## **Audit Report**

Core Unit Name: RWF-001 Audit ID: 2022-05-RWF-001

Audit Cycle: 2022-05/1

Audit Result: Approved with comments

Audit Date: 07-11-2022

Submission Date: 07-11-2022

Facilitator contact: @will

Core Unit Clarification Received on Date:

 $\underline{\textbf{Transparency Report: https://github.com/makerdao-rwf001/transparency-reporting/blob/main/monthly-budget-statements/2022-05.md}$ 

MIP40c3-SP39: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp39-modify-core-unit-budget-rwf-001/10543

MIP40c3-SP38: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp38-real-world-finance-core-unit-mkr-compensation-rwf-001/10447

 $\underline{MIP40c3\text{-}SP61\text{:}}\ https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3\text{-}sp61\text{-}modify-core-unit-budget-real-world-finance-rwf-001/13152}$ 

RWF-001 Auditor Flow: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/rwf-001-auditor-flow/12900						
Audit Question			Audit Assessment	Core Unit's Clarification	Immediate CU Action to improve transparency report	Medium Term CU Action to improve transparency report
Audit Questions to the Structure of the transparency report and consitency with MIP40c3-SP61.			Note - The RWF-001 has submitted a budget modification MIP40c3-SP61 on March 14th, 2022 and it was ratified on March 28th, 2022.			
Are the sections in the transparency report in the right order: Actuals, Forecast, MKR vesting and Transfers?	$\sim$		Yes.			
Does the actual section include breakdowns per Budget Category, Forecast, Actuals, Difference and 0.2 Payments?			Yes.			
Does the budget forecast cover 3 months with summary and budget category breakdown?			Yes.			
Does the budget forecast summary and breakdown contain the quarterly budget caps for comparison?			Yes.			
Does the budget forecast summary contain the Global/total budget cap?			Yes.			
Are the budget forecast categories in the transparency report the same as in the MIP40c3-SP61?		~	RWF added the Due Diligenge cost category to the table. Expenses related to DD will be covered by the contingency buffer.			
Is the Multi-sig operational wallet setup the same as described in the RWF-001 Auditor Flow?	<b>V</b>		Yes: RWF-001 Operational Wallet quorum (2:3)  Controlled by the RWF-001 Core Unit team Address: 0xD1505ee5007914900E86423538A6A5b92e3550F7 Signers: 0x44d2509074993b858c54B6d276ebD3816FC93A68 0x6E6C287F6c127AFE5e4C830bC4d4607b44c35f8 0xD6DF6661Fb2d06d4F78dd23A5790E46c9989dA1			
Is the RWF-001 operational wallet controlled by more than 1 signer?			Yes, the RWF-001 operational wallet has a current policy of 2 out of 3 signers			
Has the RWF-001 operational wallet received the funds requested in the last Budget Statement?	~		The RWF-001 requested 187,102.16 DAI in their April 2022 budget statement.  The RWF-001 operational wallet did not receive the requested funds due to agreement to skip the transfer of funds.			
Is the Multi-sig auditor wallet setup the same as described in the RWF-001 Auditor Flow?			Yes: RWF-001 Auditor Wallet quorum (2:2)  Controlled by the RWF-001 Core Unit team Address: 0x96d7b01Cc25B141520C717fa369844d34FF116ec Signers: Accountant Role Wallet 0xA2A855Ac8D2a92e8A5a437690875261535c8320C Auditor Role Wallet 0xB2da57e224949acDe173a5b8A8f160c023ea86e6			
Has the RWF-001 auditor wallet receive the funds from the protocol prior transfer to the new RWF-001 wallet?  0.11			The RWF-001 auditor wallet has received 2,055,000 DAI on April 4th, 2022. https://etherscan. io/address/0x96d7b01Cc258141520C717fa369844d34FF116ec#tokentxnsThe current funds are 21,370.23 DAI.			
Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 1. Last Month Actuals -						
Is the actuals budget category breakdown the same as in the MIP40c3-SP61?	~		Yes. The Due Diligence cost category is added to this months actuals.			
Is the transparency report actual's forecast the same as the last published forecast for the same month?	~		Yes.			
Are the differences between forecast and actuals explained in the transparency report?			Yes.			

## **Audit Report**

Core Unit Name: RWF-001 Audit ID: 2022-05-RWF-001

Audit Cycle: 2022-05/1

Audit Result: Approved with comments

Audit Date: 07-11-2022

Submission Date: 07-11-2022

Facilitator contact: @will

Core Unit Clarification Received on Date:

 $\underline{\textbf{Transparency Report: https://github.com/makerdao-rwf001/transparency-reporting/blob/main/monthly-budget-statements/2022-05.md}$ 

MIP40c3-SP39: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp39-modify-core-unit-budget-rwf-001/10543

MIP40c3-SP38: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp38-real-world-finance-core-unit-mkr-compensation-rwf-001/10447

