# PHENOLOGICAL PATTERNS OF TERRESTRIAL PLANTS

### Beverly Rathcke

Division of Biological Sciences, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109

# Elizabeth P. Lacey

Department of Biology, University of North Carolina, Greensboro, North Carolina 27412

### INTRODUCTION

"From March to November each month brings a new prospect in field and forest and each edition [of flower life] seems thoroughly to harmonize with its own peculiar season."

H. L. Clark (47)

The term phenology is derived from the Greek word *phaino* meaning to show or to appear. Hence, phenology is defined as the study of the seasonal timing of life cycle events. For plants the seasonal timing of such events can be critical to survival and reproduction. In agriculture the most common failure of introduced crops is the inability to adjust to the seasons imposed by the new environment (68). In the past few years, interest in the ecology and evolution of timing of life cycle events has grown. Here we review the literature on phenological patterns of germination, flowering, and fruiting (including dispersal).

The phenological pattern of any life cycle event can be quantitatively defined as a statistical distribution characterized by such parameters as time of occurrence (onset, mean, mode), duration (range), synchrony (variance), and skewness. For each life cycle event we discuss each parameter for which data are available. Because parameters at one level can contribute to parameters at higher levels, parameters are sometimes related. For example, the degree of synchrony within and among individuals can determine duration at the popula-

tion level. Therefore, we discuss phenological patterns at the levels of individuals, populations, species, and communities. Also, we use the word phenology in its strict sense of seasonal timing within years; and we address variation among years only when it pertains to the discussion of within-year patterns.

The purpose of this review is to present hypotheses about possible evolutionary causes and consequences of different phenological patterns and to discuss the evidence for each hypothesis. We also briefly summarize information about the environmental and genetic controls of timing because these are the proximate factors that can influence the evolution of phenological patterns. We divide our review into four sections. The first three address germination, flowering, and fruiting separately. Each section covers possible selective factors, proximate environmental cues, and genetic determinants of different phenological parameters. The last section focuses on the relationships of germination, flowering, and fruiting, to the whole life cycle of the plant. Here we address possible ecological and evolutionary constraints that may direct the evolution of all life cycle events.

Space prevents an exhaustive literature review, particularly of descriptive studies, so we mention representative studies and reviews that provide the reader access to additional literature. We do not address phenological patterns of leaf production (43, 146) or the specific methodologies for collecting and analyzing phenological data (151, 194, 201).

### **GERMINATION**

The seedling stage is the most vulnerable time in the life cycle of a plant (101). Mortality is often severe because small seedlings have minimal capacity for homeostatic responses or physiological retrenchment in the face of unfavorable abiotic or biotic conditions (10). Therefore, the timing of germination should be under strong selection to occur when conditions will continue to be favorable for seedling establishment.

#### Selective Factors

TIME OF OCCURRENCE Several studies indicate that abiotic factors favor germinating as early as possible to gain resources for reproduction, but not so early that survival to time of reproduction is unlikely. Generally, in temperate herbs individual seeds that germinate unusually early in the season have lower probabilities of survival than do those germinating later, but the few that do survive have much higher reproductive success because of the longer growing season (13, 20, 95, 158, 255). These effects can be attributed to abiotic factors. By artificially watering areas in the desert during the summer, Tevis (255) produced abnormally early germination of *Abronia*. However, only one of

many individuals survived the subsequent dry summer, but this individual produced copious seeds the following winter, when most other individuals germinated. Summer-germinating seedlings of *Leavenworthia stylosa* in cedar glades suffered four times the mortality of autumn-germinating seedlings because of drought, but summer seedlings produced eight times as many seeds (20). These studies indicate that time of germination reflects a trade-off between selection for high seed set and selection for high survivorship.

Biotic factors like interspecific competition may also influence seasonal timing of germination. Evidence comes from observations and manipulations of species in natural habitats. Winter annuals can strongly suppress the growth of spring-germinating annuals (210). An early-spring emerging annual, *Ambrosia trifida*, increased mortality and reduced the seed set of neighboring late-spring germinating annuals (4). The annual, *Impatiens capensis*, preempts space from established perennials by germinating early in the spring before perennial growth has begun (289). Garwood (85) suggests that, because of intense competition, rapid emergence is critical for successful establishment in light gaps in tropical rain forests. In these cases interspecific competition favors early germination.

Intraspecific competition also favors early germination. Many greenhouse experiments show that early-emerging individuals within cohorts preempt biological space and gain competitive advantage over late-emerging individuals (e.g. 29, 218). Ross & Harper (218) demonstrated that the increased fitness of early emergers is not solely due to increased growing time; early emergers grow more quickly and continually increase their ability to acquire resources at the expense of later emergers. Several studies of natural populations show that late-emerging individuals suffer higher mortality and/or reduced seed set (53, 181, 120), but these studies do not distinguish between competitive effects and effects produced by seasonal changes in the microenvironment. Weaver & Cavers (279) separated emergence order from seasonal changes by sowing Rumex seeds at different times into natural habitats. They found that the emergence order within cohorts was more influential than the emergence date in determining seedling success (279). Abul-Fatih & Bazzaz (4) observed similar results when examining the effects of germination time of A. trifida on the success of other weeds.

Seasonally restricted predators or pathogens could select for timing of germination. Predators and pathogens are major causes of seed and seedling mortality (17, 101). In some cases pathogenic potential changes over a season (102). Late planting of crops can decrease some diseases (e.g. loose smut of wheat, *Ustilago tritici*) and increase others (e.g. wheat bunt, *Tilletia caries*) (19). Predation of dispersed seeds should select for rapid germination after release from the parent (124), but the only support for this hypothesis comes from observations of red and white oaks (77). Squirrels prefer white oak over

red oak acorns. White oak acorns germinate soon after dispersal in autumn, whereas the less preferred red oak acorns germinate the following spring. Germination timing may reflect a compromise between the probabilities of predator or pathogen attack at the seed stage and the seedling stage. However, at present we know too little about the seasonal activities of such predators or pathogens to evaluate this idea.

SYNCHRONY AND DURATION The same abiotic and biotic factors that determine when seeds germinate may also control the degree of germination synchrony within and among species. Correlations between seasonal changes in the physical environment and the simultaneous germination of many species within plant communities suggest that species respond to similar abiotic environmental conditions. In temperate climates most herbs germinate as temperatures rise in the spring, although they may exhibit more than one pulse of germination, e.g. during the summer (132) or in spring and autumn (22). In climates with hot, dry summers and cold, humid winters, herbs usually germinate in the autumn and early winter (132). In deserts many species germinate iust after rains (25, 281). In seasonal tropical forests in Panama, 75% of the woody species germinate early in the rainy season (85). Abiotic factors probably produce this synchronous germination among and within species, and habitats that provide only a short time for seedling establishment, vegetative growth, and reproduction should increase this synchrony. Competition may intensify synchrony indirectly by selecting for germination at the earliest possible time in the growing season to gain competitive advantage.

Asynchronous germination (and extended duration) is likely to be favored in habitats where times suitable for seedling establishment are either long or unpredictable. Several temperate herbs exhibit two pulses of germination—in autumn and in spring. However, seedlings often establish during only one germination pulse for any given year (13, 139, 156). In *Ludwigia leptocarpa*, which has only one germination pulse, early-emerging individuals surpass late emergers in survivorship, growth, or seed set only in some years (60). For tree species germinating in the shaded understory of tropical forests in Panama, the risk of mortality is unpredictable for the duration of the rainy season; and many tree species extend germination throughout this season (85). In aseasonal tropical forests of Malaysia, tree species germinate throughout the year (182).

In unpredictable environments the production of offspring that differ in germination requirements could spread the risk of mortality and represent a bet-hedging strategy (101). Annual species more commonly produce seeds with variable germination requirements than do perennials, perhaps because they risk complete reproductive failure during a single unfavorable period (101). Theoretical studies examining the relationship between environmental unpredictability and fractional germination (e.g. 49, 267) have considered germination among years, however, rather than within years.

#### Environmental Cues

The initiation of germination is caused by a remarkable diversity of factors including temperature, moisture, light intensity, light quality, photoperiod, carbon dioxide, and minerals (10, 113, 132, 136, 160, 287). Some factors that directly stimulate germination also directly influence seedling survival, e.g. soil moisture in deserts (97, 255, 282). Other factors that stimulate germination do not affect the seedling directly but are correlated with factors that do. For example, some desert seeds germinate in response to reduced soil salinity, which occurs only after heavy rains that ensure establishment. Seeds will not germinate after light rains, which may be followed by drought (97). Many species require a combination of specific conditions to initiate germination. For example, some desert perennials respond to moisture only if temperatures are cool or moderate (10, 255, 281).

Successful seedling establishment depends on the prevention of germination during conditions unfavorable for growth, and this is achieved through a number of dormancy mechanisms. Harper (101) succinctly summarized these: "Some seeds are born dormant, some achieve dormancy and some have dormancy thrust upon them." These three types of dormancy are termed innate, induced, and enforced domancy, respectively (101). Innate dormancy is established during seed maturation, and it prevents seeds from germinating even when placed into favorable conditions until some cue releases dormancy. Induced dormancy is acquired after dispersal and also prevents seeds from germinating even when conditions are favorable. Seeds with enforced dormancy will germinate as soon as they are placed in a favorable environment. Both induced and enforced dormancy permit opportunistic germination during unpredictable environmental conditions (10). After innate dormancy is broken by some factor, a seed may remain in enforced dormancy. Seeds of some species go through pulses of induced dormancy, which prevent germination during seasons hostile for seedling establishment (22, 216). Factors inducing dormancy may have direct effects or may act as predictors of unfavorable conditions. For example, induced dormancy in many grassland species is caused by a decreased ratio of red to far-red light that occurs beneath leaf canopies; this light quality is correlated with established vegetation and a competitive environment (229).

Changes in environmental factors can alter the germination responses of seeds as they mature on the parent plant (61, 98, 132, 231). This environmental preconditioning can cause the proportion of progeny with a specific germination requirement to vary within sibship. Maternal effects can also produce variable progeny (see Ecological and Evolutionary Constraints section, below).

#### Genetics

Because germination time is strongly influenced by environmental conditions, the genetic component of germination timing has been difficult to determine

(215, 286). Variation in germination times of seeds collected from different geographic regions is often ascribed to genetic differences, but environmental preconditioning has seldom been eliminated in germination tests, and most results are equivocal (21, 219). Growing plants under constant conditions and then examining the germination of the subsequent generation of seeds can reduce environmental effects, but this technique has seldom been used in studies of natural populations (21, 206). Ecotypic variation in germination responses is best documented for a few weedy species (167, 258). For example, seeds of Silene dioica are summer-dormant in southern Europe where winter rains occur, whereas seeds from northern Europe are winter-dormant (258). In contrast, many weed species from the continental climate of central Europe show no ecotypic variation and germinate over a wide range of conditions (258). Seeds from cool-climate populations of Typha (165) and Trifolium subterraneum (172) show greater dormancy at low temperatures than do seeds from warm-climate populations. In cool climates, low temperatures are more often correlated with even lower temperatures that cause seedling mortality. Time required for germination is shorter in more northern populations of Vaccinium and Cyanococcus (58).

Most available information on the genetic basis of germination timing comes from agricultural studies. Genetic crosses show that germination timing is a polygenic trait, and that maternal, paternal, and additive effects can be significant (103, 205, 232, 286). Artificial selection has produced changes in seed dormancy (232) and germination phenology (290). Although genetic differences in emergence times of crop varieties may be significant, often they are not as great as environmental effects (286). The genetic basis of germination timing in natural plant populations is less well known. In both *Papaver dubium* and *P. rhoeas*, artificial selection reduced dormancy (13). Germination timing shows low heritability in *P. dubium* (13) and *Geranium carolinianum* (214).

# Summary

Although numerous specific case studies of dormancy and germination requirements exist, few have characterized the genetic component of these requirements. Germination asynchrony observed within natural populations could result from microenvironmental heterogeneity, genotypic differences among individuals, or phenotypic plasticity. The possible adaptive nature of phenotypic plasticity in producing variable progeny in either flexible or fixed proportions, as well as the simple genetic control of germination requirements, needs to be explored (34, 130). No studies of germination time that we found focused on the duration of germination, e.g. the length of time from breaking of the seed coat to seedling emergence. Selection for rapid germination rate should be strong but may vary over habitats or species.

The effects of the abiotic and biotic environment and their interaction need to

be examined further in manipulative experiments. The extent to which inter-or intra-specific competition determines the fitness of seedlings in natural populations is still poorly known. Even less is known about the seasonal occurrences of predators or pathogens and their effects on seed and seedling survival.

### **FLOWERING**

Flowering includes floral bud initiation and development, blooming (anthesis), and floral persistence (32, 67, 142). Here we generally limit our discussion to the blooming period, which is the time for pollination. We use the term flowering in this context unless we indicate otherwise.

#### Selective Factors

TIME OF OCCURRENCE Abiotic factors are often correlated with flowering times. In temperate regions frost in spring and autumn may limit the flowering season, although some wind-pollinated and alpine plants flower either during freezing weather or under snow. In the seasonal neotropics, most herbs and shrubs flower in the rainy season (57, 171), but twice as many tree species flower in the dry season as in the rainy season (79). In contrast, in aseasonal tropical forests, no flowering peaks occur and many species flower more than once a year (57, 187, 203). Abiotic factors may limit flowering seasons either directly by affecting the ability to produce flowers or indirectly by affecting pollen vectors. These effects are seldom separated in studies.

