

# Chapter 5 solutions to Stochastic Calculus for Finance II by Steven E. Shreve

Chung Ching Lau

1. (i) Note that

$$f'(x) = f(x); \quad f''(x) = f(x).$$

$$\begin{aligned} df(X(t)) &= f'(X(t))d(X(t)) + \frac{1}{2}f''(X(t))d(X(t))d(X(t)) \\ &= f(X(t)) \cdot (\sigma(t)dW(t) + (\alpha(t) - R(t) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(t))dt) + \frac{1}{2}f(X(t))\sigma^2(t)dt \\ &= D(t)S(t) \cdot (\sigma(t)dW(t) + (\alpha(t) - R(t))dt) \end{aligned}$$

- (ii)

$$dD(t) = -R(t)D(t)dt; \quad dS(t) = \alpha(t)S(t)dt + \sigma(t)S(t)dW(t).$$

By Ito's product rule,

$$\begin{aligned} d(D(t)S(t)) &= S(t)dD(t) + D(t)dS(t) + dD(t)dS(t) \\ &= -S(t)R(t)D(t)dt + D(t)(\alpha(t)S(t)dt + \sigma(t)S(t)dW(t)) \\ &= D(t)S(t) \left( (\alpha(t) - R(t))dt + \sigma(t)dW(t) \right), \end{aligned}$$

which agrees with the computation done in part (i).

2. The usual risk neutral pricing formula has the form

$$D(t)V(t) = \tilde{\mathbf{E}}[D(T)V(T)|\mathcal{F}(t)].$$

Applying Lemma 5.2.2 to the right hand side of the equation, we have

$$D(t)V(t) = \tilde{\mathbf{E}}[D(T)V(T)|\mathcal{F}(t)] = \frac{1}{Z(t)}\mathbf{E}[D(T)V(T)Z(T)|\mathcal{F}(t)].$$

3. (i) Let  $h(s) := (s - K)^+$ . Then the expected payoff can be written as

$$c(0, x) = \tilde{\mathbf{E}}[e^{-rT}h(x \exp\{\sigma\tilde{W}(T) + (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)T\})].$$

Differentiating with respect to  $x$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_x(0, x) &= \tilde{\mathbf{E}}[e^{-rT}\mathbf{1}_{(K, \infty)}(x \exp\{\sigma\tilde{W}(T) + (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)T\}) \cdot \exp\{\sigma\tilde{W}(T) + (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)T\}] \\ &= \tilde{\mathbf{E}}[\mathbf{1}_{(K, \infty)}(x \exp\{\sigma\tilde{W}(T) + (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)T\}) \cdot \exp\{\sigma\tilde{W}(T) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2T\}] \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let  $\Theta(t) = -\sigma$  and let

$$Z(t) = \exp\left\{-\int_0^t \Theta(u) d\widetilde{W}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \Theta^2(u) du\right\} = \exp\{\sigma \widetilde{W}(t) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 t\}.$$

Then by Girsanov's Theorem,

$$\widehat{\mathbf{P}}(A) := \int_A Z(\omega) d\widetilde{\mathbf{P}}(\omega) \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{F}$$

is a probability measure and the process

$$\widehat{W}(t) := \widetilde{W}(t) - \sigma t$$

is a Brownian motion. Under this measure,  $c_x(0, x)$  can be written as

$$c_x(0, x) = \widetilde{\mathbf{E}}[\mathbf{1}_{(K, \infty)}(S(T))Z] = \widehat{\mathbf{E}}[\mathbf{1}_{(K, \infty)}(S(T))] = \widehat{\mathbf{P}}[S(T) > K]$$

(iii) Rewriting  $S(T)$  in terms of  $\widehat{W}(T)$ , we have

$$S(T) = x \exp\{\sigma \widehat{W}(T) + (r + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2)T\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} S(T) > K &\iff x \exp\{\sigma \widehat{W}(T) + (r + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2)T\} > K \\ &\iff \sigma \widehat{W}(T) + (r + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2)T > -\log \frac{x}{K} \\ &\iff -\frac{\widehat{W}(T)}{\sqrt{T}} < d_+(T, x), \end{aligned}$$

where  $d_+(T, x) := \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{T}} [\log \frac{x}{K} + (r + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2)T]$ . This implies that

$$\widehat{\mathbf{P}}[S(T) > K] = \widehat{\mathbf{P}}[-\frac{\widehat{W}(T)}{\sqrt{T}} < d_+(T, x)].$$

