## 5.3: Alpha "pure" and "impure" Does that apply to adjectives?

I have been shyly concealing until now another subgroup in the first declension. Some of the feminine nouns with a nominative ending in  $-\alpha$  have what is traditionally called a "pure" alpha, others an "impure" one. How do we tell one from the other? In  $\theta \epsilon \dot{\alpha}$  (the goddess),  $\dot{\eta} \sigma \nu \chi \dot{\iota} \alpha$  (tranquility),  $\chi \alpha \varrho \dot{\alpha}$ , alpha is *pure* because it is preceded by either a vowel or (only) the consonant  $\dot{\varrho}$ . In  $\dot{\delta} \dot{\varrho} \dot{\varrho} \dot{\varrho}$  (glory, reputation),  $\dot{\varrho} \dot{\varrho} \dot{\varrho} \dot{\varrho} \dot{\varrho}$  (Muse), alpha, preceded by a consonant other than  $\dot{\varrho}$ , is *impure*. Why make such a distinction? Because whereas pure alpha remains throughout all the cases (these are the  $-\alpha$  nouns we declined in  $\underline{4.2}$ ), impure alpha changes to  $-\eta$  in the genitive and dative of the singular. Their citation makes it immediately clear: in contrast to  $\tau \dot{\varrho} \dot{\varrho} \dot{\varrho} \dot{\varrho}$  (art, skill) or  $\dot{\varrho} \dot{\varrho} \dot{\varrho} \dot{\varrho} \dot{\varrho}$ , we have  $\dot{\varrho} \dot{\varrho} \dot{\varrho} \dot{\varrho} \dot{\varrho}$ .

Thus we are encountering a "mixed" subgroup which it will be useful to compare with the straight  $-\alpha$  and straight  $-\eta$  nouns of the first declension we studied before. We need to consider only the singular, since in the plural **all** nouns of the first declension take the yowel  $-\alpha$ .

## First declension singular

citation	τέχν <b>-η, -ης</b>	θε-ά, -ᾶς	δόξ-α, δόξ-ης
nom	τέχν-η	θε-ά	δόξ-α
gen	τέχν-ης	θε-ᾶς	δόξ-ης
dat	τέχν-η	θε-ᾶ	δόξ-η
асс	τέχν-ην	θε-άν	δόξ-αν
voc	= nom	= nom	= nom

If you review the citation of adjectives that we have been using so far, you will see that their feminine chooses either  $-\alpha$  or  $-\eta$ .

The issue of *impure alpha* does not apply to the adjectives of the first and second declensions that I have dubbed "Type 1" adjectives:

## **EXAMPLES**

Click **here** for a chart of the declension of these adjectives

$$\dot{o}$$
 $\varrho\theta$  $\dot{o}$ ς,  $\dot{o}$  $\varrho\theta$  $\dot{\eta}$ ,  $\dot{o}$  $\varrho\theta$  $\dot{o}\nu$  = straight, correct

$$χαλεπός$$
,  $χαλεπή$ ,  $χαλεπόν$  = harsh

$$\dot{\epsilon}$$
μός,  $\dot{\epsilon}$ μή,  $\dot{\epsilon}$ μόν = my (possessive adjective)