

# CURRENT CHALLENGES AND ADVANCEMENT OF PET

Under the guidance Of Dr. Saurabh Gupta  
Submitted by Mamta Pradhan(Roll No. - 18111033)  
Department of Biomedical Engineering  
National Institute of Technology, Raipur

April 2022

**Positron emission tomography (PET) imaging technique is based on detecting two time-coincident high energy photons from the emission of a positron-emitting radioisotope. The physics of the emission, and the detection of the coincident photons, give PET imaging unique capabilities for both very high sensitivity and accurate estimation of the in vivo concentration of the radiotracer. PET imaging has been widely adopted as an important clinical modality for oncological, cardiovascular, and neurological applications. In this term paper, firstly I will discuss about the PET after that physics of PET, new detector technology, application and application development, multi modality imaging, and conclusion of positron emission tomography.**

## 1 Introduction

Positron emission tomography (PET) is a type of nuclear medicine procedure that measures metabolic activity of the cells of body tissues [4]. PET is actually a combination of nuclear medicine and biochemical analysis. Used mostly in patients with brain or heart conditions and cancer, PET helps to visualize the biochemical changes taking place in the body, such as the metabolism (the process by which cells change food into energy after food is digested and absorbed into the blood) of the heart muscle.

PET is a type of nuclear medicine procedure, this means that a tiny amount of a radioactive substance, called a radiopharmaceutical (radionuclide or radioactive tracer) [5], is used during the procedure to assist in the examination of the tissue under study. Specifically, PET studies evaluate the metabolism of a particular organ or tissue, so that information about the physiology (functionality) and anatomy (structure) of the organ or tissue is evaluated, as well as its biochemical properties [20]. Thus, PET may detect biochemical changes in an organ or tissue that can identify the onset of a disease process before anatomical changes related to the disease can be seen with other imaging processes such as computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance

imaging (MRI) [10].

In clinical and preclinical studies, in living structural imaging technique gives useful information. To reveal the real structures of the physiological time-varying processes that explain disease occurrence, it is necessary to combine morphological information within living molecular imaging. Positron emission tomography (PET) imaging probably offers more translational possibilities than any other modality due to its combination of sensitivity and quantitative accuracy [18]. PET is a noninvasive imaging technique that provides physiological information through the injection of radioactive compounds that is radiotracers, detection of radiation, and reconstruction of the distribution of the radiotracer. PET imaging has evolved from an imaging technique used for research to become a standard component of diagnosis and staging in oncology (such as cancer screening) and it is also used for specific neurological and cardiovascular indications. It provides the clinically useful information that about tissue and organ function, and status, through the use of radio labeled molecular imaging agents. The type of information provided depends on the imaging agent and the disease and can include detection, classification, staging, prognosis, treatment planning [18].

Merging PET imaging technique with anatomical imaging method such as CT or MRI provides the information about the what is problem is that is from PET and where is problem is that is from CT or MRI. CT or MRI gives the anatomical information that is used to provide the estimates of the quantitative corrections which is needed for this imaging technique. Combination of PET with CT or MRI gives the advancement for this technique which is led for the development and application of new imaging techniques.

## 2 Physics of PET imaging

The physics that enable PET imaging are based on the emission of a positron by a neutron-deficient radioisotope. fluorine-18 ( $^{18}\text{F}$ ), the most commonly used radioisotope [14]. As the radioisotope decays to a stable state, the emitted positron travels a short distance (typically 1–2 mm) and interacts with an electron; this interaction annihilates both the electron and the positron, thus producing two high-energy photons. The photons travel in opposite directions along an approximately straight line, and can be detected outside the body by the PET scanner. The detectors use scintillator crystals coupled to photo-multipliers.

- The first limitation in PET imaging is the noise, which is driven by the number of individual 511 keV photons detected. In

turn, this is determined by the density of the scintillator, the count-rate capabilities of the scanner, and the amount of radiation injected into the patient.

- The second limitation is the spatial resolution, which is determined by the variability in estimating the interaction point of the 511 keV photon in the scintillator. In turn, this is affected by the optics of the scintillator, the number of optical photons emitted, and the fidelity of the photomultiplier tubes and associated electronics. These factors impose the noise and resolution characteristics of the PET imaging process.

If two photons are detected in a short-coincidence time window (typically 1–10 ns), the joint detection is called a true-coincidence event for the line of response (LOR) joining the two detectors. More accurately, the parallelepiped joining the two detector elements is called a tube of response. The total number of true-coincidence events detected by the two detector elements will be proportional to the total amount of radiotracer contained in the tube of response. This is the key to PET imaging [18]. Based on this relationship, one can process the coincidence events to accurately reconstruct the distribution of the radioisotope. For time of flight (TOF) PET imaging systems, the differential timing of the detection of the two photons is used to localize the annihilation along the LOR.

