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## Unigram/Majority Class

To start, we used unigrams to model the sequence. This was a simple matter of computing the most frequent symbol in the training set. Once this was done, the program would predict this symbol for everything in the testing set’s sequence.

## Bigram

The next step was to create to create a data structure for storing bigrams. We chose to use multiple hash-maps for this process. The first hash-map is the **prefix-map**; it stored **prefixes** (i.e. the first symbol of the bigram) as keys and **suffix-map** as the value. The suffix-map is hash-map whose keys are **suffixes** (i.e. the possible sequence of symbols following the prefix) and values are the number of occurrences of the concatenation of the prefix and the suffix in the training set. This entire data structure will be referred to as the **prefix-suffix-map**.

For bigrams, the prefix and suffix are both of length one. This made the implementation of the **creation-algorithm** (i.e. the algorithm used to create the data-structure) rather simple. The creation-algorithm simply iterated through the training set’s symbols, adding these symbols to the prefix-map when they did not already exist, and then peeked at the following symbol and added these symbols to the appropriate suffix-map or incremented the matching value in the suffix-map.
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Figure - the data structure after processing bigrams on the string abbabcabbac

Notice that in the example, the empty string is a key. This is because when the algorithm starts it has the empty string stored as the current string. This will result in the algorithm guessing ‘a’ for the first character in the test set.

## N-grams

Instead of hard coding a trigram model, we simply expanded our bigram model into an **n-gram** model. That is, our program would generate Markov chains of any length, *n*, from the training data. This was a simply a matter of modifying the system from the previous step to store prefix’s of length and suffix’s of length one. This model completely replaced the previous two models since it was able to do both unigrams and bigrams.
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Figure the data structure after processing trigrams on the string abbabcabbac

# Further Improvements

## Training During Testing

One easy solution to make the model more accurate is to add data to the prefix-map as answers to the test set are revealed. Since there is no uncertainty in whether or not a symbol is correct when it is revealed, we did not need to worry about smoothing our results, and this extra data could only improve our results.

## Back-off During Uncertainty (Challenge #2)

When running the n-gram algorithm, it is possible that the algorithm will encounter a prefix in the test-set that it never saw in the training set. In this case, the algorithm has no way to determine what the next possible letter could be, and it makes a random guess.

To fix this issue, we implemented a back-off system whereby if no prefix was found for the **current string**, the algorithm would look up a substring of the current string in the prefix table. This required all Markov chain lengths between 1 and n to be generated during the creation-algorithm.

This completely eliminated random guesses from the system, however, longer chain length were still weighted the most. This was problematic since different test-sets had the best accuracy using different n-gram sizes.

## Weighted Markov Chains During Training (Challenge #3)

To fix the issues leftover by the back-off algorithm we implemented a weighting system. This algorithm assigned each Markov chain a weight. When running on the test-set, the algorithm will check each possible current-string length, and find the Markov chain that has the highest certainty, where certainty equals . Basically, each weight determines how much a Markov chain should be trusted given its length. In general, short chains are susceptible to missing possible relations, and long chains are susceptible to over fitting the data. Nevertheless, each test-set requires different weight values to operate with the highest accuracy possible.

We used random walk to estimate the best weights during the creation-algorithm. First, half of the training set is processed and stored in the prefix-suffix-map. Next, weights are randomly assigned to each Markov chain length, and the accuracy is computed using the second half of the training set as a test set. After 100 random weights are tried, the creation-algorithm finishes by processing the remainder of the training set and storing this information in the suffix-prefix-map.

Ideally, we a genetic algorithm would have been used to figure out what random weight should be used. Another possible improvement that was never implemented would be to tweak these weights as the testing-set is revealed.

## Peeking (Challenge #3)

Our team decided that peeking was always worth doing before the algorithm starts to count the number of symbols of different types and calculate the actual ratio of pieces in the whole sequence.

The first approach we took to use the data was to keep track of how many times a symbol has been seen, and then stop guessing the that symbol once we know for a fact that it will never reappear. This turned out to not help significantly, and sometimes it would actually be detrimental to performance. We decided that this was because symbols typically did not run out until the very end of a sequence.

The second approach was to decrease the counts of symbols in the prefix-suffix-map as symbols were depleted.

# Accuracy

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Algorithm\Accuracy | **Trial 1** | **Trial 2** | **Trial 3** | **Trial 4** | **Trial 5** |
| **Unigram** |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Bigram** |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Simple Backoff** |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Weighted Markov** |  |  |  |  |  |

# How to Run

To use this program, open proj3.ss in DrScheme and load the “Pretty Big” language.

To run n-grams, call the run function defined as (run train test n) where train and test are strings giving the relative location of the training and testing files, and n is the size of the n-grams to use. This will always use the back-off algorithm discussed earlier.

Example

; run the algorithm with trigrams.

(run "data/Training\_1A.txt" "data/Testing\_1A.txt" 3)

To run the weighted Markov algorithm, call the run-weighting function with the same arguments as would be passed to the run function.

Example

; run the algorithm with quadgrams and weighted Markov chains

(run-weights "data/Training\_2A.txt" "data/Testing\_2A.txt" 4)

We have noticed that chain length of three and four work best with ensamble learning. The algorithm tends to some time to start since it spends a good amount of its creation time randomly trying different weight.