Audit Report

Core Unit Name: TechOps-001 Audit ID: 2022-09-TechOps-001

Audit Cycle: 2022-09/2

Audit Result: Approved with comments

Audit Date: 11-08-2022 Submission Date: 10-11-2022

Facilitator contact: @eskp

Core Unit Clarification Received on Date: 11-04-2022

 $\underline{Transparency.Report: https://github.com/MakerOps/tech-001-transparency-reporting/blob/main/2022-09.md}$ MIP40c3-SP53: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp53-techops-core-unit-dai-budget/12072



MIP40c3-SP54: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp54-techops-core-unit-mkr-budget/12079					
Audit Question		Audit Assessment	Core Unit's Clarification	Immediate CU Action to improve transparency report	Medium Term CU Action to improve transparency report
Audit Questions to the Structure of the transparency report and consitency with MIP40c3-SP53.					
Are the sections in the transparency report in the right order: Actuals, Forecast, MKR vesting and 0.1 Transfers?		Yes.			
Does the actual section include breakdowns per Budget Category, Forecast, Actuals, Difference and Payments?		Yes.			
Does the budget forecast cover 3 months with summary and budget category breakdown?		Yes.			
Does the budget forecast summary and breakdown contain the quarterly budget caps for comparison?		Yes.			
Does the budget forecast summary contain the Global/total budget cap?		Yes.			
Are the budget forecast categories in the transparency report the same as in the MIP40c3-SP53?		Yes.			
Is the Multi-sig operational wallet setup the same as described in MIP40c3-SP53?	✓ □	Yes: TechOps/TOCU-001 Operational Wallet quorum (2:2) Controlled by the TechOps Core Unit team Eth Address: 0x1a3DA79ee7dB30466cA752DE6a75DEf5e635b2f6 Signers: eth:0x460C77efe539166F1C4a6cE2512F3cBF451fFbc5 eth:0x660C77efe539166F1C4a6cE2512F3cBF451fFbc5			
Is the TechOps operational wallet controlled by more than 1 signer?		Yes, the TechOps operational wallet has a current policy of 2 out of 2 signers			
Has the TechOps operational wallet received the funds requested in the last Budget Statement?		Yes. TechOps received the requested 292,992.99 DAI on September 23rd, 2022. https://etherscan.io/address/0x2dC0420A736D1F40893B9481D8968F4D742			
Is the Multi-sig auditor wallet setup the same as described in the Techops Auditor Flow?		Yes: Techops Auditor Wallet quorum (2:2) Controlled by the SES Core Unit. Address: eth:0x2dC0420A736D1F40893B9481D8968E4D7424bC0B Signers: ACCOUNTANT ROle Wallet 0xA2A855Ac8D2a92e8A5a437690875261535c8320C ACCOUNTANT RO			
Has the Auditor wallet received the initial funds from the protocol?		Yes. The TechOps Auditor wallet received the initial funds of 1,069,250 DAI on Jan 31, 2022.			
Has the TechOps Auditor wallet sufficient funds to send to the TechOps operational wallet?		Yes. The TechOps Auditor wallet received 519,879 on September 23rd, 2022 and has a balance of 414,399.97 DAI on November 3rd, 2022.			
Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 1. Last Month Actuals -					
Is the actuals budget category breakdown the same as in the MIP40c3-SP53?		Yes, except the budget category Gas fees and Bank fees, these are new budget categories.			
Is the transparency report actual's forecast the same as the last published forecast for the same month?		Yes.			
Are the differences between forecast and actuals explained in the transparency report?		Yes.			
Are the TechOps CU actual expenses within the montly approved MIP40c3-SP53 buget cap?		Yes.			
Are the TechOps CU actual expenses within the Global/total MIP40c3-SP39 budget cap?					
1.6 Has the TechOps CU Compensation fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?		No. The Compensation actuals are above the monthly approved budget cap but within the global budget cap.			

11/8/2022 12:34:10

Audit Report

Core Unit Name: TechOps-001 Audit ID: 2022-09-TechOps-001

Audit Cycle: 2022-09/2

Audit Result: Approved with comments

Audit Date: 11-08-2022

Submission Date: 10-11-2022 Facilitator contact: @eskp

Core Unit Clarification Received on Date: 11-04-2022

 $\underline{Transparency.Report: https://github.com/MakerOps/tech-001-transparency-reporting/blob/main/2022-09.md}$

MIP40c3-SP53: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp53-techops-core-unit-dai-budget/12072



