ECEE 5623, Real-Time Systems:

Exercise #3 – Threading/Tasking and Real-Time Synchronization

DUE: As Indicated on Canvas

Please thoroughly read Chapters 5 & 6 in RTECS with Linux and RTOS

Please see example code provided - <u>Linux</u>, <u>FreeRTOS</u>, and <u>VxWorks</u>.

This lab is written to be completed with embedded Linux running on the R-Pi3b (order or borrow and use NOOB image). It is possible to also use a Jetson Nano (Getting started), but the Raspberry Pi is preferred. The standard final project requires use of a camera, which is simple with embedded Linux using the UVC driver. Linux start code and example code can be found here - http://ecce.colorado.edu/~eccn5623/eccn/ex/Linux/code/

Exercise #3 Requirements:

- 1) [10 points] Read Sha, Rajkumar, et al paper, "Priority Inheritance Protocols: An Approach to Real-Time Synchronization".
 - a) Summarize 3 main key points the paper makes. Read my summary paper on the topic as well, which might be easier to understand.
 - b) Read the positions of <u>Linux Torvalds as described by Jonathan Corbet</u> and <u>Ingo Molnar and Thomas Gleixner</u> on this topic as well. Take a position on this topic yourself and write at least one well supported paragraph or more to defend your position based on what we have learned in class.
 - c) Does the "FUTEX" as an alternative to MUTEX (<u>Futex</u>, <u>Futexes are Tricky</u>), described by Ingo Molnar, provide safe and accurate protection from un-bounded priority inversion as described in the paper? If not, what is different about it?
- 2) [15 points] Review the terminology guide (glossary in the textbook).
 - a) Describe clearly what it means to write "thread safe" functions that are "re-entrant". There are generally three main ways to do this: 1) pure functions that use only stack and have no global memory, 2) functions which use thread indexed global data, and 3) functions which use shared memory global data, but synchronize access to it using a MUTEX semaphore critical section wrapper.
 - b) Describe each method and how you would code it and how it would impact real-time threads/tasks.
 - c) Now, using a MUTEX, provide an example using RT-Linux Pthreads that does a thread safe update of a complex state (3 or more numbers e.g. X, Y, Z location) with a timestamp (pthread mutex lock). Your code should include two threads and one should

update a timespec structure contained in a structure that includes a double precision position and attitude state of {X,Y,Z acceleration and Roll, Pitch, Yaw rates at Sample_Time}. You can just make up values for the navigational state and see http://linux.die.net/man/3/clock_gettime for how to get a precision timestamp. The second thread should read the times-stamped state without the possibility of data corruption (partial update).

- 3) [15 points] Download example-sync-updated-2/ and review, build and run it.
 - a) Describe both the issues of deadlock and unbounded priority inversion and the root cause for both in the example code.
 - b) Fix the deadlock so that it does not occur by using a random back-off scheme to resolve. For the unbounded inversion, is there a real fix in Linux if not, why not?
 - c) What about a patch for the Linux kernel? For example, Linux Kernel.org recommends the RT PREEMPT Patch, but would this really help?
 - d) Read about the patch and describe why think it would or would not help with unbounded priority inversion. Based on inversion, does it make sense to simply switch to an RTOS and not use Linux at all for both HRT and SRT services?
- 4) [15 points] Review heap mq.c and posix mq.c and build and run them.
 - a) First, re-write the VxWorks code so that it uses RT-Linux Pthreads (FIFO) instead of VxWorks tasks, and then write a brief paragraph describing how these two message queue applications are similar and how they are different. You may find the following Linux POSIX demo code useful as a starting point, but code and test your own solutions.
 - b) Message queues are often suggested as a way to avoid MUTEX priority inversion. Would you agree that this really circumvents the problem of unbounded inversion? If so why, if not, why not?
- 5) [30 points] For this problem, consider the Linux manual page on the watchdog daemon https://linux.die.net/man/8/watchdog [you can read more about Linux watchdog timers, timeouts and timer services in this overview of the Linux Watchdog Daemon].
 - a) Describe how it might be used if software caused an indefinite deadlock.
 - b) Next, to explore timeouts, use your code from #2 and create a thread that waits on a MUTEX semaphore for up to 10 seconds and then un-blocks and prints out "No new data available at <time>" and then loops back to wait for a data update again. Use a variant of the pthread_mutex_lock called pthread_mutex_timedlock to solve this programming problem.

[20 points] Overall, provide a well-documented professional report of your findings, output, and tests so that it is easy for a colleague (or instructor) to understand what you've done. Include any C/C++ source code you write (or modify) and Makefiles needed to build your code and make sure your code is well commented, documented and follows coding style guidelines. I will look

at your report first, so it must be well written and clearly address each problem providing clear and concise responses to receive credit.

Note: Linux manual pages can be found for all system calls (e.g. fork()) on the web at http://linux.die.net/man/ - e.g. http://linux.die.net/man/2/fork

In this class, you'll be expected to consult the Linux manual pages and to do some reading and research on your own, so practice this in this first lab and try to answer as many of your own questions as possible, but do come to office hours and ask for help if you get stuck.

Upload all code and your report completed using MS Word or as a PDF to Canvas and include all source code (ideally example output should be integrated into the report directly, but if not, clearly label in the report and by filename if test and example output is not pasted directly into the report). Your code must include a Makefile so I can build your solution on an embedded Linux system (R-Pi 3b+ or Jetson). Please zip or tar.gz your solution with your first and last name embedded in the directory name and/or provide a GitHub public or private repository link. Note that I may ask you or SA graders may ask you to walk-through and explain your code. Any code that you present as your own that is "re-used" and not cited with the original source is plagiarism. So, be sure to cite code you did not author and be sure you can explain it in good detail if you do re-use, you must provide a proper citation and prove that you understand the code you are using.

Grading Rubric

[10 points] Priority inheritance paper review and unbounded priority inversion issues: [4 points] Three Priority inheritance key points made in paper
[3 points] Why the Linux position makes sense or not
[3 points] Reasoning on whether FUTEX fixes
[15 points] Thread safety with global data and issues for real-time services:
[5 pts] Description of methods
[5 pts] Impact of each to real-time services
[5 pts] Correct shared state update code
[15 points] Example synchronization code using POSIX threads:
[5 pts] Demonstration and description of deadlock with threads
[5 pts] Demonstration and description of priority inversion with threads
[5 pts] Description of RT_PREEMPT_PATCH and assessment of whether Linux can be made real-time safe
[15 points] Example synchronization code using POSIX message queues and threads:
[10 pts] Demonstration of message queues on Jetson adapted from examples
[5 pts] Description of how message queues would or would not solve issues associated with global memory sharing
[30 points] Watchdog timers (for the system) and timeouts (for API calls):
[10 pts] Description of how the Linux WD timer can help with recovery from a total los of software sanity (E.g. system deadlock)
[20 pts] Adaptation of code from #2 MUTEX sharing to handle timeouts for shared stat

[20 pc	ints] Quality of reporting and code quality and originality:
	[10 pts] Professional quality of reporting, testing and analysis (06 is below average, 7 is average, 8 is good, 9 excellent, and 10 is best overall.)
	[10 pts] Code quality including style, commenting, originality, proper citation for re-used code, modified code, etc.