

Axiomatic Homology Theory and the Borsuk-Ulam Theorem

Malthe Sparring

July 9, 2021

1 Axioms

An admissible category...

The axioms of (generic) homology theory...

2 Basic results

Proposition 2.1. *If $A \subset X$ is a deformation retract, then $H_n(X, A) = 0$.*

Proof. If $A \subset X$ is a deformation retract, then the inclusion $i : A \rightarrow X$ is a homotopy equivalence. Let $r : X \rightarrow A$ be the retraction. Then $ir \simeq id_X$ and $ri \simeq id_A$. By homotopy invariance of H_n and the identity property of functors, $(ir)_* = (id_X)_* = id_{H_n X}$ and $(ri)_* = (id_A)_* = id_{H_n A}$. Since $(ri)_* = r_* i_*$ and vice-versa, we have that i_* is an isomorphism.

Now consider the long exact homology chain:

$$\dots \longrightarrow H_{n+1}(X, A) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_n A \xrightarrow{i_*} H_n X \xrightarrow{j_*} H_n(X, A) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{n-1} A \longrightarrow \dots$$

Since i_* is an isomorphism, and the chain is exact, $H_n X = im(i_*) = ker(j_*)$ so $0 = im(j_*) = ker(\partial)$

However, on the left we also have $0 = ker(i_*) = im(\partial)$ since i_* is an isomorphism. It follows that $H_n(X, A) = 0$. □

Remark 2.2. *As a special case of this result, $H_n(X, X) = 0$, as X is a deformation retract of itself. We are also interested in special case where $A = x$ is a single point, i.e. X is contractible. Then we have $H_n(X, x) = 0$.*

It is possible to reduce the abelian objects $H_n X$ into simpler objects $\tilde{H}_n X$ by in some sense factoring out the object $H_n 1$. Furthermore, this can be done without losing any information, i.e. the transformation $H_n X \rightarrow \tilde{H}_n X$ is reversible.

First we will need some facts about abelian objects.

Definition 2.3. If there exist maps $f : A \rightarrow B$ and $g : B \rightarrow A$ between abelian objects such that $g \circ f = id_A$ then g is called a retraction of f , and f is called a something of g .

g can be thought of as a one-sided inverse of f , as there is no requirement that $g \circ f = id_B$.

Lemma 2.4. If $g : B \rightarrow A$ is a retraction of $f : A \rightarrow B$, then $B \cong im(f) \oplus ker(g)$

Proof. The isomorphism is given by

$$h : B \rightarrow im(f) \oplus ker(g)$$

$$x \mapsto (f \circ g(x), x - f \circ g(x))$$

This is well-defined as $f \circ g(x) \in im(f)$ and

$$g(x - f \circ g(x)) = g(x) - g \circ f \circ g(x) = g(x) - g(x) = 0$$

by the associativity of homomorphisms, and since $g \circ f = id_A$. Hence $x - f \circ g(x) \in ker(g)$ The inverse is

$$h^{-1} : im(f) \oplus ker(g) \rightarrow B$$

$$(a, b) \mapsto a + b$$

One quickly checks that

$$\begin{aligned} h \circ h^{-1}(a, b) &= h(a + b) = (f \circ g(a + b), a + b - f \circ g(a + b)) \\ &= (f \circ g(a) + 0, a + b - f \circ g(a)) \end{aligned}$$

since $b \in ker(g)$. However, $a = f(c)$ for some $c \in A$, so

$$\begin{aligned} (f \circ g(a) + 0, a + b - f \circ g(a)) &= (f \circ g \circ f(c), a + b - f \circ g \circ f(c)) \\ &= (f(c), a + b - f(c)) = (a, b) \end{aligned}$$

since $f \circ g = id_B$. Additionally,

$$h^{-1} \circ h(x) = f \circ g(x) + x - f \circ g(x) = x$$

So h and h^{-1} are indeed inverse homomorphisms, and $B \cong im(f) \oplus ker(g)$. \square

We will use this Lemma on the following construction.

Definition 2.5. $\tilde{H}_n(X) = ker(p^* : H_n X \rightarrow H_n 1)$ where p^* is the map induced by the initial map $p : X \rightarrow 1$. $\tilde{H}_n(X)$ is called the reduced homology of X .

Proposition 2.6. For any $x \in X$, $H_n(X, A) = \tilde{H}_n(X, A) \oplus H_n 1 = H_n(X, x) \oplus H_n 1$

Proof. For the first equality, consider the following diagram. x exists by assumption MISSING of an admissable category, and p exists since 1 is an initial object. Notice p is a retraction of x .

DIAGRAM

H_n induces the following diagram, and since functors map compositions to compositions and identities to identities, we have that $p^* \circ x^* = id_{H_n 1}$, so p^* is a retraction of x^* .

DIAGRAM

By 2,

$$H_n X \cong im(x^*) \oplus ker(p^*) = im(x^*) \oplus H_n 1 \oplus \tilde{H}_n X$$

where the last equality holds because $p^* \circ x^* = id_{H_n 1}$ guarantees that x^* is injective.

For the second equality, note any two initial objects are isomorphic. In particular, for $x \in X$, $x \cong 1$. We hence have the following long exact chain

DIAGRAM

From which we can extract a short exact chain

DIAGRAM

By exactness, $H_n 1 \cong im(x^*) \cong ker(j^*)$ and $im(j^*) \cong ker(\partial) = H_n(X, x)$. Furthermore, by the first isomorphism theorem, $im(j^*) \cong H_n X / ker(j^*)$. Therefore,

$$H_n(X, x) \cong H_n X / H_n 1 \cong \tilde{H}_n X \oplus H_n 1 / H_n 1 \cong \tilde{H}_n X$$

where the last few isomorphisms are done somewhat informally, to be made precise at a future point. MISSING \square

Corollary 2.6.1. *If X is contractible to $x \in X$, then $H_n(X) = H_n(X, x) \oplus H_n 1 = 0 \oplus H_n 1 \cong H_n 1$, by 2.2. It follows that $\tilde{H}_n X = 0$*

2.6 shows that $H_n(X, A)$ always carries around a copy of $H_n 1$, which can be safely removed by going to the kernel of p^* . Gluing a copy of $H_n 1$ onto $\tilde{H}_n(X, A)$ recovers the original object.

We would like to do manipulations using $\tilde{H}_n X$ instead of $H_n X$, as these spaces are simpler. To justify this, we should show that $\tilde{H}_n X$ forms a homology theory whenever H_n does.

Theorem 2.6.1. *If H_n and ∂ form a homology theory over some category C , then for $\tilde{H}_n X$ there exist $\tilde{\partial}$ such that they form a homology theory as well.*