Since  $\widehat{W}$  is a Brownian motion,  $-\frac{\widehat{W}(T)}{\sqrt{T}}$  is a standard normal distribution. Therefore,

$$\widehat{\mathbf{P}}[-\frac{\widehat{W}(T)}{\sqrt{T}} < d_+(T, x)] = N(d_+(T, x)).$$

4. (i) By Ito's formula,

$$\begin{aligned} d \log(S(t)) &= \frac{1}{S(t)} dS(t) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{S^2(t)} dS(t) dS(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{S(t)} (r(t)S(t)dt + \sigma(t)S(t)d\widetilde{W}(t)) - \frac{1}{2S^2(t)} \sigma^2(t)S^2(t)dt \\ &= \sigma(t)d\widetilde{W}(t) + (r(t) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(t))dt \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\log\left(\frac{S(T)}{S(0)}\right) = \int_0^T d \log(S(t)) = \int_0^T \sigma(t) d\widetilde{W}(t) + \int_0^T (r(t) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(t)) dt$$

In other word, if we let

$$X = \int_0^T \sigma(t) d\widetilde{W}(t) + \int_0^T (r(t) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(t))dt,$$

then  $S(T) = S(0)e^X$ . Since  $r(t)$  and  $\sigma(t)$  are nonrandom, the second term in the definition of  $X$ ,  $\int_0^T (r(t) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(t))dt$  is a constant.

Let  $Y(t) := \int_0^t \sigma(s) d\widetilde{W}(s)$ . Fix a number  $u$  and let

$$f(t, x) = \exp\{ux - \frac{1}{2}u^2 \int_0^t \sigma^2(s)ds\}.$$

We now compute the differential of  $f(t, Y(t))$ .

$$\begin{aligned} df(t, Y(t)) &= -\frac{1}{2}u^2\sigma^2(t)f(t, Y(t))dt + uf(t, Y(t))dY(t) + \frac{1}{2}u^2f(t, Y(t))dY(t)dY(t) \\ &= uf(t, Y(t))\sigma(t)d\widetilde{W}(t) \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$f(t, Y(t)) = f(0, Y(0)) + u \cdot \int_0^t f(s, Y(s))\sigma(s)d\widetilde{W}(s).$$

Since the second term on the left is an Ito integral, its expectation, under the risk neutral measure, vanishes. Taking risk neutral expectation of the above equation, we have

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}[\exp\{uY(T) - \frac{1}{2}u^2(\int_0^T \sigma^2(s)ds)\}] = \widetilde{\mathbf{E}}[f(T, Y(T))] = \widetilde{\mathbf{E}}[f(0, Y(0))] = 1$$

This shows that the random variable  $Y(T)$  has moment generating function

$$\varphi(u) = \frac{1}{2}u^2(\int_0^T \sigma^2(s)ds),$$

which is the moment generating function of a normally distributed random variable with mean 0 and variance  $\int_0^T \sigma^2(s)ds$ . Thus,  $Y(T)$  is normally distributed with mean 0 and variance  $\int_0^T \sigma^2(s)ds$ . And  $X$  is also normally distributed with mean  $\int_0^T (r(s) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(s))ds$  and variance  $\int_0^T \sigma^2(s)ds$ .

(ii) To simplify notations, we let

$$\bar{r} = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T r(s)ds; \quad \|\sigma\|_2 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \sigma^2(s)ds}$$

$$\begin{aligned} c(0, S(0)) &= \widetilde{\mathbf{E}}[e^{-\bar{r}T}(S(0)e^X - K)^+] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\bar{r}T} (S(0) \exp\{-\|\sigma\|_2\sqrt{T}y + (\bar{r} - \frac{1}{2}\|\sigma\|_2^2)T\} - K)^+ e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^2} dy \end{aligned}$$

The term in the integrand

$$S(0) \exp\{-\|\sigma\|_2\sqrt{T}y + (\bar{r} - \frac{1}{2}\|\sigma\|_2^2)T\} - K$$

is positive if and only if

$$y < d_- := \frac{1}{\|\sigma\|_2\sqrt{T}} [\log \frac{S(0)}{K} + (\bar{r} - \frac{1}{2}\|\sigma\|_2^2)T]$$

Thus, the integral can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
c(0, S(0)) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{d_-} e^{-\bar{r}T} (S(0) \exp\{-\|\sigma\|_2 \sqrt{T}y + (\bar{r} - \frac{1}{2}\|\sigma\|_2^2 T) - K\}) e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^2} dy \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{d_-} (S(0) \exp\{-\|\sigma\|_2 \sqrt{T}y - \frac{1}{2}\|\sigma\|_2^2 T\} - K e^{-\bar{r}T}) e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^2} dy \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{d_-} S(0) \exp\{-\frac{(y + \|\sigma\|_2 \sqrt{T})^2}{2}\} dy - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{d_-} K e^{-\bar{r}T} e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^2} dy \\
&= S(0)N(d_+) - K e^{-\bar{r}T} N(d_-),
\end{aligned}$$

where we let

$$d_+ = d_- + \|\sigma\|_2 \sqrt{T}.$$

This completes the proof.

