

# Geometric Group Theory UDGRP

## Presentation on Ends

Nikhil Nagaria

Today, we are going to formalise the notion of “ends” of a metric space. Roughly, “the number of ends” of a metric space is the number of different infinities, upto a suitable notion of equivalence. We will capture these ways using rays.

**Definition.** A *ray* in a metric space  $(X, d)$  is a continuous map  $\gamma: [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ .

**Definition.** A ray  $\gamma$  is *proper* if for any compact subset  $K \subseteq X$ , the inverse image  $\gamma^{-1}(K)$  is compact.

**Definition.** Suppose  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  are two proper rays in  $X$ . We say that  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  *converge to the same end* iff for every compact set  $K \subseteq X$ , there is a positive integer  $N$  such that  $\gamma[N, \infty)$  and  $\gamma'[N, \infty)$  lie in the same path component of  $X \setminus K$ .

The idea is, if in the complement of any compact set, one could still join the two rays with a path, then we say that they converge to the same end. Now, the above defines an equivalence relation on the set of proper rays in  $X$ . Denote the equivalence class of  $\gamma$  by  $\text{end}(\gamma)$ , and the set of equivalence classes by  $\text{Ends}(X)$ .

For example,  $\mathbb{R}$  has two infinities, captured by the ends  $[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  sending  $t \mapsto t$  and  $t \mapsto -t$ . To see this, first note that they are clearly proper. Also, these two rays don't converge to the same end, since removing the compact set  $[-1, 1]$ , we see that the two rays cannot be joined by a path. To see that there are no other ends, let  $\gamma: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a proper ray. If  $\gamma(t) \rightarrow \pm\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , then we are done. (Say if  $\gamma(t) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , given a compact set  $K$ , pick a real number  $R > 0$  such that  $K \subseteq (-R, R)$ . Then, pick  $N$  such that  $\gamma[N, \infty) \subseteq (R, \infty)$ . Thus,  $\gamma$  converges to the same end as the ray  $t \mapsto t$ .) Otherwise, there is  $M > 0$  such that for any  $R > 0$ , there is  $s, t > R$  such that  $\gamma(t) < M$  and  $\gamma(s) > -M$ . Then, using IVT if needed, we find that for all  $R > 0$ , there is a  $r > R$  with  $\gamma(r) \in [-M, M]$ . Thus,  $\gamma^{-1}[-M, M]$  is unbounded, a contradiction as  $\gamma$  is proper.

For another example, one can verify that  $\mathbb{R}^n$  has only one end for  $n \geq 2$ , and any proper ray converges to the same end as  $(0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  sending  $t \mapsto (t, 0, \dots, 0)$ .

We will now endow  $\text{Ends}(X)$  with a topology. First, we need a notion of convergence:

**Definition.** Let  $\text{end}(\gamma_n)$  be a sequence of points in  $\text{Ends}(X)$ , and  $\text{end}(\gamma) \in \text{Ends}(X)$ . We say that  $\text{end}(\gamma_n)$  *converges to*  $\text{end}(\gamma)$  if for all compact sets  $K$ , there is a sequence  $N_n$  of integers such that  $\gamma_n[N_n, \infty)$  and  $\gamma[N_n, \infty)$  lie in the same path component of  $X \setminus K$  after a sufficiently large  $n$ .

Essentially, a sequence  $\text{end}(\gamma_n)$  converges to  $\text{end}(\gamma)$  if for any compact set, one can find a large  $n$  after which one can find tails of each  $\gamma_n$  in the same path component as a tail of  $\gamma$ .

One can easily do a sanity check that the definition above does not depend on the choice of representatives: suppose  $\text{end}(\gamma_n) = \text{end}(\gamma'_n)$  and  $\text{end}(\gamma_n)$  converges to  $\text{end}(\gamma)$  and fix a compact set  $K$ . One can find  $N_n$ s such that  $\gamma_n[N_n, \infty)$  and  $\gamma[N_n, \infty)$  all lie in the same path component of  $X \setminus K$ , after a large  $n$ . Then, pick integers  $M_n$  with  $\gamma_n[M_n, \infty)$  and  $\gamma'_n[M_n, \infty)$  lie in the same path component of  $X \setminus K$ . Take  $K_n = \max\{M_n, N_n\}$ , then  $\gamma_n[K_n, \infty)$ ,  $\gamma'_n[K_n, \infty)$  and  $\gamma[K_n, \infty)$  all lie in the same path component for large  $n$ .

