Audit Report

Core Unit Name: TechOps-001 Audit ID: 2022-03-TechOps-001 Audit Cycle: 2022-03/1 Audit Result: Needs CU action Audit Date: 04-15-2022

Submission Date: 03-31-2022

Facilitator contact: @eskp

Core Unit Clarification Received on Date:

 $\underline{Transparency \, Report: https://github.com/MakerOps/tech-001-transparency-reporting/blob/main/2022-03.md}$

MIP40c3-SP53: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp53-techops-core-unit-dai-budget/12072



MIP40c3-SP54: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp54-techops-core-unit-mkr-budget/12079								
Audit Question					Audit Assessment	Core Unit's Clarification	Immediate CU Action to improve transparency report	Medium Term CU Action to improve transparency report
Audit Questions to the Structure of the transparency report and consitency with MIP40c3-SP53.								
Are the sections in the transparency report in the right order: Actuals, Forecast, MKR vesting and Transfers?	~				Yes.			
Does the actual section include breakdowns per Budget Category, Forecast, Actuals, Difference and 0.2 Payments?	~	П	П	П	Yes			
Does the budget forecast cover 3 months with summary and budget category breakdown?	~							
Does the budget forecast summary and breakdown contain the quarterly budget caps for comparison? 0.4	~				Yes.			
Does the budget forecast summary contain the Global/total budget cap?	~				Yes.			
Are the budget forecast categories in the transparency report the same as in the MIP40c3-SP53?	~				Yes.			
Is the Multi-sig operational wallet setup the same as described in MIP40c3-SP53?	✓				Yes: TechOps/TOCU-001 Operational Wallet quorum (2:2) Controlled by the TechOps Core Unit team Eth Address: 0x1a3DA79ee7dB30466cA752DE6a75DEf5e635b2f6 Signers: eth:0xA60C7Crefe539166F1C4a6cE2512F3cBF451fFbc5 eth:0xceDd4Fa8c2c6014F2F7924De3Ae617Bd042ca25B			
Is the TechOps operational wallet controlled by more than 1 signer?	~				Yes, the TechOps operational wallet has a current policy of 2 out of 2 signers			
Has the TechOps operational wallet received the funds requested in the last Budget Statement?	~	П	П	П	Yes.			
Is the Multi-sig auditor wallet setup the same as described in the Techops Auditor Flow?					Yes: Techops Auditor Wallet quorum (2:2) Controlled by the SES Core Unit. Address: eth:0x2dC0420A736D1F4089389481D8968E4D7424bC08 Signers: Accountant Role Wallet 0xA2A855Ac8D2a92e8A5a437690875261535c8320C Auditor Role Wallet 0xB2da57e224949acDDe173a5b8A8160c023ea86e6			
Has the Auditor wallet received the funds from the protocol?		П	П	П	Yes. The TechOps Auditor wallet received the initial funds of 1,069,250 DAI on Jan 31, 2022.			
Has the TechOps Auditor wallet sufficiend funds to send to the TechOps operational wallet?					Yes. The TechOps Auditor wallet balance is 514,956.00 DAI on April 15th, 2022.			
Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 1. Last Month Actuals -				۰	2022. The Technops Addition Wallet Datable 15 314,530.00 DAI OH APHILISHI, 2022.			
Is the actuals budget category breakdown the same as in the MIP40c3-SP53?	~				Yes, except the budget catefory Gas fees and Bank fees, these are new budget categories.			
is the transparency report actual's forecast the same as the last published forecast for the same month? 1.2					All the relevant categories are presented in the actuals section.			
Are the differences between forecast and actuals explained in the transparency report?	~				Yes.			
Are the TechOps CU actual expenses within the monthy approved MIP40c3-SP53 buget cap?	~				Yes. The March 2022 total actual expenses is 102,269 DAI.			
Are the TechOps CU actual expenses within the Global/total MIP40c3-SP39 budget cap?	~				Yes.			

