Audit Report

Core Unit Name: DUX

Audit D: 2022-11-DUX-001

Audit Cycle - 2022-11-1

Audit Cycle - 2022-11-201

Audit Season Se

Z	WIP40C5-5FZ/: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40C5-5pz/-developmenc-ux-core-unic-mkr-budger-dux-00.1517/	7				
*	Audit Question		Audit Assessment	Core Unit's Clarification	Immediate CU Action to improve transparency report	Medium Term CU Action to improve transparency report
	Audit Questions to the structure of the transparency report and consistency with DUX MIP40c3-SP52					
0.1	Are the sections in the transparency report in the right order: Actuals, Forecast, MKR vesting and 0.1 Transfers?	<u> </u>	Tes.			
0.2	Does the actual section include breakdowns per wallet with Budget Category, Forecast, Actuals, 0.2 Difference and Payments?	<u> </u>	□ Yes.			
0.3	Does the budget forecast cover 3 months with summary and budget category breakdown?	<u> </u>	□ Yes.			
9.0	Does the budget forecast summary and breakdown contain the quarterly budget caps for comparison?	<u> </u>	Ves.			
0.5	Does the budget forecast summary contain the Global/total budget cap? 0.5	<u> </u>	□ Yes.			
0.6	Are the budget forecast categories in the transparency report the same as in the MIP40c3-SP52?		DUX has adopted the standard MakerDAO nomenclature for the budget categories.			
			No. DUX clarified the change of wallet address for one team member in feb 202. ETH address eth:06EdLcC76EdBateBateBateBateBateBateAd41465745c was added February 81th 2022 and eth:07EG6628BateBateBateBateBateBateBateBateBateBate			
0	Is the operational wallet. Multi-sig wallet setup the same as described in the MIP40c3-SP52?		Operational wallet controlled by the current DUX Core Unit team Address: 0x8Cd0ad5c55498Aacb72b6689E1da5A284C69C07 Signers: 0x8Cd0ad5c55498Aacb72b6689E1da5A284C69C07 Signers: 0x10x13x14R1dF14cA8EE1420eC9e6Abd343Fb1c5bfC eth;0x38e8625889C4cd7875C1AcR68875B6E78EE eth;0x38e8652889C4cd7875C1AcR68875B6E78EE eth;0x88e873ad58973adb663322Adb68e6F1C879F0a1018 eth;0x6867Aad5805D1475888282A5C875447447342A			The Budget Implementation diagram in the MIP402-3-592 was updated with the new person of person of the person of t
0.8	Is the DUX operational wallet controlled by more than 1 signer?					
0.9	is the auditorl wallet Multi-sig wallet setup the same as described in the MIP40c3-5P52?		Yes. The Auditor Wallet requires 2 out of 2 confirmations. Auditor's wallet eth address: eth:05:Apple328CCECF213883.2CGA9D2Be6352f89ad Signess: Accountant role wallet eth:0x42A855Ac8D2a92e8A5a437690875261535c8320C Auditor role wallet eth:0x62A85762899acDDe173a5b8A81600732as86e6			
0.10	Has the DUX auditor wallet receive funds from the protocol?		The Auditor Wallet received 804,191.45048903 DAI from the protocol on November 2nd, 202.  https://grosis-safe.o/spp/eth:0b5A94D8428CCEbCC153863CC4A9D  28e6352R99ad/transactions/history			
0.11	Has the DUX auditor wallet sufficient funds to top up the Dux operational wallet?	<u> </u>	Yes. The Auditor Wallet's current balance is 672,103.32 DAI on December 19th, 2022.			
0.12	Has the DUX operational wallet received a top-up after the audit of the last transparency report?		No. DUX has not received the requested funds in the October 2022 due to a delay in the production of expense report caused by a general expense revision.			
	Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 1. Last Month Actuals -					
17	is the actuals budget category breakdown the same as in the MIP40c3-5P52?	<u> </u>	Yes. DUX has adopted the standard MakerDAO nomenclature.			

Audit Report
Core Unit Name: DUX
Audit ID: 2022-11-DUX-001
Audit Cycle: 2022-11/1
Audit Date: 12-19-2022

CU published BS date: 12-14-2022
Audit Result: Needs Action
Facilitator contact & eventure
Core Unit Clarification Received Date:
Transparency Report: https://github.com/makerdao-cut/transparency-reporting/blob/main/Monthyk20Budget%20Statements/2022-11.md
MIPAG-8-5P2: https://forum.makerdao.com/LimipAG-8-392-developmentsus-core-unit-budget-deus-001/12085
MIPAG-8-5P2: https://forum.makerdao.com/LimipAG-8-392-developmentsus-core-unit-budget-dua-001/12085
MIPAG-8-5P2: https://forum.makerdao.com/LimipAG-8-3927-development-us-core-unit-budget-dua-001/9777

