import numpy as np import pandas as pd import seaborn as sns import matplotlib.pyplot as plt import warnings warnings.filterwarnings('ignore') In [2]: # Reading dataset mkt\_data = pd.read\_csv(r'C:\Users\mannu\Desktop\bank.csv') In [3]: # First view mkt\_data.head() job marital education default balance housing loan contact day month duration campaign pdays previous poutcome deposit Out[3]: age 0 59 admin. married secondary 2343 1042 -1 unknown no no unknown may yes 56 45 1467 -1 unknown admin. married secondary no no no unknown may yes 1389 -1 41 1270 1 technician married secondary 5 may 0 unknown no no unknown yes 55 services married secondary 2476 579 unknown no no unknown may yes unknown admin. married 673 -1 tertiary 184 no unknown may yes In [4]: # Checking for null values mkt\_data.isnull().sum().sum() Out[4]: In [5]: # finding qualitative and quantitative columns quant\_columns = [] qual\_columns = [] for column in mkt\_data.columns: if mkt\_data[column].dtype == 'int64': quant\_columns.append(column) else: qual\_columns.append(column) print(f' There are {len(quant\_columns)} Quantitative columns, namely: {quant\_columns}') print(f'There are {len(qual\_columns)} Qualitative columns, namely: {qual\_columns}') There are 7 Quantitative columns, namely: ['age', 'balance', 'day', 'duration', 'campaign', 'pdays', 'previous'] There are 10 Qualitative columns, namely: ['job', 'marital', 'education', 'default', 'housing', 'loan', 'contact', 'month', 'poutcome', 'deposit'] In [6]: # A high level review of quantitative columns: mkt\_data.describe() Out[6]: balance day duration campaign pdays previous age count 11162.000000 11162.000000 11162.000000 11162.000000 11162.000000 11162.000000 1528.538524 371.993818 51.330407 0.832557 mean 41.231948 15.658036 2.508421 11.913369 3225.413326 8.420740 347.128386 2.722077 108.758282 2.292007 std min 18.000000 -6847.000000 1.000000 2.000000 1.000000 -1.000000 0.000000 25% 32.000000 122.000000 8.000000 138.000000 1.000000 -1.000000 0.000000 550.000000 15.000000 255.000000 2.000000 -1.000000 0.000000 50% 39.000000 20.750000 1.000000 75% 49.000000 1708.000000 22.000000 496.000000 3.000000 3881.000000 95.000000 81204.000000 31.000000 63.000000 854.000000 58.000000 • #### The mean age is 41 with a standard deviation of 11~12 # High level view of unique values in qualitative columns: for names in qual\_columns: print(f'{names.upper()}:{mkt\_data[names].unique()}') JOB: ['admin.' 'technician' 'services' 'management' 'retired' 'blue-collar' 'unemployed' 'entrepreneur' 'housemaid' 'unknown' 'self-employed' 'student'] MARITAL:['married' 'single' 'divorced'] EDUCATION:['secondary' 'tertiary' 'primary' 'unknown'] DEFAULT:['no' 'yes'] HOUSING:['yes' 'no'] LOAN:['no' 'yes'] CONTACT: ['unknown' 'cellular' 'telephone'] MONTH:['may' 'jun' 'jul' 'aug' 'oct' 'nov' 'dec' 'jan' 'feb' 'mar' 'apr' 'sep'] POUTCOME: ['unknown' 'other' 'failure' 'success'] DEPOSIT:['yes' 'no'] In [8]: # Binary Columns: for names in qual\_columns: if mkt\_data[names].nunique() == 2: print(names) default housing loan deposit To get a feel of data we use distribution plots for quantitative values for column in quant\_columns: sns.distplot(mkt\_data[column]) plt.title(f'Distrubution of {column}') plt.show() Distrubution of age 0.05 0.04 Density 60.0 0.02 0.01 0.00 100 Distrubution of balance 0.0004 0.0003 Density 0.0002 0.0001 0.0000 20000 40000 60000 80000 Distrubution of day 0.06 0.05 0.04 Density 0.03 0.02 0.01 0.00 15 20 25 day Distrubution of duration 0.0025 0.0020 O.0015 0.0010 0.0005 0.0000 2000 3000 1000 4000 Distrubution of campaign 0.5 0.4 Density ©0 0.2 0.1 0.0 50 60 20 Distrubution of pdays 0.04 0.03 Density 0.02 0.01 0.00 800 200 400 600 pdays Distrubution of previous 0.7 0.6 0.5 Density 6.0 0.3 0.2 0.1 20 10 30 previous In [10]: # Finding overall conversion rate for marketing campaign len((mkt\_data[mkt\_data['poutcome'] == 'success']))/len(mkt\_data) \* 100 9.595054649704354 Out[10]: • #### Over-all conversion rate is low. • However this is mis-leading, since there are unknown values in poutcome column, which means that these audiences' decisions are not