The seasonal availability of conditions favorable for pollen transfer may account for the seasonal flowering of some wind-pollinated species. In temperate areas wind-pollinated trees commonly flower before leaf emergence in early spring (47, 91, 217) when pollen dispersal is least impeded. In the tropics many wind-pollinated tree species flower in the dry season (57, 75, 79), when the tradewinds are strongest and when some trees have lost their leaves (75).

The seasonal availability of pollinators may select for flowering times of animal-pollinated species, but this poses the "chicken and egg" paradox: Is the availability of seasonal pollinators a cause or an effect of flowering? Many studies show seasonal correlations between pollinator populations and flowering (175, 217, 253). However, only a few studies show that pollinator presence is determined independently of flowering time. For example, migration times of hummingbirds, which are correlated with the flowering times of hummingbird-pollinated plants, may be seasonally restricted by other factors (36, 92, 274, 276). Waser (274) found that seed set per flower in ocotillo (*Fouquieria splendens*), a hummingbird-pollinated shrub, was greater for plants flowering coincidentally with the presence of hummingbirds than for plants flowering after hummingbirds had migrated. The seasonal appearance of lepidopterans

coincides with a relative increase in the number of moth-pollinated species that are flowering (79, 122). The appearance of these lepidopterans also coincides with the flush of new foliage necessary for larval development. Schemske et al (226) observed that seed set was commonly limited in many temperate woodland herbs. They attributed this to the variance in the seasonal availability of insect pollinators. A lack of reliable environmental cues that accurately predict pollinator presence may make perfect timing impossible (226).

Seasonal pollinator presence is probably more commonly an effect of flowering. Many pollinators (e.g. tropical birds and bats) are highly opportunistic and disperse locally to areas of abundant floral resources (72, 74, 107, 204). Other pollinators (e.g. multivoltine or social bees) can extend their life span or reproductive period if the flowering season is extended (87). A dramatic example of a population response to flowering is seen in thrips, which are the major pollinators of the Malaysian dipterocarps that flower together every 7–10 years (44). Thrips populations increase more than a thousand-fold on the first species that flowers and are thus available for subsequently flowering species.

SYNCHRONY AMONG SPECIES Aggregated flowering of different species could be advantageous if facilitation occurs, i.e. if the presence of one species increases the visitation rates and seed set of another species (208, 260, 262, 275). Although aggregated flowering is common, little evidence suggests that facilitation currently occurs. In controlled-density experiments with two woodland herb species the presence of heterospecific neighbors increased visits but also increased interspecific pollen transfer (176, 177). In several other species, positive but weak correlations have been reported between the presence of one plant species and visitation rates or seed set of another plant species (260, 262).

Coincidental flowering of species with visually similar flowers has been considered mimicry in which one or all species benefit from increased pollinator visits (36, 197, 224). Only Bierzychudek (28) has done the critical tests of floral mimicry (288), and she found no evidence for the mimicry hypothesis in her examination of three tropical herbs with visually similar flowers. The similarity in flowers and timing for various purported mimics could simply reflect response to selection by the same pollinators; more tests, however, are needed.

Asynchrony or divergence of flowering times among species could arise in two ways. First, interspecific competition for pollinator visits could favor divergence because reduced visitation can decrease pollen donation and seed set. No strong evidence supports this as a primary cause of divergence (208, 275). Second, interspecific pollen transfer could favor divergence because this transfer could reduce pollen donation, reduce seed set, and produce less fit hybrids. Two studies provide strong evidence that divergence in flowering times has been caused by interspecific pollen transfer. Waser (275) found that

sympatric populations of *Delphinium nelsonii* and *Ipomopsis aggregata* always flower sequentially and that they differ in the order of flowering in different geographic localities; this suggests that they have diverged differently in local populations in response to each other. To determine the possible cause of divergence, Waser (272) artificially created coincidentally flowering populations and demonstrated that interspecific pollen transfer by shared hummingbird pollinators significantly reduced seed set of *D. nelsonii*. The most likely cause of the reduced seed set appears to be stigma clogging by interspecific pollen (273). McNeilly & Antonovics (166) showed that sympatric, heavy-metal-tolerant and -intolerant populations of both *Anthoxanthum* and *Agrostis* have recently evolved differences in flowering times. The most likely cause appears to be selection against gene flow and hybridization. Competition for pollinators could not be the cause because these species are wind pollinated. Other possible cases of character divergence in flowering times (161, 208, 275) need further examination.

Interspecific divergence in flowering within plant communities is rarely found. Most statistical tests of flowering dispersion within seasons have shown flowering to be aggregated (9, 189, 196, 208, 260) or indistinguishable from a random pattern (207, 283). Random models with different assumptions, however, can give different results (208). For example, phenological displacements reported for two plant assemblages (52, 88, 193, 250) have under a different analysis (196, 260) been reported to be aggregated. Thus, the results and interpretations based on random models remain controversial, and results should be viewed cautiously.

Divergence of flowering times may be rare because flowering time is just one of several pre-mating isolation mechanisms that can reduce interspecific gene flow (149). Flowering time may be the easiest mechanism to alter given the evidence for simple genetic control (178, 190), but because flowering time seems to be such a phenotypically plastic character, divergence in flowering time may not prevent hybridization as effectively as would changes in such other plant characters as floral morphology. The absence of evidence for flowering divergence at the community level may reflect such alternative solutions for reproductive isolation. Many closely related species are separated by habitat rather than by flowering time (121, 217, 249).

Evidence that competition for pollinators currently occurs in natural plant populations is sparse. Competitive effects on seed set have seldom been found either because pollinators are very abundant (41, 71, 176, 208, 275) or because plants produce persistent flowers (176) or are selfing or apomictic (133, 223). Current competition has been detected in artificial or disturbed situations. In hybrid seed gardens, competition for honeybee visits commonly reduces seed set among crop varieties (65, 66, 81). In old fields in Michigan, introduced honeybees visit *Solidago graminifolia* only after other plant species have

ceased flowering; consequently, early flowering clones set fewer seeds (96). In natural populations, traits other than flowering times may lessen potential competitive effects.

Divergence may also be uncommon because competition among plant species is inconsistent and nondirectional over time. Consistent flowering sequences between years have been reported in a few studies (44, 253, 266), but the degree of flowering overlap often varies. In other studies (75, 252), flowering times vary greatly and independently between years. For tropical trees that flower in response to rainfall, local rains can cause highly patchy and asynchronous flowering between species and within a species (16, 32). Interspecific interactions are unlikely to cause directional selection on flowering times in these cases. Interspecific interactions are also likely to vary over a species' geographic range as other potential competitors and pollinators change. These different interactions would select for unique flowering times that are initiated by different environmental conditions. Some species show ecotypic variation in flowering (112, 143), but the influence of interspecific interactions is unknown. However, the ability to predict flowering times in many temperate woody species over wide latitudes using cumulative daydegrees (212, 235, 266) suggests that local interspecific interactions have not influenced flowering times. Anomalous populations should be sought and examined.

DURATION, SYNCHRONY, AND SKEWNESS WITHIN SPECIES Flowering duration within populations can last from a single day to the entire year for different species (24, 78). Gentry (86) first categorized flowering patterns for species based upon duration: species with short durations commonly produce masses of flowers in a synchronous display (mass flowering) whereas species with extended durations commonly produce a few flowers a day over long periods (steady-state flowering). In tropical forests, mass flowering is common among trees that flower during the dry season whereas steady-state flowering is found in most understory species (14, 24, 79, 86, 122, 187). Variations exist between these two extremes.

Within individuals, extended duration of flowering may be advantageous for spreading the risk of uncertain pollination. Obligate outcrossing species tend to flower longer than autogamous or self-compatible species (24, 195), and this may reflect the greater uncertainty of pollination. Extended duration could also allow individuals to track and accumulate resources needed for seed maturation in environments where resources are either temporally unpredictable or sparse (24). Extended flowering is more common in aseasonal environments and occurs in most understory species in tropical forests (79); this may reflect unpredictable or sparse resources. Alternatively, this extended duration may reflect a lack of seasonal differences in resource or pollinator availability.

Synchrony at both the population and individual levels affects floral density. Increased synchrony can be either advantageous or disadvantageous depending upon density-dependent interactions with other organisms. Increasing synchrony may increase the attractiveness of a floral display, or it could satiate pollinators or predators (208). To test the effects of synchrony on pollinators and seed predators, Augspurger (15) experimentally created asynchronous flowering within populations of a tropical shrub, Hybanthus prunifolius; she found that individuals flowering synchronously with the population attracted more pollinators, set more seed, and suffered less seed predation than did individuals flowering asynchronously with the population. These effects appeared to be caused by the different densities of synchronous and asynchronous individuals. In contrast, Zimmerman (293, 294) found that individuals of Polemonium foliosissimum that flowered when floral density was high, attracted fewer bees, produced less seed and suffered more seed predation. The conflicting results from these two species suggest that trade-offs between pollination and predation depend upon the relative densities of pollinators, seed predators, and flowers.

Asynchrony may be favored in a number of situations. Although some degree of flowering synchrony within populations obviously is necessary for outcrossing among individuals, slight asynchrony would promote outcrossing if it forced pollinators to move between individuals (80). Asynchrony within populations could also reduce intraspecific competition for pollinators, reduce effective population size (23, 200), and increase the number of mates as temporal neighbors change (24). These effects have not been examined. Additionally, in monoecious species with temporally separate male and female flowers, asynchrony of the male and female phases within the population is necessary; synchrony of each phase would preclude any chance for reproduction. In *Cupania guatemalensis*, a monoecious tropical shrub, asynchrony between the sexual phases is assured because individuals start with different phases and each sexual phase has a variable duration (23).

Asynchronous flowering within individuals of hermaphroditic species can reduce geitonogamy and promote outcrossing by forcing pollinators to move between plants (24, 152, 227, 246). In monoecious species the sexes are often asynchronous within an individual plant and so reduce geitonogamy (23, 37). The most extreme example of asynchronous flowering both within and among individuals is seen in *Ficus* (125, 170). Within an individual plant the female phase may be separated from the male phase by a month or longer. The pollinating wasps enter a receptive syconium, deposit pollen, oviposit, and die. Individuals of the next generation develop over several weeks and collect pollen while exiting from the syconium which is now in the male phase. Wasps must fly to another tree with receptive syconia to deposit pollen and oviposit. Individual fig trees flower at irregular intervals, and this may maximize the

probability that one will be female while another individual is male in the population (125). Such asynchrony within fig populations has the additional benefit of maintaining a population of pollinating wasps, dependent upon figs and apparently short-lived.

Sudden, synchronous onset or cessation of flowering by many individuals produces a skewed flowering distribution within a population. Many species have right or positively skewed flowering distributions, i.e. flowering begins abruptly and then tails off (207, 227, 261). Thomson (261) hypothesized that right skewing could increase detectability and attractiveness to pollinators, which would then continue to visit these known plants even as flowering declined. However, the same pattern is observed in wind-pollinated plants (207) and in an autogamous species (227). Synchronous onset of flowering probably reflects similar responses to a uniform and unambiguous environmental cue (124, 227).

#### Environmental Cues

Only three major physical environmental factors have been identified as cues that initiate onset of flowering: photoperiod, temperature, and moisture (67, 142, 228). Photoperiodic control has been reported primarily for short-lived herbs (67, 142). Most temperate woody species (151, 212, 235) and some perennial herbs (266) flower in response to temperature, which usually acts through cumulative heatsums above some threshold level. In seasonal tropical forests, flowering is often induced by rainfall (8, 16, 73, 115, 186). Heavier rains increase synchrony of flowering within populations of some tropical trees (16, 17). Bochert (32) has shown that flowering in some tropical trees occurs in response to decreased water stress which may be stimulated by either leaf loss or rainfall. In deserts the drying soil, which indicates the end of the growing period, causes annuals to flower (282, 255). A number of environmental factors may interact to determine flowering onset. In some plants floral buds are produced only after a sequence of environmental cues that may occur several months apart (67, 142). For example, low temperature may induce biochemical changes in the seed that later permit the vegetative plant to flower in response to a second cue such as short nights (105). The timing of bud initiation and development and the cues involved are little known (211).

#### Genetics

Ecotypic differentiation in seasonal flowering times between natural populations has been found in uniform garden experiments (112, 143). In general, populations from higher latitudes flower earlier than do populations from lower latitudes when planted at low latitudes. Stages of flowering that occur late in the growing season, such as flower bud formation, tend to show greater interlatitudinal variation than stages occurring early in the growing season (211).

Evidence for the genetic basis of flowering timing is stronger than that for

germination timing. Genetic variation within natural plant populations is high in a few species (3, 6, 127, 128). Distinct early and late flowering genotypes have been found within populations of *Melandrium*, *Arabidopsis*, and several cereal crops (5, 145, 163, 282a). Artificially produced polyploids flower later then their diploid progenitors (84). Agricultural studies, involving selection and crossing of different lines, have demonstrated for many herbaceous species that only one or a few genes determine flowering onset (178, 272). Genotype-environment interactions are strong in some species (e.g. 173). Selection for flowering time in several species has produced significant changes within a few generations (40, 190).

