

# Math 275A: Homework 1

Marcus Lucas

## 1.1.3

Claim:  $\mathcal{R}^d$  is countably generated.

Proof: We know that the collection of open rectangles with rational vertices,  $\mathcal{C}$ , is countable as it can be represented by a finite product of countable spaces

$$\mathcal{C} = \{(x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{1d}) \times \dots \times (x_{d1}, x_{d2}, \dots, x_{dd}) : x_{11}, \dots, x_{1d}, x_{21}, \dots, x_{dd} \in \mathbb{Q}\}$$

It follows from this and the density of  $\mathbb{Q}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  that every open set  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is a countable union of such rectangles.

In class, we showed that given two collections  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  for which  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$ , it follows that  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \subset \sigma(\mathcal{B})$ . We also showed that, by definition,  $\sigma(\sigma(\mathcal{A})) = \sigma(\mathcal{A})$  for any  $\mathcal{A}$ , as  $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$  is the minimal  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Now let  $\mathcal{U}$  represent the collection of open sets in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .  $\mathcal{U}$  contains  $\mathcal{C}$  such that,  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) \subset \sigma(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{R}^d$ . At the same time,  $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$  contains every countable union of sets from  $\mathcal{C}$  (i.e. the open sets), such that  $\mathcal{U} \subset \sigma(\mathcal{C})$ . But this implies that  $\sigma(\mathcal{U}) \subset \sigma(\sigma(\mathcal{C})) = \sigma(\mathcal{C})$ , establishing that  $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\mathcal{R}^d$  are equivalent

## 1.2.4

Claim: Show that if  $F(x) = P(X \leq x)$  is continuous then  $Y = F(X)$  has a uniform distribution of  $(0, 1)$ , the is, if  $y \in [0, 1]$ ,  $P(Y \leq y) = y$ .

Proof: I take it for granted here that  $F(X)$  is a measurable function in the sense that  $F(X)^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{F}$  for  $B \in \mathcal{R}$  where  $\mathcal{F}$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra associated with our underlying probability space. Thus we know that there exists a distribution function  $F'(y)$  that describes the measure induced on  $Y$  by  $F(X)$ .

Define an function  $F^{-1}$  as

$$F^{-1}(y) = \sup\{x : F(x) = y\}.$$

Note that if  $F^{-1}$  were only right-continuous, it may not be well-defined at some points  $y$  in  $Y = [0, 1]$ . As is, every  $y$  is mapped to a unique real number by  $F^{-1}$ . Furthermore for any given  $y$ , it holds that  $F(F^{-1}(y)) = y$ .

The distribution  $F'$  can be written as

$$F'(y) = P(Y \leq y) = P\{w : F(X(w)) \leq y\} = P\{w : X(w) \leq F^{-1}(y)\}.$$

To verify the last equality note that if  $x$  is such that  $F(x) \leq y$  then  $x$  must be less than the supremum of  $x$  such that  $F(x) = y$ . Likewise if  $F(x) > y$ , then  $x$  must be greater than  $\sup\{x : F(x) = y\}$ .

By the definition of the distribution function of  $X$ , it follows that

$$F'(y) = F(F^{-1}(y)) = y.$$

### 1.3.1

Claim: If  $\mathcal{A}$  generates  $\mathcal{S}$ , then  $X^{-1}(\mathcal{A}) = \{\{X \in A\} : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$  generates  $\sigma(X) = \{\{X \in B\} : B \in \mathcal{S}\}$ .

Proof: By the fact that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a subcollection of sets in  $\mathcal{S}$ , we know that  $X^{-1}(\mathcal{A}) \subset \sigma(X)$ , from which it follows that  $\sigma(X^{-1}(\mathcal{A})) \subset \sigma(X)$ . To show the reverse inclusion, first note two equalities:

$$\begin{aligned}\{X \in \cup_i A_i\} &= \cup_i \{X \in A_i\} \\ \{X \in A^c\} &= \{X \in A\}^c.\end{aligned}$$

The equalities imply that  $\sigma(X^{-1}(\mathcal{A})) = \{\{X \in A\} : A \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})\}$ . The prior inclusion  $\sigma(X^{-1}(\mathcal{A})) \subset \sigma(X)$  then becomes an equality and so  $X^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$  must generate  $\sigma(X)$ .

### 1.3.4

Claim: (i) Show that a continuous function from  $\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a measurable map from  $(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{R}^d)$ . (ii) Show that  $\mathcal{R}^d$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -field that makes all the continuous functions measurable.

Proof: (i) If  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous then its inverse  $f^{-1}(A)$  is open for any open set  $A$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  represent the open sets in  $\mathbb{R}$  which generate  $\mathcal{R}$ . Then all the sets in  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$  are open and members of  $\mathcal{R}^d$ . Applying Theorem 1.3.1, we can conclude that  $f$  must be measurable.

(ii) From (i) we can conclude that  $\mathcal{R}^d$  is a sufficiently rich collection of sets so as to make any continuous function  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  measurable. To show that it is also the smallest such  $\sigma$ -field, note that any candidate  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{F}$  must contain  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$  for every continuous function  $f$ .

Take the set of  $d$  projection functions  $\pi_i$  that map each vector in  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  onto its  $i$ -th coordinate  $x_i$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_i = \pi_i^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$ . Every open set in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  of the form  $U_1 \times \cdots \times U_d$ , for  $U_i \in \mathcal{R}$ , can be described as some intersection  $\cap_{i=1}^d A_i$  of sets where  $A_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$ . The collection of such sets defines a basis for the standard topology on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Thus any  $\sigma$ -field that renders all continuous functions measurable must contain  $\mathcal{R}^d$ , as it will contain at least all the open sets in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . So then  $\mathcal{R}^d$  itself must be the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra that renders such functions measurable.

## Bonus

Claim: Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a measure space and let  $E \in \mathcal{F}$ . Define:

$$\mu_E(A) := \mu(A \cap E) \quad \text{for } A \in \mathcal{F}$$

Prove that  $\mu_E$  is also a measure on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$

Proof: For any set  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $A \cap E$  is also a set in  $\mathcal{F}$ , it being a  $\sigma$ -algebra. This means that  $\mu_E$  is well-defined for all sets  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  and that it must satisfy the non-negativity and null empty set properties of a measure.

As for countable additivity, given a countable collection of disjoint sets  $\{A_i\}_i$ ,

$$\mu_E(\cup_i A_i) = \mu(\cup_i A_i \cap E) = \mu(\cup_i (A_i \cap E)) = \mu(\cup_i (A_i \cap E))$$

where in the second equality we exploit the fact that the sets  $\{A_i \cap E\}_i$  must also be disjoint. Thus countable additivity holds as well.