) \rightarrow A$$

Proof. Assume context $\Gamma \equiv A : *$.

| | | |
|----|---|--------------------------------|
| 1. | $A : *$ | |
| 2. | $h : \neg A \rightarrow A$ | |
| 3. | $x : \neg A$ | |
| 4. | $h x : A$ | 2,3 App |
| 5. | $x (h x) : \perp$ | 3,4 App (Contradiction) |
| 6. | $\lambda x : \neg A . x (h x) : \neg \neg A$ | 5 Abst (Neg Intro) |
| 7. | $\text{DN } A : \neg \neg A \rightarrow A$ | 1,1 App |
| 8. | $\text{DN } A (\lambda x : \neg A . x (h x)) : A$ | App (Axiom DN) |
| 9. | $\lambda h : \neg A \rightarrow A . \text{DN } A (\lambda x : \neg A . x (h x)) : (\neg A \rightarrow A) \rightarrow A$ | 8 Abst |

■

Problem

(7.3 a) Prove the following tautology in classical logic using λC

$$(A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow (\neg B \Rightarrow \neg A)$$

Solution. Assume context $\Gamma \equiv A : *, B : *$. It suffices the proof to find an inhabitant of type

$$(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (\neg B \rightarrow \neg A)$$

Proof.

| | | |
|-----|---|--------------------------------|
| 1. | $A : *, B : *$ | |
| 2. | $h : A \rightarrow B$ | |
| 3. | $b : \neg B$ | |
| 4. | $a : A$ | |
| 5. | $h a : B$ | 5,2 App |
| 6. | $b (h a) : \perp$ | 6,3 App (Contradiction) |
| 7. | $b (h a) (\neg A) : \neg A$ | 7,5 App (Ex Falso) |
| 8. | $\lambda a : A . b (h a) (\neg A) : A \rightarrow \neg A$ | 7 Abst |
| 9. | $a : \neg A$ | |
| 10. | $a : \neg A$ | Var |

| | | |
|-----|--|-----------------------|
| 11. | $\lambda a : \neg A . a : (\neg A \rightarrow \neg A)$ | 10 Abst |
| 12. | $\text{ET } A : A \vee \neg A$ | App (Axiom ET) |
| | $\text{ET } A (\neg A) : (A \rightarrow \neg A) \rightarrow$ | |
| 13. | $(\neg A \rightarrow \neg A) \rightarrow \neg A$ | 12 App |
| | $\text{ET } A (\neg A)(\lambda a : A . b (h a)(\neg A)) :$ | |
| 14. | $(\neg A \rightarrow \neg A) \rightarrow \neg A$ | 13,8 App |
| | $\text{ET } A (\neg A)$ | |
| | $(\lambda a : A . b (h a)(\neg A))$ | |
| 15. | $(\lambda a : \neg A . a) : \neg A$ | 14,11 App |
| | $\lambda b : \neg B . \text{ET } A (\neg A)$ | |
| | $(\lambda a : A . b (h a)(\neg A))$ | |
| 16. | $(\lambda a : \neg A . a) : \neg B \rightarrow \neg A$ | 15 Abst |
| | $\lambda h : A \rightarrow B . \lambda b : \neg B . \text{ET } A (\neg A)$ | |
| | $(\lambda a : A . b (h a)(\neg A))$ | |
| | $(\lambda a : \neg A . a) :$ | |
| 17. | $(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow \neg B \rightarrow \neg A$ | 16 Abst |

■

Problem

(7.3 b) Prove the following tautology in classical logic using λC

$$(\neg B \Rightarrow \neg A) \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow B)$$

Solution. Assume context $\Gamma \equiv A : *, B : *$. It suffices the proof to find an inhabitant of type

$$(\neg B \rightarrow \neg A) \rightarrow A \rightarrow B$$

Proof.

| | | |
|----|---------------------------------|-------------|
| 1. | $A : *, B : *$ | |
| 2. | $h : \neg B \rightarrow \neg A$ | |
| 3. | $a : A$ | |
| 4. | $b : B$ | |
| 5. | $b : B$ | Weak |

| | | |
|-----|--|---------------------------|
| 6. | $\lambda b : B . b : B \rightarrow B$ | |
| 7. | $b : \neg B$ | |
| 8. | $h b : \neg A$ | 2,7 App |
| 9. | $h b a : \perp$ | 8,2 App (Neg Elim) |
| 10. | $h b a B : B$ | 9 App (Ex Falso) |
| 11. | $\lambda b : \neg B . h b a B : \neg B \rightarrow B$ | 10 Abst |
| 12. | $ET B : B \vee \neg B$ | 1 App (Axiom ET) |
| 13. | $ET B B : (B \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (\neg B \rightarrow B) \rightarrow B$ | 12,1 App |
| 14. | $ET B B (\lambda b : B . b) : (\neg B \rightarrow B) \rightarrow B$ | 13,6 App |
| 15. | $ET B B (\lambda b : B . b)(\lambda b : \neg B . h b a B) : B$ | 14,11 App |
| 16. | $\lambda a : A . ET B B (\lambda b : B . b)$ $(\lambda b : \neg B . h b a B) : A \rightarrow B$ | 15 Abst |
| 17. | $\lambda h : \neg B \rightarrow \neg A . \lambda a : A .$ $ET B B (\lambda b : B . b)(\lambda b : \neg B . h b a B)$ $: (\neg B \rightarrow \neg A) \rightarrow A \rightarrow B$ | 16 Abst |

■