Audit Report **Audit Report**

Core Unit Name: TechOps-001 Audit ID: 2022-02-TechOps-001 Audit Cycle: 2022-02/1

Audit Result: Needs CU action

Audit Date: 03-31-2022

Submission Date: 03-31-2022 Facilitator contact: @eskp

Core Unit Clarification Received on Date:

Transparency Report: https://github.com/MakerOps/tech-001-transparency-reporting/blob/main/2022-02.md

MIP40c3-SP53: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp53-techops-core-unit-dai-budget/12072



MIP40c3-SP54: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp54-techops-core-unit-mkr-budget/12079						
Audit Question			Audit Assessment	Core Unit's Clarification	Immediate CU Action to improve transparency report	Medium Term CU Action to improve transparency report
Audit Questions to the Structure of the transparency report and consitency with MIP40c3-SP53.						
Are the sections in the transparency report in the right order: Actuals, Forecast, MKR vesting and Transfers?	~		Yes.			
Does the actual section include breakdowns per Budget Category, Forecast, Actuals, Difference and 0.2 Payments?	~		Yes.			
Does the budget forecast cover 3 months with summary and budget category breakdown?	~		Yes.			
Does the budget forecast summary and breakdown contain the quarterly budget caps for comparison? 0.4	~		Yes.			
Does the budget forecast summary contain the Global/total budget cap?	~		Yes.			
Are the budget forecast categories in the transparency report the same as in the MIP40c3-SP53?	V		The budget forecast categories are consistent with MIP40c3-SP53, except for the Gas fees category, which was not budgeted in the MIP. Techops has a contingency category in the budget to cover the Gas expenses.			
Is the Multi-sig operational wallet setup the same as described in MIP40c3-SP53?	✓		Yes: TechOps/TOCU-001 Operational Wallet quorum (2:2) Controlled by the TechOps Core Unit team Address: Signers: eth:0xa60C72refe539166F1C4a6cE2512F3cBF451fFbc5 eth:0xcebd4Fa8c2c6014F2F7924De3Ae617BdD42ca25B			
is the TechOps operational wallet controlled by more than 1 signer?	~		Yes, the TechOps operational wallet has a current policy of 2 out of 2 signers			
Has the TechOps operational wallet received the funds requested in the last Budget Statement?	~		The Techops operational wallet received 366,706 DAI on February 7th, 2022.			
Is the Multi-sig auditor wallet setup the same as described in the Techops Auditor Flow?	✓		Yes: Techops Auditor Wallet quorum (2:2) Controlled by the SES Core Unit. Address: eth:0x2dC042DA736D1F40893B9481D8968E4D7424bC0B Signers: Accountant Role Wallet 0xA2A855Ac8D2a92e8A5a437690875261535c8320C Auditor Role Wallet 0xB2da57e224949acD0e173a5b8A8160c023ea86e6			
Has the Auditor wallet received the funds from the protocol?	~		Yes. The TechOps Auditor wallet received the initial funds of 1,069,250 DAI on Jan 31, 2022.			
Has the TechOps Auditor wallet sufficiend funds to send to the TechOps operational wallet?	~		Yes. The TechOps Auditor wallet balance is 702,545.00 on March 31st, 2022.			
Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 1. Last Month Actuals -						
Is the actuals budget category breakdown the same as in the MIP40c3-SP53?	~		Yes, except the budget catefory Gas fees and Bank fees, these are new budget categories.			
is the transparency report actual's forecast the same as the last published forecast for the same month?	~		All the relevant categories are presented in the actuals section.			
Are the differences between forecast and actuals explained in the transparency report?	~		Yes.			