5. (i) We have

$$dZ(t) = -\Theta(t)Z(t)dW(t).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
d\left(\frac{1}{Z(t)}\right) &= -\frac{1}{Z^2(t)}dZ(t) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{2}{Z^3(t)}dZ(t)dZ(t) \\
&= -\frac{1}{Z^2(t)}(-\Theta(t)Z(t)dW(t)) + \frac{1}{Z^3(t)}\Theta^2(t)Z^2(t)dt \\
&= \frac{1}{Z(t)}(\Theta(t)dW(t) + \Theta^2(t)dt)
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) If  $\widetilde{M}(t)$  is a martingale under  $\widetilde{\mathbf{P}}$ , then

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}[\widetilde{M}(t)|\mathcal{F}(s)] = \widetilde{M}(s).$$

Note that, by Lemma 5.2.2, the left hand side of this equation can be written as

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}[\widetilde{M}(t)|\mathcal{F}(s)] = \frac{1}{Z(s)}\mathbf{E}[\widetilde{M}(t)Z(t)|\mathcal{F}(s)].$$

These two equations imply

$$\mathbf{E}[\widetilde{M}(t)Z(t)|\mathcal{F}(s)] = \widetilde{M}(s)Z(s).$$

In other words,  $M(t) := \widetilde{M}(t)Z(t)$  is a martingale under  $\mathbf{P}$ .

(iii) The differential of  $\widetilde{M}(t) = M(t) \cdot \frac{1}{Z(t)}$  is:

$$\begin{aligned}
d(\widetilde{M}(t)) &= M(t)d\left(\frac{1}{Z(t)}\right) + \frac{1}{Z(t)}dM(t) + dM(t)d\left(\frac{1}{Z(t)}\right) \\
&= M(t)\frac{1}{Z(t)}(\Theta(t)dW(t) + \Theta^2(t)dt) + \frac{1}{Z(t)}\Gamma(t)dW(t) + \Gamma(t)dW(t)\frac{1}{Z(t)}(\Theta(t)dW(t) + \Theta^2(t)dt) \\
&= \frac{1}{Z(t)}(M(t)\Theta(t) + \Gamma(t))dW(t) + \frac{1}{Z(t)}(M(t)\Theta^2(t) + \Gamma(t)\Theta(t))dt
\end{aligned}$$

(iv) Writing in terms of  $d\widetilde{W}(t) = dW(t) + \Theta(t)dt$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d(\widetilde{M}(t)) &= \frac{1}{Z(t)} (M(t)\Theta(t) + \Gamma(t))(d\widetilde{W}(t) - \Theta(t)dt) + \frac{1}{Z(t)} (M(t)\Theta^2(t) + \Gamma(t)\Theta(t))dt \\ &= \frac{1}{Z(t)} (M(t)\Theta(t) + \Gamma(t))d\widetilde{W}(t) \end{aligned}$$

Integrating, we have

$$\widetilde{M}(t) = \widetilde{M}(0) + \int_0^t (\widetilde{M}(s)\Theta(s) + \frac{\Gamma(s)}{Z(s)})d\widetilde{W}(s)$$

6. Let us check that  $Z(t)$  is a martingale. With  $X(t) = -\int_0^t \Theta(u) \cdot dW(u) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\Theta(u)\|^2 du$  and  $f(x) = e^x$  so that  $f'(x) = e^x$  and  $f''(x) = e^x$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} dZ(t) &= df(X(t)) \\ &= Z(t)(-\Theta(t) \cdot dW(t) - \frac{1}{2}\|\Theta(t)\|^2 dt) + \frac{1}{2}e^{X(t)}\|\Theta(t)\|^2 dt \\ &= -Z(t)\Theta(t) \cdot dW(t). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating,

$$Z(t) = Z(0) - \int_0^t Z(u)\Theta(u) \cdot dW(u).$$

Since the second term on the left is a sum of Ito integrals, which are martingales,  $Z(t)$  is a martingale. In particular, if we set  $Z := Z(T)$

$$\mathbf{E}Z = \mathbf{E}Z(T) = Z(0) = 1.$$

$\widetilde{W}(0) = 0$ ;  $\widetilde{W}(t)$  is continuous. For  $i = 1, 2$ ,

$$d\widetilde{W}_i(t)d\widetilde{W}_i(t) = (dW_i(t) + \Theta_i(t)dt)(dW_i(t) + \Theta_i(t)dt) = dt.$$

$$d\widetilde{W}_1(t)d\widetilde{W}_2(t) = (dW_1(t) + \Theta_1(t)dt)(dW_2(t) + \Theta_2(t)dt) = 0,$$

since  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are independent.

