

# Numerical Methods Final Project

Malcolm Watt

April 20, 2018

**Problem 7.1.** Given the three data points  $(-1, 1)$ ,  $(0, 0)$ ,  $(1, 1)$ , determine the interpolating polynomial of degree two:

- (a) Using the monomial basis
- (b) Using the Lagrange basis
- (c) Using the Newton basis

Show that the three representations give the same polynomial.

(a) *Monomial Basis*

The resulting polynomial is of a maximum degree of 2.

$$\phi_j(t) = t^{j-1}, \quad j = 1, \dots, 3$$

Therefore the interpolating polynomial has the form:

$$p_{n-1}(t) = x_1 + x_2 t + x_3 t^2.$$

The coefficients are determined by the  $3 \times 3$  linear system:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & t_1 & t_1^2 \\ 1 & t_2 & t_2^2 \\ 1 & t_3 & t_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

For our particular case:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Solving for the  $x$  vector we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore our resulting polynomial is

$$p_2(t) = t^2.$$

(b) *Lagrange Basis*

$$p_2(t) = y_1 \frac{(t - t_2)(t - t_3)}{(t_1 - t_2)(t_1 - t_3)} + y_2 \frac{(t - t_1)(t - t_3)}{(t_2 - t_1)(t_2 - t_3)} + y_3 \frac{(t - t_1)(t - t_2)}{(t_3 - t_1)(t_3 - t_2)}.$$

For the data given this is

$$p_2(t) = 1 \frac{(t-0)(t-1)}{(-1-0)(-1-1)} + 0 \frac{(t+1)(t-1)}{(0+1)(0-1)} + 1 \frac{(t+1)(t-0)}{(1+1)(1-0)}.$$

$$p_2(t) = \frac{t^2 - t}{2} + \frac{t^2 + t}{2} = t^2.$$

(c) *Newton Basis* Our polynomial is of the form

$$p_2(t) = x_1 + x_2(t - t_1) + x_3(t - t_1)(t - t_2).$$

The basis functions are given by

$$\phi_j(t) = \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} (t - t_k), \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

Giving us the following triangular system:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & t_2 - t_1 & 0 \\ 1 & t_3 - t_1 & (t_3 - t_1)(t_3 - t_2) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

which for our particular case gives us

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Which yields

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore our polynomial is

$$p_2(t) = 1 - 1(t + 1) + 1(t + 1)(t) = 1 - 1 + t - t + t^2 = t^2.$$

**For every method, our resulting polynomial is**

$$p_2(t) = t^2.$$

**Problem 7.2.** Express the following polynomial in the correct form for evaluation by Horner's method:  $p_3(t) = 5t^3 - 3t^2 + 7t - 2$ .

Horner's method is a pretty simple rearrangement of the terms given as follows:

$$p_3(t) = x_1 + t(x_2 + t(x_3 + x_4t))$$

Which for our particular case is:

$$p_3(t) = -2 + t(7 + t(-3 + 5t)).$$

**Problem 7.5.** In general, is it possible to interpolate  $n$  data points by a piece-wise quadratic polynomial, with knots at the given data points, such that the interpolant is

- (a) Once continuously differentiable?
- (b) Twice continuously differentiable?

In each case, if the answer is *yes*, explain why, and if the answer is *no*, give the maximum value for  $n$  for which it is possible.

(a) *Once continuously differentiable*

Yes it is possible, for example, we can use the Hermite Cubic interpolant, which adds the derivatives at the knot points as parameters. This addition means we have  $4(n-1)$  parameters to be determined as opposed to  $n$ .

(b) *Twice continuously differentiable* Yes it is possible using *cubic spline* interpolation. It adds  $3n - 4$  constraints for the first derivative and  $n - 2$  additional constraints for the second derivative.

**Problem 7.10.** (a) Verify directly that the first six Legendre polynomials given in Section 7.2.4 are indeed mutually orthogonal.

