

5.29 (a)  $\mathcal{X}$  contains 0 and for  $f, g \in \mathcal{X}$

$$\sum n|(f + \lambda g)(n)| \leq \sum n|f(n)| + n|\lambda g(n)| \leq \sum n|f(n)| + |\lambda| \sum |g(n)|$$

meaning that  $\mathcal{X}$  is a subspace of  $\mathcal{Y}$ . It is proper since the function  $f(n) = n^{-1}$  is contained within  $\mathcal{X}$  but not  $\mathcal{Y}$ . To show that  $\overline{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{Y}$  not that for any  $f \in \mathcal{Y}$  we can pick  $N$  such that  $\sum_{N+1}^{\infty} f(n) < \epsilon$ . We can then define  $g_{\epsilon}$  by

$$g_{\epsilon}(n) = \begin{cases} f(n) & \text{for } n \leq N \\ 0 & \text{for } n > N \end{cases}$$

which is contained in  $\mathcal{X}$  as it is a finite sum. Furthermore,  $\|f - g_{\epsilon}\| = \sum_{N+1}^{\infty} f(n) < \epsilon$  so  $\mathcal{X}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{Y}$ . Since  $\mathcal{X} \neq \overline{\mathcal{X}}$ , we know that  $\mathcal{X}$  is not complete.

(b) We want to show that  $T$  maps closed sets to closed sets. This is equivalent to ensuring that for every convergent sequence  $f_n \rightarrow f$  such that  $Tf_n \rightarrow g$  for some  $g \in \mathcal{Y}$ , we have  $Tf_n \rightarrow Tf$ . We can then pick integers  $N$  and  $M$  such that  $\sum_{N+1}^{\infty} n f(n) < \epsilon$ ,  $\sum_{N+1}^{\infty} g(n) < \epsilon$ ,  $\|Tf_m - g\| < \epsilon$  and  $\|f - f_m\| < \epsilon/N$ . This gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |Tf(n) - Tf_m(n)| &\leq \sum_{n=1}^N n|f(n) - f_m(n)| + \sum_{N+1}^{\infty} n|f(n)| \\ &\quad + \sum_{N+1}^{\infty} |Tf_m(n) - g(n)| + \sum_{N+1}^{\infty} |g(n)| \\ &< N\|f - f_m\| + \epsilon + \|Tf_m - g\| + \epsilon = 4\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

and so  $Tf_n \rightarrow Tf$  meaning  $T$  is closed.

$T$  is not bounded since we can define

$$f_k(n) = \begin{cases} n^{-2} & \text{for } n \leq k \\ 0 & \text{for } n > k \end{cases}$$

such that  $f_k \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $\|f_k\| < \sum_1^{\infty} n^{-2} < \infty$  but  $\|Tf_k\|$  can be made arbitrarily large by taking  $k$  large enough.

(c) We know that  $T$  is not bounded and so not continuous, hence  $S = T^{-1}$  cannot be open. It is also obviously surjective since  $T$  is defined on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Boundedness follows from

$$\|Sf\| = \sum_1^{\infty} |n^{-1} f(n)| \leq \sum_1^{\infty} f(n) = \|f\|.$$

5.37 Let  $T^{\dagger}f = f \circ T$  and note that it is a linear map from  $\mathcal{Y}^*$  to  $\mathcal{X}^*$ . Then for any  $f \in \mathcal{Y}^*$  for which  $\|f\| = 1$  we have

$$|\widehat{x}(T^{\dagger}f)| = |f(Tx)| < \|f\| \|T(x)\| = \|Tx\|$$

such that  $\widehat{x} \circ T^{\dagger} \in L(\mathcal{Y}^*, K)$ . We can subsequently form the set  $\mathcal{A} = \{\widehat{x} \circ T^{\dagger} : \|x\| = 1\}$  and observe that

$$\sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \|Af\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|\widehat{x} \circ T^{\dagger}f\| \leq \|Tx\| < \infty.$$

The uniform boundedness principle then tells us that  $\sup_{\|x\|=1} \|\hat{x} \circ T^\dagger\| < \infty$  for  $\|f\| = 1$ .

By Hahn-Banach we can always find a function  $f_0 \in \mathcal{Y}^*$  for which  $\|f_0\| = 1$  and  $f_0(Tx) = \|Tx\|$ . This means that for  $\|x\| = 1$ ,

$$\|Tx\| = f_0(Tx) = \hat{x} \circ T^\dagger f_0 \leq \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|\hat{x} \circ T^\dagger\| \|f_0\| < \infty$$

and so  $T$  is bounded.

