

GENETIC ALGORITHM

CODE:

```
import random

import numpy as np

# -----

# Objective function

# -----

def fitness_function(x):

    # Minimize  $x^2$ 

    return x ** 2

# -----

# GA Parameters

# -----

POP_SIZE = 50

GENS = 100

MUTATION_RATE = 0.1

CROSSOVER_RATE = 0.8

BOUNDS = (-10, 10)

# -----

# Initialization
```

```
# -----
```

```
def initialize_population():
```

```
    return np.random.uniform(BOUNDS[0], BOUNDS[1], POP_SIZE)
```

```
# -----
```

```
# Selection (Tournament)
```

```
# -----
```

```
def tournament_selection(population, fitness, k=3):
```

```
    selected = random.sample(list(zip(population, fitness)), k)
```

```
    selected.sort(key=lambda x: x[1])
```

```
    return selected[0][0]
```

```
# -----
```

```
# Crossover (Arithmetic)
```

```
# -----
```

```
def crossover(parent1, parent2):
```

```
    if random.random() < CROSSOVER_RATE:
```

```
        alpha = random.random()
```

```
        child1 = alpha * parent1 + (1 - alpha) * parent2
```

```
        child2 = alpha * parent2 + (1 - alpha) * parent1
```

```
        return child1, child2
```

```
    return parent1, parent2
```

```
# -----
```

```
# Mutation
```

```
# -----
```

```
def mutate(x):
```

```
    if random.random() < MUTATION_RATE:
```

```
        x += np.random.normal(0, 1)
```

```
    return np.clip(x, BOUNDS[0], BOUNDS[1])
```

```
# -----
```

```
# Main GA Loop
```

```
# -----
```

```
def genetic_algorithm():
```

```
    population = initialize_population()
```

```
    for gen in range(GENS):
```

```
        fitness = np.array([fitness_function(ind) for ind in population])
```

```
        new_population = []
```

```
        while len(new_population) < POP_SIZE:
```

```
            p1 = tournament_selection(population, fitness)
```

```
            p2 = tournament_selection(population, fitness)
```

```
            c1, c2 = crossover(p1, p2)
```

```

    new_population.append(mutate(c1))

    new_population.append(mutate(c2))


population = np.array(new_population[:POP_SIZE])


best_idx = np.argmin(fitness)

print(f'Gen {gen:3d} | Best x = {population[best_idx]:.5f} | Fitness =
{fitness[best_idx]:.5f}')


best_idx = np.argmin(fitness)

return population[best_idx], fitness[best_idx]


# -----

# Run GA

# -----

best_x, best_fitness = genetic_algorithm()

print("\nBest solution found:")

print('x =', best_x)

print('f(x) =', best_fitness)

-----
-----

output:

Gen    0 | Best x = 2.70314 | Fitness = 0.25917
Gen    1 | Best x = 0.74654 | Fitness = 0.01681
Gen    2 | Best x = -0.71944 | Fitness = 0.00314
Gen    3 | Best x = -0.14067 | Fitness = 0.00001
Gen    4 | Best x = -0.11921 | Fitness = 0.00001

```

Gen	5	Best x = 0.01997	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	6	Best x = 0.00020	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	7	Best x = 0.00150	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	8	Best x = 0.00133	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	9	Best x = -0.00026	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	10	Best x = 0.00010	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	11	Best x = 0.00010	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	12	Best x = -0.00002	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	13	Best x = -0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	14	Best x = -0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	15	Best x = 0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	16	Best x = -0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	17	Best x = -0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	18	Best x = -0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	19	Best x = -0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	20	Best x = -0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	21	Best x = 0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	22	Best x = 0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	23	Best x = -0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	24	Best x = -0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	25	Best x = -0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	26	Best x = -0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	27	Best x = -0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	28	Best x = 0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	29	Best x = -0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	30	Best x = -0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	31	Best x = 0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	32	Best x = 0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	33	Best x = -0.33052	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	34	Best x = 0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	35	Best x = 0.75369	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	36	Best x = 0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	37	Best x = -1.51123	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	38	Best x = 0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	39	Best x = 0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	40	Best x = 0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	41	Best x = 0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	42	Best x = 0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	43	Best x = 0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	44	Best x = 0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	45	Best x = 0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	46	Best x = 0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	47	Best x = 0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	48	Best x = -1.22670	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	49	Best x = 0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	50	Best x = 0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	51	Best x = 0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	52	Best x = 0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	53	Best x = 0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	54	Best x = 0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	55	Best x = 0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	56	Best x = 0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	57	Best x = 0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	58	Best x = -0.19375	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	59	Best x = 0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	60	Best x = 0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	61	Best x = 0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	62	Best x = 0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	63	Best x = 0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	64	Best x = 0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	65	Best x = 0.00000	Fitness = 0.00000