(b) Verify directly that they satisfy the three-term recurrence given in Section 7.2.4.

(c) Express each of the first six monomials,  $1, t, \dots, t^5$ , as a linear combination of the first six Legendre polynomials,  $p_0, \dots, p_5$ .

(a) *Verify Legendre Polynomials*  $1, t, (3t^2 - 1)/2, (5t^3 - 3t)/2, (35t^4 - 30t^2 + 3)/8, (63t^5 - 70t^3 + 15t)/8$  The set of polynomials is orthonormal if

$$(p_i, p_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & i = j \\ 0 & i \neq j \end{cases}$$

where  $(p, q) = \int_a^b p(t)q(t)w(t)dt$ .

For the Legendre polynomials,  $w(t) \equiv 1$  and the interval is defined as  $[a, b] = [-1, 1]$ .

We will evaluate each integral in a table for readability.

i	j=1	j=2	j=3	j=4
1	$\int_{-1}^1 (1)(1)dt$	...	...	...
2	$\int_{-1}^1 (1)(t)dt$	$\int_{-1}^1 (t)(t)dt$	...	...
3	$\int_{-1}^1 (1)(3t^2 - 1)/2dt$	$\int_{-1}^1 (t)(3t^2 - 1)/2dt$	$\int_{-1}^1 (3t^2 - 1)^2/4dt$	...
4	$\int_{-1}^1 (1)(5t^3 - 3t)/2dt$	$\int_{-1}^1 (t)(5t^3 - 3t)/2dt$	$\int_{-1}^1 (3t^2 - 1)(5t^3 - 3t)/2dt$	$\int_{-1}^1 (5t^3 - 3t)^2/4dt$
5	$\int_{-1}^1 (1)(35t^4 - 30t^2 + 3)/8dt$	$\int_{-1}^1 (t)(35t^4 - 30t^2 + 3)/8dt$	$\int_{-1}^1 (3t^2 - 1)(35t^4 - 30t^2 + 3)/8dt$	$\int_{-1}^1 (3t^2 - 1)(5t^3 - 3t)/2dt$
6	$\int_{-1}^1 (1)(63t^5 - 70t^3 + 15t)/8dt$	$\int_{-1}^1 (t)(63t^5 - 70t^3 + 15t)/8dt$	$\int_{-1}^1 (3t^2 - 1)(63t^5 - 70t^3 + 15t)/8dt$	$\int_{-1}^1 (3t^2 - 1)(35t^4 - 30t^2 + 3)/8dt$

  

i	j=1	j=2	j=3	j=4	j=5	j=6
1	$t _{-1}^1$	...	...	...	...	...
2	$\frac{t^2}{2} _{-1}^1$	$\frac{t^3}{3} _{-1}^1$	...	...	...	...
3	$t^3 - 1 _{-1}^1$	$\int_{-1}^1 (t)(3t^2 - 1)/2dt$	$\int_{-1}^1 (3t^2 - 1)^2/4dt$	...	...	...
4	$\frac{5}{4}t^4 - \frac{3}{2}t^2 _{-1}^1$	$\int_{-1}^1 (t)(5t^3 - 3t)/2dt$	$\int_{-1}^1 (3t^2 - 1)(5t^3 - 3t)/2dt$	$\int_{-1}^1 (5t^3 - 3t)^2/4dt$	...	...
5	$\frac{7t^5 - 10t^3 + 3t}{8} _{-1}^1$	$\int_{-1}^1 (t)(35t^4 - 30t^2 + 3)/8dt$	$\int_{-1}^1 (3t^2 - 1)(35t^4 - 30t^2 + 3)/8dt$	$\int_{-1}^1 (3t^2 - 1)(5t^3 - 3t)/2dt$	...	...
6	$\frac{63t^6 - 70t^4 + 15t^2}{8} _{-1}^1$	$\int_{-1}^1 (t)(63t^5 - 70t^3 + 15t)/8dt$	$\int_{-1}^1 (3t^2 - 1)(63t^5 - 70t^3 + 15t)/8dt$	$\int_{-1}^1 (3t^2 - 1)(35t^4 - 30t^2 + 3)/8dt$	...	...

