

That Fun Time I Made A New
OpenPGP Keypair, Got My
Public Key Signed By Richard
Stallman Himself, Deleted My
Only Copy Of The Private Key
The Next Day, And Used Crude
Forensics Techniques To
Recover The Lost Key
Material Four Days Later

by Georgiy Treyvus

\$ whoami

+ technical generalist with strong interests in math, programming, data analysis, and (cyber)security

+ specialist in web automation, data collection, reconnaissance, OSINT, OPSEC, counterintelligence, and offensive data analysis



Why A New Keypair?

+ why not?

+ **--enable-large-rsa** finally worked without Fedora's version of GnuPG complaining about being compiled without **--enable-large-secmem**

Why A New Keypair?

+ significant gap between the strengths of the public key and symmetric ciphers

+ though not strictly necessary after CVE-2016-6313 it certainly wouldn't hurt either and my last key was made just before the issue was fixed

Why A New Keypair?

- + general paranoia

- + this one is differently structured to work around a bug in GnuPG

- + actually one of several keypairs though we'll only be focusing on one of them today

What Bug Is This?

+ it's not possible to protect the private key material of the master key and the various subkeys with different passphrases

+ attempting to change the passphrase for a specific subkey or set of subkeys changed it for all subkeys

Ramifications

+ this severely limits one's ability to compartment, and do damage control in case of compromise

+ happily some benefits of having separate subkeys still remain such as safety from certain classes of cross protocol attacks

My Workaround

- = long term identity key
 - = keysigning key
 - = casual encryption and signing key
 - = longish term message signing key
 - = isolated/compartimented key(s) for operations where pseudonymity is not needed or wanted

About My Threat Model

+ What if I get compromised and my attacker then has a way to log keystrokes, read my files, or even arbitrary bytes of disk or memory?

+ Given my OpenPGP usage patterns what can I do to minimize the impact of such a compromise?

About My Threat Model

- + I decrypt messages often.
- + I sign messages rarely
contra the bad advice of
most OpenPGP tutorials. I'm
generally fine without proof
I said whatever dumb thing.
- + I sign keys probably even
more rarely than messages.

About My Threat Model

- + To compromise a (sub)key the attacker needs either the raw private key material exposed in memory **or** the encrypted private key file contents and passphrase.
- + Because I decrypt often my encryption subkey would get stolen relatively instantly.

About My Threat Model

+ I can go weeks/months without signing messages or keys so stealing those (sub)keys will take time.

+ If I later detect a compromise by some means likely it will only be partial and I can then take steps to recover.

About My Threat Model

+ For instance I can scrub my compromised machine for some appropriate definition of scrub.

+ Alternatively I can work with the backed up key material on a totally separate and hopefully not compromised computer.

About My Threat Model

+ Ultimately once I'm at a safe computer I can revoke and replace a compromised encryption subkey.

+ My signing subkey and master key would still be safe enough.

About My Threat Model

+ Yes my attacker has an encrypted copy of my other private key material they didn't yet steal.

+ Still I'm not too worried.

+ I can choose brutishly strong passphrases with 40+ well diversified characters.

About My Threat Model

+ Now these passphrases aren't truly random due to my poor (even for a human) memory.

+ Still I believe I can fake randomness well enough that I'm not too concerned.

About My Threat Model

The ultimate goal here is to be able to potentially recover from a reasonably significant compromise without having to generate totally new keys and do the whole fingerprint verification dance with all my cryptobuddies over the phone, in person, etc.

About My Threat Model

+ My threat model is predicated on me being able to discover that I am compromised.

+ Furthermore it assumes that I can reliably put an upper bound on how long ago the compromise occurred.

About My Threat Model

- + assumes ability to remember or determine last time given subkeys were used

- + not a perfect or even realistic threat model in many senses

- + still compares relatively well with others I've heard

Search results for '0xfb361e9886c5c77'

Type bits/keyID cr. time exp time key expir

pub 8192R/[886C5C77](#) 2018-07-20
Fingerprint=7703 3539 7398 F34F 306A C085 0FB3 61E9 886C 5C77

uid [Georgiy Treyvus \(UID for me as a person not tied to any particular email. This is my long te](#)
sig sig3 [886C5C77](#) 2018-07-20 _____ 2035-07-16 [\[selfsig\]](#)
sig sig3 [B1D88291](#) 2018-07-22 _____ [Sidney San Martín \(Born 1989-7-1 in San Fra](#)
sig sig [89420B8E](#) 2018-07-22 _____ [Steve Kent <sjk@dredel.com>](#)
sig sig [7CB91658](#) 2018-07-22 _____ [Steve Kent <sjk@onshore.com>](#)
sig sig3 [F33E3A61](#) 2018-07-23 _____ [Georgiy Treyvus \(UID for me as a person not](#)
sig sig [2A8E4C02](#) 2018-07-24 _____ [Richard Stallman <rms@gnu.org>](#)
sig sig [CFE594B9](#) 2018-10-02 _____ [Chris Pick <pgp@chrispick.com>](#)
sig sig3 [41F5A98E](#) 2018-10-19 _____ [Chris Ruvolo <cruvolo@gmail.com>](#)

Richard Stallman's personal site.

