

Information Security

Assignment # 05

Deadline: 23-06-2021, 11:59 PM

Question # 01:

Let us see what goes wrong when a stream cipher key is used more than once. Below are eleven hex-encoded ciphertexts that are the result of encrypting eleven plaintexts with a stream cipher, all with the same stream cipher key. Your goal is to decrypt the last ciphertext, and submit the secret message within it as solution.

Hint: XOR the ciphertexts together, and consider what happens when a space is XORed with a character in [a-zA-Z].

Ciphertext # 01

260f02174c1f094918070c030c5315070601530c0d15180901131e430d1057170810081952050a1f12020a430b170442202649141e1806165715194a0419104a180f07114b11000309550d53040e440b0a0d0158190c4e01180f1c01460703041b4e02141a42061455040b1f480406154b1a041a11001d421f1e481d03051543070542

Ciphertext # 02

214a061e4c1c14001b1c0b10491e1f48180110130d124b0515114d0d171b15170b451d021d490b1e1b511643061619154902011606591c450419191f0612441d01081c034b09014f0c1101124607011e48020b0814431d1907034810030b1f41101c0c155616010355030a1f0d141d041f4e170d1c4e0a03051e0d4a0210410b0b0407

Ciphertext # 03

294a0f1a080f03074f1800041a12010d4b0d004101080d07141b0c170b1919520d0d080152001c511b19164304151b070d1c080317150c45191e0203091305081f0448070502491b0d1410530b1e171e48030158090a1d1505100d1603174b0e044e1616150d1f03070f004b09081741020002060a1e0807020f0c4a0901070c1c1342111a

Ciphertext # 04

3c020253050500061d180403001c0848020a530901020f0d08560006110516151c16491c0149011e01560b0e001d120b08010c1b0b591b0a0318150f0b14080f484101124b0b1c1c11550616460f0d190b0e121d1f060a560514481108100417131c061c5a420808114a0d051c030111190b02061c4e180710051a0f4b0d15430d170c580c03

Ciphertext # 05

294a05120f0011081d11451a0c0015090c01530806460a06461718070b1957001c06060716000116551f114302161a1b49131c1b1e005504070117180f18104a04090d084b12010a4507011009190003060644111e431e1a0b1f0d0046010e17131c101d124c49351a07014b0a07100a1c0f040758031f11050b0f0f184400110b56120a01021d10010e

Ciphertext # 06

2a0b0418010a1502061b0257000046094b16160207140f0108114d1707151f1c10141c1052000151021e0b000558174204101a04131e10451e0256180f150b1817040c4609070a0412141617154b0b041c0e44194d171c17090d48100e121f411f1d431513030712551e0b4b0a035311070f0f061c4e1c0d041d09180f174f432702420f0f1548

Ciphertext # 07

071847030419071a0a0645110601460b0701120f48140e0403171e06115618145916061b151a4f331415090e0c0b1d0b0712491f130a55071214184a0b5607051d151a091d031b1c0c140853120414030b410d164d1706134a33060d12160f41251a020c131149151c04070e48121b044b191e06164e1b0e1a0f0f0b1f0d0e0d1d560d1e4e0f1c00

Ciphertext # 08

1d1902530a0414493c141116071a05481b11011107150e1b4601081107561a131d0049141508061f06
0242131f171b0b07100703520b1a061c511b1f191f0703120f1b4a4b0a0c0e011c0a14461f0b4a1a0407
171f0743141f14060d0814184117000758061006161a19010f48071d15024314021b051703050101040
c440d06091f11140f12011c0a

Ciphertext # 09

0a134700180a120c4f140b134915030e0e16120d4801041e0304030e07180301592c0755160c0d10011
342021f1d5600060101570611104512091f191e130a09164107004b04080c0e1805000d0e004a3b001
019030a0d5607031b1707140e12560f0d1c561601031c18441b1d14030e191a1307580f180b1a031c13
4b100e431d030014070b011d05060711

Ciphertext # 10

090c01160f1f4605060611120716141b4b0b1d1507460a4812040c000956031a1811491c01490214141
81643191756000c55191b13001001571719181d17160e004f482f1f461e0e16551316140e4407090501
580c040f1f04151c441601040c1f00061602421b091601440f0d0412150e4e17111d4e180d0202481e0
3014106161f110c0b080b1644

Ciphertext # 11

200f0b1f034b0b104f1b041a0c530f1b4b10120f01074b1b071a08060f56161c1d45005513044f06071f1
60a031f560349060c14001c01451a1405190b11014a150e1a462235490c091417004602440207110158
190b0b0f4a1101080a530904560f011413421d09550e01081a1f03154b0702431b01081013091c06124
41802170f421c0b051a0a141e0e0c

Question # 02:

Multicast MACs. Suppose user A wants to broadcast a message to n recipients B_1, \dots, B_n . Privacy is not important but integrity is. In other words, each of B_1, \dots, B_n should be assured that the message he is receiving were sent by A. User A decides to use a MAC.

- Suppose user A and B_1, \dots, B_n all share a secret key k . User A computes the MAC tag for every message she sends using k . Every user B_i verifies the tag using k . Using at most two sentences explain why this scheme is insecure, namely, show that user B_1 is not assured that messages he is receiving are from A.
- Suppose user A has a set $S = \{k_1, \dots, k_m\}$ of m secret keys. Each user B_i has some subset $S_i \subseteq S$ of the keys. When A transmits a message she appends m MAC tags to it by MACing the message with each of her m keys. When user B_i receives a message he accepts it as valid only if all tags corresponding to keys in S_i are valid. Let us assume that the users B_1, \dots, B_n do not collude with each other. What property should the sets S_1, \dots, S_n satisfy so that the attack from part (a) does not apply?
- Show that when $n = 10$ (i.e. ten recipients) it suffices to take $m = 5$ in part (b). Describe the sets $S_1, \dots, S_{10} \subseteq \{k_1, \dots, k_5\}$ you would use.
- Show that the scheme from part (c) is completely insecure if two users are allowed to collude.