  

i	j=1	j=2	j=3	j=4	j=5	j=6
1	2	...	...	...	...	...
2	0	$\frac{2}{3}$	...	...	...	...
3	0	0	$\int_{-1}^1 (3t^2 - 1)^2/4dt$	...	...	...
4	0	0	$\int_{-1}^1 (3t^2 - 1)(5t^3 - 3t)/2dt$	$\int_{-1}^1 (5t^3 - 3t)^2/4dt$	...	...
5	0	0	$\int_{-1}^1 (3t^2 - 1)(35t^4 - 30t^2 + 3)/8dt$	$\int_{-1}^1 (3t^2 - 1)(5t^3 - 3t)/2dt$	...	...
6	0	0	$\int_{-1}^1 (3t^2 - 1)(63t^5 - 70t^3 + 15t)/8dt$	$\int_{-1}^1 (3t^2 - 1)(35t^4 - 30t^2 + 3)/8dt$	...	...

The basic idea is all of the diagonal integrals will be non-zero, but all of the other ones will be zero, so we have orthogonality.

(b) Starting with the first polynomial  $p_0(t) = 1$  and with the recurrence formula given by

$$p_{k+1}(t) = \frac{(2k+1)tp_k(t) - kp_{k-1}(t)}{k+1}$$

$$p_0(t) = 1$$

$$p_1(t) = tp_0(t) = t$$

$$p_2(t) = \frac{3tp_1(t) - p_0(t)}{2} = \frac{3t^2 - 1}{2}$$

$$p_3(t) = \frac{5tp_2(t) - 2p_1(t)}{3} = \frac{\frac{15t^3 - 5t}{2} - 2t}{3} = \frac{5t^3 - 3t}{2}$$

$$p_4(t) = \frac{7t\frac{5t^3 - 3t}{2} - 3\frac{3t^2 - 1}{2}}{4} = \frac{35t^4 - 30t^2 - 3}{8}$$

$$p_5(t) = \frac{9t\frac{35t^4 - 30t^2 - 3}{8} - 4\frac{5t^3 - 3t}{2}}{5} = \frac{63t^5 - 70t^3 + 15t}{8}$$

(c) Expression of polynomials.

$$t = p_1(t)$$

$$t^2 = \frac{2}{3}p_2(t) + \frac{2}{6}p_0(t)$$

$$t^3 = \frac{2}{5}p_3(t) + \frac{3}{5}p_1(t)$$

$$t^4 = \frac{8}{35}p_4(t) + \frac{20}{35}p_2(t) + \frac{17}{24}p_0(t)$$

$$t^5 = \frac{8}{63}p_5(t) + \frac{28}{63}p_3(t) + \frac{19}{21}p_1(t)$$

**Problem 7.11.** Verify the properties of B-splines enumerated in Section 7.3.4.

The following is just a review of the principles: We assume an infinite set of knots:

$$\dots < t_{-2} < t_{-1} < t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots$$

We make use of the linear functions:

$$v_i^k(t) = \frac{t - t_i}{t_{i+1} - t_i}.$$

We define B-splines of degree 0 by

$$B_i^0(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t_i \leq t < t_{i+1} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and then for  $k > 0$  we define B-splines of degree k by

$$B_i^k(t) = v_i^k(t)B_i^{k-1}(t) + (1 - v_{i+1}^k(t))B_{i+1}^{k-1}(t).$$

**Property 1** For  $t < t_i$  or  $t > t_{i+k+1}$ ,  $B_i^k(t) = 0$

*Proof.*

$$B_i^k(t) = v_i^k(t)B_i^{k-1}(t) + (1 - v_{i+1}^k(t))B_{i+1}^{k-1}(t).$$

$B_i^k(t)$  is formed by the addition of scaled zero order B-splines

$$B_j^0(t)$$

for  $j \in [i, i + k + 1]$ .

