Lecture Note 10: IV

Y:= Bo+ & X: + U:

Nonogenous

effect

Assumptions:

() Relevance: (ov (Z; X;) +0

2 Exogeneity: cov (Zi, Ui)=0

a) Z: has no direct effect on Y:

b) Z: is "as good as random"

(ov (Z; Y;) = cov (Z; B+Bx;+U;) = cov (Z; B) + cov (Z; B, X;) + cov (Z; D;) cov (2, 1) = \$, cov (2, X)

B. = (ov (2, Y.)/V[2.])

(Regress Y: on Z: (reduced form) (2) Regress Xi on Zi (1st stage)

Two-stage least squeres (TSLS)

(i) Regress X. on Z.: X:= 75 + 7. Z.+ V.

Form predicted vals: $\hat{X}_i = \hat{7}_0 + \hat{7}_1 Z_i$

2) Regress Y: on \$: Y = \$. + B. \$. + E.

Brows is same as ratio RF and consistent estimator for B.

In Rusing fixest, regress Y on X, W., Wz, instruenting for X using Z

feds (y ~ wl+w21 x ~ = , lata = 4f)

Wald estimator: Zi is binary

-> \(\bar{Y}_0, \bar{Y}_1, \bar{X}_0, \bar{X}_1
\)
-> \(\beta_1^{\text{und}} = \frac{\bar{Y}_1 - \bar{Y}_0}{\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_0}
\)
= 0 in eligibility experient

Heterogeneons effects

· single binary Z:, single binary X: · potential outcome: Y:(x, z) for treatment level x and instrument level z

· potential treatment status: X:(z) < x:(0)

· to interpret IV/TSLS, 3 assumptions: Z: is "as good as random"

. to interpret IV/TSLS, 3 assumptions! Zi is "as good as random"

(1) Independence: {\(\frac{1}{2}\) \(\times\) \(\frac{1}{2}\) \(\frac{1}{2}\

② Exclusion restriction: $Y_{i}(x,0)=Y_{i}(x,1)$ $Z_{i}\to X_{i}\to Y_{i}$ so $Y_{i}(x,z)=Y_{i}(x)$

B) Monotonicity: either X(1) = X(0) for all i

2:=1 X:=(XIO compliers never takers X:=0 2,=0 always takers X:=(

TOT - never, compliers

LATE -> never, always, compliers

Under assumptions 1-3: BITSLS - LATE = avg effect of X ... Y among compliers Generalizing to non-binery Z: and X: X = 16. + 17. 2. + V. B.TSLS PRE Eliniperior Richard to Z. Y: = Boi+ Biz = + Ui -ind causal effect of Xi on Yi and sensitivity