EXCERCISES

CHAPTER 2

SEAN LI [1]

1. Reducted

> **Problem**
>
> Type the following terms
>
> $$xxy \quad xyy \quad xyx \quad x(xy) \quad x(yx)$$

Solution. The first term cannot be typed.

Proof. $xxy = (xx)y$. Therefore, x is a function type, denote it as $\tau \to \sigma$. By the application rule, a subterm applied to x must be of τ, which means that the application xx is not legally typed. ∎

The second one is typable where $x : \tau \to \tau \to \sigma$ and $y : \tau$.

a	1.	$x : \tau \to \tau \to \sigma$	**ctx**
b	2.	$y : \tau$	**ctx**
1	3.	$xy : \tau \to \sigma$	**T-App (a)**
c	4.	$xyy : \sigma$	**T-App (1)**

The third term is not typable.

Proof. Assume $xyx = (xy)x$ is typable. Therefore, $x : \tau$ where $\tau = \sigma \to \tau \to \alpha$ and $y : \sigma$. One can construct an infinite chain of function type by substituting τ: $\tau = \sigma \to (\sigma \to (\sigma \to ... \to \alpha) \to \alpha) \to \alpha$. By induction, it can be proven that only lambda abstractions can construct function types, meaning that the term is of form

$$(\lambda n : \tau.\lambda m : \tau....(\lambda a : \sigma.\lambda b : \sigma....))y(\lambda n : \tau.\lambda m : \tau....(\lambda a : \sigma.\lambda b : \sigma....))$$

meaning that an infinite reduction path is needed. This is impossible in STLC. ∎

The fourth type is typable where $x : (\tau \to \tau)$ and $y : \tau$.

a	1.	$x : \tau \to \tau$	**ctx**
b	2.	$y : \tau$	**ctx**
1	3.	$\quad xy : \tau$	**T-App (b) on (a)**
2	4.	$x(xy) : \tau$	**T-App (1) on (a)**

The fifth term is typable where $x : (\tau \to \sigma)$ and $y : (\tau \to \sigma) \to \tau$:

a	1.	$x : \tau \to \sigma$	**ctx**
b	2.	$y : (\tau \to \sigma) \to \tau$	**ctx**
1	3.	$\quad yx : \tau$	**T-App (a) on (b)**
2	4.	$x(yx) : \sigma$	**T-App (1) on (a)**

Problem

Find types for zero, one, and two

Solution. Term for zero is

$$\text{zero} := \lambda fx.x$$

Here x is only used as a

$$\text{zero} := \lambda f : \alpha.\lambda x : \beta.x$$

Type derivation shown as below:

a	1.	$f : \alpha$	**bind**
b	2.	$x : \beta$	**bind**
1	3.	$\quad x : \beta$	**T-Var (b)**
2	4.	$\lambda x.x : \beta \to \beta$	**T-Abst (1)**
3	5.	$\lambda f : \alpha.x : \beta.x : \alpha \to \beta \to \beta$	**T-Abst (2)**

Term for one is

$$\text{one} := \lambda fx.fx$$

Let f be an arbitrary function type that consumes x

$$\text{one} := \lambda f : \alpha \to \beta.x : \alpha.fx$$

Type derivation shown as below

a	1.	$f : \alpha \to \beta$	**bind**
b	2.	$x : \alpha$	**bind**
1	3.	$fx : \beta$	**T-App (b) on a**
2	4.	$\lambda x.fx : \alpha \to \beta$	**T-Abst (1)**
3	5.	$\lambda f : \alpha \to \beta.x : \alpha.fx : (\alpha \to \beta) \to \alpha \to \beta$	**T-Abst (2)**

Same type signatures can be given to two

$$\text{two} := \lambda f : \alpha \to \beta.\lambda x : \alpha.ffx$$

Type derivation shown as below

a	1.	$f : \alpha \to \beta$	**bind**
b	2.	$x : \alpha$	**bind**
1	3.	$fx : \beta$	**T-App (b) on (a)**
2	4.	$ffx : \beta$	**T-App (2) on (b)**
3	5.	$\lambda x.ffx : \alpha \to \beta$	**T-Abst (2)**
4	6.	$\lambda f : \alpha \to \beta.x : \alpha.ffx : (\alpha \to \beta) \to \alpha \to \beta$	**T-Abst (3)**

Problem

Find types for

$$K := \lambda xy.x$$
$$S := \lambda xyz.xz(yz)$$

Solution. There are no occurences of application in K's subterms. Therefore all its binding variables could be given a simple base type.

