Audit Report
Core Unit Name: DUX
Audit ID: 2022-10-DUX-001
Audit Cote: 2022-10/1
Audit Cote: 12-20-202
CU published BS date: 12-14-202
Audit Result: Approved with comments
Facilitator contact: @venture

Core Unit Clarification Received Date: 12-19-2022

Transparency Reporthtips/fightub.com/makerdao-dux/transparency-reporting/blob/main/Monthy%20Budget%20Statements/2022-10.md
MP4003-9786: https://forum.makerdao.com/L/mip4023-pp26-development-ux-core-unit-budget-dux-001/97774
MP4003-5972: https://forum.makerdao.com/L/mip4003-3p22-development-ux-core-unit-budget-dux-001/12085
MP4003-5972: https://forum.makerdao.com/L/mip4003-ap22-development-ux-core-unit-budget-dux-001/9777

Audit Question		Audit Assessment	Core Unit's Clarification	Immediate CU Action to improve transparency report	Medium Term CU Action to improve transparency report
Audit Questions to the structure of the transparency report and consistency with DUX MIP40c3-SP52					
Are the sections in the transparency report in the right order: Actuals, Forecast, MKR vesting and $_{0.1}$ Transfers?	2 0	Ves.			
Does the actual section include breakdowns per wallet with Budget Category, Forecast, Actuals, o.2 Difference and Payments?	<u> </u>	□ Yes.			
Does the budget forecast cover 3 months with summary and budget category breakdown?	> -	□ Yes.			
Does the budget forecast summary and breakdown contain the quarterly budget caps for comparison?	2	□ Yes.			
Does the budget forecast summary contain the Global/total budget cap?	<u> </u>	□ Yes.			
Are the budget forecast categories in the transparency report the same as in the MIP40.23-5P52?	>	DUX has adopted the standard MakerDAO nomenclature for the budget categories.			
		No. DUX clarified the change of wallet address for one team member in Feb 2022. ETH address See the Constitution of the Consti			
Is the operational wallet Multi-sig wallet setup the same as described in the MIP40c3-5P52?		Operational wallet controlled by the current DUX Core Unit team Address: 0x8Cd0ad5C55498Aacb72b6689E1da5A284C69c0C7 Signers in 0x424181dF14cA86E142DeC9e6Abd343Fb1c5bfC eth-0x4341f81dF14cA86E142DeC9e6Abd343Fb1c5bfC eth-0x8248e9es588850C19573F5ZLA1cR588175B0E73eE eth-0x8247A9460734b860392xA034d06334cA2Abd6 eth:0x0797d7ea48440aA933-c9DdA8e8CF1C879F031018 eth-0x0428ESB5DDQ1482B828SA2DAE052724Af834A2A eth-0x614C76C86Aa286A82B8182A3DC5620724Af85745c			The Budget implementation diagram in the MIP404-3-592 was updated with the new poperational value eth address ethick of 5745-5 and the removal of eth address enchanded ethick of 5745-5 and the removal of eth address etsion ethick of 5750-5 and the removal of eth address ethick of 5745-5 and the removal of eth address ethick of 5745-5 and the removal of eth address ethick of 5745-5 and the removal of ethick of 5745-5 and 5745
s the DUX operational wallet controlled by more than 1 signer?		Yes, the DUX operational wallet has a current policy of 3 out of 6			
is the auditori wallet Multi-sig wallet setup the same as described in the MIP40c3-SP52?		Yes. The Auditor Wallet requires 2 out of 2 confirmations. Auditor's wallet eth address: Reth-05/490404248CECECI53883CCdA9D2Be6332R89ad Signers. Accountant role wallet eth-0x42A855Ac8D292e8A5a437690875261535c8320C Auditor role wallet Th-05/424893a0D06173a508A63160c023ea86e6			
Has the DUX auditor wallet receive funds from the protocol?		The Auditor Wallet received 804,191.45048903 DAI from the protocol on Nov 02, 2022. https://gnosis-safe.io/app/eth:0x5A994D8428CCEbCC153863CCdA9D 28e6352f89ad/transactions/history			
Has the DUX auditor wallet sufficient funds to top up the Dux operational wallet?		Yes. The Auditor Wallet's current balance is 672,103.32 DAI on December 19th, 2022. However the October 2022 request for funds will be skippet as the November 2022 funds request will be sent out			
Has the DUX operational wallet received a top-up after the audit of the last transparency report?		Yes. The DLX operational waller received 190,689 DAI on Nov 22nd, 2022 https://etherscan.lo/tx/0x1317Ad/3792b44dddb2a125/60662b9 D.00-200aaa1La97746b53136e134ae8d3			

Core Unit Name: DUX Audit ID: 2022-10-DUX-001 Audit Cycle: 2022-10/1 Audit Date: 12-20-2022

Audit Result: Approved with comments CU published BS date: 12-14-2022

Facilitator contact: @venture

Core Unit Clarification Received Date: 12-19-2022
Transparency Report: Update: 12-19-2022
Transparency Report: Update: Confunderda-odux/transparency-reporting/blob/main/Nonthy%20Budget%20Statements/2022-10.md
MN4043-SP25: https://forum.makerda.com/L/mlab063-sp26-development-us-core-unit-budget-odux-001/9774
MN4043-SP27: https://forum.makerda.com/L/mlab063-sp22-development-us-core-unit-budget-dux-001/12085
MN4043-SP27: https://forum.makerdao.com/L/mlab063-sp27-development-us-core-unit-ndreet-dux-001/9777

Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 1. Last Month Actuals -	7777		
Is the actuals budget category breakdown the same as in the MIP40c3-SP52?	Ves.		
Is the transparency report actual's forecast the same as the forecast last published for the same month?	h? 🔽 🗆 🗀 ves.		
Are the differences between forecast and actuals explained in the transparency report?			
Are the DUX actual expenses within the montly MIP40c3-SP52 buget cap? $^{\rm 1.4}$			
Are the DUX actual expenses within the Global/total MIP40c3-SP52 budget cap?	C C C C C C C C C C		
Has the DUX Compensation & Benefits any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	The forecast is 88,200 DAI and the actual expense is 87,834.64 DAI, difference of 365.36.	DUX explains in the budget report that the difference is due to "Variation on estimate. No outstanding reason."	
Has the DUX Travel & Entertainment any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	Yes. The forecast is 4,000 and the actual expense is 4,226.36, this gives a negative difference of 226.36. The Actual expense was reduceddue to a sale of event tickets.	DUX explains in the budget report that the difference is due to "Team sold conference tickets for 300 DAI each."	
Has the DUX Software Expense any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	Yes, the forecast is 7,000 DAI and the actual expense is 1,459.91 DAI, D	DUX explains in the budget report that the difference is due to "Alchemy has not been billed."	
Has the DUX Gas Expense any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	Yes. The forecast is 0 and the actual expense is 1,000. Missing the		DUX should add the reason for the diff. e.g. "Purchase of ETH this month"
Has the DUX Admin Expense any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	D d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d	DUX explains that the difference is due to "Slight deviation on estimation without outstanding reason, is a percentage of total costs. Payment to cover credit card expenses."	
Has the DUX one-time expense any significant deviations between forecast and actuals? $^{1.11}$	This budget category was not reported in the Actual section.		
Has the DUX Professional Services any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	This budget category was not reported in the Actual section.		
Has the DUX presented the correct calculation of amounts for the actuals, forecast and difference?	Dux corrected as adviced on audit report V1.		
Has the DUX any budget category that seems inconsistent and needs further investigation? $^{1.14}$	· o _N		
Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 2. Budget Forecast -			
Does the transparency report display the MIP40c3-SPS2 caps for both total and budget categories?	\[\]		
Are the montly and quarterly budget caps (both totals and per category) the same as in the 22 MIP40c3-5P52?			
Are the quarterly forecast within the bounds of the MIP40c3-5P52 budget caps?			
Are the montly forecast totals within the MIP40c3-PSP52 montly budget cap? $^{2.4}$	▼ □ □ Ves.		
Has the DUX presented the correct calculation of amounts for the budget forecast?			
Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 3. MKR Vesting Overview -			
Has the DUX indicated the number of FTE in the transparency report's MKR vesting overview? $^{3.1}$	Yes, the FTE is included in the MKR Vesting overview section of the		
Has the MKR vesting overview the same FTE comparing it to the MIP40c3-5P27?	No. The FTE has changed from 6.0 to 5 people working full time and 1 person working 60%.		

Audit Report

Core Unit Name: DUX
Audit ID: 2022-10-DUX-001
Audit Care: 12.20-2022
CU published BS date: 12-14-2022
Audit Result: Approved with comments
Facilitator contact: @venture

Core Unit Clarification Received Date: 12-19-2022
Transparency Report: Use Core Unit Clarification Received Date: 12-19-2022
Transparency Report: Use Confundencia-dux/transparency-reporting/blob/main/Nonthy%20Budget%20Statements/2022-10.md
MN4043-8P28: https://forum.makerda.com/L/mlab/03-ap28-development-us-core-unit-budget-dux-001/9774
MN4043-8P27: https://forum.makerdac.com/L/mlab/03-ap28-development-us-core-unit-budget-dux-001/12085
MN4043-8P27: https://forum.makerdac.com/L/mlab/03-ap27-development-us-core-unit-mkr-budget-dux-001/9777

No. 1 the MIP40G-35PZ includes the following vesting date December 2021 a D MIC Aveveer, all other vesting dates are the same as in the MIP40G-35PZ.		o'x	This control can only be made if the answer to the above question is	Dux corrected as adviced on audit report V1.		Tees.	\$ \$.		□ Yes.	Dux corrected as adviced on audit report VI. The October 2022 request will be a skipper due to the revision of the DUX expenses,
Are the MKR vesting dates the same as in the IMIP40c3-5P27? 3.4	Is the MKR total budget cap in the transparency report the same as in the approved MIP40c3-SP27?	Has DUX changed the MKR amounts comparing it to the last published transparency report? $_{\rm 3.6}$	Has DUX explained the MKR change in the transparency report?	Other observations	Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 4. Transfers -	Does the DUX operational wallet balance match the transparency report current balance in the 4.1 calculation made for the new transfer?	Are the payments from the operational wallet the same as in the actuals payments?	Are the MKR actuals in the MKR table correct?	is the DUX auditor wallet current balance in MKR correct?	Other observations