5.38 Given  $Tx = \lim T_n x$ , we see that  $T$  is linear since

$$T(x\lambda y) = \lim T_n(x + \lambda y) = \lim T_n(x) + \lambda \lim T_n(y) = Tx + \lambda Ty.$$

To show boundedness note that  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|T_n x\| < \infty$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ . The Uniform Boundedness Principle then tells us that  $C = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|T_n\| < \infty$ . But then

$$\|Tx\| = \|\lim T_n x\| = \lim \|T_n x\| \leq \sup \|T_n x\| \leq \sup \|T_n\| \|x\| = C\|x\|$$

and so  $T$  is bounded.

5.45 Define a family of seminorms  $p_{n,k} = \|f^{(k)}|_{[-n,n]}\|_u$  on  $\mathcal{X} = C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  and let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the topology which they generate. For every  $f \neq 0$  we have that  $f(x) \neq 0$  for some  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $p_{n,k}(f) \neq 0$  for any  $n \geq |x|$ . Proposition 5.16 then tells us that  $\mathcal{X}$  is Hausdorff.

To show completeness, take any Cauchy sequence  $\langle f_n \rangle \subset \mathcal{X}$ . Proposition 5.14b tells us that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly on any set  $[-n, n]$ , where  $f(x) = \lim f_n(x)$ . Thus  $f$  is continuous on any compact set and so  $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ . We can make the same argument for any  $\langle f_n^{(k)} \rangle$  such that  $f_n^{(k)} \rightarrow h_k$  where  $h_k \in C(\mathbb{R})$ . As all of these sequences are Cauchy, they are each eventually dominated by  $|h_k| + 1$  so we can apply the Dominated Convergence Theorem to get

$$h_k = \lim f_n^{(k)} = \lim \int_0^x f_n^{(k+1)} = \int_0^x \lim f_n^{(k+1)} = \int_0^x h_{k+1}$$

which tells us that  $h'_k = h_{k+1}$  or equivalently  $f^{(k)} = h_k$ . Thus  $\mathcal{X}$  is complete and a Fréchet space.

If  $f_n \rightarrow f$ , then prop 5.14b tells us that  $f_n^{(k)} \rightarrow f^{(k)}$  uniformly on any set  $[-n, n]$ . Any compact set  $K$  can be encompassed by such a set and so  $\langle f_n^{(k)} \rangle$  converges uniformly on all compact sets.

Likewise, if  $f_n^{(k)} \rightarrow f^{(k)}$  uniformly on all compact sets, this includes all sets  $[-n, n]$ . This means  $\langle f_n \rangle$  converges with respect to each pseudonorm defining the topology on  $\mathcal{X}$  and so, by prop 5.14b,  $f_n \rightarrow f$ .

5.51 The norm topology is finer than the weak topology on  $\mathcal{X}$ , so any weakly open set is also open in the norm topology. But this means that weakly closed sets are also norm-closed. Conversely, we know that each  $f \in \mathcal{X}^*$  is continuous in the weak topology. If we have a norm-closed subspace  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{X}$  then for each  $x \in \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{M}$  we can find some  $f_x \in \mathcal{X}^*$  for which  $f_x(x) \neq 0$  and  $f_x(\mathcal{M}) = 0$ . By continuity we know that  $\ker(f_x)$  is weakly-closed, so  $\mathcal{M} = \bigcap_{x \in \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{M}} \ker(f_x)$  is also weakly-closed.

- 5.53 (a) Given that  $T_n \rightarrow T$  strongly, exercise 5.47 tells us that  $M = \sup_n \|T_n\| < \infty$ . Observing that

$$\|T_n x_n - Tx\| \leq \|T_n x_n - T_n x\| + \|T_n x - Tx\| \leq \|T_n\| \|x_n - x\| + \|T_n x - Tx\|,$$

for any  $\epsilon > 0$  we can pick  $N$  such that  $\|x_n - x\| < \epsilon/M$  and  $\|T_n x - Tx\| < \epsilon$  for  $n > N$ . This gives us an upper bound

$$\|T_n x_n - Tx\| \leq \|T_n\| \|x_n - x\| + \|T_n x - Tx\| \leq M \frac{\epsilon}{M} + \epsilon = 2\epsilon.$$

Since  $\epsilon$  was arbitrary this tells us that  $T_n x_n \rightarrow Tx$ .

- (b) We know that  $S_n x \rightarrow Sx$  for  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  since  $\langle S_n \rangle$  converges strongly. But then the result from part (a) tells us that  $T_n S_n x \rightarrow TSx$  since  $\langle S_n x \rangle \subset \mathcal{X}$ . Since this holds for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  we have that  $T_n S_n \rightarrow TS$  strongly.