$$K := \lambda x : \alpha.\lambda y : \beta.x$$

Type derivation shown as below

a	1.	$x : \alpha$	**bind**
b	2.	$y : \beta$	**bind**
1	3.	$x : \alpha$	**T-Var**
2	4.	$\lambda y : \beta.x : \beta \to \alpha$	**T-Abst on (1)**
3	5.	$\lambda x : \alpha.\lambda y : \beta.x : \alpha \to \beta \to \alpha$	**T-Abst on (2)**

For the S combinator, no term was applied to z. Therefore it can be given a simple base type α. As z was applied to y, it implies that $y : \alpha \to \beta$ for some output type β. As x takes z and (yz), it must be of type $\alpha \to \beta \to \delta$.

$$S := \lambda x : \alpha \to \beta \to \delta.\lambda y : \alpha \to \beta.\lambda z.\alpha.xz(yz)$$

Complete type derivation shown as below:

a 1. $x : \alpha \to \beta \to \delta$

bind

b 2. $\bigm| y : \alpha \to \beta$

bind

c 3. $\bigm| \bigm| z : \alpha$

bind

1   4. $\bigm| \bigm| \bigm| yz : \beta$

T-App (c) on (b)

2   5. $\bigm| \bigm| \bigm| xz : \beta \to \delta$

T-App (c) on (a)

3   6. $\bigm| \bigm| \underline{xz(yz) : \delta}$

T-App (1) on (2)

4   7. $\bigm| \bigm| \underline{\lambda z : \alpha.xz(yz) : \alpha \to \delta}$

T-Abstr on (3)

5   8. $\bigm| \underline{\lambda y : \alpha \to \beta.\lambda z.\alpha.xz(yz) : (\alpha \to \beta) \to \alpha \to \delta}$

T-Abstr on (4)

6   9. $\lambda x : \alpha \to \beta \to \delta.\lambda y : \alpha \to \beta.\lambda z : \alpha.xz(yz) : (\alpha \to \beta \to \delta) \to (\alpha \to \beta) \to \alpha \to \delta$

T-Abstr on (5)

Problem

Type the bound variables

$$\lambda xyz.x(yz)$$
$$\lambda xyz.y(xz)z$$

Solution. For the first term, z had nothing applied to it. Therefore it could be given a simple base type α. z was applied to y, therefore $y : \alpha \to \beta$ to satisfy the application rule. Because the application yielded a type of β, by the application rule $x : \beta \to \delta$ for some type δ.

$$\lambda x : \beta \to \delta.\lambda y : \alpha \to \beta.\lambda z : \alpha.x(yz)$$

Complete type derivation shown below

a 1. $x : \beta \to \delta$

bind

b 2. $\quad |\ y : \alpha \to \beta$

bind

c 3. $\quad |\ |\ z : \alpha$

bind

1 4. $\quad |\ |\ |\ yz : \beta$

T-App (c) on (b)

2 5. $\quad |\ |\ |\ x(yz) : \delta$

T-App (1) on (a)

3 6. $\quad |\ |\ \lambda z : \alpha.x(yz) : \alpha \to \delta$

T-Abst on (2)

4 7. $\quad |\ \lambda y : \alpha \to \beta.\lambda z : \alpha.x(yz) : (\alpha \to \beta) \to \alpha \to \delta$

T-Abst on (3)

5 8. $\lambda x : \beta \to \delta.\lambda y : \alpha \to \beta.\lambda z : \alpha.x(yz) : (\beta \to \delta) \to (\alpha \to \beta) \to \alpha \to \delta$

T-Abst on (4)

In the second term z could still be given a simple base type $z : \alpha$. Therefore $x : \alpha \to \beta$ for some type β. y takes $xz : \beta$ and $z : \alpha$, therefore it is of type $y : \beta \to \alpha \to \delta$ for some δ.

$$\lambda x : \alpha \to \beta.\lambda y : \beta \to \alpha \to \delta.\lambda z : \alpha.y(xz)z$$

. Complete type derivation shown below

a 1. $x : \alpha \to \beta$

bind

b 2. $\quad |\ y : \beta \to \alpha \to \delta$

bind

c 3. $\quad |\ |\ z : \alpha$

bind

1 4. $\quad |\ |\ |\ xz : \beta$

T-App (c) on (b)

2   5. $\quad\Big|\ \Big|\ \Big|\ y(xz) : \alpha \to \delta$

T-App (1) on (b)

3   6. $\quad\Big|\ \Big|\ \underline{\Big|\ y(xz)z : \delta}$

T-App (c) on (2)

4   7. $\quad\Big|\ \underline{\Big|\ \lambda z : \alpha.y(xz)z : \alpha \to \delta}$