### Summary

Phenotypic variation in patterns of flowering in natural populations may reflect heterogeneous environmental factors, differences among genotypes, or phenotypic plasticity. The causes of this variation need further study. Correlations between flowering time and seed set (14, 96, 226, 227, 272, 273, 293, 295, 296) are consistent with the assumption that flowering time affects fitness. However, the causal relationship remains to be demonstrated. Both maternal and paternal contributions to fitness must be measured.

In general, there are many more hypotheses about ultimate factors that may mold flowering times than there are thorough studies that permit testing them. Thus, at this time it is difficult to evaluate the hypotheses. Experimental studies are needed to clarify the role of pollinators as selective forces in the evolution of flowering times. Plants and pollinators may coevolve and increase their phenological matching, but evidence that variance in seasonal flowering times affects pollinator fitness is lacking. Other biotic factors, such as parasitism (106), can influence flowering time, but these effects have received little attention. We also have little information about the direct effects of seasonal timing on the ability to produce or maintain flowers or to mature seeds. Schmitt (227) suggests that early drying of serpentine soils has selected for earlier flowering in two Linanthus species. Schemske (225) has strong evidence that herbivory in mid- and late-summer has selected for early-summer flowering in some Impatiens populations. These examples indicate that it may be necessary to study the entire life history in order to understand the selective forces that influence flowering times. We hope that we will not need to extend studies over twelve centuries as the Japanese have done in their observations of the blooming dates of Kyoto cherry trees (12).

#### FRUITING

Fruiting includes initiation, growth, and ripening of fruit and the presentation of fruit to dispersers, as well as the eventual dispersal of fruits from the parent plant. Here we discuss only the timing of fruit (or seed) ripening and dispersal.

A ripe seed has severed its attachment to the parent. A ripe fruit has developed characteristic properties, like color and flavors that attract dispersers or effect dispersal. Usually fruits ripen before they are successfully dispersed; however, the fruits of some species, e.g. avocado and durian, develop their attractive properties only after they have fallen from the parent plant (184).

#### Selective Factors

TIME OF OCCURRENCE Abiotic factors may limit ripening times, but few studies address this relationship. In temperate regions, late frosts in spring and high temperatures in summer occasionally cause significant fruit mortality (245). Pecan clones that develop late in the season produce smaller fruits than do early-ripening clones because the nut is filling while conditions are poor (259). *Daucus carota* seeds dispersed late usually have low viability (139). In the tropics, fruits of *Hybanthus* shrubs atypically produced during the dry season are often abnormally small and contain nonviable seeds (14).

The time of fruit ripening should reflect timing of conditions that influence dispersal success. Offspring movement away from the parent is usually assumed to be advantageous (119). Evidence for this comes from correlations between times of fruit ripening and abiotic conditions favoring dispersal in wind-dispersed species. In seasonal tropical forests most wind-dispersed species ripen and release fruits near the end of the dry season when the trade winds are strong and when many leaves have fallen (56, 75, 119, 122, 150, 182, 203, 236). This dispersal timing also minimizes the time that seeds will lie on the ground before germinating at the beginning of the rainy season (85).

Availability of animal dispersers should also select for ripening times in animal-dispersed species. In temperate areas most species with fleshy fruits ripen their fruits during autumn bird migrations (109, 111, 174, 238, 243, 248, 257). In aseasonal tropical forests in Malaysia, where animal dispersers may be available throughout the year, fruiting occurs all year and no fruiting peaks are evident (203). In Panamanian forests, a slightly greater proportion of species ripen fruits in autumn when birds arrive from northern areas than at other times of the year (75, 122, 131). In more seasonal, tropical forests, the number of species with animal-dispersed fruit show a peak of fruit ripening during the rainy season (75, 76, 122, 131, 150, 254). Many correlations between ripening times and presence of dispersers exist, but the conclusions that may be drawn from these correlations are limited. Dispersal success is logistically difficult to measure and is seldom known. Ultimately, one must follow individual offspring and quantify their fitness.

Although disperser availability should select for ripening times, the problem of circularity arises again as with flowering and pollinators: Is scasonality of disperser availability a cause or an effect of fruiting time? Migrating birds have

been hypothesized to select for autumn fruit ripening in temperate plants, but this hypothesis rests on at least two assumptions. One is that birds would not migrate earlier if fruits were available earlier. No studies address this assumption. The second is that dispersal is more successful during migrations than earlier or later in the season. Thompson & Willson (257) found that removal rates were faster for species with autumn-ripening fruits than for species with summer-ripening fruits. During the spring and summer, the local, territorial birds are sparse and feed primarily on insects—high-protein food that birds require for reproduction. Birds may switch to fruits in autumn because they need high energy for migration (248). Temperate woodland herbs that ripen fruits in spring are often ant-dispersed, whereas bird-dispersed herbs ripen fruits in late summer (256). The fate of dispersed seeds, however, has not been followed.

Seed mortality caused by seasonal predators or pathogens could also influence times of ripening and dispersal. Most evidence comes from interspecific comparisons rather than from studies of individuals within populations. Several studies of temperate herbs show how species that ripen seeds during summer suffer higher predation than congeners that ripen seeds earlier in the spring (35, 93, 99). In several temperate shrubs, fruit damage by pests is more severe for species with summer-ripening fruit than for species with fall- or winter-ripening fruit (109, 257). Pre-dispersal predators or pathogens can destroy seeds, making fruit less attractive to dispersers (124, 248).

SYNCHRONY AMONG SPECIES Facilitation could select for aggregated fruiting times among species by increasing the number of dispersers or by increasing the movement of frugivores between species (284). Dispersal away from conspecifics, it is hypothesized, increases seedling survival (119, 284). Seedlings of Casearea nitida survived better under unrelated perch trees used by bird dispersers than under conspecifics (118). Synchronous fruiting would be necessary for a mimic and its model (164), but the mimicry hypothesis has never been tested for fruits.

Interspecific competition for animal dispersers should select for phenological asynchrony or divergence among species (164, 236, 237). Snow proposed that competition caused staggered fruiting times of bird-dispersed *Miconia* species in Trinidad (237). However, this staggered pattern has been shown to be statistically indistinguishable from a random pattern (88). In general, fruiting times of animal-dispersed species tend to be aggregated or random rather than displaced (75, 79, 88, 109, 110, 111, 257, 283). Current interspecific competition among plants for dispersers has not been studied. Occasional anecdotal reports of undispersed fruits suggest that competition may occur at times (108, 119, 164), but other environmental factors could produce similar results.

If interspecific interactions are to select for divergence or convergence in

fruiting times, fruiting sequences should be fairly consistent between years. Few long-term descriptions of fruiting patterns exist. For tropical trees fruiting times can be inconsistent within and between years (75). In aseasonal forests in Malaysia, intervals between fruiting were often irregular both within and between species (203). Individuals of many tropical trees may skip fruiting in some years (121, 124, 169); this would eliminate or change any interactive effects among or within plant species for dispersers.

DURATION AND SYNCHRONY WITHIN SPECIES Duration of individual fruits must be separated into potential duration (or persistence ability) and observed duration determined by removal rates. Mortality of ripe fruits and seeds on the parent could select for rapid release or removal after ripening (124) and for short potential and observed duration times. In temperate regions, bird-dispersed fruits in summer have been found to rot or dry rapidly whereas autumn fruits can remain attractive for weeks or months (248). Stiles (248) hypothesized that the short potential duration times of summer fruits reflect rapid removal rates caused by territorial birds that learn the locations of fruits (257). Autumn-ripening fruits last longer because they must be discovered by migrating birds that are unfamiliar with plant locations. Since conditions are less favorable for microbial and pest attack on fruits during the autumn, ripe fruits can persist longer. Morphological or chemical mechanisms may also deter pests (234).

Within an individual plant, extended duration of dispersal may represent a bet-hedging strategy against uncertainty in colonization opportunities (24, 139). In the neotropics early successional trees have longer fruiting times than do forest trees, which may increase the probability that their seeds will reach gaps, which are formed throughout the year (186). Extended ripening could also reflect the seasonal unpredictability or scarcity of resources needed for fruit development (24). Extended fruiting is more common among understory plants than among canopy trees (79), and resources may be more limited for understory plants. Colonizing weedy herbs commonly have long periods of seed release (102). In *D. carota*, extended duration of seed release, partially controlled by the parent (138), probably increases dispersal distance (139). Extended duration for a species could be caused by asynchronous fruiting within individuals and/or among individuals, and these have not often been separated in species surveys.

Increased fruiting synchrony both within and among individuals may facilitate dispersal if increases in fruit density cause the attraction of relatively more dispersers. In temperate areas, synchrony is greater for shrub species that ripen fruits in autumn than for species that ripen fruits in summer (257). The consequently larger displays may attract migrant birds unfamiliar with the locations of specific plants (174, 257). These larger displays could also satiate dispersers; however, this is less likely during autumn migrations (257). Re-

searchers have hypothesized that the degree of fruit specialization by the dispersers selects for synchrony in fruiting within individual plants (117, 164). Because specialized frugivores are locally sparse, they are likely to be satiated by large, synchronous fruit crops; hence, asynchronous, small fruit crops should be favored. In contrast, because nonspecialized, opportunistic dispersers are abundant, dispersal success should increase with larger fruiting displays and greater synchrony (117). Most studies do not support this hypothesis. Removal rates of fruits are usually highly variable and unrelated to either fruit crop size or disperser specialization (119).

Within an individual, synchronous fruiting could be disadvantageous if dispersers spend long times at one tree and therefore drop most seeds under the parent tree. This effect could be eliminated if predation on dispersers forces dispersers to move frequently (116). Pratt & Stiles (198) show that cryptic birds, which should be less susceptible to predation, have longer linger times in fruiting trees than do noncryptic birds. Effects on fruit dispersal success were not measured.

Synchronous fruiting both within and among individuals may satiate seed predators. Augspurger (15) artificially created asynchrony among individuals of *Hybanthus prunifolius*, a tropical shrub, and showed that individuals fruiting asynchronously with the population experienced higher seed predation than did individuals fruiting synchronously. Janzen (123, 124) proposed that predator satiation has selected for mast fruiting in trees whose nutrient-rich seeds are dispersed by the major seed predator. In several mast-fruiting species, seedling establishment occurs only during the mast year. Individuals fruiting asynchronously with the population, i.e. in a nonmast year, leave no offspring (33, 234, 240, 241). No studies have examined similar effects within years. Synchrony both within and among individuals may be limited to species where seeds are wind-dispersed and satiation is unimportant (27) or where the predator and the disperser are the same species and satiation is advantageous.

If predators differ from dispersers, then the degree of synchrony within and among individuals will likely reflect a balance between attracting dispersers and satiating either dispersers or predators (27). In limber pine, the cones ripen synchronously within a tree but asynchronously within a population (27). This appears to allow nutcrackers, the major disperser, to harvest seeds more effectively than can squirrels, the major predator. Because ripening is synchronous within individual trees, many nutcrackers can find a ripening tree and remove seeds more quickly than can squirrels. Because ripening is asynchronous within the population, satiation of nutcrackers is prevented.

Asynchronous ripening may reduce competition for dispersers, but spatial aggregation may alter this effect (157). In nutmegs (*Virola surinamensis*) individual trees within clumps appear to attract relatively more dispersers than do isolated trees, but they compete among themselves for these collectively

gained dispersers (157). Isolated trees attract fewer dispersers but do not satiate dispersers. The advantages of aggregation in attracting dispersers may need to be balanced with the disadvantages of competing for dispersers. In nutmegs, intraspecific competition may be the stronger force and may have selected for asynchronous fruiting (157).

#### Environmental Cues

Environmental cues seldom stimulate the onset of fruit ripening. Rather, onset is determined primarily by internal factors that control the rate of fruit development (e.g. 55, 144). Environmental factors may secondarily influence ripening rates by influencing metabolism. For example, lower light intensity reduces the percent of berries ripe at harvest date in *Vaccinium angustifolium* (2). Higher temperatures decrease fruit development time in sour cherry (264). External environmental conditions can directly influence fruit dehiscence, abscission, and dispersal (138, 265). Extreme drought or fire initiates cone dehiscence in many pines. Relative humidity controls the rate of seed dispersal in a number of species (138, 265).

#### Genetics

Evidence for genetic control of the time of fruit ripening comes from studies of domesticated species. Genetic variability in development time is common among clones and sibs in pecans (259). Artificial selection has significantly changed ripening times in several species, usually by changing development time (flower-to-fruit interval) (18, 82, 268). In several species fruit development time is a polygenic trait (18, 82, 268), and in peaches heritability of development time is quite high (.73–.98) (268). Crosses within some cultivated fruit species have demonstrated strong paternal control of the time of ripening (55, 185, 191). The pollen source can determine the number of seeds, fruit size and hence ripening time (59, 62, 63). Paternal control of ripening time was discovered even before scientists understood the mechanism of fertilization in plants (180).

Although mechanisms that control the time of seed dispersal have been lost with plant domestication in a number of species (244, 285), little is known about the genetic control of dispersal timing. In *Lactuca seriola* the opening of involucal bracts, permitting dispersal, occurs only in wild populations and is controlled by a single gene (285).

