Audit Report

Core Unit Name: TechOps-001 Audit ID: 2022-08-TechOps-001 Audit Cycle: 2022-08/1

Audit Result: Needs CU action Audit Date: 09-21-2022

Submission Date: 09-16-2022

Facilitator contact: @eskp Core Unit Clarification Received on Date:

Are the TechOps CU actual expenses within the montly approved MIP40c3-SP53 buget cap? $^{1.4}\,$

Are the TechOps CU actual expenses within the Global/total MIP40c3-SP39 budget cap?

Transparency Report: https://github.com/MakerOps/tech-001-transparency-reporting/blob/main/2022-08.md							
MIP40c3-SP53: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp53-techops-core-unit-dai-budget/12072							
MIP40c3-SP54: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp54-techops-core-unit-mkr-budget/12079							
Audit Question						Immediate CU Action to improve	Medium Term CU Action to improve
Audit Question				Audit Assessment	Core Unit's Clarification	transparency report	transparency report
Audit Questions to the Structure of the transparency report and consitency with MIP40c3-SP53.							
Are the sections in the transparency report in the right order: Actuals, Forecast, MKR vesting and Transfers?	~			Yes.			
Does the actual section include breakdowns per Budget Category, Forecast, Actuals, Difference and 0.2 Payments?				1			
0.2	~	ш	υ ι	J Yes.			
Does the budget forecast cover 3 months with summary and budget category breakdown?	~			Yes.			
Does the budget forecast summary and breakdown contain the quarterly budget caps for comparison? $0.4\\$	~			Yes.			
Does the budget forecast summary contain the Global/total budget cap? 0.5	~			Yes.			
Are the budget forecast categories in the transparency report the same as in the MIP40c3-SP53?	~			Yes.			
Is the Multi-sig operational wallet setup the same as described in MIP40c3-SP53?				Yes: TechOps/TOCU-001 Operational Wallet quorum (2:2) Controlled by the TechOps Core Unit team Eth Address: 0x1a3DA79ee7dB30466cA752DE6a75DEf5e635b2f6 Signers: ettb0x60C77efe539166F1C4a6cE2512F3cBF451fFbc5 ethb0xeb0d4Fa8c2c6014F2F7924De3Ae617Bd042ca25B			
0.7	V	ш					
Is the TechOps operational wallet controlled by more than 1 signer? 0.8	~			Yes, the TechOps operational wallet has a current policy of 2 out of 2 signers			
Has the TechOps operational wallet received the funds requested in the last Budget Statement?	~			Yes. TechOps received the requested 141,526.85 on September 2nd, 2022. https://etherscan. io/address/0x2dC0420A736D1F40893B9481D8968E4D7424bC0B#tokentxns			
Is the Multi-sig auditor wallet setup the same as described in the Techops Auditor Flow?	✓			Yes: Techops Auditor Wallet quorum (2:2) Controlled by the SES Core Unit. Address: eth:0x2dC0420A736D1F40893B9481D8968E4D7424bC0B Signers: Accountant Role Wallet 0xA2A855Ac8D2a92e8A5a437690875261535c8320C Auditor Role Wallet 0x82da57e224949acDDe173a5b8A8160c023ea86e6			
Has the Auditor wallet received the funds from the protocol?	~			Yes. The TechOps Auditor wallet received the initial funds of 1,069,250 DAI on Jan 31, 2022.			
Has the TechOps Auditor wallet sufficient funds to send to the TechOps operational wallet?	~			Yes. The TechOps Auditor wallet balance is 187,513.96 DAI on September 21st, 2022.			
Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 1. Last Month Actuals -							
s the actuals budget category breakdown the same as in the MIP40c3-SP53?	~			Yes, except the budget catefory Gas fees and Bank fees, these are new budget categories.			
Is the transparency report actual's forecast the same as the last published forecast for the same month?		✓		The travel category and the conference and education category are not reported in the actuals table, however, other budget categories and total amounts are the same as forecasted in the previous month.			
Are the differences between forecast and actuals explained in the transparency report?	~			Yes.			

9/21/2022 11:50:59

Audit Report

Core Unit Name: TechOps-001 Audit ID: 2022-08-TechOps-001

Audit Cycle: 2022-08/1

Audit Result: Needs CU action

Audit Date: 09-21-2022

Submission Date: 09-16-2022 Facilitator contact: @eskp

Core Unit Clarification Received on Date:

 $\underline{Transparency~Report:~https://github.com/MakerOps/tech-001-transparency-reporting/blob/main/2022-08.md}$

MIP40c3-SP53: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp53-techops-core-unit-dai-budget/12072

