

Improvements for PROACT

Arthur Shing, Makiah Merritt, and Emmitt Johnson

Fig. 1. Include teaser picture above.

Abstract— PROACT (PROgnosis Assessment for Conservative Treatment) is a tool that helps patients figure out the prostate cancer health risks. We are aiming to come up with a way to visualize the adverse effects that patients may come across through various treatments. [insert results here]

Index Terms—PROACT; Cancer; Visualization

1 INTRODUCTION

This paper aims to make improvements on the PROACT paper. We first need to figure out where we can get the data for the visualizations. The information that needs to be gathered is data on side effects, recovery time, and quality of life. These are important things for people who are trying to figure out what treatments are best for them. After gathering this information we need to figure out the best way to display this back to the user. It is important that we keep this visualization simple yet useful. The original paper made a big deal out of that, and we are hoping we can continue the great work they did.

2 RELATED WORK

2.1 PROACT

PROACT (PROgnosis Assessment for Conservative Treatment) is a tool created and tested by Anzu Hakone, Lane Harrison, Alvitta Ottley, Nathan Winters, Caitlin Gutheil, Paul K. J. Han, Remco Chang to communicate risk information to individuals suffering from prostate cancer. “PROACT utilizes two published clinical prediction models to communicate the patients personalized risk estimates and compare treatment options” [4, p. 1]. With a primary goal of transmitting information across to emotionally charged individuals, the tool’s design is backed by user studies of prostate cancer survivors and urologists from the Maine Medical Center. Through their study, they found an appropriate design required a easy to read bits of information that could likewise be easily comprehended with little effort. Specifically, listed in their *findings* section, the team found a **temporal visualization with narrative sequence** worked best to communicate with varying **emotional states** [4, p. 8]. This led the initial designs to use simple visualizations, such as pie and bar charts, minimal labeling, and present the data in a positive lens; noting “adding interactions to either simple or complex visualizations had an adverse effect” [4, p. 2].

2.2 Health Care Visualizations

There have been many works that visualize medical data. Some of the examples listed in the PROACT paper include: “LifeLines [44], EventFlow [38], DecisionFlow [20], Outflow [52] and the system by Zhang et al. based on the five Ws [53]” [4, p. 2]. All of these works are important and bring something different to the table. LifeLines provides survival analysis which is mainly “developed to measure lifespans of individuals” [2]. This can be extremely beneficial to health care workers and scientists alike as it can provide the expected lifespan which gives the power to see if something is changing lifespans in a drastic way.

- Emmitt Johnson. E-mail: johnemmi@oregonstate.edu.
- Makiah Merritt. E-mail: merrittm@oregonstate.edu.
- Arthur Shing. E-mail: shinga@oregonstate.edu.

Manuscript received xx xxx. 201x; accepted xx xxx. 201x. Date of Publication xx xxx. 201x; date of current version xx xxx. 201x. For information on obtaining reprints of this article, please send e-mail to: reprints@ieee.org. Digital Object Identifier: xx.xxx/TVCG.201x.xxxxxxx

The other papers and tools mentioned all have they own special area in which they help the community, and the PROACT team wanted to be apart of this as well. This is why they narrowed down their focus to prostate cancer as well as focusing on simple and effective feedback to their users.

3 IMPLEMENTATION

Unfortunately, when searching for cancer data our team was only able to find resources concerning treatment options and a database outlining molecular trends of tumors. Coming across an accessible database was of particular interest as we would be able to provide accurate visualizations; however, the ones found were of no use for our scope. As such our “implementation” is not scientifically sound and will serve as a spring board for ideas. Noting the PROACT study found users didn’t prefer interactive systems we desire to keep interactivity optional throughout the proposed solutions. We do feel, however, that interactive information is crucial to developing a better personal understanding of the presented information; and within a medical sense, the options one is able to pursue.

3.1 Additional or Alternative Treatments

As stated in many sources, including PROACT, there are many treatment paths for prostate cancer. The National Cancer Institute’s (NCI) PDQ cancer information summary about prostate cancer states seven standard treatment options: active surveillance, surgery, radiation and radiopharmaceutical therapy, hormone therapy, chemotherapy, biologic therapy, and bisphosphate therapy [1]. Additionally, they list three new treatment options: cryosurgery, high-intensity-focused ultrasound therapy, and proton beam radiation therapy [1]. Of these PROACT currently observes conservative management (akin to active surveillance) and surgery. PROACTs lack of featuring additional treatments was cited as a possible improvement upon the current tool. By adding in other paths a patient might take, PROACT can better help doctors in providing all options with risk analysis.