known and that may be due to many reasons • #### To fix this, I will create a sub-database of where values in poutcome are either success or failure. In [11]: known\_mkt\_data = mkt\_data[mkt\_data['poutcome'].isin(['success', 'failure'])] In [12]: # recalculating overall conversion rate of campaign: y = [round(len(known\_mkt\_data[known\_mkt\_data['poutcome'] == 'success'])/len(known\_mkt\_data) \* 100,2), round(len(known\_mkt\_data[known\_mkt\_data['poutcome'] == 'failure'])/len(known\_mkt\_data) \* 100,2)] labels\_known =  $[f'success : {round(y[0],2)}%', f'failure : {round(y[1],2)}%']$ plt.pie(y,labels = labels\_known) plt.show() success: 46.59% failure : 53.41% • ## Methodology: I will Analyze the data on known marketing dataset i,e dataset with only success and failure values which will allow me to find actionable insights as to what are the markers of audiences which are contributing to a higher conversion rate. # Splitting data on the bases of poutcomes for simplicity: success\_data = known\_mkt\_data[known\_mkt\_data['poutcome'] == 'success'] failure\_data = known\_mkt\_data[known\_mkt\_data['poutcome'] == 'failure'] • #### Calculating conversion rates for unique values in qualitative columns to find trends. 1. Job # Finding out Conversion Rates grouped by job types. job\_conv = (success\_data.groupby('job').count()['poutcome'] known\_mkt\_data.groupby('job').count()['poutcome'] \* 100).sort\_values(ascending = False).reset\_index() In [15]: job\_conv Out[15]: job poutcome retired 64.903846 0 student 60.909091 unemployed 59.770115 2 unknown 55.55556 housemaid 51.162791 self-employed 50.000000 **6** management 49.914530 technician 43.013699 admin. 42.006270 services 38.509317 10 blue-collar 32.142857 **11** entrepreneur 25.531915 In [16]: plt.barh(job\_conv['job'], width=job\_conv['poutcome'], color = 'g') plt.ylabel('Job Types') plt.xlabel('Conversion Rates') plt.title('Conversion Rates per job type') plt.show() Conversion Rates per job type entrepreneur blue-collar services admin. technician management self-employed housemaid unknown unemployed student retired 10 50 30 Conversion Rates • #### Job type has a high correlation and is following a trend, to capture this trend I will create a dataframe of best performing jobs which have a conversation rate that is higher than overall conversion rate. In [17]: #Filtering out job types that have a higher than normal conversion rate. job\_targets = job\_conv[job\_conv['poutcome'] >= (len(known\_mkt\_data[known\_mkt\_data['poutcome'] == 'success'])/len(known\_mkt\_data) \* 100)] In [18]: job\_targets Out[18]: job poutcome 0 retired 64.903846 student 60.909091 unemployed 59.770115 unknown 55.55556 housemaid 51.162791 **5** self-employed 50.000000 **6** management 49.914530 2. Marital Status In [19]: #Calculating Conversion Rates grouped by Marital Status marital\_conv = ((success\_data.groupby('marital').count()['poutcome'] known\_mkt\_data.groupby('marital').count()['poutcome']) \* 100).sort\_values(ascending= False).reset\_index() In [20]: marital\_conv Out[20]: marital poutcome **0** divorced 48.165138 single 48.067010 **2** married 45.440613 In [21]: plt.bar(marital\_conv['marital'], marital\_conv['poutcome'], width = 0.4) plt.xlabel('Marital Status') plt.ylabel('Conversion Rates') plt.title('Conversion rates per Marital Status') plt.show() Conversion rates per Marital Status 50 40 Conversion Rates OS OS 10 single married divorced Marital Status • #### Marital Status does not indicate any substantial correlation to conversion rates. 