T-Abst on (3)

5   8. $\quad\underline{\Big|\ \lambda y : \beta \to \alpha \to \delta.\lambda z.y(xz)z : (\beta \to \alpha \to \delta) \to \alpha \to \delta}$

T-Abst on (4)

6   9. $\quad \lambda x : \alpha \to \beta.\lambda y : \beta \to \alpha \to \delta.\lambda z.y(xz)z : (\alpha \to \beta) \to (\beta \to \alpha \to \delta) \to \alpha \to \delta$

T-Abst on (5)

<div style="background:#2a8a8a">

Problem

</div>

Try to type the following terms, and prove if not typable.

$$\lambda xy.x(\lambda z.y)y$$
$$\lambda xy.x(\lambda z.x)y.$$

Solution.   The first term is trivially typable.

a	1.	$x : (\delta \to \alpha) \to \alpha \to \beta$	**bind**
b	2.	$y : \alpha$	**bind**
1	3.	$x : (\delta \to \alpha) \to \alpha \to \beta$	**T-Var**
c	4.	$z : \delta$	**bind**
2	5.	$y : \alpha$	**T-Var**
3	6.	$\lambda z : \delta.y : \delta \to \alpha$	**T-Abst on (1)**
4	7.	$x(\lambda z : \delta.y) : \alpha \to \beta$	**T-App (3) on (1)**
5	8.	$x(\lambda z : \delta.y)y : \beta$	**T-App (b) on (4)**
6	9.	$\lambda y : \alpha.x(\lambda z : \delta.y)y : \alpha \to \beta$	**T-Abst on (5)**
7	10.		

$\lambda x : ((\delta \to \alpha) \to \alpha \to \beta)\lambda y : \alpha.x(\lambda z : \delta.y)y$

$\qquad : ((\delta \to \alpha) \to \alpha \to \beta) \to \alpha \to \beta$ **T-Abst on (5)**

The second term is not typable in STLC.

Proof. By induction on the type inference rule that constructed the type judgement for subterm $x(\lambda z.x)$. Because the term is an application, the only rule that applies is the application rule.

We denote the context inside the abstraction as Γ'. Suppose $\mathcal{J} \equiv \Gamma' \vdash x(\lambda z.x) : \tau$. By the inference rule of application, x must be a function type that accepts the type of $(\lambda z.x)$. Let $\Gamma' \vdash z : \alpha$, and type of x as τ_x. Therefore, $\Gamma' \vdash \lambda z : \alpha.x : \alpha \rightarrow \tau_x$. Therefore, $\tau_x = (\alpha \rightarrow \tau_x) \rightarrow \tau$. This is a recursive type, which is not constructable as it requires infinitely nested lambda abstractions that requires infinite reduction paths to reach a normal form. ∎

Problem

Prove the pretyped term below is legal.

$$\lambda x : ((\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \alpha).x(\lambda z : \alpha.y)$$

Using the tree format and the flag format.

Solution. We suppose a context $\Gamma \vdash y : \beta$ that obviously exists.

Proof.

$$
\dfrac{
  \dfrac{}{x : (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \alpha}\text{(Bound)} \quad
  \dfrac{\dfrac{\Gamma, z : \alpha \vdash y : \beta}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda z : \alpha.y) : \alpha \rightarrow \beta}\text{(T-Abst)}}{\Gamma, x : (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \alpha \vdash (x(\lambda z : \alpha.y)) : \alpha}\text{(T-App)}
}{
  \Gamma \vdash \lambda x : ((\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \alpha).x(\lambda z : \alpha.y) : ((\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \alpha
}\text{(T-Abst)}
$$

A valid type could be given to the term. Therefore, the term is typable under an existing context. ∎

The flag derivation is given below:

(a)	1.	$x : (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \alpha$	**Bound**
(b)	2.	$z : \alpha$	**Bound**
(1)	3.	$y : \beta$	$\dashv \Gamma$
(2)	4.	$(\lambda z : \alpha.y) : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$	**T-Abst on (1)**
(3)	5.	$x(\lambda z : \alpha.y) : \beta$	**T-App (2) on (a)**
(4)	6.		

$\lambda x : ((\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \beta).x(\lambda z : \alpha.y)$

$\qquad : (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \alpha$ **T-Abst on (3)**

Problem

Derive

$$f : A \to B \land g : B \to C \vdash g \circ f : A \to C$$

Using the rules

$$\frac{f : A \to B,\, c \in A}{f(c) \in B}\ \text{(F-App)} \qquad \frac{\forall x \in A,\, f(x) \in B}{f : A \to B}\ \text{(F-Abst)}$$