Audit Report

Core Unit Name: DUX

Audit ID: 2022-12-DUX-001 Audit Cycle: 2022-12/1

Audit Cycle: 2022-12/1
Audit Date: 01-30-2023

CU published BS date: 01-19-2023

Audit Result: Approved with comments

Facilitator contact: @venture

Core Unit Clarification Received Date: 01-30-2023

 $Transparency \ Report: https://github.com/makerdao-dux/transparency-reporting/blob/main/Monthy\%20Budget\%20Statements/2022-12.md$

MIP40c3-SP82: https://mips.makerdao.com/mips/details/MIP40c3SP82#paragraph-summary

MIP40c3-SP26: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp26-development-ux-core-unit-budget-dux-001/9774

MIP40c3-SP52: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp52-development-ux-core-unit-budget-dux-001/12085

MIP40c3-SP27: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp27-development-ux-core-unit-mkr-budget-dux-001/9	Ш							
Audit Question					Audit Assessment	Core Unit's Clarification	Immediate CU Action to improve transparency report	Medium Term CU Action to improve transparency report
Audit Questions to the structure of the transparency report and consistency with DUX MIP40c3-SP52 and MIP40c3-SP82					Note that DUX has a new approved budget per Feb 1st. 2023 MIP40c3-SP82			
Are the sections in the transparency report in the right order: Actuals, Forecast, MKR vesting and $_{0.1}$ Transfers?	~				Yes.			
Does the actual section include breakdowns per wallet with Budget Category, Forecast, Actuals, 0.2 Difference and Payments?	~				Yes.			
Does the budget forecast cover 3 months with summary and budget category breakdown?	~				Yes.			
Does the budget forecast summary and breakdown contain the quarterly budget caps for comparison? $^{0.4}$	~				Yes.			
Does the budget forecast summary contain the Global/total budget cap?	\checkmark				Yes.			
Are the budget forecast categories in the transparency report the same as in the MIP40c3-SP52?		~			DUX has adopted the standard MakerDAO nomenclature for the budget categories.			
Is the operational wallet Multi-sig wallet setup the same as described in the MIP40c3-SP52?					No. DUX clarified the change of wallet address for one team member in Feb 2022. ETH address ethiOxEd12CFG66A64E8A08b1B918a82eDc4A1465745c was added February 8th 2022 and eth:Oxf666e9288BDETD8A49DEE179Ac226be16ec850DA was removed on February 9th 2022. Operational wallet controlled by the current DUX Core Unit team			
	✓				Address: 0x8Cd0ad5C55498Aacb72b6689E1da5A284C69c0C7 Signers eth:0x14341f81dF14cA86E1420eC9e6Abd343Fb1c5bfC eth:0x388e9e5a889C4cbF3753C1AFrF888F36bE673eE eth:0x38679Aa680973ad86803242b054d063d2bd0dd eth:0x0797d7ea48440aA933c9DdA88eSCF1C879F0a1018 eth:0xd88E5b5D01475898E332a5Dfe2602754Aff5342A eth:0xd88E5b5D01475898E332a5Dfe2602754Aff5342A			The Budget Implementation diagram in the MIPA0c3-SP52 was updated with the new operational wallet eth address eth:0x6c1c7c6686AESeA0B51B918aB2eDc4A14 65745c and the removal of eth address eth:0xf6666e328BDEf084A9DEE179Ac226be16e c850DA
Is the DUX operational wallet controlled by more than 1 signer?	~				Yes, the DUX operational wallet has a current policy of 3 out of 6 signers.			
is the auditori wallet Multi-sig wallet setup the same as described in the MIP40c3-SP52?					Yes. The Auditor Wallet requires 2 out of 2 confirmations. Auditor's wallet eth address: eth:bis.85940842BCCEBCCI153863CCA9D2Be6352f89ad Signers: Accountant role wallet eth:bix.2a855Ac8D2a92e8A59437690875261535c8320C Auditor role wallet			
0.9	~	ш	ш	ш	eth:0xB2da57e224949acDDe173a5b8A8160c023ea86e6			
Has the DUX auditor wallet receive funds from the protocol?	V				The Auditor Wallet received 804,191.45048903 DAI from the protocol on November 2nd, 2022. https://genosis-safe.io/app/eth:0x5A994D8428CCEbCC153863CCdA9D 2Be6352f893d/transactions/history			
Has the DUX auditor wallet sufficient funds to top up the Dux operational wallet?					Yes. The Auditor Wallet's current balance is DAI 994,063.39 on January 30th, 2023. The Auditor wallet will receive 2,481.00 DAI from DAI stream ID 22 on January 31st, 2023. This will be the last vest from ID22			
Has the DUX operational wallet received a top-up after the audit of the last transparency report?	~				Yes. DUX has received the requested funds in the November 2022.			
Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 1. Last Month Actuals -								
Is the actuals budget category breakdown the same as in the MIP40c3-SP52?	~				Yes. DUX has adopted the standard MakerDAO nomenclature.			
Is the transparency report actual's forecast the same as the forecast last published for the same month?	~				Yes.			
Are the differences between forecast and actuals explained in the transparency report?	~				Yes.			