3. Education In [22]: # Calculating Conversion Rates grouped by Education Level/Type. edu\_conv = ((success\_data.groupby('education').count()['poutcome'] known\_mkt\_data.groupby('education').count()['poutcome']) \* 100).sort\_values(ascending= False).reset\_index() edu\_conv Out[22]: education poutcome unknown 56.074766 tertiary 51.146789 **2** secondary 43.442623 primary 39.639640 plt.bar(edu\_conv['education'], edu\_conv['poutcome'], width = 0.4) plt.xlabel('Education Type') plt.ylabel('Conversion Rates') plt.title('Conversion Rates per Education Type') plt.show() Conversion Rates per Education Type 50 Rates & Conversion 50 10 unknown tertiary secondary primary Education Type • #### Since Education has unknown values it cannot be relied upon, however we get to know that people with declared primary education are less likely to respond positively to this marketing campaign, i.e have a negative correlation 4. Default- Has a Credit Default. In [24]: # Calculating Conversion Rates grouped by Default/Credit Default. default\_conv = ((success\_data.groupby('default').count()['poutcome'] known\_mkt\_data.groupby('default').count()['poutcome']) \* 100).sort\_values(ascending= False).reset\_index() default\_conv Out[24]: default poutcome no 46.748145 yes NaN In [25]: known\_mkt\_data.groupby('default').count()['poutcome'] default Out[25]: 2291 Name: poutcome, dtype: int64 In [26]: success\_data.groupby('default').count() Out[26]: job marital education balance housing loan contact day month duration campaign pdays previous poutcome deposit default 1071 1071 1071 1071 1071 1071 1071 1071 1071 1071 **no** 1071 1071 1071 1071 1071 1071 • #### Having a credit default is inconclusive, i.e. more data is required to see it's effect correctly, however there are absolutely no people who have both responded positively to marketing campaign and have a credit default. 5. Housing, whether person has a house or is on rent In [27]: # Calculating Conversion Rates on criteria whether a person is a house-owner or not. housing\_conv = ((success\_data.groupby('housing').count()['poutcome'] known\_mkt\_data.groupby('housing').count()['poutcome']) \* 100).sort\_values(ascending= False).reset\_index() housing\_conv housing poutcome Out[28]: no 61.466459 yes 27.826942 In [29]: plt.bar(housing\_conv['housing'], housing\_conv['poutcome'], width = 0.4) plt.xlabel('Is person a house owner?') plt.ylabel('Conversion Rate') plt.title('Conversion rate for house-owners vs non-house-owners') plt.show() Conversion rate for house-owners vs non-house-owners 60 50 Conversion Rate 20 10 no yes Is person a house owner? • #### Not being a house owner has a high positive correlation to conversion rates. 6. Loan: If the person has a loan or not In [30]: # Calculating Conversion Rates on criteria of whether a person has a loan or not loan\_conv = ((success\_data.groupby('loan').count()['poutcome'] known\_mkt\_data.groupby('loan').count()['poutcome']) \* 100 ).sort\_values(ascending = False).reset\_index() In [31]: loan\_conv Out[31]: loan poutcome no 49.038462 **1** yes 23.287671 In [32]: plt.bar(loan\_conv['loan'], loan\_conv['poutcome'], width = 0.4) plt.xlabel('Does customer have a loan?') plt.ylabel('Conversion Rate') plt.title('Conversion Rate for people who have a loan vs people who don\'t') plt.show() Conversion Rate for people who have a loan vs people who don't 40 Conversion Rate 10 Does customer have a loan? • #### People who don't have a loan are not affecting the conversion-rate in a way that is significant, however people who have a loan are doing so negatively. 7. Mode of contact In [33]: # Calculating conversion rates for different mode of contacts. contact\_conv = ((success\_data.groupby('contact').count()['poutcome'] known\_mkt\_data.groupby('contact').count()['poutcome']) \* 100 ).sort\_values(ascending = False).reset\_index() contact\_conv Out[33]: contact poutcome **0** telephone 51.829268 **1** unknown 50.000000 cellular 46.161093 In [34]: plt.bar(contact\_conv['contact'], contact\_conv['poutcome'], width=0.4) plt.xlabel('Mode of contact') plt.ylabel('Conversion Rate') plt.title('Conversion rate affected by mode of contact') plt.show() Conversion rate affected by mode of contact 50 40 Conversion Rate 10 telephone unknown cellular Mode of contact • #### Despite there being an unknown value mode of contact shows no statistical value. 