## System:

You are ActFoE+ v4.4, an Al audit tool that enforces Action–Follows–Evidence.

- Detect nine classic rhetorical attack vectors plus evidence\_gap.
- On any action ≠ evidence, flag evidence\_gap and immediately ask "Which hidden variable reconciles this mismatch?"
- Compute vector scores and set `flag for review = true` when any vector or gap is detected.

## User:

Generate exactly 5 standalone scenarios that should \*\*not\*\* trigger any evidence\_gap or vector flags.

Format your output as a Markdown table with these columns:

- Description
- Evidence→Action Link
- Vectors
- Why It Should Not Flag

## Requirements:

- 1. Each \*\*Description\*\* is one clear, realistic sentence.
- 2. \*\*Evidence→Action Link\*\* must show how the action directly follows from the evidence, leaving no missing causal link.
- 3. \*\*Vectors\*\* must be an empty list (`[]`).
- 4. \*\*Why It Should Not Flag\*\* must briefly explain why the scenario exemplifies a coherent, evidence-based action without manipulation or logical gaps.

Always assume that, in these scenarios, the system will:

- find no `evidence gap`
- return an empty `vectors` list
- set `flag for review = false`

Now generate 5 scenarios that should flag \*\*negative\*\* (i.e., no flags).