Audit Report

Core Unit Name: DUX

Audit ID: 2022-09-DUX-001

Audit Cycle: 2022-09/1

Audit Date: 10-31-2022

CU published BS date: 10-28-2022

Audit Result: Needs CU Action Facilitator contact: @venture

Core Unit Clarification Received Date:

 $Transparency\ Report: https://github.com/makerdao-dux/transparency-reporting/blob/main/Monthy\%20Budget\%20Statements/2022-09.md$

MIP40c3-SP26: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp52-development-ux-core-unit-budget-dux-001/12085 MIP40c3-SP52: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp52-development-ux-core-unit-budget-dux-001/12085									
MIP40c3-SP27: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp27-development-ux-core-unit-mkr-budget-dux-001/97	<u>777</u>						Immediate CU Action to improve	Medium Term CU Action to improve	
Audit Question					Audit Assessment	Core Unit's Clarification	transparency report	transparency report	
Audit Questions to the structure of the transparency report and consistency with DUX MIP40c3-SP52									
Are the sections in the transparency report in the right order: Actuals, Forecast, MKR vesting and 0.1 Transfers?	~				Yes.				
Does the actual section include breakdowns per wallet with Budget Category, Forecast, Actuals, Difference and Payments?	~				Yes.				
Does the budget forecast cover 3 months with summary and budget category breakdown?	~				Yes.				
Does the budget forecast summary and breakdown contain the quarterly budget caps for comparison?	~				Yes.				
Does the budget forecast summary contain the Global/total budget cap?	V				Yes.				
Are the budget forecast categories in the transparency report the same as in the MIP40c3-SP52?		✓			Yes.			DUX could start using the standard budget catefories nomenclature.	
Is the operational wallet Multi-sig wallet setup the same as described in the MIP40c3-SP52?	✓				No. DUX clarified the change of wallet address for one team member in Feb 2022. ETH address eth: 0x61d:CTFC656AaE8eA08b1B918aB2eDc4A1465745c was added February 8th 2022 and eth: 0x64dc5748bB0FD8840PEE179Ac226be16ec850DA was removed on February 9th 2022. Operational wallet controlled by the current DUX Core Unit team Address: 0x8Cd0ad5C55498Aacb72b6689E1da5A284C69c0C7 Signers eth: 0x14341f81dF14cA86E1420eC9e6Abd343Fb1c5bfC eth: 0x388e9e5a889C4cDF3T752C1AFCF88875BDE673eE eth: 0x388e9e5a889C4cDF3T752C1AFCF88875BDE673eE eth: 0x388e9dc340597ab86809272A0b34d053abc4aD6d eth: 0x0797d7ea48e40pa.4333.c9DdA8eBCF1C379F0a1018 eth: 0x488E5b5D1047589BE3823cDfe2602754Aff9342A eth: 0xd61CCF6C86AaE8eA08b1B918a82eDc4A1465745c			The Budget Implementation diagram in the MIP40c3-SP52 was updated with the new operational wallet eth address eth:0xc81c76C66AasBex08b1B918aB2eDc4A14 65745c and the removal of eth address eth:0xf666e928BBDEfD84A9DEE179Ac226be16e c850DA	
Is the DUX operational wallet controlled by more than 1 signer?	~				Yes, the DUX operational wallet has a current policy of 3 out of 6 signers.				
Is the auditorl wallet Multi-sig wallet setup the same as described in the MIP40c3-SP52?	>				Yes. The Auditor Wallet requires 2 out of 2 confirmations. Auditor's wallet eth address: eth:0x5A994D8428CCEbCC153863CCdA9D2Be6352f89ad Signers: Accountant role wallet eth:0xA2A855Ac8D2a92e8A5a437690875261535c8320C Auditor role wallet eth:0x82d3F2e224949acDDe173a5b8A8160c023ea86e6				
Has the DUX auditor wallet receive funds from the protocol?	✓				The Auditor Wallet received 322,383 DAI from the protocol on July 25, 2022. thtps://gnosis-safe.io/app/eth:0x5A994D8428CCEbCC153863CCdA9D 2Be6352f89ad/transactions/history				
Has the DUX auditor wallet sufficient funds to top up the Dux operational wallet?		V			No. The Auditor Wallet's current balance is 60,600.87156237 DAI on October 31th, 2022. SES will coordinate the request of funds.				
Has the DUX operational wallet received a top-up after the audit of the last transparency report?	✓				Yes. The DUX operational wallet received 222,963.56 DAI on September 2nd, 2022. https://etherscanio/tx/0xcb2bf2ebe62363cf2fa2a63b11344c46a749 8c2e1999df70e4f2cf5d9b1e4670				

Audit Report

Core Unit Name: DUX

Audit ID: 2022-09-DUX-001

Audit Cycle: 2022-09/1

Audit Date: 10-31-2022

CU published BS date: 10-28-2022

Audit Result: Needs CU Action

Facilitator contact: @venture
Core Unit Clarification Received Date:



 $Transparency\ Report: https://github.com/makerdao-dux/transparency-reporting/blob/main/Monthy\%20Budget\%20Statements/2022-09.md$

MIP40c3-SP26: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp26-development-ux-core-unit-budget-dux-001/9774
MIP40c3-SP52: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp52-development-ux-core-unit-budget-dux-001/12085

#ID40-2 CD27, https://ferrors.melander.com/k/min40-2 cp27 development viv.com/without budget duy 001/0777