MIP40c3-SP54: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp54-techops-core-unit-mkr-budget/12079					
g Audit Question		Audit Assessment	Core Unit's Clarification	Immediate CU Action to improve transparency report	Medium Term CU Action to improve transparency report
Has the TechOps CU Cloud Infrastructure fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?] _	Yes, the forecast is 20,000, and the actual expense is 18,074.2 this gives a positive difference of 1,380.08.	TechOps explains in the budget statement that the difference is due to "AWS bills depend on usage that month."		
Has the TechOps CU Tools` fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?) _	Yes, the forecast is 3,000, and the actual expense is 3,231.77 this gives a negative difference of 231.77.	TechOps explained in the budget statement that the tools` expenses depend on its monthly usage.		
Has the TechOps CU Accounting fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?) 0	No.	TechOps explains in the budget statement that the difference is due to "Sent more funds to Accountable than expected."		
Has the TechOps CU Legal Consultancy fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?		Yes. The forecast is 1,000, and the actual expense is 108, this gives a positive difference of 892.	TechOps expected to be charged more for legal services.		
Has the TechOps CU Gas fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals? 1.11		Yes, the forecast is 100 and the actual expense is 0.	TechOps explains in the budget statement that the difference is due to "No gas costs due to big gas investment the previous month."		Review the reason for the diff.
Has the TechOps CU Bank fees any significant deviation between forecast and actuals?					
Has the TechOps CU Travel any significant deviation between the forecast and the actuals?		The travel budget category is above the monthly approved budget cap.	Techops explained that this is due to their planned offsite.		
Has the TechOps CU Recruiting fees any significant deviation between the forecast and the actuals?		No.			
Has the TechOps CU any significant deviation between the total actuals and the total payments?		Yes, the total actuals total amount is 176,358.99 and the total payment is 187,806.31 DAI.	The difference is due to " Difference between Actuals total and Payments total due to		
Has the TechOps CU presented the correct calculation of amounts for the actuals, forecast and difference? 1.16		Yes, the forecast is 0 and the actual expense is 125.10.	The difference is due to "Expected lower bank fees."		Review the reason for the diff.
Has the TechOps CU budget statement any budget category that seems inconsistent and needs further 1.17 investigation?	1 0	No.			
Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 2. Budget Forecast -					
Does the transparency report display the summary of the MIP40c3-SP53 approved caps for both total and budget categories?) 🗆	Yes.			
Are the monthly and quarterly budget caps (both totals and per category) the same as in the MIP40c3-537		TechOps has forecasted only the relevant budget categories for October, November and December 2022.			
Are the monthly forecast totals within the MIP40c3-53 monthly budget caps?		The compensation forecast and the accounting budget categories are above the qly budget cap but within the global budget cap.			
is the quarterly forecast within the bounds of the MIP40c3-39 budget caps?		Yes.			
Has the TechOps CU presented the correct calculation of amounts in the forecast table?		Yes. However the Qly total sum of the budget cap needs to be updated.			Update the budget cap total for July, Aug and Sep 2022.
Audit Questions to Section Transparency Report - Section 3. MKR Vesting Overview -					
Has the TechOps CU indicated the number of FTE in the transparency report's MKR vesting overview?		Yes.			
Has the MKR vesting overview the same FTE comparing it to the MIP40c3-SP38? 3.2		No. The FTE has increased from 4.7 to 5.7 due to new hires.			
Are the MKR vesting dates the same as in the MIP40c3-SP38?		No, the vesting dates are changed for better alignment and new vesting dates are added due to new hires.			
Has TechOps CU changed the MKR amounts comparing it to the MIP40c3-SP38?		Yes. Techops has changed the MKR amounts due to calculation correction and new hires.			

11/8/2022 12:34:10

Audit Report	ort
--------------	-----

Core Unit Name: TechOps-001 Audit ID: 2022-09-TechOps-001

Audit Cycle: 2022-09/2

Audit Result: Approved with comments

Audit Date: 11-08-2022

Submission Date: 10-11-2022

Facilitator contact: @eskp

Core Unit Clarification Received on Date: 11-04-2022 $\underline{Transparency.Report: https://github.com/MakerOps/tech-001-transparency-reporting/blob/main/2022-09.md}$

MIP40c3-SP53: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp53-techops-core-unit-dai-budget/12072

MIP	MIP40c3-SP54: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp54-techops-core-unit-mkr-budget/12079								
#	Audit Question					Audit Assessment	Core Unit's Clarification	Immediate CU Action to improve transparency report	Medium Term CU Action to improve transparency report
3.	Has TechOps CU explained the MKR change in the transparency report?	V				Yes. Techops explained the changes in the August 2022 Budget statement.	"Correction exercise: we did correction exercise based on our MIP submission: previosly we used Lock-in price (agreed in MIP in December 2021)\$2,852.87 for MKR and now we used MKR price floor (p_floor - price floor is lowered by Max Repricing Drop = 30% also agreed in our MIP) \$1,997.01."		
3.	Other Observations		~			Yes. Techops should publish the expenses data in the MakerDAO Ecosystem Dashboard after corrections have taken place in Github.			TechOps should use the standard budget categories for the budget section in the October 2022 Budget report.
	Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 4. Transfers -								
4.	Does the TechOps operational wallet balances match the transparency report current balance in the calculation made for the new transfer?	\checkmark				Yes, the TechOps operational wallet balance is 200,916.29.			
4.	Are the payments from the operational wallet the same as in the actual section payments?	~				Yes, the total payment is 187,806.31 DAI. https://etherscan.io/tx/0xcd65bc1fe76b4f5e956b8f0f058783442fa2da58738	3		
4.	Are the values in the TechOps Auditor wallet table correct?	~				Yes. The auditor wallet received 1,589,129 DAI since inception from the protocol and 1 DAI from an external wallet for the wallet test. The transfer amount to the operational wallet is 1,174,730.03 DAI.			
4.	Does the TechOps Auditor wallet balance match the transparency report auditor current balance?	~				Yes. The auditor wallet balance in the budget statement is 414,399.97 DAI, and the wallet balance is 414,399.97 DAI on November 3rd, 2022.			

Approved with comments

Needs action before approval

11/8/2022 12:34:10 3