		1				
Is the transparency report actual's forecast the same as the forecast last published for the same month?	ished for the same month?	□ □	Yes.			
Are the differences between forecast and actuals explained in the transparency report $_{1.3}$	y report?	□ □	] Yes.			
Are the DUX actual expenses within the montly MIP40c3-SP52 buget cap? $_{\rm L4}$		2	□ Yes.			
Are the DUX actual expenses within the Global/total MIP40c3-5P52 budget cap?	p?	2	□ Yes.			
Has the DUX Compensation & Benefits any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	scast and actuals?	<u> </u>	The forecast is 89,635.36 DAI and the actual expense is 89,928.44 DAI, this results in a negative difference of 1,293.08.	DUX explains in the budget report that the difference is due to "Variation on estimate. No outstanding reason."		
Has the DUX Travel & Entertainment any significant deviations between forecast and actuals? $^{17}$	ast and actuals?	2	Ves. The forecast is 4,500 and the actual expense is 5,533.27, this results in a negative difference of 4,033.27.	DUX explains in the budget report that the difference is due to "Event sponsorship paid for."		
Has the DUX Software Expense any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	d actuals?	<u>&gt;</u>	Yes, the forecast is 4,700 DAI and the actual expense is 4,259.94 DAI, which gives a negative difference of 10.			
Has the DUX Gas Expense any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	rals?		This budget category was not reported in the Actuals section.		Report the budget category even if the forecast is 0 and the actual is 0.	
Has the DUX Admin Expense any significant deviations between forecast and actuals:	actuals?	> -	Yes, the forecast is 4,700 and the actual expense is 4,437.31	DUX explains that the difference is due to "Slight deviation or setmation without outstanding reason, is a percentage of total costs. Payment to cover credit card expenses."		
Has the DUX one-time expense any significant deviations between forecast and actuals:	d actuals?		This budget category was not reported in the Actual section.			
Has the DUX Professional Services any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	and actuals?		This budget category was not reported in the Actual section.			
Has the DUX presented the correct calculation of amounts for the actuals, forecast and difference?	cast and difference?	2	] Yes.			
Has the DUX any budget category that seems inconsistent and needs further investigation? $^{1.14}$	nvestigation?	<u>&gt;</u>	No.			
Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 2. Budget Forecast -						
Does the transparency report display the MIP40c3-SP52 caps for both total and budget categories? $^{2.1}$	d budget categories?	<u>&gt;</u>	□ Yes.			
Are the montly and quarterly budget caps (both totals and per category) the same as in the $_{\rm 22}$ MIP40c3-5P52?	ame as in the	2	□ Yes.			
Are the quarterly forecast within the bounds of the MIP40c3-SP52 budget caps?	555	<u> </u>	□ Yes.			
Are the montly forecast totals within the MIP40c3-PSP52 montly budget cap?		<u> </u>	□ Yes.			
Has the DUX presented the correct calculation of amounts for the budget forecast?	cast?	<b>D</b>	□ Yes.			
Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 3. MKR Vesting Overview -						
Has the DUX indicated the number of FTE in the transparency report's MKR vesting overview? $^{3.1}$	sting overview?	> -	Yes, the FTE is included in the MKR Vesting overview section of the transparency report.			
Has the MKR vesting overview the same FTE comparing it to the MIP40c3-SP277	77	□ <b>&gt;</b>				
Are the MKR vesting dates the same as in the MIP40c3-SP27?			No, the MIP40c3-SP27 includes the following vesting date December 2021 = 0 MKR. However, all other vesting dates are the same as in the MIP40c3-SP27.			
Is the MKR total budget cap in the transparency report the same as in the approved MIP40c3-SP27?	roved MIP40c3-SP27?	<u> </u>	□ Yes.			

Audit Report

Core Unit Name: DUX

Audit D: 2022-11-DUX-001

Audit D: 2022-11-DUX-001

Audit D: 2022-11-DUX-001

Audit D: 2022-11-DUX-001

Audit Cycle: 2022-11/1

Audit Result-Needs Action

Facilitator contact: ©venture

Core Unit Clarification Received Date:

Transparency Report-https://fgrubu.com/makerdao-dux/transparency-reporting/blob/main/Monthyk20Budget5k20Statements/2022-11.md

MIPA0G-5-8PS: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40G-3-sp22-development-ux-core-unit-budget-dux-001/2772

MIPA0G-5-8PS: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40G-3-sp22-development-ux-core-unit-budget-dux-001/2772

		DUX needs to correct the MMR actuals as indicated under assessment.						DUX needs to correct the Estimate value and Transfer value as indicated under accessment
DUX explains the budget statement that the reason for the change from 210.85 MKR to 180.6 MKR is sue to "One worker is part time.)		DUX needs to correct under assessment.						
Yes. The MKR amount with vesting date Dec 31, 2022 has changed if from 210.85 to 180.6 due to a decrease in FTE.	Yes.	Delete the actual MKR of 180.6 in the November 2022 report and correct the MKR actuals total annount to 355.66. The 180.6 correct the MKR actuals total annount to 355.66. The 180.6 correct the MKR actual count to the added to the December 2022 report as MKR actual dut to the fact that the transfer will occur in December 2022.		<b>▼</b> □ □   Yes.	\$	No. See point 3.8.	<b>▼</b>	Delete the word "test" at the button of the Transfer section and add
Has DUX changed the MKR amounts comparing it to the last published transparency report?	Has DUX explained the MKR change in the transparency report? $^{3.7}$	Other observations 3.8	Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 4. Transfers -	Does the DUX operational wallet balance match the transparency report current balance in the 4.1 calculation made for the new transfer?	Are the payments from the operational wallet the same as in the actuals payments? $4.2$	Are the MKR actuals in the MKR table correct?	s the DUX auditor wallet current balance in MKR correct?	Other observations