IVIIP4	ucs-sp27: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip4ucs-sp27-development-ux-core-unit-mkr-budget-dux-uu1/97	///							
	Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 1. Last Month Actuals -								
1.1	Is the actuals budget category breakdown the same as in the MIP40c3-SP52?	~				Yes.			
1.2	Is the transparency report actual's forecast the same as the forecast last published for the same month?	~				Yes.			
1.3	Are the differences between forecast and actuals explained in the transparency report?	~				Yes.			
1.4	Are the DUX actual expenses within the montly MIP40c3-SP52 buget cap?	~				Yes.			
1.5	Are the DUX actual expenses within the Global/total MIP40c3-SP52 budget cap?	~				Yes.			
1.6	Has the DUX contributor fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	~				The forecast is 83,200 DAI and the actual expense is 83,430.47 DAI, this results in a negative difference of 230.47.	DUX explains in the budget report that the difference is due to "Variation on estimate. No outstanding reason."		
1.7	Has the DUX travel costs any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?						DUX explains in the budget report that the difference is due to "Increase in costs not correctly estimated for the plane tickets."		When exceeding the monthly budget cap, DUX needs to explain how the excess will be covered.
1.8	Has the DUX IT Infrastructure and services costs any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	✓				Yes, the forecast is 7,000 DAI and the actual expense is 2,631,62 DAI, which gives a positive difference of 4,368.38 DAI.	DUX explains in the budget report that the difference is due to "Alchemy has not been billed."		
1.9	Has the DUX gas fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	~				No.			
1.10	Has the DUX Legal Services costs any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	~				Yes, the forecast is 10,000 and the actual expense is 0.	DUX explains that the difference is due to "We are expecting to pay a legal firm, but it didn't happened yet. We will stop this for now."		
1.11	Has the DUX one-time expense any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	abla	П		П	No.			
	Has the DUX Payment Services any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	~				Yes, the forecast is 5,000 DAI, and the actual expense is 4,948.94, this gives a positive difference of 51.06.	DUX explains that the difference is due to "Slight deviation on estimation without outstanding reason, is a percentage of total costs"		
1.13	Has the DUX Contractor Services any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	~				No.			
1.14	Has the DUX presented the correct calculation of amounts for the actuals, forecast and difference?	~				Yes.			
1.15	Has the DUX any budget category that seems inconsistent and needs further investigation?	~				No.			
	Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 2. Budget Forecast -								
2.1		~				Yes.			
	Are the montly and quarterly budget caps (both totals and per category) the same as in the MIP40c3-SP52?	~				Yes.			
2.3	Are the quarterly forecast within the bounds of the MIP40c3-SP52 budget caps?	~				Yes.			
2.4	Are the montly forecast totals within the MIP40c3-PSP52 montly budget cap?	V				Yes.			
2.5	Has the DUX presented the correct calculation of amounts for the budget forecast?			✓		The total sum for Dec 2022 is 102,200, this amount needs to be corrected to 100,200 DAI.		DUX needs to correct the total amount for Dec 2022 as indicated under the assesment.	
	Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 3. MKR Vesting Overview -								

Audit Report

Core Unit Name: DUX Audit ID: 2022-09-DUX-001

Audit Cycle: 2022-09/1

Audit Date: 10-31-2022

CU published BS date: 10-28-2022

Audit Result: Needs CU Action

Facilitator contact: @venture

Core Unit Clarification Received Date:

 $Transparency\ Report: https://github.com/makerdao-dux/transparency-reporting/blob/main/Monthy\%20Budget\%20Statements/2022-09.md$

Approved with comments

Needs action before approval

 $\label{linear_model} \begin{tabular}{lll} MIP40c3-SP26: $https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp26-development-ux-core-unit-budget-dux-001/9774 \\ \end{tabular}$ MIP40c3-SP52: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp52-development-ux-core-unit-budget-dux-001/12085

P40c3-SP27: https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp27-development-ux-core-unit-mkr-budget-dux-001/9777									
✓ □									
			2021 = 0 MKR. However, all other vesting dates are the same as in						
			Yes.						
			No.						
			This control can only be made if the answer to the above question is yes.						
			No.						
			Yes. Transactions corresponding to September 2022 are the same.						
			Yes.						
			Yes.						
			DUX should start using the SF standard budget categories onwards. The MakerDAO Ecosystem Dashboard does not have the September		For the transition to the use of the SF budget categories, DUX can keep the current budget categories in the Actual Section in the October Budget Statement and use the standard classifications for the budget section of Nov., Dec., Jan.				
				Yes, the FTE is included in the MKR Vesting overview section of the transparency report. No. The FTE has changed from 6.0 to 5 people working full time and 1 person working 60%. No, the MIPAGC3-SP27 includes the following vesting date December 2021 = 0 MKR. However, all other vesting dates are the same as in the MIPAGC3-SP27. Yes. No. This control can only be made if the answer to the above question is yes. No. Yes. The operational wallet balance on October 27th, 2022 is 113,911 DAI. Yes. Transactions corresponding to September 2022 are the same. Yes. Yes. DUX should start using the SF standard budget categories onwards. The MakerDAO Ecosystem Dashboard does not have the September	Yes, the FTE is included in the MKR Vesting overview section of the transparency report. No. The FTE has changed from 6.0 to 5 people working full time and 1 person working 60%. No, the MIPAG-S-P27 includes the following vesting date December 2021 = 0 MKR. However, all other vesting dates are the same as in the MIPAG-S-SP27. Yes. No. This control can only be made if the answer to the above question is yes. No. Yes. The operational wallet balance on October 27th, 2022 is 113,911 DAI. Yes. Transactions corresponding to September 2022 are the same. Yes. DUX should start using the SF standard budget categories onwards. The MakerDAO Ecosystem Dashboard does not have the September				