

Smoke test: Acceptable  
Acceptance test: Medium  
Found issues: 25

### Top severity issues

ID	Severity
<a href="#">QATC-606250</a>	Critical
<a href="#">QATC-605859</a>	Critical
<a href="#">QATC-605982</a>	Major
<a href="#">QATC-605669</a>	Major
<a href="#">QATC-605467</a>	Major
<a href="#">QATC-603842</a>	Major
<a href="#">QATC-603832</a>	Major
<a href="#">QATC-606806</a>	Average
<a href="#">QATC-606556</a>	Average

### Testing environment

Operating system: Windows 7 (32-bit)  
Browser: Google Chrome 102.0.5005.61

### QA team

Company:  
Project manager:  
QA engineer: Maxim Proskurin

### Additional information

Testing dates: 9.06.2022 - 14.06.2022  
Time spent: 30 hours

# Testing GregOlsen.PhotoStudio website

## General quality analysis


Acceptance test was performed for [Voyage Front-end](#) and [Voyage Back-office](#) web-application on Windows 7, Google Chrome browser.

The overall quality of the application can be estimated as **Medium**. The total number of defects is [25](#). Functional defects prevail, [2](#) of them have the Critical severity and [5](#) defects gain the Major severity. So, two export format (Excel/Plain text) aren't used because of 502 status code. Also html tags are processed in front-end. The majority of defects is opened for modules "Front-end" and "Opportunities management". Recommend to edit opportunities only one person, because nowadays opportunity can be editing while different person work with it. "Search" doesn't work correctly in the "Opportunities management" module. Actually web-application is almost usable. Most of functionalities are realized according to specification. A detailed quality analysis is presented below.

Actually web-application is almost usable. Most of functionalities are realized according to specification. A detailed quality analysis is presented below.

## Detailed quality analysis

The total number of defects by severity



The total number of defects by components