To facilitate additional paths, we would revise PROACT’s *Treatment Options* page to include statistics for these various measures. On the revised page users would be presented with an initial visualization comparing active and passive paths across the 1, 5, and 10 year spans currently depicted. Below this chart we propose adding toggle buttons allowing the user to create their own side by side survival/mortality rate comparisons. In addition to providing interactive comparisons, we propose the addition of *Treatment Pathways*. With these an individual would be able to walk through the expected cycle of a selected treatment. During this walk, we would present information such as financing the treatment, possible side effects of the treatment, and resources for the treatment - including peer support groups and local organizations assisting in basic needs.

3.2 Side Effects

Our vision for giving users visualizations of side effects associated with a prostate cancer would come in two forms. The addition would

come in the form of an additional page titled “Exploring Side Effects,” appearing after PROACT’s *Treatment Options* page. Here the default view would be a visualization of the most prevalent side effects common across multiple treatment paths, i.e. erectile dysfunction or loss of bone mass. Then much like the proposed update to the *Treatment Options* page, we would display buttons so users can explore the side effects of specific treatment paths.

The following sections outline side effects we found for treatment options.

3.2.1 Surgery

Surgeries for prostate cancer include *radical prostatectomy* (with subtypes of *retropubic prostatectomy* and *retropubic prostatectomy*), *pelvic lymphadenectomy*, and *transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP)* [1].

Side Effects [1].

- Impotence
- Waste leakage
- Inguinal hernia

3.2.2 Hormonal Therapy

The following is a list of possible side effects that individuals undergoing, or having undergone, hormonal therapy (a.k.a. androgen deprivation therapy) might face; based upon the research presented in *Adverse Events Associated with Hormonal Therapy for Prostate Cancer* [5].

Neurologic and Psychiatric Effects

Frequency: Minor manifestation.

Additional Factors: N/A.

As a hormonal therapy is manipulating an individual's chemical balances, neurologic and psychiatric effects are manifested in impairments to memory, concentration, verbal, and other cognitive skills and will affect emotions to some degree [5].

Hot Flashes

Frequency: Common.

Additional Factors: N/A.

Hot flashes are commonly reported and are risky to treat [5].

Gastrointestinal Side Effects

Frequency: Common.

Additional Factors: Combination therapy, especially the use of luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone (LHRH).

Similar to neurological and psychiatric effects, gastrointestinal effects are caused by the manipulation of an individual's natural chemical balances and are commonly “abdominal pain, diarrhea and constipation, nausea/vomiting, and anorexia” [5].

Musculoskeletal Side Effects

Frequency: Minor manifestation, “0.5% - 1% per year for older men” [5].

Additional Factors: Age and combination therapy.

Typically seen as decreased muscle mass, increased fatigue, and decreased bone mineral density (BMD). A chain effect, for older men, is an increased risk for osteoporosis and bone fractures. [5]

Endocrine Side Effects

Frequency: Infrequent to common.

Additional Factors: Type of hormonal therapy.

With the manipulation of the body's androgens, individuals are at a higher risk of developing *gynecomastia*. Gynecomastia will typically cease after stopping hormonal manipulation within the first year of appearance.

Cardiovascular Side Effects

Frequency: Common.

Additional Factors: N/A.

With changes to the body's chemical structure one's cholesterol level may also shift. Therefore it isn't unlikely to see changes in weight or insulin levels. [5]

Erectile Dysfunction

Frequency: Very Common.

Additional Factors: N/A.

A general loss of sexual desire and ability to generate/maintain an erection as well as changes to volume and rigidity of the erection is typically involved with the reduction of testosterone levels.

Hematologic Adverse Effects

Frequency: Common.

Additional Factors: Treatment type.

Hormonal manipulation may cause hemoglobin levels to decrease resulting in a slightly increased chance of developing anemia. Any side effects however, can be mitigated if the hormonal treatment is stopped. [5]

Biological Adverse Effects

Frequency: Infrequent.

Additional Factors: Treatment type.

With changes in testosterone levels, an individual might experience testosterone flares, “body hair loss, dry eyes, and vertigo” [5].

3.2.3 Radiation Therapy

Based upon the information provided by *Radiation Therapy for Prostate Cancer* [6] we gathered a list of possible side effects for individuals looking at radiation therapy.

The following have been outlined as possible side effects for both *External Beam Radiation Therapy (EBRT)* and *Internal Radiation Therapy (Brachytherapy)*.