### Summary

Of the three life history events considered in this review, temporal fruiting patterns have received the least attention. The effects of both external and internal environments on fruiting patterns and the nature of genetic control of fruiting are poorly known. Few experimental studies have addressed the hy-

potheses about the selective forces acting upon fruiting, probably in part because of the difficulty in measuring offspring dispersal success.

#### ECOLOGICAL AND EVOLUTIONARY CONSTRAINTS

Studies addressing the ecology and evolution of phenological patterns have usually considered the timing of each life cycle event in isolation from the rest of the life cycle. Focusing on one event permits an examination of the selective pressures acting directly upon that event. Natural selection, however, acts upon an entire organism, and so considering each event alone can be misleading (30). Phenological patterns are likely to be constrained by plant morphology, physiology, and more generally the genetic and epigenetic background of each individual. In this section we explore the possible constraints that the rest of the life cycle may place upon temporal germination, flowering, and fruiting patterns. We define a constraint in the broad sense as any trait that indirectly limits the power of a selective force to change a phenological pattern. Constraints may affect one or all phenological traits. Phenological traits themselves may constrain each other. Few studies have specifically addressed these constraints or their genetic basis, and so we hope that drawing attention to these studies will stimulate further research in this area.

## Effects of Resource Limitation

Flowering, fruiting, and even germination require an input of energy and nutrients. Therefore, resource abundance and a plant's ability to assimilate and allocate these resources may influence phenological patterns. Floral bud initiation is often associated with some "ripeness-to-flower" factor (e.g. 114, 142, 144). Plants of some species flower only after they have accumulated a threshold level of resources, often measured by plant size. In annuals, large plants that have accumulated resources quickly often flower earlier in the growing season than do small plants (26, 127, 128). In some indeterminately growing crop plants, the production of many fruits stops further flowering (148). Resource levels can also influence duration of flowering. In some annuals, large plants produce more flowers over a longer time than do small plants (39, 227). In some perennials, large plants flower longer (e.g. 14, 200, 223, 292).

Plants that require more resources for reproduction should flower later and/or for a shorter time. In dioecious species, females often begin flowering later than do males. This delay may allow females time to accumulate more resources that are needed for fruit and seed development (154), although early flowering by males may have resulted from competition among males for pollinators (24). Males often produce more flowers and flower for a longer time as in *Jacaratia dolichaula* (37), presumably because of the lower cost of producing pollen.

Also, females flower less often than do males within (38) and among years (168). Janzen (124) argued that for many hermaphroditic tree species, individuals may flower more often than they set fruit, thus, acting as males more often than as females, because pollen production is less costly than fruit production. This hypothesis has yet to be tested.

The impact of resource limitation on flowering time may depend upon the absolute time interval between floral bud initiation and anthesis. In annuals, flowering immediately follows bud initiation, and therefore the time of attainment of threshold size influences the time both of bud initiation and of anthesis. Perennial herbs may resemble annuals when they flower after vegetative growth has occurred early in the growing season. However, if several months separate bud initiation and anthesis as in many trees and shrubs, resource accumulation likely influences only time of bud formation. Reader (211) found that seasonal resource accumulation determined when floral buds were formed in three ericaceous shrub species but that flowering was initiated by a more predictable external environmental cue. Presumably, subsequent fruit development uses resources accumulated in the previous year rather than in the current year. However, the relative use of stored versus current assimilates for fruit maturation is poorly known (245, 278).

Resource limitation and the limited time for growth and reproduction in annuals (104, 122) have motivated the development of theoretical models that predict when and how an annual plant should switch from vegetative to reproductive growth. Cohen (50, 51) proposed that for annuals growing in a habitat where length of the growing season is predictable, flowering should be delayed to maximize resource accumulation but not so delayed that there is insufficient time to mature seeds. Plants should switch sharply from vegetative to reproductive growth. For annuals growing in habitats where length of the growing season is unpredictable, flowering should begin earlier and span a longer time to insure some seed production even in years when the growing season is cut short. Resource allocation to reproductive growth should gradually increase with time. Other studies extend Cohen's model to predict the optimal time of onset of flowering when plants are subject to vegetative and reproductive losses, e.g. via herbivory (e.g. 45, 134, 188), competition (221), or seasonal variation in photosynthesis and storage potential (45, 222). Of the two models (45, 134) tested with data from natural populations, the King and Roughgarden (134) model accurately predicted time of onset of flowering. In general, most models have predicted a sharp transition from vegetative to reproductive growth. Sharp transitions have been found in Lupinus nanus (192), some annual grasses growing in the Mediterranean region, and in crops (101) that grow in highly predictable environments. However, other empirical data indicate that biomass allocated to reproduction increases gradually in annuals (26, 45, 46, 135, 251) and that vegetative growth and fruit development compete for resources once flowering has begun (144, 184). Thus, most empirical evidence shows a gradual transition to reproduction.

Few studies have characterized the seasonal switch in resource allocation from vegetative to reproductive growth in perennials. Many studies show proportional changes in biomass allocation through the growing season but do not indicate whether vegetative growth continues or ceases with onset of flowering or fruiting. Carbohydrate accumulation in the meristem may induce flower bud formation and inhibit vegetative growth (32), producing a sharp switch from shoot expansion to flowering. However, some tropical trees such as coconut palms, mangoes, and bananas simultaneously grow vegetatively, flower, and fruit (144). Root expansion continues after flowering begins in *Lupinus variicolor*, an herbaceous perennial (192), and vegetative growth occurs before, during, and after reproduction in wild strawberry (129).

The life history of a species may influence seasonal resource allocation patterns. *Lupinus nanus*, an annual, switches to reproductive growth earlier in the summer than does *L. variicolor*, a perennial (192). Likewise, annual species of *Lolium* (48) and *Plantago* (199) flower before perennials. In *Lolium*, annuals are induced to flower by longer nights (48). Selection may favor this flowering delay in perennials because the delay provides more time for vegetative growth, which could enhance subsequent survival (199). Also, because there is less pressure for a perennial to produce seeds within any one growing season, perennials can risk flowering at a later date.

Conditions that affect resource allocation to offspring can affect fruit size (81, 201, 292) and ripening times within an individual. Competition among fruits for resources may delay ripening. Larger fruit crops ripen later than small crops in *Vaccinium* (155). Fruits with more seeds may produce more auxin, accumulate resources faster, and grow larger (184). In *Vaccinium angustifolium*, many-seeded fruits ripen earlier than fruits with fewer seeds (1). However, species that produce large fruits tend to develop fruit more slowly than do species that produce small fruits (201).

Resource allocation may influence temporal germination patterns as well as fruiting patterns. When plants are grown under the same environmental conditions, maternal effects often associated with seed position on the mother can produce progeny that vary in their germination requirements (42, 97, 282). In many Compositae, ray and disc flowers produce seeds that differ in time of germination (267). In *Xanthium*, seeds are borne in pairs, with one seed usually germinating 12 months after the other (101).

Maternal effects may influence subsequent germination times by regulating seed size (e.g. 95, 220, 231). In two species of *Rumex*, large seeds, borne on the proximal portion of inflorescences, germinate more slowly than do small seeds borne on the distal end (42). Other studies show similar germination patterns (286). In contrast, large seeds germinate earlier than do small seeds in *Mirabilis* 

hirsuta (280) and at the same time in Lolium perenne (179) and Raphanus raphanistrum (242).

Rates of resource accumulation are likely to be controlled genetically as well as environmentally. Size components like height and weight, which estimate resource accumulation, show strong genetic control in several species (3, 83, 270, 271). Other data indicate that height itself has been subject to natural selection (3, 270, 271). Gottlieb's (89) study of *Stephenomeria* and Solbrig's (239) study of violets (*Viola* spp.) point out, however, that in some cases size may be completely environmentally determined.

Selective pressures and mutations that alter resource assimilation or allocation patterns could affect the evolution of phenological patterns. A mutation that increases assimilation and growth rate may cause plants to flower earlier, and this exposes them to an effective pollinator whose presence did not previously overlap with flowering time. Environmentally induced reductions in resource accumulation could eliminate late-flowering genotypes from a population because these genotypes can no longer set seed before the end of the growing season. Mutations that accelerate fruit maturation and/or dispersal could allow seeds lacking dormancy to germinate in autumn and grow large enough in autumn to produce mature seeds. The above are hypothetical examples, but they illustrate how selection might alter a phenological trait not only directly but also indirectly through changes in assimilation rates and allocation patterns. These changes occur independently of any selective pressure acting directly on timing.

# Morphological Constraints

Developmental patterns that determine plant shape may also influence phenological patterns. For example, selection for reduced height could produce either a reduction in number of leaves and internodes on the flowering stalk or a reduction in internode length. A reduction in leaf number could reduce photosynthesis and consequently delay flowering if the plant now requires more time to reach a threshold resource level for flowering. In contrast, shortening internodes would reduce photosynthesis only if leaves shade each other, e.g. if leaves are oppositely arranged. A little leaf overlap should not reduce photosynthesis and therefore should not change flowering time.

The few studies that have actually examined the relationship between phenological and morphological patterns have focused primarily on temporal differences in flowering associated with determinate versus indeterminate growth. In plants with determinate growth, the terminal meristem of a shoot differentiates into an inflorescence after producing new vegetative tissue. The separation between vegetative and reproductive growth is sharp, and lateral buds subtending the inflorescence resume vegetative growth the following season. In plants with indeterminate growth, the terminal meristem grows only

vegetatively and inflorescences are produced from lateral meristem, i.e. on side shoots. This growth pattern permits simultaneous vegetative and reproductive growth. In the seasonal tropics, determinate growth patterns of trees may restrict flowering to the dry season, whereas indeterminate growth patterns may permit flowering throughout the year (32). In temperate habitats where length of the growing season is predictable, e.g. agricultural fields, determinate growth habits are common. The determinate growth habit in some annuals may allow them to delay flowering and yet still set seed before the end of the growing season (101). In habitats where the length of the growing season is unpredictable, indeterminate growth patterns are more common; this growth pattern permits "opportunists" to grow vegetatively from the terminal meristem while producing flowers from lateral meristems (101). Evolutionary change in growth patterns has occurred rapidly in crop plants. For example, one locus determines the growth habit in lima beans, the determinate habit having recently evolved from the indeterminate habit, which predominates in wild populations (7).

Most information about morphological constraints comes from descriptive community studies. Within plant communities different life forms flower at different times. In Great Britain, peak time for tree flowering is May; for shrubs—June; for herbaceous perennials, annuals, and biennials—July; and for bulbs and corms—April-May and September (91). Herbs commonly flower during or at the end of the active growth, most often in summer (32, 47, 91, 94). In the seasonal tropics herbs and shrubs tend to flower in the wet season (57, 171), but trees flower in both wet and dry season (57, 79, 171). Different life forms may also germinate at different times. For example, in Californian deserts many annual species germinate only after light but extended winter rains that occur during most years, whereas most perennial shrubs germinate only after short but heavy summer storms that occur only once every 5-20 years (281). Went (281) argues that annuals can mature successfully on a single winter rain period but perennial shrubs require both summer rains and subsequent predictable winter rains for seedling establishment. These documented patterns of temporal germination and flowering may or may not reflect largescale differences in development that make each life form unique. In either case, the observations illustrate that we still have much to learn about the ecology and evolution of developmental patterns in plants (cf 31).

Gould (90) among others has proposed that changes in the timing of developmental processes strongly direct the evolution of size and shape. It seems possible that size and shape also direct the evolution of the timing of development. The modular nature of plant growth (101) may permit different parts of a plant to behave as independent physiological units (278). Each unit, e.g. a ramet of a clonal plant, may control its own resource assimilation and allocation. The degree of control may vary among species. We therefore predict that

increased autonomy of modules and increased environmental sampling by the modules will produce increased variation in onset and duration of flowering and fruiting within an individual plant and may produce increased variation in temporal germination patterns of offspring.

# Phenological Correlations

The sequence of germination, flowering, and fruiting seems developmentally fixed within the life cycle of an individual; at least no one has yet found a species in which individuals flower before they germinate (cf 64)! However, an unanswered question is: "Do phenological events follow each other after fixed time intervals, or are they independently controlled by different environmental cues?" (233). Time of flowering and fruiting may be completely canalized (sensu 269) once germination occurs, so that minor environmental changes will not alter their time of occurrence. Alternatively, time of flowering and fruiting may be completely controlled by external environmental cues. Flowering and fruiting times of seven chaparral annuals appeared to be partially canalized although the effects of plant size were not considered (233). During this six-year study (233) germination time varied greatly in response to rainfall, and flowering and fruiting times were more strongly correlated with elapsed time from germination than with any of the measured environmental variables.

Other studies have shown correlations among germination, flowering, and/ or fruiting times. Early spring-germinating Thlaspi arvense individuals flower before late spring-germinating individuals (163). Males of Silene species both germinate and flower earlier than do females, and Asparagus officinalis females germinate earlier but flower later than do males (154). Onset of seed dispersal follows onset of flowering after a predictably fixed time in Daucus carota (139). Such correlations may reflect the canalization of events following germination. Bamboos exhibit the most striking example of canalization of flowering time, even though the time scale spans years, rather than months or days within years. Individual clones flower only once every 5-120 years after germination, and cuttings of individual clones flower synchronously regardless of where in the world the cuttings are grown (124). Not all studies show correlations, however. Early- and late-germinating individuals of Veronica peregrina (153) and Teesdalia nudicaulis (181) flower at the same time. Phenological correlations have been detected in a few species; additional work is needed to determine the extent and cause of such correlations.