MIP4	Oc3-SP54: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp54-techops-core-unit-mkr-budget/12079										
	Audit Question							Immediate CU Action to improve	Medium Term CU Action to improve		
#						Audit Assessment	Core Unit's Clarification	transparency report	transparency report		
1.6	Has the TechOps CU Compensation fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	~				No. The forecast is 74,343.20 and the actuals is 74,343.20.					
1.7	Has the TechOps CU Cloud Infrastructure fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	~				Yes, the forecast is 20,000 and the actual expense is 19,931.31 this gives a positive difference of 68.69.	TechOps explains in the budget statement that the difference is due to "AWS bills depend on usage that month."				
1.8	Has the TechOps CU Tools' fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	V				Yes, the forecast is 2,300 and the actual expense is 4,410.90 there is a negative difference of -2,110.90.	TechOps explained in the budget statement that the tools' expenses depend on its monthly usage.				
1.9	Has the TechOps CU Accounting fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?					Yes, the forecast is 1,000 and the actual expense is 764 there is a positive difference of 236 DAI. The Difference in the report is 4,450.65, this needs to be corrected.	that the difference is due to "Sent less funds to	Techops needs to review the Accounting category difference in the actuals section as correct the amount.			
1.10	Has the TechOps CU Legal Consultancy fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	~				Yes. The forecast is 1,000 and the actual expense is 485.54, this gives a positive diifference od 514.46.	TechOps expected to be charged more for legal services.				
1.11	Has the TechOps CU Gas fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	~				Yes, the forecast is 100 and the actual expense is 0.	TechOps explains in the budget statement that the difference is due to "No gas costs due to big gas investment the previous month."				
1.12	Has the TechOps CU Bank fees any significant deviation between forecast and actuals?	V				Yes, the forecast is 100 and the actual expense is 114.65, this gives a negative difference of 14.65.	The difference is due to "Expected lower bank fees."				
1.13	Has the TechOps CU Travel any significant deviation between the forecast and the actuals?		~			The travel category was not reported in the August 2022 Budget Statement.					
1.14	Has the TechOps CU Recruiting fees any significant deviation between the forecast and the actuals?	✓				Yes. The forecast is 60,000 and the actual expense is 61,200, this gives a negative difference of 1,200.	TechOps explains in the budget statement that the difference is due to "Expected lower recruiting fee."				
1.14	Has the TechOps CU any significant deviation between the total actuals and the total payments?	~				Yes, the total actuals is 86,367.84 and the total payment is 93,227.25 DAI.	The difference is due to " Difference between Actuals total and Payments total due to positive balance with Accountable"				
1.19	Has the TechOps CU presented the correct calculation of amounts for the actuals, forecast and difference?		П		П	In the actuals section, the accounting busget category difference needs to be corrected as indicated in point 19. The total forecast in the budget statement is 158,743.20, however, the correct total is 158,843.20. Also, the grand total amounts in the actuals and difference columns need to be reviewed and corrected.		Techops needs to review and correct the amounts as indicated under the audit assessment.			
1.16	Has the TechOps CU budget statement any budget category that seems inconsistent and needs further investigation?	∠				No.					
	Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 2. Budget Forecast -										
2.1	Does the transparency report display the summary of the MIP40c3-SP53 approved caps for both total and budget categories?	~				Yes.					
2.2	Are the monthly and quarterly budget caps (both totals and per category) the same as in the MIP40c3-53?	V				TechOps has forecasted only the relevant budget categories for September, October and Novemvber 2022.					
2.3	Are the monthly forecast totals within the MIP40c3-53 monthly budget caps?	~				Yes.					
2.4	Is the quarterly forecast within the bounds of the MIP40c3-39 budget caps?	~				Yes.					

9/21/2022 11:50:59 2

Audit Report

Core Unit Name: TechOps-001 Audit ID: 2022-08-TechOps-001 Audit Cycle: 2022-08/1 Audit Result: Needs CU action

Audit Date: 09-21-2022

Submission Date: 09-16-2022

Facilitator contact: @eskp

Core Unit Clarification Received on Date:

 $\underline{Transparency~Report:~https://github.com/MakerOps/tech-001-transparency-reporting/blob/main/2022-08.md}$

MIP40c3-SP53: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp53-techops-core-unit-dai-budget/12072										
MIP40c3-SP54: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp54-techops-core-unit-mkr-budget/12079										
Audit Question					Audit Assessment	Core Unit's Clarification	Immediate CU Action to improve transparency report	Medium Term CU Action to improve transparency report		
Has the TechOps CU presented the correct calculation of amounts in the forecast table?					No. The recruiting fees 3-month total in the budget statement is 60,000, but the forecast for the individual month is set to 0. This budget category needs to be reviewed and corrected. The sum of the grand total amounts in the budget forecast table needs to be corrected after correcting the recruiting category amounts.		Techops needs to review and correct the amounts as indicated under the audit assessment.			
Audit Questions to Section Transparency Report - Section 3. MKR Vesting Overview -										
Has the TechOps CU indicated the number of FTE in the transparency report's MKR vesting overview?	~				Yes.					
Has the MKR vesting overview the same FTE comparing it to the MIP40c3-SP38?					No. The FTE has increased from 4.7 to 5.7 due to new hires.					
Are the MKR vesting dates the same as in the MIP40c3-SP38?			✓		The MKR data could not be assessed the format of the data needs to be fixed. Suggestion to use the preview button in Github to view the budget statement just before publishing it.		Techops needs to fix the MKR table format in the Github Budget Statement.			
Has TechOps CU changed the MKR amounts comparing it to the MIP40c3-SP38?					Point 3.3 needs to be resolved to continue the assessment.					
Has TechOps CU explained the MKR change in the transparency report?					Point 3.3 needs to be resolved to continue the assessment.					
Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 4. Transfers -										
Does the TechOps operational wallet balances match the transparency report current balance in the 4.1 calculation made for the new transfer?	~				Yes, the TechOps operational wallet balance is 95,729.61 DAI on September 21st, 2022.					
Are the payments from the operational wallet the same as in the actual section payments?	~				Yes, the total payments is 111,224.22. https://etherscan. io/tx/0x0b1315da979a8ec02d296b755d4da714607328b729e9ade2e600f895b					
Are the values in the TechOps Auditor wallet table correct?	~				Yes. The auditor wallet received 1,069,250 DAI since inception from the protocol and 1 DAI from an external wallet for wallet test.					
Does the TechOps Auditor wallet balance match the transparency report auditor current balance? $^{4.4} \\$	~				Yes. The auditor wallet balance in the budget statement is 187,513.96 DAI and the wallet balance is 187,513.96 DAI on September 21st, 2022.					

9/21/2022 11:50:59 3