Core Unit Clarification Received Date: 01-19-2023

CU published BS date: 01-19-2023

Audit ID: 2022-12-DUX-001

Core Unit Name: DUX **Audit Report** 

Audit Cycle: 2022-12/1 Audit Date: 01-20-2023 Audit Result: Approved with co Facilitator contact: @venture

Medium Term CU Action to improve transparency report

Immediate CU Action to improve transparency report

Core Unit's Clarification The Auditor Wallet received 804,191,45048903 DAI from the protocol on November 2nd, 2022.
https://gronsber.org/pap/eth.bx5A9408428CCEbCC153863CCdA9D Ebe63278394/framsactions/history No. DUX clarified the change of wallet address for one team member Yes. The Auditor Wallet's current balance is 522,479.6 DAI on January 20th, 2023. eth:0xEd1cC76C86AaE8eA08b1B918aB2eDc4A1465745c was added DUX has adopted the standard MakerDAO nomenclature for the budget categories. Operational wallet controlled by the current DUX Core Unit team Address: 0x8Cd0ad5C55498Aacb72b6689E1da5A284C69c0C7 Yes, the DUX operational wallet has a current policy of 3 out of 6 Yes. DUX has not received the requested funds in the November 2022. Note that DUX has a new approved budget per Feb 1st. 2023 MIP40c3-SP82 Yes. DUX has adopted the standard MakerDAO nomenclature. February 8th 2022 and eth:0xf666e928BBDEfD84A9DEE179Ac226be16ec850DA was eth.0x14341f81dF14cA86E1420eC9e6Abd343Fb1c5bfC eth.0x388e9e5a889C4cDF3752C1AF6788P78DE673eE eth.0x896749ad5097abB603922A0b34d0633eb2daD6d eth.0xD797d7aa4B44daA33cD1AABeBCT1C879Fa1018 Yes. The Auditor Wallet requires 2 out of 2 confirmations Auditor's wallet eth address: eth:0x5A994D8428CCEbCC153863CCdA9D2Be6352f89ad Signers: Accountant role wallet eth:0xA2A855Ac8D2a92e8A5a437690875261535c8320C eth:0xda8E5b5DD1475B9BE382a5Dfe2602754Aff9342A eth:0xEd1cC76C86AaE8eA08b1B918aB2eDc4A1465745c Auditor role wallet eth:0xB2da57e224949acDDe173a5b8A8160c023ea86e6 **Audit Assessment** removed on February 9th 2022. in Feb 2022. ETH address res, une signers. □ Yes. Yes. Yes. Yes. Yes. sparency-reporting/blob/main/Monthy%20Budget%20Statements/2022-12.md > > > > > > > > > > > > > MIP40c3-SP27: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp27-development-ux-core-unit-mkr-budget-dux-001/977 MIP40c3-SP52: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp52-development-ux-core-unit-budget-dux-001/12085 Audit Questions to the structure of the transparency report and consistency with DUX MIP40c3-SP52 and MIP40c3-SP82 MIP40c3-SP26: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp26-development-ux-core-unit-budget-dux-001/9774 Does the budget forecast summary and breakdown contain the quarterly budget caps for comparison? Are the sections in the transparency report in the right order: Actuals, Forecast, MKR vesting and Are the budget forecast categories in the transparency report the same as in the MIP40c3-SP52? Has the DUX operational wallet received a top-up after the audit of the last transparency report? Does the actual section include breakdowns per wallet with Budget Category, Forecast, Actuals, Is the operational wallet Multi-sig wallet setup the same as described in the MIP40c3-SP52? Does the budget forecast cover 3 months with summary and budget category breakdown? Is the auditorl wallet Multi-sig wallet setup the same as described in the MIP40c3-SP52? Has the DUX auditor wallet sufficient funds to top up the Dux operational wallet? is the actuals budget category breakdown the same as in the MIP40c3-SPS2?  $^{1.1}$ Does the budget forecast summary contain the Global/total budget cap? Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 1. Last Month Actuals  $_{\rm 0.8}$  is the DUX operational wallet controlled by more than 1 signer? Has the DUX auditor wallet receive funds from the protocol? rency Report: https://github.com/maker 0.2 Difference and Payments? **Audit Question** 0.1 Transfers?

0.7

6.0

0.10

0.12

The Budget Implementation diagram in the MIP40c2-5795 was undparted with the new operational wallet eth address eth:0xEd1cC76C86AaE8eA08b1B91BaB2eDc4A14 65745c and the removal of eth address eth:0xf666e928BBDEfD84A9DEE179Ac226be16e c850DA

Audit Report
Core Unit Name: DUX
Audit D: 2022-12-DUX-001
Audit Date: 0.120-203
CU published Bs date: 0.19-2033
Audit Result: Approved with comments
Facilitator contact: @venture

Core Unit Clarification Received Date: 01-19-2023
Transparency Report: https://github.com/makerdao-duu/transparency-reporting/blob/main/Monthy%2DBudget%2DStatements/2022-12.md
MN4003-5926: https://footum.makerdao.com/L/mip402-3-826-development-us-core-unit-budget-du-001/9774
MN4003-5972: https://footum.makerdao.com/L/mip402-3-826-development-us-core-unit-budget-du-001/12085
MN4004-5-8727: https://footum.makerdao.com/L/mip402-3-822-development-us-core-unit-budget-du-001/12085
MN4003-5-8727: https://footum.makerdao.com/L/mip402-3-8272-development-us-core-unit-mir-budget-du-001/12085