If phenological events are canalized, then to consider the evolutionary consequences of a phenological event in isolation from other events may lead to erroneous conclusions. For example, early-flowering plants produce more than three times the number of fruits as do late-flowering plants of *Papaver dubium* (13). However, plants flower early because they germinate early. Thus, selection may be favoring early germination rather than early flowering (13, 69).

Alternatively, each may independently affect an individual's fitness and be subject to direct selective pressure (cf 140).

Even if phenological events are not canalized, the timing of one event may be altered by selection because that event interacts with a second phenological event. For example, temporal dispersal patterns may determine temporal germination patterns. In *Daucus carota*, the seed dispersal season overlaps and extends beyond the autumn germination season. Dispersal time influences offspring fate because only seed dispersed before or during the autumn germination season can germinate that autumn (139, 140). In contrast, in *Geranium carolinianum*, dispersal finishes before the germination season and does not affect germination time (214). Ritland (213) has postulated that in annuals flowering time and seed dormancy may be jointly altered by selection. His optimality models suggest, for example, that variation in flowering time depends more on mean value of germination time than on the mean value of flowering time. Though Ritland's argument focuses on the variation in germination over years, one could probably apply the models to within-year variation in germination as well.

#### Genetic Correlations

Mather (159) and Wright (291) among others have argued that natural selection acts upon the phenotype of integrated gene systems rather than upon individual components comprising the systems. For example, in many weed species, one plant may produce several types of seeds; a unique shape, size, and set of germination requirements characterizes each type (101). Selection may act upon this whole suite of seed traits, rather than upon each trait individually, because the whole suite permits each parent to exploit more than one habitat through its offspring (100). Genetic correlations among traits constituting an integrated phenotype prevent the independent evolution of the component traits (141).

A few studies have shown that traits associated with time of flowering are genetically correlated to components of plant size (11, 83), reproductive effort (202), and frost tolerance (48). Crop studies show genetic linkage of genes controlling germination and flowering times in cucumbers (286). Thus, flowering and germination times may represent one component of an integrated gene complex in some species. Flowering time is not genetically correlated with components of reproductive output in *Lolium* (54) germination in rice (286), or self fertility or zinc tolerance in *Anthoxanthum* (11). Therefore, in other species selection may act upon each trait individually as it has in *Anthoxanthum* populations growing along metal mine tailings (11). In peaches, the time between flowering and fruit ripening is genetically fixed in one variety but not in another (18).

Genetic correlations between nonphenological traits may also influence the evolution of phenological traits. In Vulpia fasciculata, early emerging plants produce many spikelets but few seeds per spikelet; late emergers produce few spikelets but many seeds per spikelet (277). If the correlation between spikelet number and seed number per spikelet is genetically based, as are reproductive traits in other plant species, (e.g. 202) then altering germination time will alter reproductive components of fitness but will not change net fitness because reproductive components are negatively correlated. Negative genetic correlations can weaken directional or stabilizing selection acting upon a phenological pattern. In general, the degree to which a phenological pattern may evolve in response to a selective pressure will depend on the number, strength, and direction of genetic correlations and on the genetic control of correlated traits. Correlations that are easily broken, that manifest themselves only in extreme environments seldom encountered by a species, or that involve traits under simple Mendelian control should not constrain the timing of developmental events for long. Alternatively, correlations that are difficult to break, that contribute strongly to individual fitness or that involve polygenic traits may strongly determine the degree and direction of evolutionary change in phenological patterns.

### **CONCLUSIONS**

An environmental pressure may precipitate one of several alternative evolutionary responses within populations, and these responses may or may not be phenological. For example, spatially and temporally heterogeneous environments may select either for increased seed dormancy or for increased dispersibility (10, 101). In some cases plants have evolved dimorphic seeds, which increase both offspring dormancy and dispersibility, albeit in different seeds (267). Seed predation may select for an increase in toxic compounds in seeds, a change in seasonal fruiting or germination pattern, and/or the development of mast fruiting. Seedling competition could select for either accelerated germination or larger seed size (230). It is time to acknowledge these alternative pathways in evolutionary studies of phenological responses.

Future studies need to address all levels of complexity of phenological patterns. We need to examine the trade-offs engendered by countervailing selective pressures acting directly upon each phenological event. We also need to examine the constraints that other aspects of an organisms's life cycle may place upon potential phenological changes. Future phenological studies need to consider both why an organism responds to a particular environment by changing its phenological pattern rather than by changing some other attribute and how the genetic and the epigenetic background constrain the direction and degree of adaptation.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Both authors contributed equally to this review and hope that there are no misinterpretations of cited research. Space did not permit the inclusion of all pertinent literature. The authors gratefully acknowledge the following colleagues for their helpful comments on previous drafts of this review: C. Augspurger, P. Bierzychudek, J. Bronstein, J. Fry, D. Goldberg, D. Gorchov, J. Harper, D. Inouye, D. Karowe, T. Meagher, D. Rabinowitz, D. Roach, D. Simberloff, and M. Willson.

#### Literature Cited

- Aalders, L. E., Hall, I. V. 1961. Pollen incompatibility and fruit set in low bush blueberries. Can. J. Genet. Cytol. 3: 300-7
- Aalders, L. E., Hall, I. V., Forsyth, F. R. 1969. Effects of partial defoliation and light intensity on fruit-set and berry development in the low bush blueberry. Hartic Res. 9:124-29
- Hortic. Res. 9:124–29
  3. Abbott, R. J. 1976. Variation within common groundsel Senecio vulgaris L. I. Genetic response to spatial variations of the environment. New Physol. 76:153–64
- Abul-Fatih, H. A., Bazzaz, F. A. 1979. The biology of Ambrosia trifida L. II. Germination, emergence, growth, and survival. New Phytol. 83:817-27
- Aitken, Y. 1966. Flower initiation in relation to maturity in crop plants. III. The flowering response of early and late cereal varieties to Australian environments. Aust. J. Agric. Res. 17:1–15
- Akeroyd, J. R., Briggs, D. 1983. Genealogical studies of *Rumex crispus* L. I. Garden experiments using transplanted material. *New Phytol*. 94:309–23
- Allard, R. W. 1953. Inheritance of four morphological characters in lima beans. *Hilgardia* 22:383–89
- Hilgardia 22:383–898. Alvim, P. de T., Alvim, R. 1978. See Ref. 263, pp. 445–64
- Anderson, R. C., Schelfhout, S. 1980. Phenological patterns among tallgrass prairie plants and their implications for pollinator competition. Am. Midl. Nat. 104:253–63
- Angevine, M. W., Chabot, B. F. 1979. Seed germination syndromes in higher plants. In *Topics in Plant Population Bi*ology, ed. O. T. Solbrig, S. Jain, G. B. Johnson, P. H. Raven, pp. 188–206. New York: Columbia Univ. Press. 589 pp.
- Antonovics, J., Bradshaw, A. D. 1970. Evolution in closely adjacent plant pop-

- ulations. VII. Clinal patterns at a mine boundary. *Heredity* 25:349–62
- Arakawa, H. 1955. Twelve centuries of blooming dates of the cherry blossoms at the city of Kyoto and its own vicinity. Geofis. Pura Applicata-Milano 30:147– 50
- Arthur, A. E., Gale, J. S., Lawrence, M. J. 1973. Variation in wild populations of Papaver dubium. VII. Germination time. Heredity 30:189-97
- Augspurger, C. K. 1980. Massflowering of a tropical shrub (Hybanthus prunifolius): Influence on pollinator attraction and movement. Evolution 34: 475–88
- Augspurger, C. K. 1981. Reproductive synchrony of a tropical shrub: experimental studies on effects of pollinators and seed predators on *Hybanthus* prunifolius (Violaceae). Ecology 62: 775–88
- Augspurger, C. K. 1982. See Ref. 147, pp. 133–50
- Augspurger, C. K. 1983. Seed dispersal of the tropical tree, *Platypodium elegans*, and the escape of its seedlings from fungal pathogens. *J. Ecol.* 71:759–71
- Baily, C. H., Hough, L. F. 1959. A hypothesis for the inheritance of scason of ripening in progenies from certain early ripening peach varieties and selections. *Proc. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci.* 73:125–33
- 19. Baker, K. A. 1972. See Ref. 137, pp. 317-416
- Baskin, J. M., Baskin, C. C. 1972. Influence of germination date on survival and seed production in a natural population of *Leavenworthia stylosa*. Am. Midl. Nat. 88:318–23
- Baskin, J. M., Baskin, C. C. 1973. Plant population differences in dormancy and germination characteristics of seeds: Heredity or environment. Am. Midl. Nat. 90:493–98

- Baskin, J. M., Baskin, C. C. 1983. Germination ecology of Veronica arvensis. J. Ecol. 71:57–68
- Bawa, K. S. 1977. The reproductive biology of *Cupania guatemalensis* Radlk. (Sapindaceae). *Evolution* 31:56-63
- 24. Bawa, K. S. 1983. See Ref. 126, pp. 394–410
- Beatley, J. C. 1974. Phenological events and their environmental triggers in Mojave Desert ecosystems. *Ecology* 55:856-63
- Bell, K. L., Hiatt, H. D., Niles, W. E. 1979. Seasonal changes in biomass allocation in eight winter annuals of the Mojave Desert. J. Ecol. 17:781–87
- Benkman, C. W., Balda, R. P., Smith, C. C. 1984. Adaptations for seed dispersal and the compromises due to seed predation in limber pine. *Ecology* 65:632-42
- Bierzychudek, P. 1981. Asclepias, Lantana, and Epidendrum: A floral mimicry complex. Biotropica 13:54–58 (Suppl.)
- Black, J. N., Wilkinson, G. N. 1963.
   The role of time of emergence in determining the growth of individual plants in swards of subterranean clover (*Trifolium subterraneum L.*). Aust. J. Agric. Res. 14:628–38
- Bonner, J. T. 1965. Size and Cycle. Princeton, NJ: Princeton Univ. Press. 219 pp.
- Bonner, J. T. ed. 1982. Evolution and Development. Berlin/NY: Springer-Verlag. 356 pp.
- Borchert, R. 1983. Phenology and control of flowering in tropical trees. *Biotropica* 15:81–89
- Boucher, D. H. 1979. Seed predation and dispersal by mammals in a tropical dryforest. PhD thesis. Univ. Mich., Ann Arbor. 211 pp.
- Bradshaw, A. D. 1963. Evolutionary significance of phenotypic plasticity in plants. Adv. Genet. 13:115–55
- Breedlove, D. E., Ehrlich, P. R. 1968. Plant-herbivore coevolution: lupines and lycaenids. *Science* 162:671–72
- Brown, J. H., Kodric-Brown, A. 1979. Convergence, competition and mimicry in a temperate community or hummingbird-pollinated flowers. *Ecology* 60: 1022–35
- Bullock, S. H., Bawa, K. S. 1981. Sexual dimorphism and the annual flowering pattern in *Jacaratia dolichaula* (D. Smith) Woodson (Caricaceae) in a Costa Rican rain forest. *Ecology* 62:1494–1504
- Bullock, S. H., Beach, J. H., Bawa, K. S. 1983. Episodic flowering and sexual dimorphism in *Guarea rhopalocarpa* in a Costa Rican rain forest. *Ecology* 64:851– 61

- Burdon, J. J. 1980. Intra-specific diversity in the natural population of *Trifolium* repens. J. Ecol. 68:717–36
- Carey, K. 1983. Breeding system, genetic variability, and response to selection in *Plestrites* (Valerianaceae). *Evolution* 37:947–56
- 41. Carpenter, F. L. 1983. See Ref. 126, pp. 215–34
- Cavers, P. B., Harper, J. L. 1966. Germination polymorphism in Rumex crispus and Rumex obtusifolius. J. Ecol. 54:367-82
- Chabot, B. F., Hicks, D. J. 1982. The ecology of leaf life span. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 13:229-59
- Chan, H. T., Appanah, S. 1980. Reproductive biology of some Malaysian dipterocarps. I. Flowering biology. *Malay*. For. 43:132–43
- Chiariello, N., Roughgarden, J. 1984. Storage allocation in seasonal races of an annual plant: optimal versus actual allocation. *Ecology* 65:1290–1301
- Clark, D. D., Burk, J. H. 1980. Resource allocation patterns of two California-Sonoran desert ephemerals. *Oecologia* 46:86-91
- 47. Clarke, H. L. 1893. The philosophy of flowering seasons. *Am. Nat.* 27:769–81
- Clausen, J., Hiesey, W. M. 1958. Experimental studies on the nature of species. IV. Genetic structure of ecological races. Carnegie Inst. Wash. Pub. No. 615
- Cohen, D. 1966. Optimizing reproduction in a randomly varying environment. J. Theor. Biol. 12:119–29
- Cohen, D. 1971. Maximizing final yield when growth is limited by time or by limiting resources. J. Theor. Biol. 33: 299–307
- Cohen, D. 1976. The optimal timing of reproduction. Am. Nat. 110:801–7
- Cole, B. J. 1981. Overlap, regularity, and flowering phenologies. Am. Nat. 117:993-97
- Cook, R. E. 1980. Germination and size dependent mortality in Viola blanda. Oecologia 47:115–17
- Cooper, J. P. 1960. Selection and population structure in *Lolium*. IV. Correlated response to selection. *Heredity* 14:229–46
- Crane, M. B., Brown, A. G. 1942. The causal sequence of fruit development. *J. Genet.* 44:160–68
- Croat, T. B. 1969. Seasonal flowering behavior in central Panama. Ann. Mo. Bot. Gard. 56:295–307
- 57. Croat, T. B. 1975. Phenological behavior of habit and habitat classes on Bar-