### 3 Advantages and Disadvantages of PET

**Table 1**

Positron emission tomography (PET): new applications and their readiness for implementation

New technology	Problem addressed	Readiness level	Application	Comments
Faster and cheaper scintillators	Increases count-rate performance, light output (with higher energy and better spatial resolution), and usability; enables time-of flight PET imaging	In production, widely available	Cost reduction in clinical scanners; higher image quality in preclinical systems	Radioactive isotopes in the formulation introduce background noise that could limit the signal-to-noise ratio in very low count applications
Subsurface laser engraving of scintillators	Facilitates the manufacture of crystal arrays, reduces costs, increases sensitivity by avoiding the need for reflectors in between crystals	Prototypes are being tested, mainly for preclinical applications	Clinical and preclinical, but more relevant for preclinical use due to the higher complexity of the crystal matrices	Great potential for introducing alternative, affordable coding schemes for depth-of-interaction and light sharing
Solid-state photodetectors	Reduces the cost of the detectors; enables magnetic compatibility for combined PET-MR imaging	In production; several manufacturers offer alternatives and yearly updates	Used in combined PET-MR scanners; they reduce the cost of the PET gantry; new applications may be based on more compact detectors	Semiconductor technology is highly competitive; newer and better devices will appear, and their cost will be reduced over time
Dual-energy CT attenuation correction	Quantitative accuracy of PET imaging	Already developed for CT, but clinical need for combined PET-CT imaging not demonstrated yet	Clinical quantitative imaging	Already applied in preclinical imaging, but no applications yet
Scatter correction in systems with long axial extent	Larger field of view increases the scatter fraction	To be developed	Clinical systems	Monte Carlo simulations and single-scatter approximation approaches will require intensive computation
Clinical 4D (time-varying or gated) imaging	Difficulty of adopting 4D techniques into clinical routines	Widely used for preclinical applications; already adopted in some clinical applications, including cardiology and planning for radiation oncology treatment	Relevant to any imaging requiring suppression of respiratory-motion effects	Clinical applications are limited to simple models due to limitations in the signal-to-noise ratio; movement suppression is slowly being adopted
Multi-isotope PET imaging	Limitations of single-radiotracer imaging	Under development	Simultaneous imaging of different physiological processes	Several approaches have been reported in the literature, but none has been adopted in clinical or preclinical applications
PET-MR imaging	Soft-tissue contrast in anatomical imaging, e.g., brain	Commercially available	Under development	Attenuation correction issues not completely resolved

Figure 1: Advantages and Disadvantages of PET

## 4 New Detector Technology 4.1 Solid-State Photo-detectors

Improvements to the quality of PET images are made by increasing the sensitivity and spatial resolution of the image, which requires an array of detectors that can capture the largest possible fraction of the photons emitted by the target object at the highest possible rate and with the best possible spatial accuracy. In addition, the detectors should possess the highest possible energy resolution to reduce the effects of scattered radiation [18].

This include the different type of photo detector silicon photomultiplier, multipixel photon counter, single-photon avalanche diode, and avalanche photodiode .The mass production of semiconductor photo-detectors in radiation detector technology has enabled new imaging modalities, such as simultaneous PET imaging and MRI [15]. Different manufacturers name their photo-detectors differently, depending on the manufacturing process and mode of operation. Above mention photo-detectors, The sum of the signals from all individual diodes is a measurement of the amount of light deposited in the array, and this, in turn, is related to the light

produced by the scintillator. These new devices have had important impacts on detector design. They have an improved, effective sensing area in comparison with traditional photomultipliers, the time resolution increases their potential in terms of TOF capabilities, their power requirements are lower, their packaging is more robust and compact, and they are compatible with the high magnetic and electric fields present inside an MR scanner.

## 4.2 Digital photon counter (DPC)

It is a variant of conventional silicon photomultiplier (Si-PM) detectors, and it operates in a digital mode. The DPC treats the signal from individual photodiodes as the information and, instead of adding them in analog mode, counts them to produce a digital code that is related to the number of photons. In principle, this method should produce noise-free data that are precisely timed with respect to a master clock, thus producing high-resolution measurements of time. These novel characteristics of the DPC have created high expectations about the performance of the PET scanners that use them, and the first commercial clinical systems are appearing [18].

