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**Application ID:** <<[d.App.LogNumber]>>

**Funding Mechanism:** <<[d.App.AwardDesc]>>

**Principal Investigator:** <<[d.App.PiFirstName]>> <<[d.App.PiLastName]>>

**Applicant Organization:** <<[d.App.PiOrgName]>>

**Project Title:** <<[d.App.ApplicationTitle]>>

**Proposed Start Date:** <<[d.App. ProjectStartDate] :"MM/dd/yyyy">>

**Proposed End Date:** <<[d.App. ProjectEndDate] :"MM/dd/yyyy">>

**Total Budget Requested:** $<<[d.App.TotalBudget]:"###,###,###,###">>

**SUMMARY OF REVIEW COMMITTEE DISCUSSION**

**Overall Evaluation Score [Rating Scale 1.0 (highest merit) to 9.0 (lowest merit)]**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| This application was discussed at the peer review meeting. This score is an average of the overall evaluation scores provided by the entire review committee.  This score is not an average of the evaluation criteria scores below; rather, it reflects the committee’s overall impression of the application based on discussion of the scored and unscored evaluation criteria. | <<[d.Critiques.FirstOrDefault(x => x.OverallFlag).AvgScore]:"0.0">> |

Evaluation Criteria Scores [Rating Scale 1.0 (highest merit) to 9.0 (lowest merit)]

These scores are an average of the evaluation criteria scores provided by reviewers assigned to the application.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Criteria Description** | **Score** |
| <<foreach [element in d.Critiques.Where(x => x.ScoreFlag && !x.OverallFlag).GroupBy( p => new { ElementDesc = p.ElementDesc, AvgScore = p.AvgScore, ElementOrder = p.ElementOrder}).OrderBy(o=> o.Key.ElementOrder)]>>**<<[element.Key.ElementDesc]>>** | <<[element.Key.AvgScore]:"#0.0">><</foreach>> |

SUMMARY OF PANEL DISCUSSION:

<<if [d.Critiques.Any(x => x.ElementTypeId == 2)]>> Tag||<<[d.Critiques.FirstOrDefault(x => x.ElementTypeId ==2).ApplicationTemplateElementId]>>||<<[d.Critiques.FirstOrDefault(x => x.ElementTypeId == 2).ContentText]>><</if>>

**ABSTRACT AND SIGNIFICANCE** **(provided by applicant):** **<<if [d.OtherData1.Count(x => x.Field2 == "19") > 0]>>**<<[d.OtherData1.FirstOrDefault(x => x.Field2 == "19").Field1]>><</if>>

**<<foreach [reviewer in d.Critiques.Where (x=> x.ElementDesc** !=  **“Additional Comments”).GroupBy (p =>new {ReviewerDisplayName =p.ReviewerDisplayName,ReviewerOrder=p.ReviewerOrder }). OrderBy(o=> o.Key.ReviewerOrder)]>><<[reviewer.Key.ReviewerDisplayName]>>**

**<<foreach [in d.Critiques.Where(x => x.ReviewerOrder == reviewer.Key.ReviewerOrder && x.TextFlag== true && x.ElementDesc** !=  **“Additional Comments”).OrderBy(o => o.ElementOrder)]>><<[ElementDesc]>>**

Tag||<<[ApplicationTemplateElementId]>>||<<[ContentText]>>

**<</foreach>>**

**<</foreach>>**

<<if [d.Critiques.Count(x => x.ElementDesc == "Additional Comments") > 0]>> **ADDITIONAL COMMENTS**

<<[d.Critiques.FirstOrDefault(x => x.ElementDesc == "Additional Comments").ContentText]>><</if>>

**REVIEW PANEL BUDGET RECOMMENDATION**

The review panel felt that the budget is appropriate for the proposed work.

**REVIEW PANEL VERTEBRATE ANIMALS AND/OR HUMAN SUBJECTS RECOMMENDATION**

The review panel felt that the Vertebrate Animals and/or Human Subjects plan is appropriate for the proposed work.

\*Advocate reviewers are required to provide comments only for Relevance/Relevance of Project/Relevance to Cancer Research

**ABOUT THE PEER REVIEW SUMMARY STATEMENT AND SCORING**

This application was evaluated by a review Panel of highly qualified researchers from outside the State of Texas. The purpose of the Peer Review Summary Statement is to provide meaningful feedback on the submitted application and guidance for implementation of the proposed project(s).

Two types of scores are stated in the Peer Review Summary Statement: (i) An overall evaluation score, and (ii) evaluation criteria scores. Review committee members assigned to evaluate an application provide an overall score and criteria scores prior to the peer review meeting and also at the peer review meeting. Review committee members not assigned to evaluate an application provide an overall score at the peer review meeting only.

Applications with the highest pre-meeting overall scores and, therefore, the highest potential for funding are discussed at the peer review meeting. Applications with lower overall scores are not discussed in detail at this time. The Peer Review Summary Statement states if the application was discussed at the peer review meeting.

During discussion of an application at the peer review meeting, every review committee member provides an overall score for the application. Thus, for applications discussed at the peer review meeting, the overall evaluation score stated in the Peer Review Summary Statement represents an average of the overall scores provided by the entire peer review Panel. For applications not discussed at the peer review meeting, the overall evaluation score represents an average of the overall scores provided only by the reviewers assigned to evaluate the application. In either case, evaluation criteria scores are an average of the criteria scores provided only by the reviewers assigned to evaluate the application.

Please note that the overall score is not an average of the criteria scores; rather, it reflects the committee’s or assigned reviewers’ overall impression of the application based on the scored and unscored evaluation criteria.