

Is SDN the De- constraining Constraint of the Future Internet?

MURAT CAN ZAHMAKIRAN

040080211

CIHAN SEÇINTİ

040100002

YILMAZ DILEK

040090532


VOLKAN İLBEYLİ

040100118

Introduction



- ▶ Which way that future network will be constructed?
- ▶ What are the major challenges to implement the future network?



Which way that future network will be constructed?



- ▶ Clean-slate

- ▶ Evolutionary approach



What is the major challenges to implement the future network?

- ▶ Network design
 - ▶ Virtualization
 - ▶ Experimental Research
- 

Network Design

► Architectural guidelines:

- Layered reference
- End-to-end arguments

► Restrictions:

- Mobility
- Security
- Quality of service

Virtualization:

- ▶ Pros
- ▶ Usage Areas
- ▶ Needed future modifications

Experimental Research:

▶ Needs:

▶ Tests:

- SDN(Software Defined Network)

Prescriptive Network Theory

- ▶ Deals with “What should be?”
- ▶ Not with “What is being done?”
- ▶ Explains uncertainty; Axiomatic
- ▶ High level, does not go into detail
- ▶ It is opposing Descriptive Theory

What do we focus on?

- ▶ Internet, rather than general Networking concepts
- ▶ Protocols, rather than principles
- ▶ Backwards compatibility and incremental deployment, rather than more general theories such as Teletraffic Theory, Graph Theory
- ▶ The difficulty of uncertainty is faced

What has been done at the seminar in Dagstuhl, March 2013?

- ▶ 1 of out 3 days has been spent
- ▶ Group discussions have been accomplished and following decisions were achieved

Quality

- ▶ There can not be a single, all-containing network theory
- ▶ Networking has a tight relationship with neighboring theories like Information and Coding Theory
- ▶ Quality is rooted on well-defined axioms
- ▶ Prescriptive Network Theory: accepted as less formal high-level guidelines for the design of networks
- ▶ Does not go into detailed design patterns

Multi Mechanisms

- ▶ Set of mechanisms that serve the same functional purpose and are interchangeable with each other
- ▶ The composition of today's mechanisms offer significant opportunities for the Future Internet
- ▶ Dynamic and requirement-based mechanism combinations

De-constraining Constraints

- ▶ D. L. Alderson and J. C. Doyle. Contrasting views of complexity and their implications for network-centric infrastructures. IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics, Part A: Systems and Humans, 40(4):839–852, July 2010.
- ▶ Hourglass figure (commonly used to visualize the protocol stack of Internet)
- ▶ The narrow spine of the hourglass has an releasing effect
- ▶ Copied from the DNA (how it leads to variety)
- ▶ The core of the hourglass is the IP
- ▶ Alternatives may spread above as well as below

Middleboxes

- ▶ Significant increase of middle boxes (NAT, firewalls, rate limiters, proxies)
- ▶ Internet layer: 4 plus interconnection of IP networks
- ▶ Bypassing is risky: Middle boxes may block applications
- ▶ On the other hand, Middleboxes bring big potential for innovation and may be handled as de-constraining constraints

Latency

- ▶ Major issue for the Future Internet
- ▶ Propagation delays may ultimately be fixed
- ▶ From architectural viewpoint: the light can not be sped up
- ▶ Distances may be reduced (by caches, proxies, etc.)
- ▶ Other improvements like removing handshakes and trading security with delay

Experimental Research

- ▶ Much Future Internet research is mostly depends on experimentations
- ▶ Research is mostly implemented as testbeds
 - ▶ overlays, virtual and/or software defined networks
 - ▶ Their use for development of new networking principles and paradigms is hard to observe
- ▶ The goal was gathering lessons learned and best practices

Experimental Research

- ▶ The answers of the following questions are searched:
 - ▶ Is there something like 'experimentally driven knowledge gain' and what is the scientific method?
 - ▶ Which insights can be experimental, testbed-based approach reveal?
 - ▶ What are meaningful use cases of testbeds, e.g., engineering details, concepts weakly understood, prototyping?
 - ▶ How should a testbed platform look like, which properties must be provided to achieve comparability and validity?
 - ▶ What makes (research) overlays special (pros & cons)?

Insights From Testbeds

- ▶ Brad Karp asked and discussed two important questions about testbed-based research:
 - ▶ Is the act of building a testbed research?
 - ▶ Do the testbeds yield fundamental research insights?