#	Audit Question				Audit Assessment	Core Unit's Clarification	Immediate CU Action to improve transparency report	Medium Term CU Action to improve transparency report
1.4	Are the RWF-001 actual expenses within the montly approved MIP40c3-SP61 buget cap?	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$			Yes.		. , ,	
1.5	Are the RWF-001 actual expenses within the Global/total MIP40c3-SP61 budget cap?	~			Yes.			
1.6	Has the RWF-001 Compensation fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	<b>~</b>			Yes, the forecast for Maj 2022 is 183,450.00 and the actual expense is 115,700.00, which gives a positive difference of 67,750.00.	The RWF-001 explains in the budget statement that this deviation is due to "Grantees onboarding in progress."		
1.3	Has the RWF-001 Professional Services any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	✓			Yes, there is a significant deviation between the forecast and actuals in the professional services category, the forecast is 430,000.00, and the actual expense is 0.	RWF-001 explains in the budget statement that the difference is due to "Variable legal costs postponed".		
1.8	Has the RWF-001 Real-world structures fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	~			No.			
1.5	Has the RWF-001 Payment fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	~			Yes, the payment fees forecast is 26,426.55, and the actual expense is 6,346.56, which gives a positive difference of 20,079.99.	Difference due to a lower amount of DAI processed by Accountable for payments.		
1.10	Has the RWF-001 Gas fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$			The forecast is 1,000 and the actual expense is 0.	No purchase of ETH this month.		
1.1:	Has the RWF-001 Software Costs any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	~			Yes. The forecast is 5,000 and the actual expense is 0.	RWF-001 explained in the budget statement that the difference is due to "Budget not deployed to data infra."		
1.13	Has the RWF-001 Travel Costs any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	~			Yes. The forecast is 3,000 and the actual expense is 501.71, this gives a positive difference of 2,498.29.	Actual travel costs bewl forecast.		
1.13	Has the RWF-001 Due Diligence any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	~			Yes. the forecast is 10,000 and the actual expense is 2,658.00, this gives a positive difference of 7,342.00.	DD visits actuals below forecast		
1.14	Has the RWF-001 presented the correct calculation of amounts for the actuals, forecast and difference?	~			Yes.			
1.1	Has the RWF-001 any budget category that seems inconsistent and needs further investigation?	~			No.			
	Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 2. Budget Forecast -							
2.:	Does the transparency report display the summary of the MIP40c3-61 approved caps for both total and budget categories?	~			Yes.			
2.2	Are the monthly and quarterly budget caps (both totals and per category) the same as in the MIP40c3-61?	~			Yes.			
2.3	Are the monthly forecast totals within the MIP40c3-61 monthly budget cap?		<b>~</b>		The forecast for the budget categories compensation, exceed the monthly approved budget cap for the period June to August 2022 due to an increase in FTE. Payment fees forecast is above the approved budget cap. The monthly forecast total is, however, within the boundaries of the MIP40c3-61 monthly budget cap.			RWF-001 should review the forecast for the payment fees, the forecast is too high compar to the actuals.
2.4	is the quarterly forecast within the bounds of the MIP40c3-61 budget caps?	~			Yes.			
	Audit Questions to Section Transparency Report - Section 3. MKR Vesting Overview -							
	Has the RWF-001 indicated the number of FTE in the transparency report's MKR vesting overview?	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$			Yes.			

## **Audit Report**

Core Unit Name: RWF-001 Audit ID: 2022-05-RWF-001 Audit Cycle: 2022-05/1 Audit Result: Approved with comments

Audit Date: 07-11-2022

Submission Date: 07-11-2022

Facilitator contact: @will

Core Unit Clarification Received on Date:

 $\underline{\textbf{Transparency Report: https://github.com/makerdao-rwf001/transparency-reporting/blob/main/monthly-budget-statements/2022-05.md}$ 

MIP40c3-SP39: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp39-modify-core-unit-budget-rwf-001/10543

MIP40c3-SP38: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp38-real-world-finance-core-unit-mkr-compensation-rwf-001/10447

MIP40c3-SP61: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp61-modify-core-unit-budget-real-world-finance-rwf-001 RWF-001 Auditor Flow: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/rwf-001-auditor-flow/12900	1/13152						
g Audit Question				Audit Assessment	Core Unit's Clarification	Immediate CU Action to improve transparency report	Medium Term CU Action to improve transparency report
Has the MKR vesting overview the same FTE comparing it to the MIP40c3-SP38?	~			No. The FTE has changed from 7 FTE to 5.7 FTE.			
Are the MKR vesting dates the same as in the MIP40c3-SP38?	~			Yes.			
Has RWF-001 changed the MKR amounts comparing it to the MIP40c3-SP38?	~			Yes. The current RWF-001 Budget has a total of 1,379 MKR, the approved budget cap is 1,680 MKR.			
Has RWF-001 explained the MKR change in the transparency report?	~			Yes, the MKR differences are due to FTE changes.			
Has RWF-001 Auditor wallet Received the April 2022 MKR bonus amount from the protocol?	~			RWF-001 has requested the vested MKR.			
Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 4. Transfers -							
Are the payments from the operational wallet the same as in the actual section payments?	~			Yes. The payments in the budget statement is 125,295.24 and the trx. from the operational wallet is 125,295.24 DAI.			
Does the RWF-001 operational wallet balances match the transparency report current balance in the calculation made for the new transfer?  42	<b>V</b>		_	Yes, the RWF-001 operational wallet balance is 1,694,441.25 on July 10th 2022. https://gnosis-safe.io/app/eth: 0x0150Ses500791490DE86423538A6A5b92e3550F7/transactions/history			
Are the values in the RWF-001 Auditor wallet table correct?	~			Yes.			
Does the RWF-001 Auditor wallet balance match the transparency report auditor current balance?	~			Yes. The RWF-001 Auditor wallet balance on July 10th, 2022 is 21,349.07 DAI.			
Has the RWF-001 auditor wallet enough funds to be sent to the RWF-001 operational wallet?	<b>~</b>			RWF-001 has excess funds that will be returned to the auditor wallet. SES will coordinate the transfer. RWF-001 will return the accrued funds in the DssVest. SES will coordinate the transfer.			