<https://stallman.org>

For current political commentary, see the [daily political notes](#).

[RMS' Bio](#) | [The GNU Project](#)

I'm told that key servers carry many phony keys claiming to be mine. Here is info about which keys are really mine.

Old key (don't use it nowadays)

```
pub 1024D/135EA668 2001-03-05
uid Richard Stallman (Chief GNUisance) <rms@gnu.org>
sub 1024g/B1B10ED6 2001-03-05
```

[New key](#)

```
pub 4096R/2C6464AF2A8E4C02 2013-07-20
Key fingerprint = 6781 9B34 3B2A B70D ED93 2087 2C64 64AF 2A8E 4C02
uid Richard Stallman <rms@gnu.org>
sub 4096R/2F30A2E162853425 2013-07-20
```

Of course, to be really sure which key is mine, you need to get my key fingerprint from me or follow a chain of signatures. If a phony key appears to be signed by someone you trust, you should see what's up with that person.

If you want an encrypted response, you must send me your key, because I don't use key servers. I don't promise to keep it permanently if we don't talk often, so if you talk with me again a year later you should send it again.

Copyright (c) 2013 Richard Stallman Verbatim copying and redistribution of this entire page are permitted provided this notice is preserved.

Search results for '0x2c6464af2a8e4c02'

Type bits/keyID cr. time exp time key expir

pub 4096R/[2A8E4C02](#) 2013-07-20
Fingerprint=6781 9B34 3B2A B70D ED93 2087 2C64 64AF 2A8E 4C02

uid [Richard Stallman <rms@gnu.org>](#)
sig sig3 [2A8E4C02](#) 2013-07-20 [selfsig]
sig sig [135EA668](#) 2013-07-20 Richard Stallman (Chief GNUisance) <rms@gnu.org>
sig sig [69B003EF](#) 2013-08-20 Ralph Holz TUM <holz@net.in.tum.de>
sig sig [135D47A1](#) 2013-08-29 Ira Abramov (Free Tinkerer) <pgpkey2009@ira.abramov.org>
sig sig [F78F3EE4](#) 2013-08-29 Ira Abramov <pgpkey-20020101@ira.abramov.org>
sig sig [89D0AF41](#) 2013-08-29 Ira Abramov (Email/Insecure key) <GnuPGmail-2013@ira.abramov.org>
sig exp3 [0371FCE5](#) 2013-09-15 2017-09-15 []
sig sig [22247CDF](#) 2013-09-24 Profpatsch <mail@profpatsch.de>
sig sig [EAE0078A](#) 2013-09-24 []
sig sig [FE254C69](#) 2013-09-28 Keith Winstein <keithw@mit.edu>
sig sig [807C2A87](#) 2013-09-28 Paul Tagliamonte <tag@pault.ag>
sig sig [7562C516](#) 2013-09-29 Mark H Weaver <mhw@netris.org>
sig sig [AE087291](#) 2013-09-29 Colin Walters <walters@redhat.com>
sig sig [B5E4C71A](#) 2013-09-30 Philip Patsch <privat@profpatsch.de>
sig sig [8ACD372A](#) 2013-10-02 Zooko Wilcox-O'Hearn (Founder) <zooko@LeastAuthority.com>
sig sig2 [234CC324](#) 2013-10-03 Chris Jester-Young <ckyc@ky.nz>
sig sig [D7E69871](#) 2013-10-04 Daiki Ueno <ueno@gnu.org>
sig sig2 [23F62336](#) 2013-10-12 Sascha Mester <sascha.mester@gmx.de>
sig sig [807C2A87](#) 2013-10-18 Paul Tagliamonte <tag@pault.ag>
sig sig3 [5310523C](#) 2013-10-23 Rafael Bonifaz (Activista del software libre) <rafael@bonifaz.ec>
sig sig [17A4CD9C](#) 2013-10-25 Nicolás Reynolds <fauno@kiwwi.com.ar>
sig sig [1C0E017F](#) 2013-11-02 Tom Mason <wheybags@wheybags.com>
sig sig [7FBFED86](#) 2013-11-04 Donncha O'Cearbhaill <donncha@donncha.is>
sig sig [1F435A33](#) 2013-11-14 Paul Hardy <unifoundry@gmail.com>
sig sig [CB0918D9](#) 2013-12-11 Santiago Saavedra <ssaavedra@gpul.org>
sig sig [3ED41341](#) 2013-12-13 Alberto Garcia <berto@igalia.com>
sig sig [039ACDF0](#) 2014-01-07 Jose Maria Casanova Crespo (Chema) <jmcasanova@igalia.com>
sig sig [B304AF08](#) 2014-01-22 Jose E. Marchesi <jemarch@gnu.org>
sig sig [64D0EEB6](#) 2014-03-12 Krista Grothoff (Me) <krista@grothoff.org>
sig sig [A5493553](#) 2014-03-12 Krista Bennett <krista@darthmama.org>
sig sig [1CA24A13](#) 2014-03-12 Hellekin O. Wolf <hellekin@cepheide.org>
sig sig [70E3C00E](#) 2014-03-26 Sascha Mester <webmaster@gnuware.de>
sig sig [32388E27](#) 2014-03-26 Sascha Mester (Neuer Schlüssel) <sascha.mester@gmx.de>
sig sig [59D026E8](#) 2014-04-20 Marko Silluste <marko.silluste@hot.ee>
sig sig [29AEFC28](#) 2014-04-26 Rubén Rodríguez Pérez <ruben@es.gnu.org>
sig sig3 [83B22268](#) 2014-04-29 alexskc <alexskc@autistici.org>
sig sig [5EA0A5FB](#) 2014-05-13 Chu-Hsiang Lai <chusiang@drx.tw>
sig sig [442CB088](#) 2014-05-25 Eclipse Spark (Lorenzo Faletta) <eclipse@frozenbox.org>
sig sig3 [A6D42018](#) 2014-06-02 Tong Hui <tonghuix@gmail.com>

