

GENETIC ALGORITHM

CODE:

```
import random
```

```
import numpy as np
```

```
# -----
```

```
# Objective function
```

```
# -----
```

```
def fitness_function(x):
```

```
    # Minimize x^2
```

```
    return x ** 2
```

```
# -----
```

```
# GA Parameters
```

```
# -----
```

```
POP_SIZE = 50
```

```
GENS = 100
```

```
MUTATION_RATE = 0.1
```

```
CROSSOVER_RATE = 0.8
```

```
BOUNDS = (-10, 10)
```

```
# -----
```

```
# Initialization
```

```
# -----  
def initialize_population():  
    return np.random.uniform(BOUNDS[0], BOUNDS[1], POP_SIZE)  
  
# -----  
# Selection (Tournament)  
# -----  
def tournament_selection(population, fitness, k=3):  
    selected = random.sample(list(zip(population, fitness)), k)  
    selected.sort(key=lambda x: x[1])  
    return selected[0][0]  
  
# -----  
# Crossover (Arithmetic)  
# -----  
def crossover(parent1, parent2):  
    if random.random() < CROSSOVER_RATE:  
        alpha = random.random()  
        child1 = alpha * parent1 + (1 - alpha) * parent2  
        child2 = alpha * parent2 + (1 - alpha) * parent1  
        return child1, child2  
    return parent1, parent2
```

```
# -----  
# Mutation  
# -----  
def mutate(x):  
    if random.random() < MUTATION_RATE:  
        x += np.random.normal(0, 1)  
    return np.clip(x, BOUNDS[0], BOUNDS[1])  
  
# -----  
# Main GA Loop  
# -----  
def genetic_algorithm():  
    population = initialize_population()  
  
    for gen in range(GENS):  
                fitness = np.array([fitness_function(ind) for ind in population])  
                new_population = []  
  
        while len(new_population) < POP_SIZE:  
                        p1 = tournament_selection(population, fitness)  
                        p2 = tournament_selection(population, fitness)  
  
            c1, c2 = crossover(p1, p2)
```

```

new_population.append(mutate(c1))

new_population.append(mutate(c2))

population = np.array(new_population[:POP_SIZE])

best_idx = np.argmin(fitness)

print(f"Gen {gen:3d} | Best x = {population[best_idx]:.5f} | Fitness = {fitness[best_idx]:.5f}")

best_idx = np.argmin(fitness)

return population[best_idx], fitness[best_idx]

# -----
# Run GA
# -----



best_x, best_fitness = genetic_algorithm()

print("\nBest solution found:")

print("x =", best_x)

print("f(x) =", best_fitness)

-----
```

output:

```

Gen 0 | Best x = 2.70314 | Fitness = 0.25917
Gen 1 | Best x = 0.74654 | Fitness = 0.01681
Gen 2 | Best x = -0.71944 | Fitness = 0.00314
Gen 3 | Best x = -0.14067 | Fitness = 0.00001
Gen 4 | Best x = -0.11921 | Fitness = 0.00001
```

```
Gen 5 | Best x = 0.01997 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 6 | Best x = 0.00020 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 7 | Best x = 0.00150 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 8 | Best x = 0.00133 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 9 | Best x = -0.00026 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 10 | Best x = 0.00010 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 11 | Best x = 0.00010 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 12 | Best x = -0.00002 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 13 | Best x = -0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 14 | Best x = -0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 15 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 16 | Best x = -0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 17 | Best x = -0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 18 | Best x = -0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 19 | Best x = -0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 20 | Best x = -0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 21 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 22 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 23 | Best x = -0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 24 | Best x = -0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 25 | Best x = -0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 26 | Best x = -0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 27 | Best x = -0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 28 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 29 | Best x = -0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 30 | Best x = -0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 31 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 32 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 33 | Best x = -0.33052 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 34 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 35 | Best x = 0.75369 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 36 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 37 | Best x = -1.51123 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 38 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 39 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 40 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 41 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 42 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 43 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 44 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 45 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 46 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 47 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 48 | Best x = -1.22670 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 49 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 50 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 51 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 52 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 53 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 54 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 55 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 56 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 57 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 58 | Best x = -0.19375 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 59 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 60 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 61 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 62 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 63 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 64 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 65 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
```

```
Gen 66 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 67 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 68 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 69 | Best x = -0.08670 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 70 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 71 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 72 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 73 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 74 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 75 | Best x = 1.57480 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 76 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 77 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 78 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 79 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 80 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 81 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 82 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 83 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 84 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 85 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 86 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 87 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 88 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 89 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 90 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 91 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 92 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 93 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 94 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 95 | Best x = 0.27095 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 96 | Best x = 2.21221 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 97 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 98 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
Gen 99 | Best x = 0.00000 | Fitness = 0.00000
```

Best solution found:
x = 4.956510887211463e-16
f(x) = 2.4567000175045765e-31

Paper Chosen: Genetic Algorithm Based Approach for Autonomous Mobile Robot Path Planning

Abstract:

Abstract In this study, an improved crossover operator is suggested, for solving path planning problems using genetic algorithms (GA) in static environment. GA has been widely applied in path optimization problem which consists in finding a valid and feasible path between two positions while avoiding obstacles and optimizing some criteria such as distance (length of the path), safety (the path must be as far as possible from the obstacles) ...etc. Several researches have provided new approaches used GA to produce an optimal path. Crossover operators existing in the literature can generate infeasible paths, most of these methods don't take into account the variable length chromosomes. The proposed crossover operator avoids premature convergence and offers feasible paths with better fitness value than its parents, thus the algorithm converges more rapidly. A new fitness function which takes into account the distance, the safety and the energy, is also suggested. In order to prove the validity of the proposed method, it is applied to many different environments and compared with three studies in the literature. The simulation results show that using GA with the improved crossover operators and the fitness function helps to find optimal solutions compared to other methods

Comparison with existing methods:

- Existing GA approaches often generate infeasible paths, converge slowly, or produce paths with many turns.
- The proposed method reduces premature convergence and maintains population diversity.
- It consistently finds paths with **fewer turns** and **better safety**, while converging in **fewer iterations**.

Results:

- In all tested environments, the proposed method achieved the **lowest number of turns** compared to other methods.
- For example, in Env03, the proposed approach reduced the average number of turns to **7.6**, compared to **23.6, 11.9**, and **23.8** for the other methods.
- The algorithm also converged faster or comparably in terms of iterations.

Conclusion:

The proposed GA with the improved crossover operator and fitness function outperforms existing GA-based path planning methods by producing **smoother, safer, and more energy-efficient paths with faster convergence**.