Week 4 Assignment: Predicting Exercise Classe from Wearable Devices

Analysis

Environment setup

Preprocessing

1. First look at the data for each column and remove variables unrelated to exercise (column number and time stamps)

```
str(trainRaw)
 ## 'data.frame': 19622 obs. of 160 variables:
 ## $ X
             : int 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 ...
: chr "carlitos" "carlitos" "carlitos" "carlitos" ...
 ## $ user_name
 ## $ raw_timestamp_part_1 : int 1323084231 1323084231 1323084231 1323084232 1323084232 1323084232 1323084232 1323084232
 1323084232 1323084232 ...
 ## $ raw_timestamp_part_2 : int 788290 808298 820366 120339 196328 304277 368296 440390 484323 484434 ...
 : chr "" "" "" ...
 ## $ kurtosis_yaw_belt
## $ skewness_roll_belt
                      : chr "" "" ""
 ## $ skewness_roll_belt.1 : chr "" "" "" ...
: chr "" "" ""
 ##
   $ min vaw belt
    $ yaw arm
 ## $ total_accel_arm
   $ var_accel_arm
 ##
    $ avg_roll_arm
                      : num NA ...
 ##
                      : num NA ...
    $ stddev_roll_arm
                      : num NA ...
 ## $ avg_pitch_arm
                      : num NA ...
 ## $ stddev_pitch_arm
                      : num NA ...
 ## $ var_pitch_arm
                      : num NA ...
                      : num NA ...
## $ avg_yaw_arm
## $ stddev_yaw_arm
## $ var_yaw_arm
## $ gyros_arm_x
## $ gyros_arm_y
## $ gyros_arm_z
 ## $ avg_yaw_arm
                      : num NA ...
                     : num NA ..
                      : num 0 -0.02 -0.02 -0.03 -0.03 -0.03 -0.03 -0.02 -0.03 -0.03 ...
: num -0.02 -0.02 -0.02 0.02 0 0 0 0 -0.02 -0.02 ...
```

```
## $ accel_arm_x
                        : int 109 110 110 111 111 111 111 111 109 110 ...
: int -123 -125 -126 -123 -123 -122 -125 -124 -122 -124 ...
## $ accel_arm_y
## $ accel arm z
## $ magnet arm x
                         : int -368 -369 -368 -372 -374 -369 -373 -372 -369 -376 ...
## $ magnet_arm_y
                        : int 337 337 344 344 337 342 336 338 341 334 ...
                         : int 516 513 513 512 506 513 509 510 518 516 ...
## $ magnet_arm_z
## $ kurtosis_roll_arm
                         : chr "" "" ""
                         : chr "" "" "" ...
## $ kurtosis_picth_arm
                         : chr "" "" "" "" ...
## $ kurtosis_yaw_arm
                         : chr "" "" "" "" ...
## $ skewness_roll arm
                         : chr "" "" "" "" ...
## $ skewness pitch arm
   $ skewness_yaw_arm
                         : chr "" "" "" "" ...
   $ max_roll_arm
                         : num NA ...
##
   $ max_picth_arm
##
                         : num NA ...
                         : int NA ...
## $ max_yaw_arm
                         : num NA ...
## $ min_roll_arm
## $ min pitch arm
                         : int NA ...
## $ min yaw arm
   $ amplitude_roll_arm
                         : num NA ...
##
##
   $ amplitude_pitch_arm
                        : num NA ...
## $ amplitude_yaw_arm
                         : int NA ...
                         : num 13.1 13.1 12.9 13.4 13.4 ...
## $ roll_dumbbell
## $ pitch_dumbbell
                       : num -70.5 -70.6 -70.3 -70.4 -70.4 ...
                         : num -84.9 -84.7 -85.1 -84.9 -84.9 ...
## $ yaw dumbbell
## $ kurtosis_roll_dumbbell : chr "" "" "" ...
   $ kurtosis_picth_dumbbell : chr "" "" "" ...
## $ kurtosis_yaw_dumbbell : chr "" "" "" ...
## $ skewness_roll_dumbbell : chr "" "" "" ...
## $ skewness_pitch_dumbbell : chr "" "" "" ...
## $ skewness_yaw_dumbbell : chr "" "" "" ...
## $ max_roll_dumbbell
                         : num NA ...
   $ max_picth_dumbbell
                         : num NA ...
##
                         : chr "" "" "" "" ...
   $ max_yaw_dumbbell
   $ min_roll_dumbbell
                         : num NA ...
   ##
## $ min yaw dumbbell
## [list output truncated]
4
train <- trainRaw[, 6:ncol(trainRaw)]</pre>
 2. Split the data into 70% training and 30% testing set :
```

```
set.seed(12345)
inTrain <- createDataPartition(y = train$classe, p = 0.7, list = F)
training <- train[inTrain, ]
testing <- train[-inTrain, ]</pre>
```