Let's Open Pandora's Box...

+ having made a new keypair in a hurry it was well past time to actually back it up especially in light of the new developments

+ but first some tests to make sure all was in order and further secure my setup so I back up a good state...

Why Test? Don't I Trust GPG?

- + not particularly

- + it's hardly the only tool with a poor confusing user interface but...

- + there's a **LARGE** gap between GnuPG's perceived and actual state/behavior

Why Test? Don't I Trust GPG?

Pop Quiz! Which of the below keys is stronger?

```
pub      rsa8192 2018-10-25 [SCEA] [expires: 2019-10-25]  
          2515BFC828FFEF899722F72804DC88CF8647510A  
uid              [ultimate] Kubra Balik
```

```
pub      rsa8192 2018-10-25 [SCEA] [expires: 2019-10-25]  
          B0E955E53E9818B3D8CC17AF8E296A711A5FB808  
uid              [ultimate] Aydin Bayat
```

(They may look the same but
I promise you they're not.)

Upon Taking A Closer Look

```
gpg> showpref
[ultimate] (1). Kubra Balik
  Cipher: AES256, AES192, AES, 3DES
  Digest: SHA512, SHA384, SHA256, SHA224, SHA1
  Compression: ZLIB, BZIP2, ZIP, Uncompressed
  Features: MDC, Keyserver no-modify
```

```
gpg> showpref
[ultimate] (1). Aydin Bayat
  Cipher: 3DES
  Digest: SHA1
  Compression: ZIP, Uncompressed
  Features: MDC, Keyserver no-modify
```

Gap of ~80 bits of security!

Hence My Tests Involved

- + seeing if I could import and export my various keys

- + creating, certifying, and verifying signatures on various dummy keys

- + removing ~/.gnupg between rounds of tests for a clean slate which had consequences

Thickening The Plot A Bit

+ I'd inadvertently deleted
~/ .gnupg/openpgp-revocs.d

+ ordinarily this would be
fine as I usually manage my
revocation certificates
myself by other means which
are more robust

Thickening The Plot A Bit

+ however in this case it wasn't

+ I didn't generate seperate revocation certificates due to the hurry I was in making my keys before HOPE

+ hence leaving me no way to revoke the soon deleted key

How I Deleted My Private Key

+ I was trying to harden my setup to what I felt was the optimal state before backing up my keys.

+ With OpenPGP private key data is usually protected using some symmetric algorithm whose key is derived from the passphrase

How I Deleted My Private Key

+ I wanted to protect the key material with 256 bit Camellia which I felt was the best symmetric cipher OpenPGP supported and felt it to be safer than the default of 128 bit AES.

