Audit Report

Core Unit Name: TechOps-001 Audit ID: 2022-06-TechOps-001 Audit Cycle: 2022-06/2 Audit Result: Approved Audit Date: 07-25-2022

Submission Date: 07-8-2022

Facilitator contact: @eskp

Core Unit Clarification Received on Date:

 $\underline{\textbf{Transparency Report: https://github.com/MakerOps/tech-001-transparency-reporting/blob/main/2022-06.md}$

 $\underline{\textbf{MIP40c3-SP53:}}\ https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp53-techops-core-unit-dai-budget/12072}$

MIP40c3-SP54: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp54-techops-core-unit-mkr-budget/12079



MIP40c3-SP54: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp54-techops-core-unit-mkr-budget/12079							
Audit Question				Audit Assessment	Core Unit's Clarification	Immediate CU Action to improve transparency report	Medium Term CU Action to improve transparency report
Audit Questions to the Structure of the transparency report and consitency with MIP40c3-SP53.							
Are the sections in the transparency report in the right order: Actuals, Forecast, MKR vesting and Transfers?				Yes.			
Does the actual section include breakdowns per Budget Category, Forecast, Actuals, Difference and 0.2 Payments?							
Does the budget forecast cover 3 months with summary and budget category breakdown?				Yes.			
Does the budget forecast summary and breakdown contain the quarterly budget caps for comparison?				Yes.			
Does the budget forecast summary contain the Global/total budget cap?				Yes.			
Are the budget forecast categories in the transparency report the same as in the MIP40c3-SP53?				Yes.			
Is the Multi-sig operational wallet setup the same as described in MIP40c3-SP53?	V) _		Yes: TechOps/TOCU-001 Operational Wallet quorum (2:2) Controlled by the TechOps Core Unit team Eth Address: 0x1a3DA79ee7dB30466cA752DE6a75DEf5e635b2f6 Signers: eth:0xA60C7C7efe539166F1C4a6cE2512F3cBF451fFbc5 eth:0xebd4Fa8c2c6014F2F7924De3Ae617Bd042ca25B			
Is the TechOps operational wallet controlled by more than 1 signer?				Yes, the TechOps operational wallet has a current policy of 2 out of 2 signers			
Has the TechOps operational wallet received the funds requested in the last Budget Statement?				Yes. TechOps received the requested 88,115.93 on June 27th, 2022. https://etherscan. io/address/0x2dC0420A736D1F40893B9481D8968E4D7424bC0B#tokentxns			
Is the Multi-sig auditor wallet setup the same as described in the Techops Auditor Flow?		1 [Yes: Techops Auditor Wallet quorum (2:2) Controlled by the SES Core Unit. Address: eth:0x2dC0420A736D1F40893B9481D8968E4D7424bC0B Signers: Accountant Role Wallet 0x42A855Ac8D2a92e8A5a437690875261535c8320C Auditor Role Wallet 0x82da57e224949acDbe173a5b8A8160c023ea86e6			
Has the Auditor wallet received the funds from the protocol?			1	Yes. The TechOps Auditor wallet received the initial funds of 1,069,250 DAI on Jan 31, 2022.			
Has the TechOps Auditor wallet sufficient funds to send to the TechOps operational wallet?				Yes. The TechOps Auditor wallet balance is 358,384.21 DAI on July 25th, 2022.			
Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 1. Last Month Actuals -							
Is the actuals budget category breakdown the same as in the MIP40c3-SP53?				Yes, except the budget catefory Gas fees and Bank fees, these are new budget categories.			
Is the transparency report actual's forecast the same as the last published forecast for the same month?				Yes.			
Are the differences between forecast and actuals explained in the transparency report?							
Are the TechOps CU actual expenses within the montly approved MIP40c3-SP53 buget cap?				Yes.			
Are the TechOps CU actual expenses within the Global/total MIP40c3-SP39 budget cap?				Yes.			
Has the TechOps CU Compensation fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?				No. There is a perfect match.			

7/25/2022 13:19:58

Audit Report

Core Unit Name: TechOps-001 Audit ID: 2022-06-TechOps-001 Audit Cycle: 2022-06/2

Audit Result: Approved

Audit Date: 07-25-2022 Submission Date: 07-8-2022

Facilitator contact: @eskp

Core Unit Clarification Received on Date:

<u>Transparency Report: https://github.com/MakerOps/tech-001-transparency-reporting/blob/main/2022-06.md</u>

 ${\bf MIP40c3\text{-}SP53\text{:} https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3\text{-}sp53\text{-}techops-core-unit-dai-budget/12072}$

MIP40c3-SP54: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp54-techops-core-unit-mkr-budget/12079



