Audit Report

Core Unit Name: DUX Audit ID: 2022-04-DUX-001

Audit Cycle: 2022- 04 / 1

Audit Result: Approved with comments

Audit Date: 05-02-2022

CU published BS date: 05-01-2022

Facilitator contact: @venture

Core Unit Clarification Received Date:

 $Transparency\ Report: \underline{https://github.com/makerdao-dux/transparency-reporting/blob/main/Monthy\%20Budget\%20Statements/2022-04.md$

Approved with comments

MIP40c3-SP26: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp26-development-ux-core-unit-budget-dux-001/9774
MIP40c3-SP52: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp52-development-ux-core-unit-budget-dux-001/12085

| Audit Question | | | | Audit Assessment | Core Unit's Clarification | Immediate CU Action to improve transparency report | Medium Term CU Action to improve transparency report |
|---|--------------|-------------|---|--|---------------------------|---|---|
| Audit Questions to the structure of the transparency report and consistency with DUX MIP40c3-SP52 | | | П | | | | |
| Are the sections in the transparency report in the right order: Actuals, Forecast, MKR vesting and Transfers | | | | Yes. | | | |
| Does the actual section include breakdowns per wallet with Budget Category, Forecast, Actuals, Difference and Payments? | | | | Yes. | | | |
| Does the budget forecast cover 3 months with summary and budget category breakdown? | | | | | | | |
| Does the budget forecast summary and breakdown contain the quarterly budget caps for comparison? | | | | Yes. | | | |
| Does the budget forecast summary contain the Global/total budget cap? | \checkmark | | | Yes. | | | |
| Are the budget forecast categories in the transparency report the same as in the MIP40c3-SP52? | \checkmark | | | Yes. | | | |
| Is the operational wallet Multi-sig wallet setup the same as described in the MIP40c3-SP52? | | > | | No. DUX clarified the change of wallet address for one team member in Feb 2022. ETM address eth: Oxéd1cC76c86aaE8eA08b18918aB2eDc4A1465745c was added February 8th 2022 and eth: Oxf666e928BBDEfD84A9DEE179Ac226be16ec850DA was removed on February 9th 2022. Operational wallet controlled by the current DUX Core Unit team Address: Ox8Cd0ad5C55498Aacb72b6689E1da5A284C69c0C7 Signers eth: Ox14341f81dF14cA86E1420eC9e6Abd343Fb1c5bfC eth: Ox386e9e5a889C4cDF3775Zc1AFcF88B75BDE673eE eth: Ox386e965a889C4cDF3775Zc1AFcF8B875BDE673eE eth: Ox3867A9ad50973db860392ZA0b34d0633cb2da0b6d eth: OxD9797da84840aA9335DdA88eBCf163796a1D18 eth: Oxda8E5b5DD1475B98E382a5Df6260Z75A4ff934ZA | | | The Budget Implementation diagram in the MIP40c3-5P52 will be updated with the new operational wallet eth address eth: 0xEdtcC76C86AaE8eA0Bh18918aB2eDc4A146575 cand the removal of eth address eth: 0xf666e928BBDEf084A9DEE179Ac226be16ec85tDA |
| Is the DUX operational wallet controlled by more than 1 signer? | ✓ | | | Yes, the DUX operational wallet has a current policy of 3 out of 6 signers | | | |
| Is the auditorl wallet Multi-sig wallet setup the same as described in the MIP40c3-SP52? | <u>~</u> | | | Yes. The Auditor Wallet requires 2 out of 2 confrimations. Auditor's wallet eth address: eth: 0x5A994D8428CCEbCCL133863CCdA9D28e6352f89ad Signers: Accountant role wallet eth: 0xA2A855Ac8D2a92e8A5a437690875261535c8320C Auditor role wallet eth: 0xB2A85F224949acDDe173a5b8A8160c023ea86e6 | | | |
| Has the DUX auditor wallet receive funds from the protocol? | \sim | | | The Auditor Wallet received 333,661.091562372812372812 DAI from the protocol on April 04, 2022. https://gnosis-safe.io/app/eth: 0x5A994D8428CCEbCC153863CCdA9D2Be6352f89ad/transactions/hist orv | | | |
| Has the DUX auditor wallet sufficient funds to top up the Dux operational wallet? | ✓ | | | Yes. The Auditor Wallet current balance is 258,082.43156237 on May 2nd, 2022. | | | |
| Has the DUX operational wallet received a top-up after the audit of the last transparency report? | ~ | | | Yes, the DUX operational wallet received the top-up amount 122,530 DAI as requested in the March 2022 Budget Statement. https: //etherscan. io/tx/0xeddbb958ec595fc415761ac43787802f01f332dd6fc1b819d930 | | | |

Audit Report

Core Unit Name: DUX

Audit ID: 2022-04-DUX-001

Audit Cycle: 2022- 04 / 1

Audit Result: Approved with comments

Audit Date: 05-02-2022

CU published BS date: 05-01-2022

Facilitator contact: @venture

Core Unit Clarification Received Date:

 $Transparency\ Report: \underline{https://github.com/makerdao-dux/transparency-reporting/blob/main/Monthy\%20Budget\%20Statements/2022-04.md$