+ I'm happy to discuss why I feel so when no time limit.

How I Deleted My Private Key

+ hence I ran
--export-secret-keys with
the strictest **--s2k-***
parameters

+ **HOWEVER** the private key
material for my long term
identity key wasn't in
GnuPG's working keyring

How I Deleted My Private Key

+ Obviously GnuPG couldn't export private key material it didn't have.

+ It should have halted immediately and complained that it couldn't export what it didn't have.

How I Deleted My Private Key

+ instead GnuPG deleted my only copy of the private key file which I was trying to overwrite via **--output**

+ given my threat proper paranoia would be exporting to a new file, then using **shred**, and finally **rm** but I was kind of lazy here :- (

How I Deleted My Private Key

- + yes I was shown a warning about overwriting it
- + still that warning didn't concern me much and I'd said yes to it before
- + for example when (re)exporting my private after changing my passphrase

How I Deleted My Private Key

+ hence I entered y at the prompt as it was totally safe to do based on previous experience

+ this time was different

+ after I ran the export GPG warned that no private key material had been exported

How I Deleted My Private Key

WELL

THAT

SURE

WAS

OMINOUS

How I Deleted My Private Key

+ So I decided to check on it and sure enough my only copy of the private key was gone.

+ I was pretty sure I didn't have a chance to back up what I had but checked my external hard drive anyway but again no luck.

Now What?

- + I thought I was doomed.

- + I began writing a postmortem about my mistakes and the insane behavior of GnuPG that I wanted to post to my Diaspora profile.

Now What?

+ I had no cryptographic to revoke the key but thought of weakening it via social means

+ for example contacting signatories and asking them to revoke their signatures

But . . .

- + admitting defeat publicly was embarrassing

- + and allegedly forensics people recover deleted data all the time...

- + so why not try?

- + rumor is I'm technical...

So Next

- + I unmounted my data partition which held the deleted key file which I should have done immediately.
- + Sadly it was only a few hours after the incident that I started thinking clearly enough to do that.

+ I was **extremely lucky** here because I had a data partition instead of a partition for /home which is the more traditional setup I see.

+ Were my setup more traditional for all I know the private key data would have been overwritten by say Firefox caching favicons...

Failed Recovery Attempt 1

+ after some research I
learned about extundelete

+ which would have done
exactly what I needed

+ if only it didn't segfault
every time I ran it :- (

Failed Recovery Attempt 1

- + What if the size of some system specific data structure changed?
- + Will it work if I recompile?
- + I couldn't recompile it.

Failed Recovery Attempt 1

- + I tried to get to the bottom of why and fix it
- + ultimately gave up after wrestling with the code for a few hours

Failed Recovery Attempt 2

+ I reached out to my friend Jay Michael Roberts a forensics expert.

+ He recommended CAINE
(Computer Aided
Investigative Environment)
Live DVD

+ So I downloaded it.

Failed Recovery Attempt 2

+ lots of functionality there but how to discover what I need?

+ one of the third party manuals mentioned on their site introduced me to TSK (The Sleuth Kit)

Failed Recovery Attempt 2

+ Had the deleted key file been on an ext2 filesystem a combination of `istat`, and `icat` commands would work.

+ Sadly this was ext4 which worked differently and would have called for more complex techniques.

Failed Recovery Attempt 2

+ In other cases I may have had no choice but to get deep into all that.

+ But I realized I was dealing with data that had some well structured parts.

+ This could be used to great advantage... :-) :-D

Private Key File Structure

Usually they're exported in armored ASCII format so:

```
-----BEGIN PGP PRIVATE KEY BLOCK-----  
<private key material here>  
-----END PGP PRIVATE KEY BLOCK-----
```

And odds are good my 8K
keyfile was small enough to
be stored contiguously...

SO PEANUT BUTTER REGEX TIME?

Maybe . . .

Problems

- + I'm well versed in the theory behind regexes and deterministic finite automata and all that good stuff
- + I use regexes in my programs on rare occasions.
- + But I'm not gonna lie. I'm not that good at them.

Problems

- + My main problem with regexes is that they are cryptic and hard to read.
- + Maybe I'd use them more often if there were a friendlier interface or prevalent combinator libraries or something...

Problem(s)

- + As the joke goes a senior programmer is one who knows how to use regexes but has the wisdom not to.
- + As JWZ said when you have a problem, you decide to use regexes, now you have two problems.

Problems

+

```
Cat /dev /mapper  
logical_volumes-data_volume  
/ LC_ALL=C awk “
```

Special Thanks To:

Jay Michael Roberts

Paul Backus