Bowel Problems

Urinary Problems

Erection Problems and Impotence The following have been outlined as possible side effects for *External Beam Radiation Therapy (EBRT)*.

Tiredness

Lymphedema The following have been outlined as possible side effects for *Internal Radiation Therapy (Brachytherapy)*.

Radiation

3.2.4 Common Side Effects

Erectile Dysfunction Erectile dysfunction seems to be the most common side effect of prostate cancer treatments. In the case of surgery, it is related to damaged nerves in the region as doctors perform their incisions. With hormonal therapy, it is typically caused by changes in testosterone levels and is potentially reversible.

3.3 Maintaining Utility

3.3.1 Tool Tips

The authors of PROACT did a great job of providing tool tips for possibly confusing articles of information. As we researched additional treatment options and their side effects we found an increasing amount of technical and scientific information. Because users are unlikely to know this information without looking it up and because a long scientific name could be daunting, we aim to extend the use of tool tips for any technical or scientific term. With this users would hover over a term and a small dialog box would appear next to it. In the dialog box we would present a high level definition of the term (for individuals with low literacy levels) as well as a link for more details - taking them to a resource appropriate for detailing the piece of medical information. This would aid users who are viewing the PROACT tool without their doctor present.

4 RESULTS

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consetetur sadipscing elitr, sed diam nonumy eirmod tempor invidunt ut labore et dolore magna aliquyam erat, sed diam voluptua. At vero eos et accusam et justo duo dolores et ea rebum. Stet clita kasd gubergren, no sea takimata sanctus est Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consetetur sadipscing elitr, sed diam nonumy eirmod tempor invidunt ut labore et dolore magna aliquyam erat, sed diam voluptua [?, ?]. At vero eos et accusam et justo duo dolores et ea rebum. Stet clita kasd gubergren, no sea takimata sanctus est Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consetetur sadipscing elitr, sed diam nonumy eirmod tempor invidunt ut labore et dolore magna aliquyam erat, sed diam voluptua. At vero eos et accusam et justo duo dolores et ea rebum. Stet clita kasd gubergren, no sea takimata sanctus est.

5 FUTURE WORK

As the PROACT team has already performed the user studies necessary to outline what tool's functionality and narrative structure, future work primarily looks at the expansion of its current capabilities.

5.1 Data Collection

Although our work was able to identify and bring together the most common treatments and treatment side effects of prostate cancer, the sources used didn't provide frequency rates with which a usable visualization could be made. To delve further into this, research needs to be done to gather enough data to scientifically justify any numbers presented. The last portion of gathering data is factor analysis. As PROACT is a tool that tries to provide personalized predictions, it is necessary that newly added material conforms to this idea and that the data yields itself to providing predictions for patients.

5.2 PROACT Additions

We originally believed the code for PROACT to be open source, however, when looking at their GitHub repo [3], only a demonstration and an unrelated page were publically accessible. To implement our suggestions one would of course need access to a dataset covering treatment survival rates and treatment side effect frequencies. As the PROACT team implements D3 in their current demo, creating a visualization with this dataset would be a trivial matter.

5.3 Visual Appeal

As the current PROACT tool is presented as a demonstration it is still very simple. To improve upon its appeal, an interface overhaul should be considered; while trying to maintain a similar sense of simplicity. Primary targets for overhaul would therefore be the improvement of navigation within the application, to make sure that users are aware of where they are at within the process at all times and how they can move on to the next section, or revisit a previous section. From usability studies we also know that a primary concern for male individuals is the use of colors. As such, the overhaul would also take a look at color palettes that could be implemented to allow the widest spectrum of users, without hassle.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank Eugene Zhang for the unique opportunities provided in this class. We appreciate the work you are doing!

REFERENCES

- [1] P. A. T. E. Board. Prostate cancer treatment (pdq)patient version, July 2016.
- [2] C. Davidson-Pilon. Lifelines, 2014.
- [3] A. H. L. H. A. O. N. W. C. G. P. K. J. Han, and R. Chang. Proact - demo, 2016.
- [4] A. H. L. H. A. O. N. W. C. G. P. K. J. Han, and R. Chang. Proact: Iterative design of a patient-centered visualization for effective prostate cancer health risk communication, 2016.
- [5] R. J. K. M. A. B. MD, and E. D. C. MD. Adverse events associated with hormonal therapy for prostate cancer, 2005.
- [6] T. A. C. S. medical and editorial content team. Radiation therapy for prostate cancer, March 2016.