We claim that for  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $\widetilde{W}_i(t)Z(t)$ 's are martingales under  $\mathbf{P}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} d(\widetilde{W}_i(t)Z(t)) &= \widetilde{W}_i(t)dZ(t) + Z(t)d\widetilde{W}_i(t) + d\widetilde{W}_i(t)dZ(t) \\ &= -\widetilde{W}_i(t)Z(t)\Theta(t) \cdot dW(t) + Z(t)dW_i(t) + Z(t)\Theta_i(t)dt - Z(t)\Theta_i(t)dt \\ &= -\widetilde{W}_i(t)Z(t)\Theta(t) \cdot dW(t) + Z(t)dW_i(t). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that

$$\widetilde{W}_i(t)Z(t) = \widetilde{W}_i(0)Z(0) + \int_0^t -\widetilde{W}_i(u)Z(u)\Theta(u) \cdot dW(u) + \int_0^t Z(u)dW_i(u).$$

Since the last two terms are Ito integrals,  $\widetilde{W}_i(t)Z(t)$ 's are martingales under  $\mathbf{P}$ .

We claim that for  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $\widetilde{W}_i(t)$ 's are martingales under the measure

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{P}}(A) = \int_A Z(\omega)d\mathbf{P}(\omega) \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Indeed, using the martingale property of  $\widetilde{W}_i(t)Z(t)$  under  $\mathbf{P}$ , we have

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}[\widetilde{W}_i(t)|\mathcal{F}(s)] = \frac{1}{Z(s)}\mathbf{E}[\widetilde{W}_i(t)Z(t)|\mathcal{F}(s)] = \frac{1}{Z(s)}\widetilde{W}_i(s)Z(s) = \widetilde{W}_i(s)$$

By the two-dimensional Levy's Theorem,  $\widetilde{W}(t)$  is a two-dimensional Brownian motion. This completes the proof.

7. (i) At time 0, we invest  $X_2(0)$  into the money market account and leave the money there until time  $T$ . We then follow the portfolio value process  $X_1$  at all times. Doing so, this new portfolio value process  $X_2$  can be described as

$$X_2(t) = \frac{X_2(0)}{D(t)} + X_1(t).$$

$$\mathbf{P}[X_2(T) \geq \frac{X_2(0)}{D(T)}] = \mathbf{P}[X_1(T) \geq 0] = 1;$$

$$\mathbf{P}[X_2(T) > \frac{X_2(0)}{D(T)}] = \mathbf{P}[X_1(T) > 0] > 0$$

- (ii) We set up  $X_1$  as follows. We borrow  $X_2(0)$  from the money market at time 0 until time  $T$  and invest according to  $X_2$  at all times  $0 \leq t \leq T$ . In other words,

$$X_1(t) = -\frac{X_2(0)}{D(t)} + X_2(t).$$

Then

$$\mathbf{P}[X_1(T) \geq 0] = \mathbf{P}[X_2(T) \geq \frac{X_2(0)}{D(T)}] = 1;$$

$$\mathbf{P}[X_1(T) > 0] = \mathbf{P}[X_2(T) > \frac{X_2(0)}{D(T)}] > 0.$$

8. (i) Note that the discounted payoff can be written as

$$D(t)V(t) = \widetilde{\mathbf{E}}[D(T)V(T)|\mathcal{F}(t)].$$

Therefore, it is a martingale under the risk neutral measure. Indeed, for  $0 \leq s < t \leq T$ ,

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}[D(t)V(t)|\mathcal{F}(s)] = \widetilde{\mathbf{E}}[\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}[D(T)V(T)|\mathcal{F}(t)]|\mathcal{F}(s)] = \widetilde{\mathbf{E}}[D(T)V(T)|\mathcal{F}(s)] = D(s)V(s).$$