- ro Colorado Island (Panama Canal Zone). Biotropica 7:270-77
- 58. Crouch, P. A., Vanderkloet, S. P. 1980. Variation in seed characters in populations of Vaccinium and Cyanococcus (the Blueberries) in relation to latitude. Can. J. Bot. 58:84-90
- 59. Dempsey, W. H., Boynton, J. E. 1965. Effect of seed number on tomato fruit size and maturity. Proc. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci. 86:575-81
- 60. Dolan, R. W., Sharitz, R. R. 1984. Population dynamics of *Ludwigia leptocarpa* (Onagraceae) and some factors affecting size hierarchies in a natural population. J. Ecol. 72:1031-41
- 61. Dorne, A. J. 1981. Variation in seed germination inhibition of Chenopodium bonusheriricus in relation to altitude of plant growth. Can. J. Bot. 59:1893-1901
- Dorr, J., Martin, E. C. 1966. Pollination studies on the high bush blueberry Vaccinium corymbosum L. Q. Bull. Mich. St.
- Univ., Agric. Exp. Stn. 48:437-48
  63. El-Agamy, S. Z. A., Lyrene, P. M., Sherman, W. B. 1979. Effect of mating system on time of ripening and fruit weight in blueberry. Proc. Fla. St. Hortic. Soc. 92:258-59
- 64. Ellstrand, N. 1983. Why are juveniles smaller than their parents? Evolution 37:1091–94
- 65. Erickson, E. H. 1983. See Ref. 126, pp. 493–535
- 66. Estes, J., Amos, B. B., Sullivan, J. R.
- 1983. See Ref. 126, pp. 536-54 67. Evans, L. T. 1975. Daylength and Flowering of Plants. Menlo Park, Calif:
- Benjamin. 122 pp. 68. Evans, L. T. 1980. The natural history of crop yield. Am. Sci. 68:388-97
- 69. Fagerstrom, T., Agren, G. I. 1980. Phenological spread in plants: a result of adaptations to environmental stochasticity? Vegetatio 43:83-86
- 70. Ďeleteď in proof 71. Feinsinger, P. 1978. Ecological interactions between plants and hummingbirds in a successional tropical communi-
- ty. Ecol. Monogr. 48:269-87
  72. Feinsinger, P. 1983. Coevolution and pollination. In *Coevolution*, ed. D. J. Futuyma, M. Slatkin, pp. 282-310. Sunderland, Mass: Sinauer
- Fischer, R. A., Turner, N. C. 1978. Plant productivity in the arid and semi-arid zones. Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. 29:277-
- 74. Ford, H. A. 1979. Interspecific competition in Australian honeyeaters---depletion of common resources. Aust. J. Ecol. 4:145-64

- Foster, R. B. 1982. See Ref. 147, pp. 151 - 72
- 76. Foster, R. B. 1982. See Ref. 147, pp. 201 - 12
- 77. Fox, J. F. 1974. Coevolution of white oak and its seed predators. PhD thesis. Univ. Chicago. 83 pp.
- 78. Frankel, R., Galun, E. 1977. Pollination Mechanisms, Reproduction and Plant Breeding. Berlin: Springer-Verlag. 281 pp.
- 79. Frankie, G. W., Baker, H. G., Opler, P. A. 1974. Comparative phenological studies of trees in tropical wet and dry forests in the lowlands of Costa Rica. J. Ecol. 62:881-913
- 80. Frankie, G. W., Haber, W. A. 1983. See Ref. 126, pp. 369-74
- 81. Free, J. B. 1976. Insect Pollination of Crops. London/New York: Academic. 544 pp.
- 82. French, A. P. 1951. The peach: Inheritance of time of ripening and other economic characters. Mass. Agric. Exp. Stn. Bull. 462: 31 pp.
- 83. Gale, J. S., Arthur, A. E. 1972. Variation in wild populations of Papaver dubium. IV. A survey of variation. Heredity 28:91-100
- 84. Garbutt, K., Bazzaz, F. A. 1983. Leaf demography, flower production and biomass of diploid and tetraploid populations of Phlox drummondii Hook. on a soil moisture gradient. New Phytol. 93:129-42
- Garwood, N. C. 1983. Seed germination in a seasonal tropical forest in Panama: a study. Ecol. community Monogr. 53:159-81
- 86. Gentry, A. H. 1974. Flowering phenology and diversity in tropical Bignoniaceae. Biotropica 6:64–8
- 87. Ginsberg, H. S. 1981. Historical development of bee foraging patterns in central New York State. Psyche 88:337-
- 88. Gleeson, S. K. 1981. Character displacement in flowering phenologies. Oecologia 51:294-95
- 89. Gottlieb, L. D. 1977. Genotype similarity of large and small individuals in a natural population of the annual plant Stephanomeria exigua ssp. coronaria (Compositae). J. Ecol. 65:127-34
- 90. Gould, S. J. 1977. Ontogeny and Phylogeny. Cambridge: Harvard Univ. Press
- Grainger, J. 1939. Studies upon the time of flowering of plants: Anatomical, floristic and phenological aspects of the problem. Ann. Appl. Biol. 26:684-704
- 92. Grant, K. A., Grant, V. 1968. Hummingbirds and Their Flowers. New York: Columbia Univ. Press. 115 pp.

- Green, T. W., Palmbald, I. G. 1975. Effect of insect seed predators on Astragulus cibarius and Astragulus utahensis (Leguminosae). Ecology 56:1435-40
- 94. Grime, J. P. 1979. Plant Strategies and Vegetation Processes. New York: Wiley. 222 pp.
- Gross, K. L. 1984. Effects of seed size and growth form on seedling establishment of six monocarpic perennials. J. Ecol. 72:369–88
- Gross, R. S., Werner, P. A. 1983. Relationships among flowering phenology, insect visitors, and seed set of individuals: experimental studies on four cooccurring species of goldenrod (Solidago: Compositae). Ecol. Monogr. 53: 95–117
- Gutterman, Y. 1980/81. Influences on seed germinability: phenotypic maternal effects during seed maturation. *Isr. J. Bot.* 29:105–17
- Gutterman, Y. 1982. Phenotypic maternal effect of photoperiod on seed germination. In The Physiology and Biochemistry of Seed Development, Dormancy and Germination, ed. A. A. Kahn, pp. 67-80. New York: Elsevier Biomedical. 547 pp.
   Haddock, R. C., Chaplin, S. J. 1982.
- Haddock, R. C., Chaplin, S. J. 1982.
   Pollination and seed production in two phenologically divergent prairie legumes (Baptisia leucophaea and B. leucantha).
   Am. Midl. Nat. 108:175–86
- Harper, J. L. 1965. Establishment, aggression and cohabitation in weedy species. In *Genetics of Colonizing Species*, cd. H. G. Baker, G. Ledyard Stebbins, pp. 245-66. New York: Academic. 588 pp.
- 101. Harper, J. L. 1977. *Population Biology of Plants*. London: Academic. 892 pp.
- 102. Harper, J. L., Landragin, P. A., Ludwig, J. W. 1955. The influence of environment on seed and seedling mortality. II. The pathogenic potential of the soil. New Phytol. 54:119–31
- Harper, J. L., McNaughton, I. H. 1960. The inheritance of dormancy in inter- and intra-specific hybrids of *Papaver*. *Hered*ity. 15:315–20
- 104. Harper, J. L., Ogden, J. 1970. The reproductive strategy of higher plants. I. The concept of strategy with special reference to Senecio vulgaris L. J. Ecol. 58:681–98
- Harris, W. 1970. Genecological aspects of flowering and vegetative reproduction in *Rumex acetosella L. NZ J. Bot.* 8:99– 113
- Hartnett, D. C., Abrahamson, W. G. 1979. The effects of stem scale insects on life history patterns in Solidago canadensis. Ecology 60:910-17

- Heithaus, E. R., Fleming, T. H., Opler, P. A. 1975. Foraging patterns and resource utilization in seven species of bats in a seasonal tropical forest. *Ecology* 56:841–54
- Herrera, C. M. 1981. Fruit variation and competition for dispersers in natural populations of Smilax aspera. Oikos 36:51–
- Herrera, C. M. 1982a. Defense of ripe fruit from pests: its significance in relation to plant-disperser interactions. Am. Nat. 120:218-41
- Herrera, C. M. 1982b. Seasonal variation in the quality of fruits and diffuse coevolution between plants and avian dispersers. *Ecology* 63:773–85
- Herrera, C. M. 1984. A study of avian frugivores, bird-dispersed plants, and their interactions in Mediterranean scrublands. *Ecol. Monogr.* 54:1–23
- Heslop-Harrison, J. 1964. Forty years of genecology. Adv. Ecol. Res. 2:159–247
- Heydecker, W. 1973. Seed Ecology. University Park, Penn. Penn. St. Univ. Press. 578 pp.
- Press. 578 pp.
  114. Hillman, W. S. 1962. *The Physiology of Flowering*. NY: Holt, Rinehart, Winston. 164 pp.
- 115. Hodgkinson, K. C., Quinn, J. A. 1978. Environmental and genetic control of reproduction in *Danthonia caespitosa* populations. *Aust. J. Bot.* 26:351-64
- 116. Howe, H. F. 1979. Fear and frugivory. *Am. Nat.* 114:925–31
- Howe, H. F., Estabrook, G. F. 1977. On intraspecific competition for avian dispersers in tropical trees. Am. Nat. 111:817–32
- 118. Howe, H. F., Primack, R. B. 1975. Differential seed dispersal by birds of the tree *Casearia nitida* (Flacourtiaceae). *Biotropica* 7:278–83
- Howe, H. F., Smallwood, J. 1982. Ecology of seed dispersal. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 13:201–28
- 120. Howell, N. 1981. The effect of seed size and relative emergence time on fitness in a natural population of *Impatiens capen*sis Meerb. (Balsaminaceae). Am. Midl. Nat. 105:312–20
- Hurlbert, S. H. 1970. Flower number, flowering time and reproductive isolation among ten species of *Solidago* (Compositae). *Bull. Torrey Bot. Club* 97:189– 05
- Janzen, D. H. 1967. Synchronization of sexual reproduction of trees within the dry season in Central America. Evolution 21:620-37
- Janzen, D. H. 1971. Seed predation by animals. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 2:465– 92

- 124. Janzen, D. H. 1978. See Ref. 263, pp. 83-128
- 125. Janzen, D. H. 1979. How to be a fig. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 10:13-51
- 126. Jones, C. E., Little, R. J., eds. 1983. Handbook of Experimental Pollination Biology. New York: Van Nostrand. 558
- 127. Jones, M. E. 1971. The population structure of Arabidopsis thaliana. I. The breeding system. Heredity 27:39-50
- 128. Jones, M. E. 1971. The population structure of Arabidopsis thaliana. II. Population structure. Heredity 27:51-58
- Jurik, T. W. 1983. Reproductive effort and CO2 dynamics of wild strawberry opulations. Ecology 64:1329-42
- 130. Kaplan, R. H., Cooper, W. S. 1984. The evolution of developmental plasticity in reproductive characteristics: an application of the "adaptive coin-flipping" principle. Am. Nat. 123:393-410
- 131. Karr, J. R. 1976. Seasonality, resource availability, and community diversity in tropical bird communities. Am. Nat. 1 10:973–94
- 132. Karssen, C. M. 1982. Seasonal patterns of dormancy in weed seeds. In The Physiology and Biochemistry of Seed Development, Dormancy and Germination, ed. A. A. Khan, pp. 243-70. Amsterdam/New York: Elsevier Biomedical
- 133. Kaur, A., Ha, C. O., Jong, K., Sands, V. E., Chan, H. T., et al. 1978. Apomixis may be widespread among trees of the
- climax rain forest. Nature 271:440-42 134. King, D., Roughgarden, J. 1982. Multiple switches between vegetative and reproductive growth in annual plants. Theor. Popul. Biol. 21:194–204
- King, D., Roughgarden, J. 1983. Energy allocation patterns of the California grassland annuals Plantago erecta and Clarkia rubicunda. Ecology 64:16-24
- 136. Koller, D. 1972. See Ref. 137, pp. 2-101
- 137. Kozlowski, T. T., ed. 1972. Seed Biology. NY: Academic. 447 pp.
- 138. Lacey, E. P. 1980. The influence of hygroscopic movement on seed dispersal in Daucus carota (Apiaccae). Oecologia 47:100-14
- 139. Lacey, E. P. 1982. Timing of seed dispersal in Daucus carota L. (Apicaeae). Oikos 39:83–91
- 140. Lacey, E. P., Pace, R. 1983. Effect of parental flowering and dispersal times on offspring fate in Daucus carota. Oecologia 60:274-78
- 141. Lande, R. 1982. A quantitative genetic theory of life history evolution. *Ecology* 63:607–15
- 142. Lang, A. 1965. Physiology of flower initiation. In Encyclopedia of Plant