8. Time of year/Season/Month In [35]: # Calculating conversion rates for different months month\_conv = ((success\_data.groupby('month').count()['poutcome'] known\_mkt\_data.groupby('month').count()['poutcome']) \* 100).sort\_values(ascending = False).reset\_index() month\_conv['month'] dec Out[35]: sep jun jul mar aug oct jan 8 feb 9 nov 10 apr 11 may Name: month, dtype: object In [36]: plt.barh(month\_conv['month'], month\_conv['poutcome'], height = 0.4) plt.ylabel('Month') plt.xlabel('Conversion Rate') plt.title('Conversion rates during months') plt.show() Conversion rates during months may nov feb oct mar jun sep 20 30 40 Conversion Rate • #### Best time to contact for campaign is during holiday season. Summary: • ### Insights: • #### In relation to job it was found that there are certain jobs types that trend the conversion rate above the overall conversion rate. #### In relation to housing and loans: • #### People who do not have a house tend to respond positively. • #### People who have an ongoing loan tend to respond negatively. #### In relation to Defaulters: • #### Inconclusive • #### Marital Status, Education, and Mode of contact are not signifact enough to affect the target audience. • #### Best time to contact people is the Holiday Season • ### Recommendations for maximizing conversion rates: • #### Prioritize contacting people who are from these job types: retired student unemployed housemaid self-employed management • #### Prioritize contacting people who do not own a house #### Do not contact people who have a loan #### Make the most contacts in Holiday Seasons ## Along with recommendations I will create a sub-set of original data for our marketing team to use. data\_use\_mkt = mkt\_data[~mkt\_data['poutcome'].isin(['success', 'failure'])] In [38]: data\_use\_mkt = data\_use\_mkt[(data\_use\_mkt['job'].isin(job\_targets['job'])) | (data\_use\_mkt['housing'] == 'no') & (data\_use\_mkt['loan'] == 'yes')] In [39] data\_use\_mkt contact day month duration campaign pdays previous poutcome deposit Out[39]: education default balance housing loan 42 management single tertiary yes yes unknown 562 0 unknown 56 management married 830 1201 unknown tertiary no yes unknown may yes 60 545 1030 -1 secondary unknown retired divorced unknown may 12 29 management married 199 1689 unknown tertiary ves unknown may yes 1084 15 35 management divorced tertiary 3837 8 -1 0 unknown no unknown may yes 30 2 11146 23 cellular 4 feb 149 -1 0 unknown admin. married secondary no yes yes no **11147** 44 unemployed 0 cellular 21 175 unknown married secondary no no no nov no 34 management 11150 married secondary no 355 cellular 21 314 3 -1 unknown no 40 management married tertiary 917 no unknown 20 may 292 unknown no no yes **11152** 34 housemaid 659 3 -1 secondary 390 cellular 15 0 unknown married no no 4060 rows × 17 columns In [40]: # Performing a test check on known data to see if insights increase the conversion rate: test\_data = known\_mkt\_data[(known\_mkt\_data['job'].isin(job\_targets['job'])) | (known\_mkt\_data['housing'] == 'no') & (known\_mkt\_data['loan'] == 'no')] In [41]: rate\_compare = test\_data.groupby('poutcome').count()['deposit']/len(test\_data) \* 100 In [42]: rate\_compare = rate\_compare.reset\_index() In [43]: rate\_compare.rename(columns = {'deposit':'Conv\_rates\_post\_analysis'}, inplace = True) In [44]: rate\_compare['Conv\_rates\_before\_analysis'] = (known\_mkt\_data.groupby('poutcome').count()['deposit']/len(known\_mkt\_data) \* 100).reset\_index()['deposit'] In [45]: rate\_compare poutcome Conv\_rates\_post\_analysis Conv\_rates\_before\_analysis Out[45]: 43.734015 53.414528 failure success 56.265985 46.585472 In [46]: plt.bar(rate\_compare['poutcome'], height = rate\_compare['Conv\_rates\_post\_analysis'], width = 0.2, color = 'lime', edgecolor = 'black', align = 'edge') plt.bar(rate\_compare['poutcome'], height = rate\_compare['Conv\_rates\_before\_analysis'], width = -0.2, color = 'orangered', edgecolor = 'black', align='edge') plt.xlabel('classification') plt.ylabel('rates') plt.title('Original Conversion Rates vs projected conversion rates') plt.legend(['Projection', 'Original']) plt.show() Original Conversion Rates vs projected conversion rates 50 40 ates 30 20 10 Projection Original failure success dassification • #### While the Original Conversion rate was around 46%, the projected conversion rate is 56 % and as a result marketing campaign can go a lot smoother