MIP40c3-SP27: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp27-development-ux-core-unit-mkr-budget-dux-001/9777							
Is the transparency report actual's forecast the same as the forecast last published for the same month?	2						
Are the differences between forecast and actuals explained in the transparency report?							
Are the DUX actual expenses within the montly MIP40c3-SP52 buget cap?			□ Yes.				
Are the DUX actual expenses within the Global/total MIP40c3-SP52 budget cap?			☐ Yes.				
Has the DUX Compensation & Benefits any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?			The DAI,	Day the forecast is 88,428.44 DAI and the actual expense is 84,897.79 di DAI, this results in a positive difference of 530.65.	DUX explains in the budget report that the difference is due to "Variation on estimate. No outstanding reason."		
Has the DUX Travel & Entertainment any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	<u> </u>			Yes. The forecast is 4,500 and the actual expense is 6,200.76, this results in a negative difference of 1,700.76.	"Higher acommodation costs."		
Has the DUX Software Expense any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?				Ves, the forecast is 2,323.61 and the actual espense is 15,865.01, this space a negative difference of 3,34.01. This expense is over the approved budget of 9,000 per month, however the total expenses are within the monthly approved budget cap.		Review comment.	
Has the DUX Gas Expense any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?			- No			Report the budget category even if the forecast is 0 and the actual is 0.	
Has the DUX Admin Expense any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?		2		Yes, the forecast is 4,700 DAI and the actual expense is 10,388.59 DAI, which gives a negative difference of 5,888.50. Credit card Dougs should not be registered as Actuals only as payment. As the "y, actuals will be registered once the CU gets the bank statement and p	"A credit card top up of 5,144.72 DAI was performed"	DUX needs to review the actual amount for this category, toop to be included as payment but the actual mount in the sheet should be 0. Correct this category, and the total amount for Actuals.	
Has the DUX one-time expense any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?				This budget category was not reported in the Actual section.			
Has the DUX Professional Services amy significant deviations between forecast and actuals?		<u> </u>		Yes. The forecast is 14,500 and the actual expense is 26,145. This expense is over the approved monthly budget cap of 15,000. DUX has clarified that an error coursed in the registration of expenses in this category therefore the actuals are high.	"The contractor was paid for the month plus one month in advance."	DUX should correct the error, the actual amount should be registered under the right caregory. The forecast does not need to change only the actual. Review comment.	
Has the DUX presented the correct calculation of amounts for the actuals, forecast and difference?		2		The top-up amount needs to be reviwed.		DUX needs to review if the credit card top-up was registered as an actual and correct the Actuals reception.	
Has the DUX any budget category that seems inconsistent and needs further investigation?	>		ě				
Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 2. Budget Forecast -			MIP	Note that DUX has a new approved budget per Feb 1st. 2023 MIP40c3-5P82			
Does the transparency report display the MIPAQC3.5P52 and the MIPAQC3.5P82 caps for both total and budget categories?		<u> </u>		The MIP40c3-SP82 Budget cap should be added to the budget forecast section		Dux needs to have both Budget caps as one covers the month of January and the second covers the month of Feb and Mar 2023.	
Are the monthy and quarterly budget caps (both totals and per category) the same as in the MIP40c3-SP52 2 and MIP40c3-SP82?	>			Ves. DUX needs to add the MIP-40c3-SP82 budget caps.		DUX needs to take action as indicated under assessment.	
Are the quarterly forecast within the bounds of the MIP40c3-SPS2 and MIP-40c3-SP82 budget caps?	>		Yes.	. DUX needs to add the MIP-40c3-5P82 budget caps.		DUX needs to take action as indicated under assessment.	
Are the montly forecast totals within the MIP40c3-PSPS2 and MIP-40c3-SP82 montly budget cap?	<u> </u>			Yes. DUX needs to add the MIP-40c3-SP82 budget caps.		DUX needs to take action as indicated under assessment.	
Has the DUX presented the correct calculation of amounts for the budget forecast?	>			. DUX needs to add the MIP-40c3-5P82 budget caps.		DUX needs to take action as indicated under assessment.	
Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 3. MKR Vesting Overview -							
Has the DLX indicated the number of FTE in the transparency report's MKR vesting overview?		<u> </u>		Yes, the FTE is included in the MKR Vesting overview section of the transparency report. Dux needs to review the current FTE.		DUX needs to take action as indicated under assessment.	
Has the MKR vesting overview the same FTE comparing it to the MIP4003-5P27?		2		DUX needs to review the FTE and indicate the correct FTE for Dec. 2022.		DUX needs to take action as indicated under assessment.	

Audit De 2021-21-20 UX

Audit ID: 2022-12-20 U

Are the MKR vesting dates the same as in the MIP40;3-5P27?	No, the MIP402-5F27 Includes the following vesting date December 2021 o MMS. However, all other vesting dates are the same as in the MIP402-5927.		
Is the MKR total budget cap in the transparency report the same as in the approved MIP40c3-5P27?	▼ □ □ Yes.		
Has DUX changed the MKR amounts comparing it to the last published transparency report?	Yes. The MKR amount with vesting date Dec 31, 2022 has changed from 21.0.35 to 1806 due to a decrease in FTF.	DUX explains the budget statement that the reason for the teacher of the teacher	
Has DUX explained the MKR change in the transparency report? $_{ m 3.7}$			
Other observations	o <sub>N</sub>		
Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 4. Transfers -			
Does the DUX operational wallet balance match the transparency report current balance in the $\epsilon_1$ calculation made for the new transfer?	res.		
Are the payments from the operational wallet the same as in the actuals payments? $4.2$			
Are the MKR actuals in the MKR table correct?	Ves.		
Is the DUX auditor wallet current balance in MKR correct?	Ves.		
Other observations	No.		