- Physiology, ed. W. Ruhland, pp. 1380-1536. Berlin: Springer
- 143. Langlet, O. 1971. Two hundred years of
- genecology. Taxon 20:653-722 144. Larcher, W. 1980. Ecological Plant Physiology. Berlin: Springer
- 145. Lawrence, C. W. 1963. Genetic studies on wild populations of *Melandrium*. II. Flowering time and plant weight. Heredity 18:149-64
- 146. Lechowicz, M. J. 1984. Why do temperate deciduous trees leaf out at different times? Adaptation and ecology of forest communities. Am. Nat. 124:821-42
- 147. Leigh, E. G. Jr., Rand, A. S., Windsor, D. M., eds. 1982. The Ecology of a Neotropical Forest: Seasonal Rhythms and Longer-term Changes. Washington, DC: Smithsonian. 469 pp
- 148. Leonard, E. R. 1962. Inter-relations of vegetative and reproductive growth, with special reference to indeterminate plants. Bot. Rev. 28:353-410
- 149. Levin, D. A. 1971. The origin of reproductive isolating mechanisms in flowering plants. Taxon 20:91-113
- 150. Lieberman, D. 1982. Seasonality and phenology in a dry forest in Ghana. J. Ecol. 70:791-806
- 151. Lieth, H., ed. 1974. Phenology and Seasonality Modeling. Ecological studies: Analysis and Synthesis, Vol. 8. NY: Springer-Verlag
- 152. Linhart, Y. B. 1973. Ecological and behavioral determinants of pollen dispersal in hummingbird-pollinated Heliconia. Am. Nat. 107:511-23
- 153. Linhart, Y. B. 1974. Intra-population differentiation in annual plants. I. Veronica peregrina L. raised under nonconditions. competitive Evolution 28:232-43
- 154. Lloyd, D. G., Webb, C. J. 1977. Secondary sex characters in plants. Bot Rev. 43:177-216
- 155. Lyrene, P. M. 1943. Components of ripening in Rabbiteye blueberry. Hort-Science 18:221–3
- 156. Mack, R. N., Pyke, D. A. 1983. The demography of Bromus tectorum: variation in time and space. J. Ecol. 71:69-94
- 157. Manasse, R. S., Howe, H. F. 1983. Competition for dispersal agents among tropical trees: influences of neighbors. Oecologia 59:185-90
- 158. Marks, M., Prince, S. 1981. Influence of germination date on survival and fecundity in wild lettuce Lactuca serriola. Oikos 36:326-30
- 159. Mather, K. 1943. Polygenic inheritance and natural selection. Biol. Rev. 18:32-
- 160. Mayer, A. M., ed. 1980/81. Control

- mechanisms in seed germination. Isr. J. Bot. 29:1-322
- 161. McGraw, J. B., Antonovics, J. 1983. Experimental ecology of *Dryas octopeta-la* ecotypes. I. Ecotypic differentiation and life-cycle stages of selection. *J. Ecol.* 71:879–98
- 162. McIntyre, G. I., Best, K. F. 1975. Studies on the flowering of *Thlaspi arvense* L. II. A comparative study of early- and late-flowering strains. *Bot. Gaz.* 136: 151-58
- 163. McIntyre, G. I., Best, K. F. 1978. Studies on the flowering of *Thlaspi arvense* L. IV. Genetic and ecological differences between early- and late-flowering strains. *Bot. Gaz.* 139:190-95
- 164. McKey, D. 1975. The ecology of coevolved seed dispersal systems. In Coevolution of Animals and Plants, ed. L. E. Gilbert, P. H. Raven. Austin, Tex: Univ. Tex. Press
- McNaughton, S. J. 1966. Ecotype function in the *Typha* community-type. *Ecol. Monogr.* 36:297–325
- McNeilly, T., Antonovics, J. 1968. Evolution in closely adjacent plant populations. IV. Barriers to gene flow. Heredity 23:205–18
- McWilliams, E. L., Landers, R. Q., Mahlstede, J. P. 1968. Variation in seed weight and germination in populations of Amaranthus retroflexus L. Ecology 49: 290-96
- 168. Meagher, T. R., Antonovics, J. 1982. The population biology of Chamaelirium luteum, a dioecious member of the lily family: life history studies. Ecology 63: 1690-1702
- Medway, Lord. 1972. Phenology of a tropical rain forest in Malaya. Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 4:117–46
- Milton, K., Windsor, D. M., Morrison, D. W., Estribi, M. A. 1982. Fruiting phenologies of two neotropical *Ficus* species. *Ecology* 63:752-62
- 171. Monasterio, M., Sarmiento, G. 1976. Phenological strategies of plant species in the tropical savannah and the semideciduous forest of the Venezuelan llanos. J. Biogeogr. 3:325-56
- nos. J. Biogeogr. 3:325-56
  172. Morley, F. H. W. 1958. The inheritance and ecological significance of seed dormancy in subterranean clover (Trifolium subterraneum L.). Aust. J. Biol. Sci. 11:261-74
- 173. Morley, F. H. W., Davern, C. I. 1956. Flowering time in subterranean clover. *Aust. J. Agric. Res.* 7:388–400
- Morton, E. S. 1972. On the evolutionary advantages and disadvantages in tropical birds. Am. Nat. 107:8–22
- 175. Mosquin, T. 1971. Competition for polli-

- nators as a stimulus for the evolution of flowering time. *Oikos* 22:398–402
- Motten, A. F. 1983. Reproduction of Erythronium unbilicatum (Liliaceae): pollination success and pollinator effectiveness. Oecologia 59:351-59
- Motten, A. F., Campbell, D. R., Alexander, D. E., Miller, H. L. 1981. Pollination effectiveness of specialist and generalist visitors to a North Carolina population of Claytonia virginica. Ecology 62:1278–87
- Murfet, I. C. 1977. Environmental interaction and the genetics of flowering. Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. 28:253-78
- 179. Naylor, R. E. L. 1980. Effects of seed size and emergence time on subsequent growth of perennial ryegrass. New Phytol. 84:313–8
- Nebel, B. R. 1936. Metaxenia in apples. J. Hered. 27:345–9
- Newman, E. I. 1965. Factors controlling the germination date of winter annuals. J. Ecol. 51:625–38
- Ng, F. S. P. 1978. See Ref. 263, pp. 129-62
- 183. Deleted in proof
- Nitsch, J. P. 1971. See Ref. 247, pp. 413–501
- Nixon, R. W. 1928. Immediate influence of pollen in determining the size and time of ripening of the fruit of the date palm. J. Hered. 19:241-55
- 186. Opler, P. A., Frankie, G. W., Baker, H. G. 1976. Rainfall as a factor in the release, timing, and synchronization of anthesis by tropical trees and shrubs. J. Biogeogr. 3:231-6
- 187. Opler, P. A., Frankie, G. W., Baker, H. G. 1980. Comparative phenological studies of treelet and shrub species in tropical wet and dry forests in the low-lands of Costa'Rica. J. Ecol. 68:167-88
- 188. Paltridge, G. W., Denholm, J. V. 1974. Plant yield and the switch from vegetative to reproductive growth. J. Theor. Biol. 44:23-34
- Parrish, J. A. D., Bazzaz, F. A. 1979.
   Difference in pollination niche relationships in early and late successional plant communities. *Ecology* 60:597-610
- 190. Paterniani, E. 1969. Selection for reproductive isolation between two populations of maize, *Zea mays* L. *Evolution* 23:534-47
- Peebles, R. H., Hope, C. 1937. The influence of different pollens on the development of the pistache nut. *Proc. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci.* 34:29–32
- 192. Pitelka, L. F. 1977. Energy allocation in annual and perennial lupines (*Lupinus:* Leguminosae). *Ecology* 58:1055-65
- 193. Pleasants, J. M. 1980. Competition for

- bumblebee pollinators in Rocky Mountain plant communities. *Ecology* 61: 1146–49
- Podolsky, A. S. 1984. New Phenology. NY: Wiley. 504 pp.
- Pojar, J. 1974. Reproductive dynamics of four plant communities of southwestern British Columbia. *Can. J. Bot.* 52: 1819–34
- 196. Poole, R. W., Rathcke, B. J. 1979. Regularity, randomness, and aggregation in flowering phenologies. *Science* 203: 470-1
- Powell, E. A., Jones, C. E. 1983. See Ref. 126, pp. 310–29
- 198. Pratt, T. K., Stiles, E. W. 1983. How long fruit-eating birds stay in the plants where they feed: implications for seed dispersal. Am. Nat. 122:797–805
- Primack, R. B. 1979. Reproductive effort in annual and perennial species of *Plantago* (Plantaginaceae). Am. Nat. 114:51–62
- Primack, R. B. 1980. Variation in the phenology of natural populations of montane shrubs in New Zealand. *J. Ecol.* 68:849–62
- Primack, R. B. 1984. Patterns of flowering phenology in communities, populations, individuals, and single flowers.
   In *Population Structure of Vegetation*, ed. J. White. In press
- ed. J. White. In press 202. Primack, R. B., Antonovics, J. 1982. Experimental ecological genetics in *Plantago*. VII. Reproductive effort in populations of *P. lanceolata* L. *Evolution* 36:742–52
- Putz, F. E. 1979. Aseasonality in Malaysia tree phenology. *Malay. For.* 42:1–24
- Pyke, G. H. 1983. Seasonal pattern of abundance of honeyeaters and their resources in heathland areas near Sydney. *Aust. J. Ecol.* 8:217–33
- Quinlivan, B. J. 1971. Seed coat impermeability in legumes. J. Aust. Inst. Agric. Sci. 31:283-95
- 2•6. Quinn, J. A., Colosi, J. C. 1977. Separating genotype from environment in germination ecology studies. Am. Midl. Nat. 97:484–89
- Rabinowitz, D., Rapp, J. K., Sork, V. L., Rathcke, B. J., Reese, G. A., Weaver, J. C. 1981. Phenological properties of windand insect-pollinated prairie plants. *Ecology* 62:49–56
- Rathcke, B. 1983. Competition and facilitation among plants for pollination. In *Pollination Biology*, ed. L. Real, pp. 305–29. New York: Academic. 338 pp.
- Rathcke, B. 1984. Patterns of flowering phenologies: testability and causal inference using a random model. In Eco-

- logical Communities: Conceptual Issues and the Evidence, ed. D. R. Strong, Jr., D. Simberloff, L. G. Abele, A. B. Thistle, pp. 383–93. Princeton, NJ: Princeton Univ. Press. 374 pp.
- Univ. Press. 374 pp.
  210. Raynal, D. J., Bazzaz, F. A. 1975. Interference of winter annuals with *Ambrosia artemisifolia* in early successional fields. *Ecology* 56:35–49
- Reader, R. J. 1982. Variation in the flowering date of transplanted ericaceous herbs in relation to their flowering season. *J. Biogeogr.* 9:397–410
- Reader, R. J. 1983. Using heat sum models to account for geographic variation in the floral phenology of two ericaceous shrubs. J. Biogeogr. 10:47–64
- Ritland, K. 1983. The joint evolution of seed dormancy and flowering time in annual plants living in variable environments. Theor. Popul. Biol. 24:213– 243
- Roach, D. A. 1984. Ecological genetics of life-history characteristics in Geranium carolinianum. PhD thesis. Duke Univ., Durham, NC
- 215. Roberts, E. H. 1975. Problems of long-term storage of seed and pollen for genetic resources conservation. In Crop Genetic Resources for Today and Tomorrow, ed. O. H. Frankel, J. G. Hawkes, pp. 265–95. Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press. 492 pp.
- Roberts, H. A., Neilson, J. E. 1982. Seasonal changes in the temperature requirements for germination of buried seeds of *Aphanes arvensis* L. *New Phytol.* 92: 159–66
- Robertson, C. 1895. The philosophy of flower seasons, and the phaenological relations of the entomophilous flora and the anthophilous insect fauna. Am. Nat. 29: 97–117
- Ross, M. A., Harper, J. L. 1972. Occupation of biological space during seedling establishment. *J. Ecol.* 60:77–88
- Rowe, J. S. 1964. Environmental preconditioning, with special reference to forestry. *Ecology* 45:399–403
- Schaal, B. A. 1984. Life-history variation, natural selection, and maternal effects in plant populations. In Perspectives in Plant Population Ecology, ed. R. Dirzo, J. Sarukhan, pp. 188–206. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer
   Schaffer, W. H. 1977. Some observa-
- Schaffer, W. H. 1977. Some observations on the evolution of reproductive rate and competitive ability in flowering plants. *Theor. Popul. Biol.* 11:90–104
- 222. Schaffer, W. M., Inouye, R. S., Whitham, T. S. 1982. Energy allocation by an annual plant when the effects of