By the Martingale Representation Theorem, there is an adapted process  $\widetilde{\Gamma}(t)$  such that

$$d(D(t)V(t)) = \widetilde{\Gamma}(t)d\widetilde{W}(t).$$

Expanding the left hand side using Ito's product rule, while noting that  $dD(t) = -R(t)D(t)dt$ , we have

$$d(D(t)V(t)) = D(t)dV(t) + V(t)dD(t) + dD(t)dV(t) = D(t)(dV(t) - R(t)V(t)dt).$$

Putting these two equations together, we have

$$dV(t) = R(t)V(t)dt + \frac{\widetilde{\Gamma}(t)}{D(t)}d\widetilde{W}(t).$$

(ii) Suppose  $D(t)V(t)$  is not almost surely positive. We define

$$A := \{D(t)V(t) \leq 0\},$$

seen as an element in the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}(t)$ . By the hypothesis,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{P}}(A) > 0$$

Then

$$0 \geq \int_A D(t)V(t)d\tilde{\mathbf{P}} = \int_A \tilde{\mathbf{E}}[D(T)V(T)|\mathcal{F}(t)]d\tilde{\mathbf{P}} = \int_A D(T)V(T)d\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$$

Since  $D(T)V(T)$  is almost surely positive, the latter integral is strictly positive. A contradiction. In summary, we have proved that  $D(t)V(t)$ , therefore  $V(t)$ , is almost surely positive.

(iii) Since the denominator  $D(t)V(t)$  is almost surely positive, we may let

$$\sigma(t) := \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}(t)}{D(t)V(t)}.$$

Then

$$dV(t) = R(t)V(t)dt + \sigma(t)V(t)d\tilde{W}(t).$$

9.

$$\begin{aligned} c_K(0, T, x, K) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial K} \left( e^{-rT} \int_K^\infty y \tilde{p}(0, T, x, y) dy - e^{-rT} K \int_K^\infty \tilde{p}(0, T, x, y) dy \right) \\ &= e^{-rT} \cdot (-K \tilde{p}(0, T, x, K)) - e^{-rT} \int_K^\infty \tilde{p}(0, T, x, y) dy - e^{-rT} K \cdot (-\tilde{p}(0, T, x, K)) \\ &= -e^{-rT} \int_K^\infty \tilde{p}(0, T, x, y) dy = -e^{-rT} \tilde{\mathbf{P}}[S(T) > K]. \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating  $c_K(0, T, x, K)$  with respect to  $K$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_{KK}(0, T, x, K) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial K} \left( -e^{-rT} \int_K^\infty \tilde{p}(0, T, x, y) dy \right) \\ &= e^{-rT} \cdot \tilde{p}(0, T, x, K). \end{aligned}$$

10. (i) At time  $t_0$ , the owner of the chooser option may choose either the call or the put, which puts the value of the chooser option to be

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{C(t_0), P(t_0)\} &= \max\{C(t_0), C(t_0) - F(t_0)\} \\ &= C(t_0) + \max\{0, -F(t_0)\} \\ &= C(t_0) + (e^{-r(T-t_0)}K - S(t_0))^+. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Applying the risk neutral pricing formula, the time zero value of the chooser option is

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{\mathbf{E}}[e^{-rt_0}C(t_0) + (e^{-r(T-t_0)}K - S(t_0))^+] \\ &= \tilde{\mathbf{E}}[e^{-rt_0}\tilde{\mathbf{E}}[e^{-r(T-t_0)}(S(T) - K)^+|\mathcal{F}(t)] + \tilde{\mathbf{E}}[e^{-rt_0}(e^{-r(T-t_0)}K - S(t_0))^+] \\ &= \tilde{\mathbf{E}}[e^{-rT}(S(T) - K)^+] + \tilde{\mathbf{E}}[e^{-rt_0}(e^{-r(T-t_0)}K - S(t_0))^+] \end{aligned}$$

These two terms are the time zero prices of the call expiring at time  $T$  with strike  $K$  and the put expiring at time  $t_0$  with strike  $e^{-r(T-t_0)}K$ .

11. Define the adapted process

$$\Theta(t) := \frac{\alpha(t) - R(t)}{\sigma(t)}.$$

Define

$$Z(t) = \exp\left\{-\int_0^t \Theta(u) dW(u) - \int_0^t \Theta^2(u) du\right\}; \quad \widetilde{W}(t) = W(t) + \int_0^t \Theta(u) du.$$

Then by Girsanov's Theorem, under the measure  $\widetilde{\mathbf{P}}(A) = \int_A Z(\omega) d\mathbf{P}(\omega)$ , the process  $\widetilde{W}(t)$  is a Brownian motion.