## 4.3 CZT Photo Detector

This detector based on the cadmium zinc telluride which does not use scintillators because they directly convert ionizing radiation to charge production, providing higher energy and spatial resolutions than scintillator-based PET detectors [8, 1]. Accordingly, new designs for detectors begin with new scintillator materials because this is the preferred method for detecting annihilation photons. Scintillation crystals produce fluorescent light after being excited by a 511 keV photon that has been scattered by or absorbed into the crystal. The intensity of the pulse of visible light contains information about the energy of the original photon that caused the scintillation. Therefore, annihilation photons can be characterized by detecting and counting the visible light photons with a photo detector [16]. A good scintillator crystal should have high stopping power for 511 keV annihilation photons and high efficiency for converting the energy from the annihilation photon into detectable light; it is also desirable that the resulting light pulse occurs within a very short time, and the intensity should be proportional to the energy of the annihilation photon.

The optical properties of the crystal are also important: The crystal must be transparent to

the wavelength of the fluorescent light to avoid self-attenuation, the index of refraction must match that of the photo-detector to optimize the collection of light, and the crystal should be rugged enough to be processed and manipulated during manufacturing.

Modern scintillators produce shorter and more intense light pulses compared with earlier crystals, such as BGO (bismuth germanate), which used to dominate PET-detector technology [18]. BGO has a high density and high atomic number, so the 511 keV photons have a large probability of interacting with the crystal, but the BGO low light production limits the energy resolution of the detector, thus resulting in more scatter in the final image, and its long decay time precludes its use in applications with high count rates [3]. Newer, more luminous, and faster scintillator crystals, such as LSO (lutetium oxyorthosilicate) and LYSO (lutetium yttrium oxyorthosilicate), have been used to build detectors that have better energy resolution and faster light decay times that can reduce undesired effects, such as the piling up of events on the detector, degradation of event timing, or background scatter. These new scintillators also enable clinical TOF PET imaging (6–8), and they are being used in layered combinations (known as phoswiches)—of LSO, LYSO, LuYAP (cerium-doped lutetium yttrium perovskite), GSO (gadolinium oxyorthosilicate), LaBr<sub>3</sub>:Ce (cerium-doped lanthanum bromide), Ce:GAGG (cerium-doped gadolinium aluminum gallium garnet), and others in small-animal PET scanners to implement depth of interaction (DOI) corrections on long crystals, which increases spatial resolution and reduces parallax error [18, 9, 8].

## 5 Drawback of Scintillator

A drawback of using scintillator crystals made from lutetium (<sup>176</sup>Lu) is that it is a radioactive contaminant that produces a natural background coincidence count rate, which increases the noise in low count-rate applications. Another drawback to using modern scintillators is their high cost. Alternative ceramic scintillators may ameliorate this limitation (for example, BaAl<sub>4</sub>O<sub>7</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>); although they are too slow for PET time-coincidence imaging, they compete with currently used scintillator crystals when used for single-photon imaging. The mass production of transparent, ceramic scintillators may make them more affordable than current scintillators, and this may open new opportunities

to confront the design of next-generation PET scanners.

## 6 Improved Data Acquisition System

Signals coming from PET detectors which carry the information about the detected diseases or some activity in the characteristics of the electrical pulse which is generated by the charge collection. After determining the precise timing of the pulse's arrival, the pulse's waveform is integrated and digitized that signals. In this process, the full description of the original shape of the pulse is lost [19]. However, it is known that the shape of the pulse contains additional information that could be extracted and used to improve the processing of the pulse and, in turn, the quality of the image reconstructed from the ensemble of pulses. Now different type of analog to digital converter that can be used to implement waveform digitization of the detector pulses, from that, researchers can derive additional information that can be used by the image-reconstruction algorithms. This extra information can also be used, for example, to remove pulse pileups in situations with a high count rate, in TOF imaging, and to implement DOI correction [11, 18].

Time over threshold converter is the advancement of analog to digital converter which obtains accurate information about timing and energy by converting the charge measurement to a time measurement. This technique allows for significant simplification of the electronics by reducing circuitry and power consumption characteristics that become relevant to applications such as, MRI that is compatible with PET detectors, or largescale systems with a high number of channels. One of the drawbacks of the time-overthreshold method has been the intrinsic nonlinearity of the method, although recent implementations have compensated for that inconvenience, improving its performance to the point where it can be used in TOF systems [18].

In TOF PET imaging, the measurement of the precise difference in the arrival times of the two 511 keV annihilation photons can be used to reduce uncertainty about the location of the annihilation locus and thus improve image quality. The offset in distances from the annihilation point to the detectors causes a difference in detection times, described by  $t = \text{difference between } t_2 \text{ and } t_1$ . This information enables localization of the annihilation to a limited region between the two detectors.

## 7 Challenges in TOF PET

Currently, detectors and instrumentation technology in clinical scanners can provide a timing resolution no better than 200ps, which translates into a 3 cm spatial difference that is not small enough to allow direct reconstruction of a high-resolution image. However, when TOF measurements are fed into the reconstruction algorithms as a priori information, the image quality is significantly improved [17, 18].