Audit Report								
Are the TechOps CU actual expenses within the montly approved MIP40c3-SP53 buget cap?	~				Yes. The February total actual expenses is 96,215.			
Are the TechOps CU actual expenses within the Global/total MIP40c3-SP39 budget cap?	~				Yes.			
Has the TechOps CU Compensation fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals? 1.6	~				Yes, the forecast for Feb 2022 is 47,929 and the actual expense is 43,380.03, which gives a difference of 4,548.97.	TechOps explains in the budget statement that this significant deviation is due to a contract set up.		
Has the TechOps CU Cloud Infrastructure fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals? 1.7	✓				Yes, the forecast is 30,000 and the actual expense is 27,717.62, this givies a positive difference of 2,282.38.	Diff. due to "Infrastructure costs optimizations."		
Has the TechOps CU Tools` fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	✓				Yes, the forecast is 3,000 and the actual expense is 2,055.84, this gives a positive difference of 944.16.	TechOps explained in the budget statement that the difference is due to "Safety margin, some services decommissioned others downscaled."		
Has the TechOps CU Accounting fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	~				Yes, the forecast is 2,900 and the actual expense is 3,406.42, the difference is -906.42.	The higher fee is due to a "new accounting provider."		
Has the TechOps CU Legal Consultancy fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	~				Yes. The forecast is 4,166 and the actual expense is 1,057.27, the difference is 3,109.73.	TechOps explained in the budget statement that the difference is due to "the payment of the initial fee only."		
Has the TechOps CU Gas fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?		~			Yes, the forecast is 500 and the actual expense is 17,000.	TechOps explained that the high expense is due to "Gas for 2 keepers - d3m and autoline - should last 3 months min."		TechOps should improve the forecast for Gas expenses.
Has the TechOps CU Deposits any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	~				Yes, the forecast is 0 and the amount sent for deposit is 1,286.34.	Diff. due to "This deposit is part of an employee agreement."		
Has the TechOps CU Bank fees any significant deviation between forecast and actuals?	~				Yes, the forecast is 600 and the actual expense is 311.45. The difference is 288.55.	Diff. due to "New bank set up and lower bank fees than expected."		
Has the TechOps CU any significan deviation between the total actuals and the total payments?	~				Yes, the total actuals is 96,215.00 and the total payments is 107,996.00.	The difference is due to "Positive balance with Accountable."		
Has the TechOps CU presented the correct calculation of amounts for the actuals, forecast and difference?	~				Yes.			
Has the TechOps CU budget statement any budget category that seems inconsistent and needs further 1.16 investigation?	~				No.			
Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 2. Budget Forecast -								
Does the transparency report display the summary of the MIP40c3-SP53 approved caps for both total and budget categories?	~				Yes. The budget statement displays the MIP40c3-SP53 approved budget caps.			
Are the monthly and quarterly budget caps (both totals and per category) the same as in the MIP40c3-53?	✓				TechOps has forecasted only the relevant budget categories for Mar, Apr, and Maj 2022.			
Are the monthly forecast totals within the MIP40c3-53 monthly budget caps?		~			The forecast amounts for the accounting and recruiting fees exceed their monthly budget caps. However, these can be covered by the contingency budget.			
Is the quarterly forecast within the bounds of the MIP40c3-39 budget caps?	~				Yes.			
Audit Questions to Section Transparency Report - Section 3. MKR Vesting Overview -								
Has the TechOps CU indicated the number of FTE in the transparency report's MKR vesting overview?	~				Yes.			
			Y		Yes, however the FTE table in this section needs to be corrected, the values sum is 2.3. The project manager needs to be changed to 1 in the headcount cell, and the corresponding FTE needs to be updated.		TechOps needs to correct the data in the FTE table.	
Are the MKR vesting dates the same as in the MIP40c3-SP38?	~							
Has TechOps CU changed the MKR amounts comparing it to the MIP40c3-SP38?	~				Yes. The current TechOps Budget has a total of 1,379 MKR, the approved budget cap is 1,680 MKR.			
Has TechOps CU explained the MKR change in the transparency report?	~				Yes, the MKR differences are due to FTE changes.			
Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 4. Transfers -								
Does the TechOps operational wallet balances match the transparency report current balance in the calculation made for the new transfer? 4.1			V		Yes, the TechOps operational wallet balance is 146,233.20 on March 31st., 2022. The link to the multisig wallet in the budget statement needs to be updated with the techOps auditor wallet et had divess.		The link to the multi-sig wallet in the budget statement should be updated with this link https: //gnosis-safe.io/app/eth: Ox2dC0420A736D1F40893B9481D8968E4D7424bC0B/transactions/history	
Are the payments from the operational wallet the same as in the actual section payments?	abla							

Audit Report							
Are the values in the TechOps Auditor wallet table correct?	Z		Yes. The auditor wallet received 1,069,250 since inception from the protocol and 1 DAI from an external wallet fora wallet test. The auditor has transferred 366,706.00 to the TechOps operational wallet. The current balance is 702,545.				
Does the TechOps Auditor wallet balance match the transparency report auditor current balance?			Yes.				