Insights From Testbeds

- ▶ For the first question:
 - ▶ The act of building a testbed is research only when a great care is taken in deciding whether to build it or not because the need for significant amount of fund and labor.
- ▶ For the second question:
 - ▶ A test is proposed by Brad Karp
 - ▶ Asks “what relevant phenomena cannot you simulate?”
 - ▶ Possible answers include user behavior, feedback, non-perfect behavior of systems, or a lack of knowing what to simulate at all.

The engineering loop

- ▶ In his talk, Jörg Liebeherr defined the engineering process as the implementation of a system design for experimental evaluation to support, discard or improve an idea
- ▶ General concern:
 - ▶ Generally, design and experimentation are done by the same, interested party and this may cause lack of objectivity

Scalability of Testbeds

- ▶ Scalability which includes the size and the inclusion of real users is an important aspect that must be discussed and resolved.
- ▶ Brad Karp argued that The resulting effects (e.g. latencies) make testbeds most useful.
 - ▶ Latencies are results of real-world behaviors, coming from either from people or from machines.
- ▶ There is a need for testing systems with millions of real users to be able to observe latency related aspects such as signaling storms (Markus Hoffmann)

Scalability of Testbeds

Social Approach

- ▶ According to the social scientists, At different group sizes (2-3, 15, 50, 100 and over 150) user behavior changes.
- ▶ This information is hard to observe in the digital domain.
- ▶ With this information we can observe user behaviors at the scale from millions of users to small groups that contains a handful of people.

Scalability of Testbeds

Final Note

- ▶ Testbeds, simulations and analysis must be exist side by side to be able to trade detail for scalability (Phuoc Tran-Gia)

General Purpose Testbeds



- ▶ Two opposed realities:
 - ▶ Powerful insights can be gained from overlay-based testbeds and they are highly valued.
 - ▶ There is a risk of testbeds' falling short of one's expectations because of the discordant requirements



Contact with Reality

- ▶ Meeting the goal of reproduce real-world behavior might be troublesome and causes the following challenges:
 - ▶ Supporting and maintaining heterogeneous devices in testbeds is inconvenient
 - ▶ Programming interfaces may evolve quickly
 - ▶ Including real users is difficult
 - ▶ Realistic traffic, mobility patterns or user behavior are hard to obtain
 - ▶ Privacy concerns persist even after anonymization due to risk of de-anonymization
 - ▶ Anonymized data may be hard to work with
 - ▶ Re-engineering systems and mechanisms to obtain desired data can be questioned and faces the risk that an update may just change the mechanism under investigation

SDN, Virtualisation, OpenFlow

- ▶ Advances in computer science lead to developing safer programs much more quickly
- ▶ Due to advances in:
 - ▶ Operating Systems
 - ▶ Developing Tools
 - ▶ Virtualisation
 - ▶ Isolation
- ▶ These advances may be used to improve the dependence of the Internet rather than incrementally patching it.

- 
- 
- ▶ In the past, the internal components of network – switches, routers, etc. – evolved in a separate software and hardware ecosystem from end-systems.
 - ▶ There fore the following benefited from the advances in computer science:
 - ▶ Commodity price
 - ▶ Performance
 - ▶ Production of smartphones
 - ▶ Desktops & servers
 - ▶ While the infrastructure of the Internet became a set of specialized businesses generating specialized technologies.

- 
- 
- ▶ SDN is aiming to reverse this trend by moving some parts of the data and control plane into computer science area
 - ▶ Thus, aiming to have a platform similar to Android, iPhone and Windows for applications.

Some use-cases of SDN

1. Multi-tenant data centers providing specialized services to tenants with different needs
2. Multi-user VR and MMORPG: large scale dynamic network requirements
3. VM migration during maintenance: causes high loads on networks
4. Internet of Things: deployment of IPv6. Security is an issue.
5. Content Distribution Networks: P2P content sharing causes heavy load

Some use-cases of SDN (Contd.)

- 6. Middlebox management: inability to deploy transport layer protocols due to ad-hoc nature of middleboxes (firewalls, WAN optimizers etc.). SDN brings these in a coherent network.
- 7. Management of multiple SDNs is a challenge itself
- 8. Hybrid cloud: applications and specialized support for SDN apps
- 9. Flexible networks for enterprise intranets

Motivation for Use-Cases

- ▶ **Overcommit:** Economics of multi-tenancy depend on invisible overcommit. Tenant should not realize anything else being scheduled in the gaps of their usage
- ▶ **Latency:** VR and online gaming requires low latency
- ▶ **Flow based I/O:** All of them cause heavy load. Balancing required.
 - ▶ VMs ~ network flow (TCP/SSL)
 - ▶ CDN ~ VM migration
 - ▶ Middlebox management
- ▶ **Global Identity:** Internet of Things require naming in addition to addressing.

Thanks for listening..