Audit Report

Core Unit Name: TechOps-001 Audit ID: 2022-03-TechOps-001 Audit Cycle: 2022-03/1 Audit Result: Needs CU action

Audit Date: 04-15-2022

Submission Date: 03-31-2022

Facilitator contact: @eskp Core Unit Clarification Received on Date:

Transparency Report: https://github.com/MakerOps/tech-001-transparency-reporting/blob/main/2022-03.md MIPAG-3-SP53: https://forum.makerdag.com/t/mipidG-3-SP53-technos-core-unit-dai-hudget/1/2027



MIP40c3-SP53: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp53-techops-core-unit-dai-budget/12072 MIP40c3-SP54: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp54-techops-core-unit-mkr-budget/12079								
Audit Question						0	Immediate CU Action to improve	Medium Term CU Action to improve
Has the TechOps CU Compensation fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	~				Audit Assessment Yes, the forecast for March 2022 is 50,595.80 and the actual expense is 55,046.83, which gives a negative of difference of -4,451.03.	Core Unit's Clarification TechOps explains in the budget statement that this significant deviation is due to the "payment of debt to one of the contractors for Jan-Mar 2022."	transparency report	transparency report
Has the TechOps CU Cloud Infrastructure fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	~				Yes, the forecast is 30,000 and the actual expense is 26,438.21, this givies a positive difference of 3,561.79.	The forecast includes a safety buffer.		
Has the TechOps CU Tools` fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	~					TechOps explained in the budget statement that the tools' expenses depend on its monthly usage.		
Has the TechOps CU Accounting fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	~				Yes, the forecast is 6,000 and the actual expense is 2,269.34 there is a positive difference of 3,730.66.	TechOps sent less funds to Accountable than expected.		
Has the TechOps CU Legal Consultancy fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?			✓		Yes. The forecast is 2,000 and the actual expense is 5.28 the difference is 1,994.72. The legal actual expense has an odd number, Techops should review and confirm the expense.			
Has the TechOps CU Gas fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	~				Yes, the forecast is 500 and the actual expense is 0.	TechOps explained that the difference is due to "No gas costs due to big gas investment the previous month."		
Has the TechOps CU Deposits any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	~				Yes, the forecast is 0 and the amount sent for deposit is 1,286.34.	Diff. due to "This deposit is part of an employee agreement."		
Has the TechOps CU Bank fees any significant deviation between forecast and actuals?	~				Yes, the forecast is 400 and the actual expense is 82.56. The difference is 314.44.	Diff. due to "New bank set up and lower bank fees than expected."		
Has the TechOps CU any significan deviation between the total actuals and the total payments?			<u>~</u>		Yes, the total actuals is 97,227.80 and the total payments is 102,269. Techops should clarify the explanation given in the budget statement about the unclear procedure.			
Has the TechOps CU presented the correct calculation of amounts for the actuals, forecast and difference?	~				Yes.			
Has the TechOps CU budget statement any budget category that seems inconsistent and needs further 1.16 investigation?	\sim			П	No.			
Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 2. Budget Forecast -								
Does the transparency report display the summary of the MIP40c3-SP53 approved caps for both total and budget categories?			<u>~</u>		Yes. The budget statement displays the MIP40c3-SP53 approved budget caps. However Techops needs to correct the Overview table, the Monthly budget cap has the Qly budget cap and viceversa.			
Are the monthly and quarterly budget caps (both totals and per category) the same as in the MIP40c3-537	~				TechOps has forecasted only the relevant budget categories for Apr, Maj and Jun 2022.			
Are the monthly forecast totals within the MIP40c3-53 monthly budget caps?		~			The forecast amounts for the accounting and recruiting fees exceed their monthly budget caps. However, these can be covered by the contingency budget.			
Is the quarterly forecast within the bounds of the MIP40c3-39 budget caps?	~				Yes.			
Audit Questions to Section Transparency Report - Section 3. MKR Vesting Overview -								
Has the TechOps CU indicated the number of FTE in the transparency report's MKR vesting overview?	~				Yes.			
Has the MKR vesting overview the same FTE comparing it to the MIP40c3-SP38?	~				Yes.			
3.3 Are the MKR vesting dates the same as in the MIP40c3-SP38?	~				Yes.			
Has TechOps CU changed the MKR amounts comparing it to the MIP40c3-SP38?	~				Yes. The current TechOps Budget has a total of 1,379 MKR, the approved budget cap is 1,680 MKR.			
Has TechOps CU explained the MKR change in the transparency report?	~				Yes, the MKR differences are due to FTE changes.			

Audit Report

Core Unit Name: TechOps-001 Audit ID: 2022-03-TechOps-001 Audit Cycle: 2022-03/1 Audit Result: Needs CU action Audit Date: 04-15-2022

Submission Date: 03-31-2022

Facilitator contact: @eskp

Core Unit Clarification Received on Date:

Transparency Report: https://github.com/MakerOps/tech-001-transparency-reporting/blob/main/2022-03.md