 $MIP40c3-SP26: \underline{https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp26-development-ux-core-unit-budget-dux-001/9774}$ MIP40c3-SP52: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp52-development-ux-core-unit-budget-dux-001/12085

| IP40c3-SP27: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp27-development-ux-core-unit-mkr-budget-dux-001/9777 | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| Is the actuals budget category breakdown the same as in the MIP40c3-SP52? | ~ | | | | Yes. | | |
| Is the transparency report actual's forecast the same as the forecast last published for the same month? | ~ | | | | Yes. | | |
| Are the differences between forecast and actuals explained in the transparency report? | ~ | | | | Yes. | | |
| Are the DUX actual expenses within the montly MIP40c3-SP52 buget cap? | \checkmark | | | | Yes. | | |
| Are the DUX actual expenses within the Global/total MIP40c3-SP52 budget cap? | ~ | | | | Yes. | | |
| Has the DUX contributor fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals? | V | | | | The forecast is 90,000 DAI and the actual expense is 84,214.46 DAI, this results in a difference of 7,785.54. DUX explains in the budget statement that the variation is due to estimate. | | |
| Has the DUX travel costs any significant deviations between forecast and actuals? | | | | | Yes, the forecast is 500 and the actual expense is 1,500 DAI. The difference is 1,000 DAI due to not correct forecast of event expense in Amsterdam. According to the payments 6,500 left the ops walet to pay for events, however the actuals for April only registered 1,500 DAI, what is the reason for this? | DUX needs clarify the difference btw. the payments and actuals for this category. | |
| Has the DUX IT Infrastructure and services costs any significant deviations between forecast and actuals? 1.8 | | | | | Yes, the forecast is 5,000 DAI and the actual expense is 5,160.87 DAI, the difference is 160.87 DAI | one occusio security in | |
| Has the DUX gas fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals? | ~ | | | | No. | | |
| Has the DUX Legal Services costs any significant deviations between forecast and actuals? | ~ | | | | No. | | |
| Has the DUX one-time expense any significant deviations between forecast and actuals? | ~ | | | | Yes, the forecast is 500 DAI, and the actual expense is 0. DUX did not have one time expenses in April 2022. | | |
| Has the DUX payment fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals? | ✓ | | | | Yes, the forecast is 5,000 DAI, and the actual expense is 4,910 DAI, this results in a difference of 90. DUX explains in the budget statement that the variation is due to estimate. | | |
| Has the DUX Contractor Services any significant deviations between forecast and actuals? | ~ | | | | No. | | |
| Has the DUX presented the correct calculation of amounts for the actuals, forecast and difference? | ~ | | | | Yes. | | |
| Has the DUX any budget category that seems inconsistent and needs further investigation? | ~ | | | | No. | | |
| Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 2. Budget Forecast - | | | | | | | |
| Does the transparency report display the MIP40c3-SP52 caps for both total and budget categories? 2.1 | V | | | | Yes. | | |
| Are the montly and quarterly budget caps (both totals and per category) the same as in the MIP40c3-SP52? | ~ | | | | Yes. | | |
| Are the quarterly forecast within the bounds of the MIP40c3-SP52 budget caps? | ~ | | | | Yes. | | |
| Are the montly forecast totals within the MIP40c3-PSP52 montly budget cap? | ~ | | | | Yes. | | |
| Has the DUX presented the correct calculation of amounts for the budget forecast? | ~ | | | | Yes. | | |
| Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 3. MKR Vesting Overview - | | | | | | | |
| Has the DUX indicated the number of FTE in the transparency report's MKR vesting overview? | ~ | | | | Yes, the FTE is included in the MKR Vesting overview section of the transparency report. | | |

Audit Report

Core Unit Name: DUX

Audit ID: 2022-04-DUX-001

Audit Cycle: 2022- 04 / 1

Audit Result: Approved with comments

Audit Date: 05-02-2022

CU published BS date: 05-01-2022

Facilitator contact: @venture

Core Unit Clarification Received Date:

 $Transparency\ Report: \underline{https://github.com/makerdao-dux/transparency-reporting/blob/main/Monthy\%20Budget\%20Statements/2022-04.md$

 $MIP40c3-SP26: \underline{https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp26-development-ux-core-unit-budget-dux-001/9774}$

MIP40c3-SP52: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp52-development-ux-core-unit-budget-dux-001/12085

| 40c3-SP27: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp27-development-ux-core-unit-mkr-budget-dux-001/9777 | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| ~ | | | No. The FTE has changed from 6.0 to 5 people working full time and 1 person working 60%. | | | |
| ~ | | | No, the MIP40c3-5P27 includes the following vesting date December 2021 = 0 MKR. However, all other vesting dates are the same as in the MIP40c3-5P27. | | | |
| ~ | | | Yes. | | | |
| ~ | | | No. | | | |
| | | | This control can only be made if the answer to the above question is yes. | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| V | | | Yes. The current wallet balance is 222,000 DAI on Maj 2nd, 2022. DUX is requesting a transfer of 86,000 DAI. | | | |
| — | П | | Yes, there is a perfect match, DUX reported the payment of 105,000 DAI, this matches the DUX operational wallet outgoing transactions (103,500 +6500).https://etherscan. io/tx/0x53b9fa5132/6669127/b4194378626618eab35d1cc27785b4af41 | | | |
| | y | | | | | |