Audit Report

Core Unit Name: DUX

Audit DUX

MIP	MIP40c3-SP27: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp27-development-ux-core-unit-mkr-budget-dux-001/9777						
*	Audit Question			Audit Assessment Core Unit.'	Core Unit's Clarification	Immediate CU Action to improve transparency report	Medium Term CU Action to improve transparency report
	Audit Questions to the structure of the transparency report and consistency with MIP40c3-5P82			r Feb 1st. 2023			
0.1	Are the sections in the transparency report in the right order: Actuals, Forecast, MKR vesting and 0.1 Transfers?			Nes.			
0.2	ctual section include breakdowns per wallet with Budget Category, Forecast, Actuals, and Payments?						
0.5	Does the budget forecast cover 3 months with summary and budget category breakdown?			□ Yes.			
7:0	Does the budget forecast summary and breakdown contain the quarterly budget caps for comparison?			□ Yes.			
970	Does the budget forecast summary contain the Global/total budget cap?			□ Yes.			
0.6	Are the budget forecast categories in the transparency report the same as in the MIP40c3-SP82?			DUX has adopted the standard MakerDAO nomendature for the budget categories.			
				No. DUX clarified the change of wallet address for one team member in reb 2021. First haddress ethickELCXFGERARER-008b.18918aBD2aDcdA1465745c was added February RH 2022 and ethickFGGERARER-008b.19912A022 and ethickFGGERARER-01994A0DEE179AAC22Gbe16ec850DA was removed on February 9th 2022.			
0.3	Is the operational wallet Multi-sig wallet setup the same as described in the MIP40c3-SPS2 and or MIP40c3-SP82?			Operational wallet controlled by the current DUX Core Unit team Address: 0x8Cd0ad5C55498Aacb72b6698E1da5A284C99c07 Signers eth:0x14341f81dF14cA86E1420eC9e6Abd343Fb1c5bfC eth:0x16A3867Aad5097ab860392A0Abd345Pb1c5bae eth:0x8867Aad5097ab860392A0Abd340633abAad506 eth:0x08867Aad5097ab860392A0Abd40633abAad506 eth:0x08867Aad5097ab860392A0Abd4063Ad785A			
9.0	is the DUX operational wallet controlled by more than 1 signer?			Yes, the DUX operational wallet has a current policy of 3 out of 6 signers.			
0.9	is the auditori wallet Multi-sig wallet setup the same as described in the MIP40c3-SP52?			Ves. The Auditor Wallet requires 2 out of 2 confirmations. Auditor's wallet eth address: eth:05x3-0940242CCECCECT-336653CCGA9D2Be6332f89ad Signers. Accountant role wallet eth:0x42A655Ac8D2a92ce6A5a437690875261535c8320C Auditor role wallet ThinkD82a63y22093ecD0c173a5b8A8160c073ea86e6			
0.10	Has the DUX auditor wallet receive funds from the protocol?			Ves it received funds from the new vesting stream ID 14.			
0.11	Has the DUX auditor wallet sufficient funds to top up the Dux operational wallet?	<u>></u>		No. SES will coordinate the call of funds to the Auditor wallet.			
0.15	Has the DUX operational wallet received a top-up after the audit of the last transparency report?			Yes. DUX has received the requested funds in the March 2023 budget statement.			
	Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 1. Last Month Actuals -						
17	Is the actuals budget category breakdown the same as in the MIP40c3-SP82?			DUX has adopted the standard MakerDAO nomenciature for the budget categories.			
1.2	Is the transparency report actual's forecast the same as the forecast last published for the same month?		>	No.	na	DUX needs to correct the forecast data.	

Are the differences between forecast and actuals explained in the transparency report?			Yes			
t cap?			Nes.			
st and actuals?			The compensation & benefits actuals are over the monthly budget cap due to compensation payment of previous months	Team Member was compensated for the months of monthly budget February, March and April with funds previsously sent as deposits.	ted for the months of h funds previsously	
Has the DUX Travel & Entertainment amy significant deviations between forecast and actuals?			□ Yes.	Difference due to high team travel expenses realted to the Seoul offsite.	avel expenses realted	
uals?	<u> </u>		□ Yes.	Difference due to higher Amazon Web Services costs.	zon Web Services	
Has the DUX Gas Expense any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	□ 		Š.			
	□		□ Yes.	Higher Payment Processor Fees due to team members' travel expenses for the month.	ss due to team the month.	
	> -		No.			
rence?	□ >		□ Yes.			
Has the DUX any budget category that seems inconsistent and needs further investigation?	□ □		No.			
Other observations			The balance cummany in the Master cheef has a roo high value. And	hieh value. And		DUX needs to review the actuals in the master sheet and review the blance summay in the sheet to always maxial the correct balance. DUX needs to push the data for the transaparency reporting via the budget tool to expenses, makedan network.
		>	2023 mis Note tha	1st. 2023		differences.
Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 2. Budget Forecast -			×			
gories?			Yes.			
Are the monthy and quarterly budget caps (both totals and per category) the same as in the 2.2 MIP-40c3-5P82?			☐ Yes.			
			☐ Yes.			
				the monthly		
Has the DUX presented the correct calculation of amounts for the budget forecast?	> -		□ Yes.			
Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 3. MKR Vesting Overview -						
Has the DUX indicated the number of FTE in the transparency report's MKR vesting overview?			Yes.			
Has the MKR vesting overview the same FTE comparing it to the MIP40c3-SP27?	<u> </u>		The Approved MKR budget covers 3 years for 6 FTEs for max. 1982.87	s for max. 1982.87		
			No, the MIP40c3-SP27 includes the following vesting date December 2021 = 0 MKR. However, all other vesting dates are the same as in the MIP40c3-SP27.	g date December the same as in		
is the MKR total budget cap in the transparency report the same as in the approved MIP40c3-SP27?	> -		□ Yes.			
vesting amounts?			The MKR amount with vesting date Dec 31, 2022 has changed from 210.85 to 1806 bet to a decrease in FTE. The MKR amount with vesting date Jun 1, 2023 has changed from 210.85 to 225.12 due to extra member compensation.	as changed from a amount with o 225.12 due to		
Has DUX explained the MKR change in the transparency report? $_{3.7}$	<u> </u>		□ Yes.			
3.8 Other observations	> -		No.			
Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 4. Transfers -						
Does the DUX operational wallet balance match the transparency report current balance in the 4.1 calculation made for the new transfer?		>	The balance needs correction.			DUX needs to review the balance and correct the requested amount.
Are the payments from the operational wallet the same as in the actuals payments?		<u> </u>	No.			DUX needs to correct the on-chain payment amounts.

က

Are the MKR actuals in the MKR table correct?	▼ □ □ Nes.	
is the DUX auditor wallet current balance in MKR correct?	▼ □ □ Yes.	
Other observations	DUX needs to push the data via the budget tool to expenses. makertao. network. Including FTE and comments to explain the differences. Once all data is pushed the report status should be damped from Drift to Review.	DUX needs to push that data via the bugget tool to seveness-anderdon carwork. Including FTE and comments to explain the differences. Once all data is pushed the report status should be changed from praft to Revoy. s