Rewriting the differential  $dS(t)$  and  $dX(t)$  in terms of  $d\widetilde{W}(t)$ , we have

$$dS(t) = R(t)S(t)dt + \sigma(t)S(t)d\widetilde{W}(t)$$

(5.2.22) says that

$$d(D(t)S(t)) = \sigma(t)D(t)S(t)d\widetilde{W}(t).$$

Let  $\Delta(t)$  be a portfolio process and  $X(t)$  the associated portfolio value process. By Ito's product rule,

$$\begin{aligned} d(D(t)X(t)) &= D(t)dX(t) + X(t)dD(t) + dD(t)dX(t) \\ &= D(t)(\Delta(t)dS(t) + R(t)(X(t) - \Delta(t)S(t))dt - C(t)dt) + X(t)(-R(t)D(t)dt) + 0 \\ &= \Delta(t)D(t)(dS(t) - R(t)S(t)dt) - D(t)C(t)dt \\ &= \Delta(t)D(t)\sigma(t)S(t)d\widetilde{W}(t) - D(t)C(t)dt. \end{aligned}$$

If we apply the Martingale Representation Theorem to the martingale process

$$\widetilde{M}(t) = \widetilde{\mathbf{E}}\left[\int_0^T D(u)C(u)du \mid \mathcal{F}(t)\right],$$

we shall get an adapted process  $\widetilde{\Gamma}(t)$  such that

$$\widetilde{M}(t) = \widetilde{M}(0) + \int_0^t \widetilde{\Gamma}(u)d\widetilde{W}(u).$$

Let  $X(0) = \widetilde{M}(0)$  and  $\Delta(t) = \frac{\widetilde{\Gamma}(t)}{D(t)\sigma(t)S(t)}$ . Then

$$d(D(t)X(t)) = \widetilde{\Gamma}(t)d\widetilde{W}(t) - D(t)C(t)dt.$$

Integrating both sides, we have

$$D(T)X(T) = \widetilde{M}(0) + \int_0^T \widetilde{\Gamma}(u)d\widetilde{W}(u) - \int_0^T D(u)C(u)du = 0,$$

where the last equality holds almost surely.

12. (i) It is clear that  $\widetilde{B}_i(0) = 0$ ;  $\widetilde{B}_i(t)$  continuous in  $t$  and  $d\widetilde{B}_i(t)d\widetilde{B}_i(t) = dB_i(t)dB_i(t) = dt$ .

$$d\widetilde{B}_i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^d \int_0^t \frac{\sigma_{ij}(u)}{\sigma_i(u)} dW_j(u) + \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{\sigma_{ij}(u)\Theta(u)}{\sigma_i(u)} du = \sum_{j=1}^d \int_0^t \frac{\sigma_{ij}(u)}{\sigma_i(u)} d\widetilde{W}_j(u).$$

Therefore,  $\widetilde{B}_i(t)$  is a martingale under the risk neutral measure. We may now apply Levy's Theorem to conclude that  $\widetilde{B}_i(t)$  is a Brownian motion.



(ii)

$$\begin{aligned}
dB_i(t) &= \alpha_i(t)S_i(t)dt + \sigma_i(t)S_i(t)dB_i(t) \\
&= \alpha_i(t)S_i(t)dt + \sigma_i(t)S_i(t)(d\tilde{B}_i(t) - \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{\sigma_{ij}(t)\Theta_j(t)}{\sigma_i(t)}dt) \\
&= \alpha_i(t)S_i(t)dt + \sigma_i(t)S_i(t)(d\tilde{B}_i(t) - \frac{\alpha_i(t) - R(t)}{\sigma_i(t)}dt) \\
&= R(t)S_i(t)dt + \sigma_i(t)S_i(t)d\tilde{B}_i(t)
\end{aligned}$$

(iii) Since  $dB_i(t)dt = 0$ ,

$$d\tilde{B}_i(t)d\tilde{B}_k(t) = (dB_i(t) + \gamma_i(t)dt)(dB_k(t) + \gamma_k(t)dt) = dB_i(t)dB_k(t) = \rho_{ik}(t).$$

(iv) Applying Ito's product rule and the fact that  $dB_i(u)dB_k(u) = \rho_{ik}(t)dt$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
B_i(t)B_k(t) &= B_i(0)B_k(0) + \int_0^t d(B_i(u)B_k(u)) \\
&= \int_0^t (B_i(u)dB_k(u) + B_k(u)dB_i(u) + \rho_{ik}(u)du).
\end{aligned}$$

Taking expectation, we have

$$\mathbf{E}[B_i(t)B_k(t)] = \mathbf{E}[\int_0^t \rho_{ik}(u)du] = \int_0^t \rho_{ik}(u)du,$$

where we used the hypothesis that  $\rho_{ik}(t)$  is non random in the second equality. We can repeat the above argument to show that  $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}[\tilde{B}_i(t)\tilde{B}_k(t)] = \int_0^t \rho_{ik}(u)du$ , taking risk neutral expectation in the last step.

