

Audit Report

Core Unit Name: RWF-001

Audit ID: 2022-04-RWF-001

Audit Cycle: 2022-04/1

Audit Result: Approved with comments

Audit Date: 06-15-2022

Submission Date: 06-03-2022

Facilitator contact: @will

Core Unit Clarification Received on Date:


Transparency Report: <https://github.com/makerdao-rwf001/transparency-reporting/blob/main/monthly-budget-statements/2022-04.md>

MIP40c3-SP39: <https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp39-modify-core-unit-budget-rwf-001/10543>

MIP40c3-SP38: <https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp38-real-world-finance-core-unit-mkr-compensation-rwf-001/10447>

MIP40c3-SP61: <https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp61-modify-core-unit-budget-real-world-finance-rwf-001/13152>

RWF-001 Auditor Flow: <https://forum.makerdao.com/t/rwf-001-auditor-flow/12900>



#	Audit Question					Audit Assessment	Core Unit's Clarification	Immediate CU Action to improve transparency report	Medium Term CU Action to improve transparency report
	Audit Questions to the Structure of the transparency report and consistency with MIP40c3-SP61.					Note: The RWF-001 has submitted a budget modification MIP40c3-SP61 on March 14th, 2022 and it was ratified on March 28th, 2022.			
0.1	Are the sections in the transparency report in the right order: Actuals, Forecast, MKR vesting and Transfers?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes.			
0.2	Does the actual section include breakdowns per Budget Category, Forecast, Actuals, Difference and Payments?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes.			
0.3	Does the budget forecast cover 3 months with summary and budget category breakdown?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes.			
0.4	Does the budget forecast summary and breakdown contain the quarterly budget caps for comparison?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes.			
0.5	Does the budget forecast summary contain the Global/total budget cap?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes.			
0.6	Are the budget forecast categories in the transparency report the same as in the MIP40c3-SP61?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	RWF added the Due Diligence cost category to the table. Expenses related to DD will be covered by the contingency buffer.			
0.7	Is the Multi-sig operational wallet setup the same as described in the RWF-001 Auditor Flow?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes: RWF-001 Operational Wallet quorum (2:3) Controlled by the RWF-001 Core Unit team Address: 0xD1505ee500791490DE8642353BA6A5b92e3550F7 Signers: 0x44d2509074993b858c5486d276ebD3816FC93A68 0xc8E6c287F6c127AF5e4CB30bC440607b44c35f8 0xD0FF661Fb2d0d64F787dd23A579D64c6989BdA1			
0.8	Is the RWF-001 operational wallet controlled by more than 1 signer?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, the RWF-001 operational wallet has a current policy of 2 out of 3 signers			
0.9	Has the RWF-001 operational wallet received the funds requested in the last Budget Statement?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The RWF-001 requested 189,010.33 DAI in their March 2022 budget statement. The RWF-001 operational wallet received 189,010.33 DA on April 22nd, 2022.			
0.10	Is the Multi-sig auditor wallet setup the same as described in the RWF-001 Auditor Flow?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes: RWF-001 Auditor Wallet quorum (2:2) Controlled by the RWF-001 Core Unit team Address: 0x96d7b01Cc25B141520C717fa369844d34FF116ec Signers: Accountant Role Wallet 0xA2A855Ac8D2a92e8A5a437690875261535c8320C Auditor Role Wallet 0xB2da57e224949acDDe173a5b8A8160c023ea86e6			
0.11	Has the RWF-001 auditor wallet receive the funds from the protocol prior transfer to the new RWF-001 wallet?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The RWF-001 auditor wallet has received 2,055,000 DAI on April 4th, 2022. https://etherscan.io/address/0x96d7b01Cc25B141520C717fa369844d34FF116ec#tokenxns The current funds are 21,370.23 DAI.			
Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 1. Last Month Actuals -									
1.1	Is the actuals budget category breakdown the same as in the MIP40c3-SP61?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes. The Due Diligence cost category is added to this months actuals.			
1.2	Is the transparency report actual's forecast the same as the last published forecast for the same month?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes.			
1.3	Are the differences between forecast and actuals explained in the transparency report?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes.			

Audit Report

Core Unit Name: RWF-001

Audit ID: 2022-04-RWF-001

Audit Cycle: 2022-04/1

Audit Result: Approved with comments

Audit Date: 06-15-2022

Submission Date: 06-03-2022

Facilitator contact: @will

Core Unit Clarification Received on Date:

Transparency Report: <https://github.com/makerdao-rwf001/transparency-reporting/blob/main/monthly-budget-statements/2022-04.md>

MIP40c3-SP39: <https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp39-modify-core-unit-budget-rwf-001/10543>

MIP40c3-SP38: <https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp38-real-world-finance-core-unit-mkr-compensation-rwf-001/10447>

MIP40c3-SP61: <https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp61-modify-core-unit-budget-real-world-finance-rwf-001/13152>