3. Remove the variables with a lot of similarities

```
nzv <- nearZeroVar(train, saveMetrics = T)
keepFeat <- row.names(nzv[nzv$nzv == FALSE, ])
training <- training[, keepFeat]
```

4. Remove the variables with all NAs

```
training <- training[, colSums(is.na(training)) == 0]
dim(training)</pre>
```

```
## [1] 13737 54
```

This is a rather stringent cutoff but there is still >50 features after removal!

Model training

1. Set up 5-fold cross validation for training

```
modCtl <- trainControl(method = 'cv', number = 5)</pre>
```

2. Fit a model with random forests :

```
set.seed(12345)
modRf <- train(classe ~. , data = training, method = 'rf', trControl = modCtl)</pre>
```

· Read the summary of the model built with random forests

```
modRf$finalModel
```

```
## Call:
## randomForest(x = x, y = y, mtry = param$mtry)
## Type of random forest: classification
## Number of trees: 500
## No. of variables tried at each split: 27
##
## OOB estimate of error rate: 0.23%
## Confusion matrix:
## A B C D E class.error
## A 3904 1 0 0 1 0.0005120328
## B 6 2648 3 1 0 0.0037622272
## C 0 6 2388 2 0 0.0033388982
## D 0 0 8 2244 0 0.0035523979
## E 0 0 0 8 3 2522 0.0011881188
```

· Predict with the validation set and check the confusion matrix and accuracy

```
predRf <- predict(modRf, newdata = testing)
tst = factor(testing$classe)
confusionMatrix(predRf, tst)$overall[1]</pre>
```

```
## Accuracy
## 0.9991504
```

confusionMatrix(predRf, tst)\$table

```
## Reference
## Prediction A B C D E
## A 1674 1 0 0 0
## B 0 1138 1 0 0
## C 0 0 1025 2 0
## D 0 0 0 962 1
## E 0 0 0 0 1081
```

The accuracy is ~99.6% under 5-fold cross validation

3. Fit a model with gradient boosting method :

```
modGbm <- train(classe ~., data = training, method = 'gbm', trControl = modCtl, verbose = F)</pre>
```

Read the summary of the model built with gbm :

```
modGbm$finalModel

## A gradient boosted model with multinomial loss function.

## 150 iterations were performed.

## There were 53 predictors of which 53 had non-zero influence.
```

. Predict with the validation set and check the confusion matrix and accuracy :

```
predGbm <- predict(modGbm, newdata = testing)
tst = factor(testing$classe)
confusionMatrix(predRf, tst)$overall[1]</pre>
```

```
## Accuracy
## 0.9991504
```

```
confusionMatrix(predRf, tst)$table
```

```
## Reference
## Prediction A B C D E
## A 1674 1 0 0 0
## B 0 1138 1 0 0
## C 0 0 1025 2 0
## D 0 0 0 962 1
## E 0 0 0 0 0 1081
```

The accuracy is ~98.8% under 5-fold cross validation

Quiz

Since random forests gives the highest accuracy under the validation set, this model will be selected and used for prediction in the test set

```
predRfTest <- predict(modRf, newdata = testRaw)
predRfTest</pre>
```

```
## [1] B A B A A E D B A A B C B A E E A B B B ## Levels: A B C D E
```

The gbm model can also be used for prediction and the results can be compared to above

```
predGbmTest <- predict(modGbm, newdata = testRaw)
table(predRfTest, predGbmTest)</pre>
```

```
## predGbmTest
## predRfTest A B C D E
## A 7 0 0 0 0
## B 0 8 0 0 0
## C 0 0 1 0 0
## D 0 0 0 1 0
## E 0 0 0 0 3
```

The two models produce the same results, as shown in the confusion matrix !