(v) The first equality below follows from the argument given in part (iv).

$$\mathbf{E}[B_1(t)B_2(t)] = \mathbf{E}[\int_0^t \rho_{12}(u)du] = \mathbf{E}[\int_0^t \text{sign}(W_1(u))du]$$

Since  $-W_1(u)$  is also a Brownian motion under  $\mathbf{P}$ ,

$$\mathbf{E}[\int_0^t \text{sign}(W_1(u))du] = \mathbf{E}[\int_0^t \text{sign}(-W_1(u))du] = \mathbf{E}[-\int_0^t \text{sign}(W_1(u))du],$$

which implies that  $\mathbf{E}[B_1(t)B_2(t)] = \mathbf{E}[\int_0^t \text{sign}(W_1(u))du] = 0$ .

Let us compute  $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}[\tilde{B}_1(t)\tilde{B}_2(t)]$ . Note that  $\tilde{W}_1(t) = W_1(t) + t$  is a Brownian motion under the risk neutral measure. By the argument in part (iv), we have

$$\tilde{\mathbf{E}}[\tilde{B}_1(t)\tilde{B}_2(t)] = \tilde{\mathbf{E}}[\int_0^t \rho_{12}(u)du] = \tilde{\mathbf{E}}[\int_0^t \text{sign}(W_1(u))du] = \tilde{\mathbf{E}}[\int_0^t \text{sign}(\tilde{W}_1(u) - u)du].$$

For  $u > 0$ ,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{E}}[\text{sign}(\tilde{W}_1(u) - u)] = \tilde{\mathbf{P}}[\tilde{W}_1(u) - u \geq 0] \cdot 1 + \tilde{\mathbf{P}}[\tilde{W}_1(u) - u < 0] \cdot (-1) = 1 - 2N(u) < 0.$$

By Fubini's theorem,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{E}}\left[\int_0^t \text{sign}(\widetilde{W}_1(u) - u) du\right] = \int_0^t \tilde{\mathbf{E}}[\text{sign}(\widetilde{W}_1(u) - u)] du < 0.$$

To summarize, we have shown that

$$\mathbf{E}[B_1(t)B_2(t)] = 0; \quad \tilde{\mathbf{E}}[\widetilde{B}_1(t)\widetilde{B}_2(t)] < 0.$$

13. (i) Since  $\widetilde{W}_1(t) = W_1(t)$ , it is clear that

$$\tilde{\mathbf{E}}W_1(t) = \tilde{\mathbf{E}}\widetilde{W}_1(t) = 0.$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{E}}W_2(t) = \tilde{\mathbf{E}}[\widetilde{W}_2(t) - \int_0^t \widetilde{W}_1(u) du] = 0 - \int_0^t \tilde{\mathbf{E}}[\widetilde{W}_1(u)] du = - \int_0^t 0 du = 0.$$

In the second equality, we applied Fubini's theorem. Indeed,  $\int_0^t \tilde{\mathbf{E}}[|\widetilde{W}_1(u)|] du = \int_0^t \sqrt{\frac{2u}{\pi}} du$  is finite. Therefore,  $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}[\int_0^t \widetilde{W}_1(u) du] = \int_0^t \tilde{\mathbf{E}}[\widetilde{W}_1(u)] du$ .

- (ii) By Ito's product rule,

$$W_1(T)W_2(T) = \int_0^T (W_1(t)dW_2(t) + W_2(t)dW_1(t)) = \int_0^T (\widetilde{W}_1(t)d\widetilde{W}_2(t) - \widetilde{W}_1^2(t)dt + W_2(t)d\widetilde{W}_1(t)).$$

Upon taking risk neutral expectation, the terms involving  $d\widetilde{W}_i(t)$  vanishes, and we have

$$\tilde{\mathbf{E}}[W_1(T)W_2(T)] = -\tilde{\mathbf{E}}\left[\int_0^T \widetilde{W}_1^2(t)dt\right] = -\int_0^T \tilde{\mathbf{E}}[\widetilde{W}_1^2(t)]dt = -\int_0^T t dt = -\frac{1}{2}T^2$$

14. (i) Let us write the differential of the discounted portfolio value process in terms of  $d\widetilde{W}(t)$  and  $dt$ .

$$\begin{aligned} d(e^{-rt}X(t)) &= -re^{-rt}X(t)dt + e^{-rt}dX(t) \\ &= -re^{-rt}X(t)dt + e^{-rt}(\Delta(t)dS(t) - a\Delta(t)dt + r(X(t) - \Delta(t)S(t))dt) \\ &= e^{-rt}\Delta(t)(dS(t) + (-a - rS(t))dt) \\ &= e^{-rt}\Delta(t)\sigma S(t)d\widetilde{W}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Since there is no  $dt$  term in the differential,  $e^{-rt}X(t)$  is a martingale under  $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$ .