P40c3-SP54: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp54-techops-core-unit-mkr-budget/12079						
Audit Question			Audit Assessment	Core Unit's Clarification	Immediate CU Action to improve transparency report	Medium Term CU Action to improve transparency report
Has the TechOps CU Cloud Infrastructure fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	✓ □		Yes, the forecast is 20,000 and the actual expense is 20,916 this gives a negative difference of 916.	TechOps explains in the budhet statement that the difference is due to "AWS bills depend on usage that month."		
Has the TechOps CU Tools' fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	✓ □		Yes, the forecast is 2,100 and the actual expense is 2,394.94 there is a negative difference of 294.94.	TechOps explained in the budget statement that the tools' expenses depend on its monthly usage.		
Has the TechOps CU Accounting fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?			Yes, the forecast is 1,480 and the actual expense is 1,010.06 there is a positive difference of 469.94.	TechOps sent less funds to Accountable.		
Has the TechOps CU Legal Consultancy fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?			Yes. The forecast is 1,000 and the actual expense is 0.	TechOps expected to be charged more for legal services, no legal expense this month.		
Has the TechOps CU Gas fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?			Yes, the forecast is 100 and the actual expense is 0.	TechOps explains in the budhet statement that the difference is due to "No gas costs due to big gas investment the previous month."		
Has the TechOps CU Bank fees any significant deviation between forecast and actuals?			Yes, the forecast is 350 and the actual expense is 100.64. There is a positive difference of 249.36.	The difference is due to "Expected higher bank fees."		
Has the TechOps CU any significant deviation between the total actuals and the total payments?			Yes, the total actuals is 86,367.84 and the total payment is 93,227.25 DAI.	The difference is due to " Difference between Actuals total and Payments total due to positive balance with Accountable"		
Has the TechOps CU presented the correct calculation of amounts for the actuals, forecast and difference?			Yes.			
Has the TechOps CU budget statement any budget category that seems inconsistent and needs further investigation?			No.			
Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 2. Budget Forecast -						
Does the transparency report display the summary of the MIP40c3-SP53 approved caps for both total and $_{\rm 2.1}$ budget categories?			Yes.			
Are the monthly and quarterly budget caps (both totals and per category) the same as in the MIP40c3-53?			TechOps has forecasted only the relevant budget categories for July, August and September 2022.			
Are the monthly forecast totals within the MIP40c3-53 monthly budget caps?		0 0	Yes.			
Is the quarterly forecast within the bounds of the MIP40c3-39 budget caps?			Yes.			
Audit Questions to Section Transparency Report - Section 3. MKR Vesting Overview -						
Has the TechOps CU indicated the number of FTE in the transparency report's MKR vesting overview?			Yes.			
Has the MKR vesting overview the same FTE comparing it to the MIP40c3-SP38?			No. The FTE has increased from 3.4 to 4.7 due to new hires.			
Are the MKR vesting dates the same as in the MIP40c3-SP38?			TechOps has additional vesting dates due to new hires. The new vesting dates are: March 31, 2023; September 30, 2023; March 31, 2024; September 30, 2024; March 31, 2025			
Has TechOps CU changed the MKR amounts comparing it to the MIP40c3-SP38?			Yes. TechOps has changed the total MKR amount from 771.91 MKR to 975.79 MKR due to new hires. The total approved MKR budget is 2.058.15 MKR.			
Has TechOps CU explained the MKR change in the transparency report?			Yes, the MKR differences are due to FTE changes and was explained on the April 2022 budget statement report.			
Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 4. Transfers -						

7/25/2022 13:19:58

Audit Report

Core Unit Name: TechOps-001 Audit ID: 2022-06-TechOps-001 Audit Cycle: 2022-06/2 Audit Result: Approved Audit Date: 07-25-2022

Submission Date: 07-8-2022

Facilitator contact: @eskp

Core Unit Clarification Received on Date:

 $\underline{Transparency~Report:~https://github.com/MakerOps/tech-001-transparency-reporting/blob/main/2022-06.md}$

MIP40c3-SP53: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp53-techops-core-unit-dai-budget/12072

MIP40c3-SP54: https://torum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp54-techops-core-unit-mkr-budget/120/9									
Audit Question					Audit Assessment	Core Unit's Clarification	Immediate CU Action to improve transparency report	Medium Term CU Action to improve transparency report	
Does the TechOps operational wallet balances match the transparency report current balance in the 4.1 calculation made for the new transfer?	~				Yes, the TechOps operational wallet balance is 188,901.35 DAI on July 25th, 2022.				
Are the payments from the operational wallet the same as in the actual section payments?	~				Yes.				
Are the values in the TechOps Auditor wallet table correct?	~				Yes. The auditor wallet received 1,069,250 DAI since inception from the protocol and 1 DAI from an external wallet for wallet test.				
Does the TechOps Auditor wallet balance match the transparency report auditor current balance?	~				Yes. The auditor wallet balance in the budget statemnt is 358,384.21 DAI and the wallet balance is 358,384.21 on July 25th, 2022.				

7/25/2022 13:19:58 3