Given  $t^* \notin [i, i + k + 1]$ , for all  $B_j^0(t)$  for  $j \in [i, i + k + 1]$  we have  $B_j^0(t^*) = 0$ . Therefore all the base terms of our recursion are zero and  $B_i^k(t)$  is the addition of scaled zeros, therefore  $B_i^k(t) = 0$ .  $\square$

**More verbose, but not really meaningful.. I spent too long on this to take it out :P.** For  $t < t_i$  or  $t > t_{i+k+1}$ ,  $B_i^k(t) = 0$

We can consider this domain of t variables as a special variable  $t^*$  for notation purposes. The first thing to note is that the  $B_i^0(t^*)$  is necessarily 0, because there is no t in the range of  $t^*$  where we fall in the interval  $t_i \leq t < t_{i+1}$ . Our recurrence relationship is defined as

$$B_i^k(t) = v_i^k(t)B_i^{k-1}(t) + (1 - v_{i+1}^k(t))B_{i+1}^{k-1}(t).$$

Particularly, we will look at the  $k = 1$  case to start, and argue why it extends for the rest of the problem.

The first term in the recursive definition is

$$v_i^k(t)B_i^{k-1}(t)$$

Which of course for the  $k=1$  case, has the degree 0 spline term in it which has a value of zero, so this term goes to zero.

The second term requires a little more thought. Particularly the piece highlighted in red.

$$(1 - v_{i+1}^k(t))B_{i+1}^{k-1}(t)$$

This shifted spline term means we need to evaluate our zero degree spline over the shifted interval. However, note that in the recursive definition of our spline, we can shift a maximum of k times over, since at that point we hit the zero degree spline. Effectively, we can think of it as if our k degree polynomial has a non-zero zero order spline over the interval  $[i, i + k]$ .

That being said, if we are never in this range (which is the case for  $t^*$ ), then we will never have a non-zero term in our 'travelling term', and therefore our recursion will always have zero valued terms, and will therefore be zero.

**Property 2** For  $t_i < t < t_{i+k+1}$ ,  $B_i^k(t) > 0$ .

This follows a very similar reasoning to the previous explanation.

*Proof.*

$$B_i^k(t) = v_i^k(t)B_i^{k-1}(t) + (1 - v_{i+1}^k(t))B_{i+1}^{k-1}(t).$$

$B_i^k(t)$  is formed by the addition of scaled zero order B-splines

$$B_j^0(t)$$

for  $j \in [i, i + k + 1]$ .

Given  $t^* \in [i, i + k + 1]$ , for **at least one**  $B_j^0(t)$  for  $j \in [i, i + k + 1]$  we have  $B_j^0(t^*) = 1$ . The scaling factor will necessarily be a positive non-zero real number **I should show this** therefore the  $B_i^k(t)$  must be greater than 0.  $\square$

**Property 3** For all  $t$ ,  $\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} B_i^k(t) = 1$ .

*Proof.* Ugh this is long and has to do with the fact that the scaling factor of  $v_i^k(t)$  will accumulate to 1 over the range of  $i$ 's where the term in the sum is non-negative.

Not much of a proof :(  $\square$

**Problem 8.2.** (a) Using the composite midpoint quadrature rule, compute the approximate value for the integral  $\int_0^1 x^3 dx$ , using a mesh size (panel width) of  $h = 0.5$  and also using a mesh size of  $h = 1$ .

(b) Based on the two approximate values computed in part a, use Richardson extrapolation to compute a more accurate approximation to the integral.

(c) Would you expect the extrapolated result computed in part b to be exact in this case? *Why?*

(a) Composite midpoint quadrature rule,  $\int_0^1 x^3 dx$ . Mesh size of 0.5:

**Problem 8.2, 8.5, 8.6, 8.9, 8.10.**