Are the DUX actual expenses within the monthy MIP40c3-SP52 buget cap?	~				Yes.				
Are the DUX actual expenses within the Global/total MIP40c3-SP52 budget cap?	~				Yes.				
Has the DUX Compensation & Benefits any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?					The forecast is 85,428.44 DAI and the actual expense is 106,542.79 DAI, this results in a negative difference of 21,114.35. DUX has exceeded its monthly approved budget in this category. However, the overall actual expenses remain within the total budget cap. Professional Services."				
Has the DUX Travel & Entertainment any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	~				Yes. The forecast is 4,500 and the actual expense is 6,200.76, this results in a negative difference of 1,700.76. "Higher acommodation costs."				
Has the DUX Software Expense any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?		<u> </u>			Yes, the forecast is 2,323.61 and the actual expenxe is 15,865.01, this gives a negative difference od 13,541.40. This expense is over the approved budget of 9,000 per month, however the total expenses are within the monthly approved budget cap.	Review comment.			
Has the DUX Gas Expense any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	~				No.	Report the budget category even if the forecast is 0 and the actual is 0.			
Has the DUX Admin Expense any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	~				Yes, the forecast is 4,700 DAI and the actual expense is 5,243.87 DAI, which gives a negative diff. of 543.87. "Higher payment processor fees."				
					This budget category was not reported in the Actual section.				
Has the DUX Professional Services any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	~				Yes. The forecast is 14,500 and the actual expense is 4,500, this gives a diff. of 10,000. "Temporary member compensation originally forecasted under this category."				
Has the DUX presented the correct calculation of amounts for the actuals, forecast and difference?	~				Yes.				
Has the DUX any budget category that seems inconsistent and needs further investigation?									
Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 2. Budget Forecast -		_			Note that DUX has a new approved budget per Feb 1st. 2023 MIP40c3-SP82				
Does the transparency report display the MIP40c3-SP52 and the MIP40c3-SP82 caps for both total and 2.1 budget categories?	~				The MIP40c3-SP82 Budget cap was added to the budget forecast section				
Are the montly and quarterly budget caps (both totals and per category) the same as in the MIP40c3-SP52 and MIP-40c3-SP82?									
Are the quarterly forecast within the bounds of the MIP40c3-SP52 and MIP-40c3-SP82 budget caps?									
Are the montly forecast totals within the MIP40c3-PSP52 and MIP-40c3-SP82 montly budget cap?									
Has the DUX presented the correct calculation of amounts for the budget forecast?	~				Yes.				
Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 3. MKR Vesting Overview -									
3.1 Has the DUX indicated the number of FTE in the transparency report's MKR vesting overview?		V			Yes, the FTE is included in the MKR Vesting overview section of the transparency report. Dux needs to review the current FTE.	DUX needs to take action as indicated under assessment.			
Has the MKR vesting overview the same FTE comparing it to the MIP40c3-SP27?	П		П		DUX needs to review the FTE and indicate the correct FTE for Dec. 2022.	DUX needs to take action as indicated under assessment.			
Are the MKR vesting dates the same as in the MIP40c3-SP27?					No, the MIP40c3-SP27 includes the following vesting date December 2021 = 0 MKR. However, all other vesting dates are the same as in the MIP40c3-SP27.				
Is the MKR total budget cap in the transparency report the same as in the approved MIP40c3-SP27?									
Has DUX changed the MKR amounts comparing it to the last published transparency report?					DUX explains the budget statement that the reason form 210.85 to 180.6 due to a decrease in FTE. DUX explains the budget statement that the reason form 210.85 to 180.6 due to "Governor 210.85 to 180.6 due to "Governor 210.85" to 180.6 due to a decrease in FTE.				
Has DUX explained the MKR change in the transparency report?									
Other observations									
3.8 Other observations Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 4. Transfers -									
Does the DUX operational wallet balance match the transparency report current balance in the 4.1 calculation made for the new transfer?	~				Yes.				
Are the payments from the operational wallet the same as in the actuals payments?	~				Yes.				
Are the MKR actuals in the MKR table correct?	~				Yes.				
Is the DUX auditor wallet current balance in MKR correct?	~				Yes.				
Other observations					The DUX auditor wallet will return 748,494.08 DAI to Dssblow on January 31st. 2023. The auditor wallet will have a balance of 0 DAI before calling funds from the new stream that has an approved budget of 1,611,420 DAI.				