Gen	66		Best x = 0.00000		Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	67		Best x = 0.00000		Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	68		Best x = 0.00000		Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	69		Best x = -0.08670		Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	70		Best x = 0.00000		Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	71		Best x = 0.00000		Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	72		Best x = 0.00000		Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	73		Best x = 0.00000		Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	74		Best x = 0.00000		Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	75		Best x = 1.57480		Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	76		Best x = 0.00000		Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	77		Best x = 0.00000		Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	78		Best x = 0.00000		Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	79		Best x = 0.00000		Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	80		Best x = 0.00000		Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	81		Best x = 0.00000		Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	82		Best x = 0.00000		Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	83		Best x = 0.00000		Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	84		Best x = 0.00000		Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	85		Best x = 0.00000		Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	86		Best x = 0.00000		Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	87		Best x = 0.00000		Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	88		Best x = 0.00000		Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	89		Best x = 0.00000		Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	90		Best x = 0.00000		Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	91		Best x = 0.00000		Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	92		Best x = 0.00000		Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	93		Best x = 0.00000		Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	94		Best x = 0.00000		Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	95		Best x = 0.27095		Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	96		Best x = 2.21221		Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	97		Best x = 0.00000		Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	98		Best x = 0.00000		Fitness = 0.00000
Gen	99		Best x = 0.00000		Fitness = 0.00000

Best solution found:

x = 4.956510887211463e-16

f(x) = 2.4567000175045765e-31

Paper Chosen: Genetic Algorithm Based Approach for Autonomous Mobile Robot Path Planning

Abstract:

Abstract In this study, an improved crossover operator is suggested, for solving path planning problems using genetic algorithms (GA) in static environment. GA has been widely applied in path optimization problem which consists in finding a valid and feasible path between two positions while avoiding obstacles and optimizing some criteria such as distance (length of the path), safety (the path must be as far as possible from the obstacles) ...etc. Several researches have provided new approaches used GA to produce an optimal path. Crossover operators existing in the literature can generate infeasible paths, most of these methods don't take into account the variable length chromosomes. The proposed crossover operator avoids premature convergence and offers feasible paths with better fitness value than its parents, thus the algorithm converges more rapidly. A new fitness function which takes into account the distance, the safety and the energy, is also suggested. In order to prove the validity of the proposed method, it is applied to many different environments and compared with three studies in the literature. The simulation results show that using GA with the improved crossover operators and the fitness function helps to find optimal solutions compared to other methods

Comparison with existing methods:

- Existing GA approaches often generate infeasible paths, converge slowly, or produce paths with many turns.
- The proposed method reduces premature convergence and maintains population diversity.
- It consistently finds paths with **fewer turns** and **better safety**, while converging in **fewer iterations**.

Results:

- In all tested environments, the proposed method achieved the **lowest number of turns** compared to other methods.
- For example, in Env03, the proposed approach reduced the average number of turns to **7.6**, compared to **23.6**, **11.9**, and **23.8** for the other methods.
- The algorithm also converged faster or comparably in terms of iterations.

Conclusion:

The proposed GA with the improved crossover operator and fitness function outperforms existing GA-based path planning methods by producing **smoother, safer, and more energy-efficient paths** with **faster convergence**.