RWF-001 Auditor Flow: <https://forum.makerdao.com/t/rwf-001-auditor-flow/12900>



#	Audit Question	Approved	Approved with comments	Needs action before approval	Audited	Audit Assessment	Core Unit's Clarification	Immediate CU Action to improve transparency report	Medium Term CU Action to improve transparency report
1.4	Are the RWF-001 actual expenses within the montly approved MIP40c3-SP61 buget cap?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes.			
1.5	Are the RWF-001 actual expenses within the Global/total MIP40c3-SP61 budget cap?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes.			
1.6	Has the RWF-001 Compensation fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, the forecast for Apr 2022 is 168,450.00 and the actual expense is 108,590.00, which gives a positive difference of 59,860.00. The RWF-001 explains in the budget statement that this deviation is due to "Grantees onboarding in progress."			
1.7	Has the RWF-001 Professional Services any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, there is a significant deviation between the forecast and actuals in the professional services category, the forecast is 400,000.00, and the actual expense is 54,878.00, which gives a difference of 345,122.00. The RWF-001 CU explains in the budget statement that this difference is due to "Only SocGen costs were incurred. Expectation that HVB costs will be incurred in May after legal review and documentation drafting."			
1.8	Has the RWF-001 Real-world structures fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	No.			
1.9	Has the RWF-001 Payment fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, the payment fees forecast is 24,554.55, and the actual expense is 8,335.22, which gives a positive difference of 16,219.33. This is due to a lower amount of DAI processed by Accountable for payments.			
1.10	Has the RWF-001 Gas fees any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	No.			
1.11	Has the RWF-001 Software Costs any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes. The forecast is 5,000 and the actual expense is 0. RWF-001 explained in the budget statement that the difference is due to "Budget not deployed to data infra."			
1.12	Has the RWF-001 Travel Costs any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes. The forecast is 0 and the actual expense is 988.77, this gives a negative difference. The RWF-001 CU explains in the budget statement that the difference is due to "Unplanned travel costs."			
1.13	Has the RWF-001 Due Diligence any significant deviations between forecast and actuals?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes. the forecast is 10,000 and the actual expense is 389.87, this gives a positive difference of 9,610.13.			
1.14	Has the RWF-001 presented the correct calculation of amounts for the actuals, forecast and difference?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes.			
1.15	Has the RWF-001 any budget category that seems inconsistent and needs further investigation?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	No.			
Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 2. Budget Forecast -									
2.1	Does the transparency report display the summary of the MIP40c3-61 approved caps for both total and budget categories?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes.			
2.2	Are the monthly and quarterly budget caps (both totals and per category) the same as in the MIP40c3-61?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes.			
2.3	Are the monthly forecast totals within the MIP40c3-61 monthly budget cap?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The forecast for the budget categories compensation, payment fees, and travel costs exceed the monthly approved budget caps for the period May to July 2022. The monthly forecast total is, however, within the boundaries of the MIP40c3-61 monthly budget cap.			RWF-001 should review the forecast for the payment fees, the forecast is too high compared to the actuals.
2.4	Are the quarterly forecast within the bounds of the MIP40c3-61 budget caps?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes.			
Audit Questions to Section Transparency Report - Section 3. MKR Vesting Overview -									

Audit Report

Core Unit Name: RWF-001

Audit ID: 2022-04-RWF-001

Audit Cycle: 2022-04/1

Audit Result: Approved with comments

Audit Date: 06-15-2022

Submission Date: 06-03-2022

Facilitator contact: @will

Core Unit Clarification Received on Date:

Transparency Report: <https://github.com/makerdao-rwf001/transparency-reporting/blob/main/monthly-budget-statements/2022-04.md>

MIP40c3-SP39: <https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp39-modify-core-unit-budget-rwf-001/10543>

MIP40c3-SP38: <https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp38-real-world-finance-core-unit-mkr-compensation-rwf-001/10447>

MIP40c3-SP61: <https://forum.makerdao.com/t/mip40c3-sp61-modify-core-unit-budget-real-world-finance-rwf-001/13152>

RWF-001 Auditor Flow: <https://forum.makerdao.com/t/rwf-001-auditor-flow/12900>



#	Audit Question	<div>Approved</div>	<div>Approved with comments</div>	<div>Needs action before approval</div>	<div>Audited</div>	Audit Assessment	Core Unit's Clarification	Immediate CU Action to improve transparency report	Medium Term CU Action to improve transparency report
3.1	Has the RWF-001 indicated the number of FTE in the transparency report's MKR vesting overview?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes.			
3.2	Has the MKR vesting overview the same FTE comparing it to the MIP40c3-SP38?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	No. The FTE have changed from 7 FTE to 5.7 FTE.			
3.3	Are the MKR vesting dates the same as in the MIP40c3-SP38?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes.			
3.4	Has RWF-001 changed the MKR amounts comparing it to the MIP40c3-SP38?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes. The current RWF-001 Budget has a total of 1,379 MKR, the approved budget cap is 1,680 MKR.			
3.5	Has RWF-001 explained the MKR change in the transparency report?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, the MKR differences are due to FTE changes.			
3.6	Has RWF-001 Auditor wallet Received the April 2022 MKR bonus amount from the protocol?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	No, there is no MKR trx in the RWF Auditor wallet.		RWF-001 should follow up on the MKR transaction vested in April 2022.	
Audit Questions to Transparency Report - Section 4. Transfers -									
4.1	Are the payments from the operational wallet the same as in the actual section payments?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes. The payments in the budget statement is 173,075.66 and the trx. from the operational wallet is 173,075.66 DAI.			
4.2	Does the RWF-001 operational wallet balances match the transparency report current balance in the calculation made for the new transfer?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, the RWF-001 operational wallet balance is 1,819,736.49 on June 3, 2022. https://gnosis-safe.io/app/eth.0xD1505ee500791490DE8642353BA6A5b92e3550F7/transactions/history			
4.3	Are the values in the RWF-001 Auditor wallet table correct?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes.			
4.4	Does the RWF-001 Auditor wallet balance match the transparency report auditor current balance?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes. The RWF-001 Auditor wallet balance on June 3, 2022 is 21,349.07 DAI.			
4.5	Has the RWF-001 auditor wallet enough funds to be sent to the RWF-001 operational wallet?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	No. RWF-001 is requesting a transfer of 187,102.16. SES will coordinate the auditor wallet top up if needed.			