- seasonality on growth and reproduction are decoupled. Am. Nat. 120:787-815
- Schemske, D. W. 1977. Flowering phenology and seed set in Claytonia virginica (Portulacaceae). Bull. Torrey Bot. Club 104:254-63
- Schemske, D. W. 1981. Floral convergence and pollinator sharing in two bee-pollinated tropical herbs. *Ecology* 62:946-54
- Schemske, D. W. 1984. Population structure and local selection in *Impatiens* pallida (Balsaminaceae), a selfing annual. Evolution 38:817–32
- Schemske, D. W., Willson, M. R., Melampy, M. N., Miller, L. J., Verner, L., et al. 1978. Flowering ecology of some spring woodland herbs. *Ecology* 59:351-66
- Schmitt, J. 1983. Individual flowering phenology, plant size, and reproductive success in *Linanthus androsaceus*, a California annual. *Oecologia* 59:135–40
- 228. Schwabe, W. W. 1971. See Ref. 247, pp. 233-241
- Silvertown, J. W. 1980. Leaf-canopy induced seed dormancy in a grassland flora. New Phytol. 85:109-18
- Silvertown, J. W. 1982. Introduction to Plant Population Ecology. London/NY Longman. 209 pp.
   Silvertown, J. W. 1984. Phenotypic vari-
- Silvertown, J. W. 1984. Phenotypic variety in seed germination behavior: the strategy and evolution of somatic polymorphism in seeds. Am. Nat. 124:1–16
- Simmonds, N. W. 1964. The genetics of seed and tuber dormancy in the cultivated potatoes. *Heredity* 14:489–504
- Šlade, N. A., Horton, J. S., Mooney, H. A. 1975. Yearly variation in the phenology of California annuals. Am. Midl. Nat. 94:209-14
- Smith, C. C. 1970. The coevolution of pine squirrels (*Tamiasciurus*) and conifers. *Ecol. Monogr.* 40:349–71
- Smithberg, M. H., Weiser, C. J. 1968. Patterns of variation among climatic races of red-osier dogwood. *Ecology* 49:495-505
- Smythe, N. 1970. Relationships between fruiting seasons and seed dispersal methods in a neotropical forest. Am. Nat. 104:25-35
- Snow, D. W. 1965. A possible selective factor in the evolution of fruiting seasons in tropical forests. *Oikos* 15:274-81
- Snow, D. W. 1971. Evolutionary aspects of fruit-eating by birds. *Ibis* 113:194– 202
- 239. Solbrig, O. T. 1981. Studies on the population biology of the genus Viola. II. The effect of plant size on fitness in Viola soronia. Evolution 35:1080-93

- Sork, V. L. 1983. Mammalian seed dispersal of pignut hickory during three fruiting seasons. *Ecology* 64:1049-56
- Sork, V. L., Boucher, D. H. 1977. Dispersal of sweet pignut hickory in a year of low fruit production, and the effect of predation by a curculionid beetle. *Oecologia* 28:289–99
- Stanton, M. L. 1984. Seed variation in wild radish: effect of seed size on components of seedling and adult fitness. *Ecology* 65:1105-12
- Stapanian, M. A. 1982. Evolution of fruiting strategies among fleshy-fruited plant species of eastern Kansas. *Ecology* 63:1422-31
- 244. Stebbins, G. L. 1950. Variation and Evolution in Plants. NY: Columbia Univ. Press. 643 pp.
- Stephenson, A. G. 1981. Flower and fruit abortion: proximate causes and ultimate functions. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 12:253-79
- 246. Stephenson, A. G. 1982. When does outcrossing occur in a mass-flowering plant? Evolution 36:762-67
- Steward, F. C., ed. 1971. Plant Physiology: A Treatise. NY: Academic
- Stiles, E. W. 1980. Patterns of fruit presentation and seed dispersal in birddisseminated woody plants in the eastern deciduous forest. Am. Nat. 116:670–86
- Stiles, F. G. 1975. Ecology, flowering phenology, and hummingbird pollination of some Costa Rican Heliconia species. Ecology 56:285 301.
- Ecology 56:285-301
  250. Stiles, F. G. 1977. Coadapted competitors: the flowering seasons of hummingbird pollinated plants in a tropical forest. Science 198:1177-8
- Struick, G. L. 1965. Growth patterns of some native annual and perennial herbs in southern Wisconsin. *Ecology* 46:401–20
- Tepedino, V. J., Stanton, N. L. 1980. Spatio-temporal variation on phenology and abundance of floral resources on shortgrass prairie. Great Basin Nat. 40: 197–215
- Tepedino, V. J., Stanton, N. L. 1981. Diversity and competition in bee-plant communities on short grass prairie. *Oikos* 36:35-44
- Terborgh, J. 1983. Five New World Primates. Princeton, NJ: Princeton Univ. Press. 260 pp.
- 255. Tevis, L. 1958. Germination and growth of ephemerals induced by sprinkling a sandy desert. *Ecology* 39:681-88
- Thompson, J. N. 1981. Elaiosomes and fleshy fruits: phenology and selection pressures for ant-dispersed seeds. Am. Nat. 117:104-08
- 257. Thompson, J. N., Willson, M. F. 1979.

- Evolution of temperate fruit/bird interactions: phenological strategies. *Evolution* 33:973–82
- Thompson, P. A. 1975. Characterization of the germination responses of *Silene dioica* (L.) Clairv. populations from Europe. *Ann. Bot.* 39:1–19
- Thompson, T. E. 1983. Genetic variability in pecan fruit development. HortScience 18:955-57
- Thomson, J. D. 1978. Effect of stand composition on insect visitation in twospecies mixtures of *Hieracium. Am. Midl. Nat.* 100:431-40
- Thomson, J. D. 1980. Skewed flowering distributions and pollinator attraction. *Ecology* 61:572–79
- Thomson, J. D. 1982. Patterns of visitation by animal pollinators. Oikos 39:241–50
- Tomlinson, P. B., Zimmerman, M. H., eds. 1978. Tropical Trees as Living Systems. Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press. 675 pp.
- Tukey, L. D. 1952. Effect of night temperature on growth of the fruit of the sour cherry. Bot. Gaz. 114:155-65
- Van der Pijl, L. 1972. Principles of Dispersal in Higher Plants. Berlin: Springer-Verlag. 162 pp.
- Vasek, F. C., Sauer, R. H. 1971. Seasonal progression of flowering in Clarkia. Ecology 53:1038-45
   Venable, D. L., Lawlor, L. 1980.
- Venable, D. L., Lawlor, L. 1980.
   Germination and dispersal in desert annuals: Escape in space and time. *Oecologia* 46:272–82
- Vileila-Morales, E. A., Sherman, W. B., Wilcox, C. J., Andrews, C. P. 1981. Inheritance of short fruit development period in peach. J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci. 106:399-401
- Waddington, C. H. 1942. Canalization of development and the inheritance of acquired characteristics. *Nature* 150: 563-55
- Warwick, S. I., Briggs, D. 1978. The genecology of lawn weeds. I. Population differentiation in *Poa annua* L. in a mosaic environment of bowling green lawns and flower beds. *New Phytol*. 81:711-23
- 271. Warwick, S. I., Briggs, D. 1978. The genecology of lawn weeds. II. Evidence for disruptive selection in *Poa annua* L. in a mosaic environment of bowling green lawns and flower beds. *New Phytol.* 81:725–37
- Waser, N. M. 1978. Competition for hummingbird pollination and sequential flowering in two Colorado wildflowers. *Ecology* 59:934-44
- 273. Waser, N. M. 1978. Interspecific pollen

- transfer and competition between co-occurring plant species. *Oecologia* 36: 223–36
- Waser, N. M. 1979. Pollinator availability as a determinant of flowering time in Ocotillo (Fouquieria splendens). Oecologia 39:107-21
- 275. Waser, N. M. 1983. See Ref. 126, pp. 277-93
- Waser, N. M., Real, L. A. 1979. Effective mutualism between sequentially flowering plant species. *Nature* 281:670–72
- Watkinson, A. R. 1982. Factors affecting the density response of Vulpia fasciculata. J. Ecol. 70:149-61
- Watson, M. A., Casper, B. B. 1984.
   Morphogenetic constraints on patterns of carbon distribution in plants. *Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* 15:233-58
- Weaver, S. E., Cavers, P. B. 1979. The effects of emergence date and emergence order on seedling survival rates in *Rumex crispus* and *R. obtusifolius. Can. J. Bot.* 57:730–38
- Weis, I. M. 1982. The effects of propagule size on germination and seedling growth Mirabilis hirsuta. Can. J. Bot. 60:1868-74
- Went, F. 1949. Ecology of desert plants.
   II. The effect of rain and temperature on germination and growth. *Ecology* 30:1– 13
- 282. Went, F. W. 1957. The Experimental Control of Plant Growth. Waltham, Mass: Varronica Botanica
- 282a. Westerman, J. M. 1971. Genotypeenvironment interaction and development regulation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. IV. Wild Material; analysis. *Heredity* 26:383-95
- 283. Wheelwright, N. T. 1985. Competition for dispersers, and the timing of flowering and fruiting in a guild of tropical trees. Oikos. In press
- 284. Wheelwright, N. I, Orians, G. H. 1982. Seed dispersal by animals: contrasts with pollen dispersal, problems of terminology, and constraints on evolution. *Am. Nat.* 119:402–13
  285. Whitaker, T. W., McCollum, G. D.
- Whitaker, T. W., McCollum, G. D. 1954. Shattering in lettuce—its inheritance and biological significance. *Bull Torce But Club* 81:104-10
- Bull. Torrey Bot. Club 81:104-10
  286. Whittington, W. J. 1973. Genetic regulation of germination. In Seed Ecology, ed. W. Heydecker, pp. 5-30. Univ. Park: Penn. St. Univ. Press
- Williams, J. T., Harper, J. L. 1965. Seed polymorphism and germination. I. The influence of nitrates and low temperatures on the germination of *Chenopodium* album. Weed Res. 5:141–50

- 288. Williamson, G. B., Black, E. M. 1981.

  Mimicry in hummingbird-pollinated plants? Ecology 62:494-96
- plants? Ecology 62:494-96
  289. Winsor, J. 1983. Persistence by habitat dominance in the annual Impatiens capensis (Balsaminaceae). J. Ecol. 71: 451-66
- Wright, L. N. 1978. Recurrent selection for changing gene frequency of germination rate in the blue panicgrass. *Crop Sci.* 18:789–91
- Wright, S. 1968. Evolution and the genetics of populations. Vol. I. Genetic and Biometric Foundations. Chicago: Univ. Chicago Press. 469 pp.
- 292. Wyatt, R. 1981. The reproductive biology of *Asclepias tuberosa*. II. Factors de-

- termining fruit set. New Phytol. 88:375-85
- Zimmerman, M. 1980. Reproduction in Polemonium: competition for pollinators. Ecology 61:497-501
- Zimmerman, M. 1980. Reproduction in Polemonium: Pre-dispersal seed predation. Ecology 61:502-6
- Zimmerman, M. 1984. Reproduction in Polemonium: a five year study of seed production and implications for competition for pollinator service. Oikos 41:225– 28
- Zimmerman, M., Gross, R. S. 1984. The relationship between flowering phenology and seed set in a herbaceous perennial plant, *Polemonium foliosissimum* Gray. *Am. Midl. Nat.* 111:185-91



# **CONTENTS**

Approaches in Evolutionary Morphology: A Search for	
PATTERNS, L. B. Radinsky	1
LONGEVITY OF INDIVIDUAL FLOWERS, Richard B. Primack	15
Continuum Concept, Ordination Methods, and Niche	
THEORY, M. P. Austin	39
Computer-Aided Reconstruction of Late-Quaternary	
LANDSCAPE DYNAMICS, Allen M. Solomon and Thompson	
Webb, III	63
Hybrid Zones and Homogamy in Australian Frogs, Murray J.  Littlejohn and Graeme F. Watson	85
ANALYSIS OF HYBRID ZONES, N. H. Barton and G. M. Hewitt	113
PATTERNS OF SPECIES DIVERSITY ON CORAL REEFS, M. A. Huston	149
PHENOLOGICAL PATTERNS OF TERRESTRIAL PLANTS, Beverly	
Rathcke and Elizabeth P. Lacey	179
ECOLOGY OF KELP COMMUNITIES, Paul K. Dayton	215
Ontogeny and Systematics, Arnold G. Kluge and Richard E.	
Strauss	247
Predation, Competition, and Prey Communities: A Review of Field Experiments, Andrew Sih, Phil Crowley, Mark McPeek, James Petranka, and Kevin Strohmeier	269
Speciation in Cave Faunas, Thomas C. Barr, Jr. and John R.	209
Holsinger	313
Feeding and Nonfeeding Larval Development and	
LIFE-HISTORY EVOLUTION IN MARINE INVERTEBRATES, Richard	
R. Strathmann	339
RESOURCE LIMITATION IN PLANTS—AN ECONOMIC ANALOGY,	
Arnold J. Bloom, F. Stuart Chapin, III, and Harold A.	
Mooney	363
GENE FLOW IN NATURAL POPULATIONS, Montgomery Slatkin	393

Compatibility Methods in Systematics, Christopher A.	
Meacham and George F. Estabrook	431
BIOLOGICAL ASPECTS OF ENDEMISM IN HIGHER PLANTS, $Arthur\ R$ .	
Kruckeberg and Deborah Rabinowitz	447
Indexes	
Subject Index	481
Cumulative Index of Contributing Authors, Volumes 12–16	500
CUMULATIVE INDEX OF CHAPTER TITLES, VOLUMES 12–16	502