Audit Report

Core Unit Name: DUX

Audit D: 2022-10-DUX-001.

Audit D: 2022-10-DUX-002.

Audit D: 2022-10-DUX-002.

Audit Cycle: 2022-10/1

Audit Cycle: 2022-10/1

Audit Cycle: 12-14-2022

CU published 85 date: 12-14-2022

CU published 85 date: 12-14-2022

Audit Result: Needs Action

Facilitator contact: Weeds Action

Facilitator

MIP40c3-5P.Z/; https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp.Z/-development-ux-core-unit-mkr-budget-dux-001/9///					
# Audit Question		Audit Assessment	Core Unit's Clarification	Immediate CU Action to improve transparency report	Medium Term CU Action to improve transparency report
Audit Questions to the structure of the transparency report and consistency with DUX MIP40c3-SP52					
Are the sections in the transparency report in the right order: Actuals, Forecast, MKR vesting and 0.1 Transfers?		□ Yes.			
Does the actual section include breakdowns per wallet with Budget Category, Forecast, Actuals, 0.2 Difference and Payments?	<u> </u>] Yes.			
	<u>></u>	yes.			
budget caps for comparison?		□ Yes.			
Does the budget forecast summary contain the Global/total budget cap?	□] Yes.			
Are the budget forecast categories in the transparency report the same as in the MIP40c3-5P52?	2				
		No. DUX clarified the change of wallet address for one team member in Feb 2022. ETH address the context and the change of wallet address for one team member ethors/edica/EGGSABEAABEAABEABEABEABEABEABEABEABEABEABEAB			
Is the operational wallet Multi-sig wallet setup the same as described in the MIP40c3-SP52?		Operational wallet controlled by the current DUX Core Unit team Address: 0x8ct0ad5c55498Aach7Zb6689E1da5A284C69c0C7 Signer Core Unit team Signer Core Unit team Core Core Unit team Core Core Core Core Core Core Core Core		F 2 0 0 0 0	The Budget Implementation diagram in the MIP40C3-SP52 was updated with the new particular land left the didness performed will be the hardness ethiored LAC76C66ARE Readobia ID915ab2cDc4A14 657ASc and the removal of eth address edsoba Readobia Rea
is the DUX operational wallet controlled by more than 1 signer? $_{\rm 0.8}$		Yes, the DUX operational wallet has a current policy of 3 out of 6 signers.			
Is the auditori wallet Multi-sig wallet setup the same as described in the MIP40c3-SP52?		Yes. The Auditor Wallet requires 2 out of 2 confirmations. Auditor's wallet eth address: ethoKA-SADGBAZECKECKECKCLA9D2Be6332189ad Signers: Accountant role wallet ethoKA-SASSA-RABZI-B22-BA37-690875-261535-68320C Auditor role wallet ethoKA-BA37-B2249499acDbe173a5b8A8160c023ea86e6			
Has the DUX auditor wallet receive funds from the protocol?		The Auditor Wallet received 804,191.45048903 DAI from the protocol on Nov 02, 2022. https://gronsis-safe.jo/app/eth:0x5A994D8428CCEbCCI53863CCdA9D 28eG352189ad/transactions/history			
Has the DUX auditor wallet sufficient funds to top up the Dux operational wallet?		Yes. The Auditor Wallet's current balance is 672,103.32 DAI on December 19th, 2022. However the October 2022 request for funds will be skippet as the November 2022 funds request will be sent out			
Has the DUX operational wallet received a top-up after the audit of the last transparency report?		Yes. The DUX operational wallet received 192,889 DAI on Nov 22nd, 2022.httbs://etherscan.io/tv/0x13174d/97b44ddb2a125f60c82b9			

Audit Report
Core Unit Name: DUX
Audit ID: 2022-10-DUX-001
Audit Cycle: 2022-10/1
Audit Oxele: 12-16-2022
Cu published 8S date: 12-14-2022
Audit Result: Needs Action
Facilitator contact: @venture

Core Unit Clarification Received Date:
Transparency Report: https://github.com/makerdao-dux/transparency-reporting/blob/main/Monthy%20Budget%20Statements/2022-10.md
MIP40c3-SP72: https://focum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp26-development-ux-core-unit-budget-dux-001/3774
MIP40c3-SP52: https://focum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp22-development-ux-core-unit-budget-dux-001/12085
MIP40c3-SP27: https://focum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp27-development-ux-core-unit-budget-dux-001/9777

Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 1. Last Month Actuals -						
is the actuals budget category breakdown the same as in the MIP40c3-SP52? $^{1.1}$	<u> </u>		□ Yes.			
is the transparency report actual's forecast the same as the forecast last published for the same month? $^{1.2}$	<u> </u>		□ Yes.			
Are the differences between forecast and actuals explained in the transparency report? $_{1.3}$	□ >		□ Yes.			
Are the DUX actual expenses within the montly MIP40c3-SP52 buget cap? $_{\rm 1.4}$	<u> </u>		□ Yes.			
Are the DUX actual expenses within the Global/total MIP40c3-SPS2 budget cap?	<u> </u>		□ Yes.			
Has the DUX Compensation & Benefits any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	□		DUX explains in the budget report that the The forecast is 88,200 DM and the actual expense is 87,834,64 DA), difference is to the OW and the settle in a positive difference of 365.36. Outstanding reason. Variation on estimate. No outstanding reason.	get report that the ation on estimate. No		
Has the DUX Travel & Entertainment any significant deviations between forecast and actuals? $^{1.7}$	<u> </u>		Vie. The forecast is 4,000 and the actual expense is 4,226.35, this in the budger report that the gives a negative difference of 226.35. The Actual expense was difference due to "Team sold conference tickets reducedue to a sale of vernt tickets. The sold of the conference tickets for 300 DAI sach."	get report that the n sold conference tickets		
Has the DUX Software Expense any significant deviations between forecast and actuals? $_{1.8}^{\circ}$	<u> </u>		yes, the forecast is 7,000 DAI and the actual expense is 1,459.91 DAI, DUX explains in the budget report that the limiting gives a positive difference of 5,540.09 DAI.	et report that the emy has not been billed."		
Has the DUX Gas Expense any significant deviations between forecast and actuals? $^{1.9}$	<u> </u>		Yes. The forecast is 0 and the actual expense is 1,000. Missing the reason for the difference.			
Has the DUX Admin Expense any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	<u> </u>		DUX explains that the difference is due to "Slight deviation on estimation without outstanding reason, is a percentage of total costs. Payment to cover credit card expenses."	fference is due to "Slight without outstanding f total costs. Payment to		
Has the DUX one-time expense any significant deviations between forecast and actuals? $^{1.11}$			This budget category was not reported in the Actual section.			
Has the DUX Professional Services any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?			This budget category was not reported in the Actual section.			
Has the DUX presented the correct calculation of amounts for the actuals, forecast and difference? $_{1.13}$	<u> </u>		□ Yes.			
Has the DUX any budget category that seems inconsistent and needs further investigation?	□ >		No.			
Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 2. Budget Forecast -						
Does the transparency report display the MIP40;3-5P52 caps for both total and budget categories? $^{2.1}$	□ >		□ Yes.			
Are the montly and quarterly budget caps (both totals and per category) the same as in the $_{2.2}$ MIP40c3-SP52?	<u> </u>		□ Yes.			
Are the quarterly forecast within the bounds of the MIP40c3-SP52 budget caps?	<u> </u>		□ Yes.			
Are the montly forecast totals within the MIP40c3-PSP52 montly budget cap? $_{\rm 2.4}$	<u> </u>		□ Yes.			
Has the DUX presented the correct calculation of amounts for the budget forecast?		>	No, there is an error in the 3-month total for the Travel & entertainment category. The total should be 13,500 and not	U.2	DUX needs to correct the 3-month totals as indicated under the assessment.	
Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 3. MKR Vesting Overview -						
Has the DUX indicated the number of FTE in the transparency report's MKR vesting overview? $^{3.1}$	<u> </u>		Yes, the FTE is included in the MKR Vesting overview section of the transparency report.			
Has the MKR vesting overview the same FTE comparing it to the MIP40c3-SP27? $_{\rm 3.2}$	<u> </u>		No. The FTE has changed from 6.0 to 5 people working full time and $\hfill\Box$ 1 person working 60% .			

Audit Report

Core Unit Name: DUX

Audit D: 2022-10-00X-001.

Audit D: 2022-10-01X-002.

Audit Cycle: 2022-10/1

Audit Cycle: 2022-10/2

Audit Cycle: 2022-10/2

Audit Cycle: 2022-10/2

CU published 85 date: 12-14-2022

Audit Result: Need Action

Facilitator contact: Reset Action

Facilitator Con

, e	Are the MKR vesting dates the same as in the MIP40c3-SP27?		No, the MIP40G3-SP 2021 = 0 MKR. How the MIP40G3-SP27.	No, the MIP40G3-SP27 includes the following vesting date December 2021 = 0 MKR. However, all other vesting dates are the same as in the MIP40G3-SP27.		
ĕ	s the MKR total budget cap in the transparency report the same as in the approved MIP40c3-SP27?		☐ Yes.			
3.6	Has DUX changed the MKR amounts comparing it to the last published transparency report?		Q			
m	Has DUX explained the MKR change in the transparency report?		This control car	This control can only be made if the answer to the above question is yes.		
3.5	Other observations			Delete the actual MIKR of 180.6 in the October 2022 report and correct the MKR actuals total amount to 355.86. This transaction should be added to the December 2022 report.	DUX needs to correct the MKR actuals as indicated under assessment.	
	Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 4. Transfers -					
4	Does the DUX operational wallet balance match the transparency report current balance in the a_1 calculation made for the new transfer?		Yes.			
4.	Are the payments from the operational wallet the same as in the actuals payments? $_{4.2}$					
4	Are the MKR actuals in the MKR table correct?		No. See point 3.8.	3.8.		
4.4	s the DUX auditor wallet current balance in MKR correct?		Yes.			
4.5	Other observations	5		The 3-month Estimate in the Transfers section needs to be corrected as well as the calculation of the Transfer amount after the Estimate is corrected. The October 2022 request will be a skipped due to the revision of the DIV expenses, causing a delay in the production of the expense report. Delete the text "text" at the button of the MICR transfers section and add the links to the Multi-sig wallet eith address.		DUX needs to correc the Estimate value and Transfer value a indicated under assessment.