



Figure 15.1: Recurrent neural network (RNN) for generating a variable length output sequence  $\mathbf{y}_{1:T}$  given an optional fixed length input vector  $\mathbf{x}$ .

### 15.2.1.1 Models

For notational simplicity, let  $T$  be the length of the output (with the understanding that this is chosen dynamically). The RNN then corresponds to the following conditional generative model:

$$p(\mathbf{y}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{h}_{1:T}} p(\mathbf{y}_{1:T}, \mathbf{h}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{h}_{1:T}} \prod_{t=1}^T p(\mathbf{y}_t|\mathbf{h}_t) p(\mathbf{h}_t|\mathbf{h}_{t-1}, \mathbf{y}_{t-1}, \mathbf{x}) \quad (15.1)$$

where  $\mathbf{h}_t$  is the hidden state, and where we define  $p(\mathbf{h}_1|\mathbf{h}_0, \mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{x}) = p(\mathbf{h}_1|\mathbf{x})$  as the initial hidden state distribution (often deterministic).

The output distribution is usually given by

$$p(\mathbf{y}_t|\mathbf{h}_t) = \text{Cat}(\mathbf{y}_t|\text{softmax}(\mathbf{W}_{hy}\mathbf{h}_t + \mathbf{b}_y)) \quad (15.2)$$

where  $\mathbf{W}_{hy}$  are the hidden-to-output weights, and  $\mathbf{b}_y$  is the bias term. However, for real-valued outputs, we can use

$$p(\mathbf{y}_t|\mathbf{h}_t) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{y}_t|\mathbf{W}_{hy}\mathbf{h}_t + \mathbf{b}_y, \sigma^2\mathbf{I}) \quad (15.3)$$

We assume the hidden state is computed deterministically as follows:

$$p(\mathbf{h}_t|\mathbf{h}_{t-1}, \mathbf{y}_{t-1}, \mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{I}(\mathbf{h}_t = f(\mathbf{h}_{t-1}, \mathbf{y}_{t-1}, \mathbf{x})) \quad (15.4)$$

for some deterministic function  $f$ . The update function  $f$  is usually given by

$$\mathbf{h}_t = \varphi(\mathbf{W}_{xh}[\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y}_{t-1}] + \mathbf{W}_{hh}\mathbf{h}_{t-1} + \mathbf{b}_h) \quad (15.5)$$

where  $\mathbf{W}_{hh}$  are the hidden-to-hidden weights,  $\mathbf{W}_{xh}$  are the input-to-hidden weights, and  $\mathbf{b}_h$  are the bias terms. See Figure 15.1 for an illustration, and [rnn\\_jax.ipynb](#) for some code.

Note that  $\mathbf{y}_t$  depends on  $\mathbf{h}_t$ , which depends on  $\mathbf{y}_{t-1}$ , which depends on  $\mathbf{h}_{t-1}$ , and so on. Thus  $\mathbf{y}_t$  implicitly depends on all past observations (as well as the optional fixed input  $\mathbf{x}$ ). Thus an RNN overcomes the limitations of standard Markov models, in that they can have unbounded memory. This makes RNNs theoretically as powerful as a **Turing machine** [SS95; PMB19]. In practice,