With this, we can put a topology on  $\text{Ends}(X)$  by declaring those subsets  $F$  to be closed, for which whenever there is a sequence  $\text{end}(\gamma_n) \in F$  with  $\text{end}(\gamma_n) \rightarrow \text{end}(\gamma)$ , then  $\text{end}(\gamma) \in F$ .

Thus,  $\text{Ends}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the point space for  $n \geq 2$ , and it is not too hard to see that  $\text{Ends}(\mathbb{R})$  has the trivial topology. Now, we will go to group theory again, and as usual, one would like to define for a finitely generated group  $G$ , the space  $\text{Ends}(G)$  as  $\text{Ends}(\mathcal{C}(G; X))$  where  $\mathcal{C}(G; X)$  is the Cayley graph of  $G$  with the generating set  $X$ . This has an obvious problem:  $\mathcal{C}(G; X)$  depends on  $X$ . But one might be able to guess,

**Theorem.** If  $X$  and  $Y$  are quasi-isometric, then  $\text{Ends}(X)$  and  $\text{Ends}(Y)$  are homeomorphic.

This allows us to define  $\text{Ends}(G)$  unambiguously. Now, we can see that  $\text{Ends}(\mathbb{Z})$  is homeomorphic to  $\text{Ends}(\mathbb{R})$ , the trivial topology on two points, and  $\text{Ends}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$  is homeomorphic to the point space. For a finite group  $G$ ,  $\text{Ends}(G)$  is empty, since no ray  $\gamma: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(G)$  is proper, for the inverse image of the compact set  $\mathcal{C}(G)$  is  $[0, \infty)$ , which is not compact. An interesting example, though a little hard to prove, is that  $\text{Ends}(F_2)$  is homeomorphic to the Cantor set, and in particular,  $F_2$  has infinitely many ends.

The above result comes from the general functoriality of  $\text{Ends}$  for proper geodesic spaces: if  $X$  and  $Y$  are proper geodesic metric spaces and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a quasi-isometry, then we get a continuous map  $f_*: \text{Ends}(X) \rightarrow \text{Ends}(Y)$  sending  $\text{end}(\gamma) \mapsto \text{end}(f_\#(\gamma))$  where  $f_\#(\gamma)$  is defined by concatenating geodesic segments  $[f(\gamma(n)), f(\gamma(n+1))]$ . Showing this stronger statement is quite difficult and an outline of a proof can be found in the book “Metric Spaces of Non-Positive Curvature” by Bridson and Haefliger, pages 145-146.

To summarise this talk, I will now give a big result about determining the number of ends of a group.

**Theorem.** Let  $G$  be a finitely generated group.

1.  $G$  has 0, 1, 2 or infinitely many ends.
2.  $\text{Ends}(G)$  is compact, and is either finite or uncountable.
3.  $G$  has 0 ends iff  $G$  is finite.
4.  $G$  has 2 ends iff  $G$  is virtually  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
5.  $G$  has infinitely many ends iff it is either  $A *_C B$  where  $[A : C] \geq 3$  and  $[B : C] \geq 2$ , or  $A *_C$  where  $[A : C] \geq 3$ .

The last line needs an explanation on the notation. For groups  $A = \langle X_A | R_A \rangle$ ,  $B = \langle X_B | R_B \rangle$ ,  $C$  with embeddings  $i_A: C \rightarrow A$  and  $i_B: C \rightarrow B$ , the amalgamated free product  $A *_C B$  is given by the presentation  $\langle X_A, X_B | R_A, R_B, i_A(c)i_B(c)^{-1} \rangle$ . For a group  $A = \langle X | R \rangle$  with  $C \leq A$  and an embedding  $\phi: C \rightarrow A$ , the HNN extension  $A *_C$  is given by the presentation  $\langle X, t | R, txt^{-1} = \phi(x) \rangle$ . More details can be found in any standard text including Bridson-Haefliger.

For applications of this theorem, we can use it to conclude that  $\mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_3$  (in fact, quasi-isometric to  $F_2$ ) has infinitely many ends. We could also show things like  $\mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_2$  is virtually  $\mathbb{Z}$ , since it is quasi-isometric to  $\mathbb{Z}$  and hence has 2 ends.

This completes my talk, and I hope you learnt something! I would like to thank Rinkiny Ghatak and Treanungkur Mal for this amazing UDGRP, and giving me the opportunity to present this here. Thank you for attending this talk!