- (ii) Applying Ito's formula to compute the differential of  $Y(t)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} dY(t) &= Y(t)(\sigma d\widetilde{W}(t) + (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)dt) + \frac{1}{2}Y(t)(\sigma d\widetilde{W}(t) + (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)dt) \cdot (\sigma d\widetilde{W}(t) + (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)dt) \\ &= Y(t)(\sigma d\widetilde{W}(t) + rdt). \end{aligned}$$

Let us compute the differential of  $e^{-rt}Y(t)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} d(e^{-rt}Y(t)) &= e^{-rt}Y(t)(\sigma d\widetilde{W}(t) + rdt) - re^{-rt}Y(t)dt \\ &= e^{-rt}Y(t)\sigma d\widetilde{W}(t) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $e^{-rt}Y(t)$  is a martingale under  $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$ .

Let  $S(t) = S(0)Y(t) + Y(t) \int_0^t \frac{a}{Y(s)} ds$  and compute its differential:

$$\begin{aligned} dS(t) &= S(0)dY(t) + Y(t)\frac{a}{Y(t)}dt + \left(\int_0^t \frac{a}{Y(s)}ds\right)dY(t) \\ &= \left(S(0) + \int_0^t \frac{a}{Y(s)}ds\right)Y(t)(\sigma d\widetilde{W}(t) + rdt) + adt \\ &= S(t)(\sigma d\widetilde{W}(t) + rdt) + adt. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) Using the fact that  $e^{-rt}Y(t)$  is a martingale,

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mathbf{E}}[S(T)|\mathcal{F}(t)] &= S(0)\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}[Y(T)|\mathcal{F}(t)] + \widetilde{\mathbf{E}}[Y(T)|\mathcal{F}(t)] \int_0^t \frac{a}{Y(s)}ds + a \int_t^T \widetilde{\mathbf{E}}\left[\frac{Y(T)}{Y(s)}|\mathcal{F}(t)\right]ds \\ &= S(0)e^{r(T-t)}Y(t) + e^{r(T-t)}Y(t) \int_0^t \frac{a}{Y(s)}ds + a \int_t^T e^{r(T-s)}ds \\ &= e^{r(T-t)}S(t) + \frac{a(e^{r(T-t)} - 1)}{r}. \end{aligned}$$

(iv) The differential of the process  $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}[S(T)|\mathcal{F}(t)]$  is

$$\begin{aligned} d\left(e^{r(T-t)}S(t) + \frac{a(e^{r(T-t)} - 1)}{r}\right) \\ &= e^{r(T-t)}(rS(t)dt + \sigma S(t)d\widetilde{W}(t) + adt) - re^{r(T-t)}S(t)dt - ae^{r(T-t)}dt \\ &= e^{r(T-t)}\sigma S(t)d\widetilde{W}(t). \end{aligned}$$

From this, we see that  $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}[S(T)|\mathcal{F}(t)]$  is a martingale under  $\widetilde{\mathbf{P}}$ .

(v) Let us rewrite the time  $t$  value of the forward contract

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}[e^{-r(T-t)}(S(T) - K)|\mathcal{F}(t)] = S(t) + \frac{a}{r}(1 - e^{-r(T-t)}) - Ke^{-r(T-t)}.$$

If  $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}[e^{-r(T-t)}(S(T) - K)|\mathcal{F}(t)] = 0$ , then

$$K = e^{r(T-t)}\left(S(t) + \frac{a}{r}(1 - e^{-r(T-t)})\right) = \widetilde{\mathbf{E}}[S(T)|\mathcal{F}(t)].$$

(vi) Reusing the computation done in part (i) and putting  $\Delta(t) = 1$ , the differential of the discounted portfolio value process is:

$$d(e^{-rt}X(t)) = e^{-rt}(dS(t) + (-a - rS(t))dt) = d(e^{-rt}S(t)) - ae^{-rt}dt.$$

Integrating, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-rT}X(T) - X(0) &= \int_0^T d(e^{-rt}S(t)) - ae^{-rt}dt \\ &= e^{-rT}S(T) - S(0) + \frac{a}{r}(e^{-rT} - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $X(0) = 0$ , we may simplify the above equation to get

$$X(T) = S(T) - (e^{rT}S(0) + \frac{a}{r}(e^{rT} - 1)) = S(T) - \text{For}_S(0, T)$$