Another challenge of current detector technology is the parallax error. This appears when attempts are made to increase the sensitivity of a PET system by reducing the diameter of the ring or increasing the thickness of the crystal. As the relative thickness of the detector increases, the spatial resolution degrades increasingly as one moves from the center to the edge of the field of view [12].

Different techniques can be used to deal with parallax error problem by estimating the DOI. Although DOI is very important for high-resolution preclinical imaging, it may not be that relevant in clinical scanners because its effect is small compared with the overall resolution of the scanner itself. However, in high-resolution brain imaging, the DOI may become more important.

## 8 Application Development

### 8.1 Time Varying Imaging

The imaging theory described is that the object and the radiotracer distribution are stationary but in practice, the radiotracer distribution changes over the time, and also there is patient motion, which includes different type of motion such as cardiac motion, respiratory motion, and general motion. Its Depend on the degree of change during the imaging period, there is the potential to extract more information from the PET images if motion is included in the analysis or otherwise compensated for [18, 13]. If the radiotracer distribution changes over time but the patient are stationary, the imaging is called dynamic imaging. If the radiotracer distribution is constant but the patient moves in a regular pattern owing to respiratory or cardiac motion, then gated imaging methods can be used to suppress motion artifacts.

#### 8.1.1 Acquiring dynamic images

Static 3D PET scans are a single, stationary image of the radiotracer distribution at a certain

moment. Dynamic images used in drug development, cardiology, neurology, or oncology require an understanding the process of radiotracer distribution in order to expose the true biochemical process, and this information cannot be obtained from static imaging [6]. The exchange that occurs with the simplicity of using static imaging is that the dynamic information related to the kinetics of the radiotracer is lost for further analysis.

Dynamic imaging ,also called 4D imaging, or time-varying 3D, is a PET imaging mode in which acquire a series of 3D images are separately registered at different intervals and creating a time varying sequence, which is the 4D image or,3D plus time instead of acquiring a single, fixed-time 3D image and this 3D sequence can be analyzed by time activity curves which tells about the radiotracer accumulation in a specific voxel or group of voxels and it can be studied by using kinetic modeling analysis for the radiotracer bio-distribution. The results is valuable which give the description of the about the physiological parameters regulating the radiotracer uptake. Examples of applying this technique include imaging cardiac perfusion using  $^{13}\text{N}$ -ammonia or  $^{82}\text{Rb}$ , monitoring the metabolism rate of glucose in tumors using 2-deoxy-2-[fluorine-18]fluoro-D-glucose (18FDG), assessing blood flow using oxygen-15, assessing cellular proliferation using 18FLT, and evaluating dopamine receptors using 11C-PHNO [2].

Some are the practical limitations in dynamic 4D PET imaging reviewed is following:-

- When the time resolution of the data acquisition is compared to the temporal features of the physiological process, the following results are obtained: The 3D PET frame requires a minimum number of collected counts and, as a result, a long enough acquisition time to achieve an acceptable SNR. The crucial dynamic information will be lost if the imaging time has expired the transients of the radiotracer kinetics in the tissue. The dynamics of the radiotracer and the isotope's half life require relatively small time frames in the 4D imaging process, making the resulting sequence challenging to evaluate owing to a paucity of counts per frame.
- Resolution loss and overflow between the tissue of interest and the blood pool or other neighboring tissues cause confounding: This issue may be seen on any PET picture. The activity of the backdrop due to the blood pool is modest in static pho-

tos, but all of the activity in the early images of a dynamic study is in the blood pool, though this scenario changes at later time periods. At various time points, the radiotracer redistribution has a distinct effect on the spillover.

- The difficulty of measuring the artery input function, which is necessary for kinetic modelling to produce quantitative results . Obtaining arterial blood samples from the patient throughout the scan is the most commonly used method for measuring arterial input function. This is an invasive and expensive method that prevents kinetic modelling from being widely used in therapeutic settings. Newer techniques (such as the image-derived input function) that attempt to extract the same information from the data set are currently being developed.

Other important issues are errors that arise owing to unavoidable patient movement originated by respiration, the heartbeat, or involuntary muscular movements.

In conclusion, 4D PET imaging provides more complete and valuable information than does static 3D imaging, but challenges remain, including the need to optimize acquisition protocols to collect data of sufficient quality—which are needed to obtain enough information to perform the kinetic modeling—and the increased complexity of data processing. These challenges will need to be addressed before dynamic 4D PET imaging can permeate into clinical practice.

## 8.2 Dosimetry and Image monitoring

Radiopharmaceuticals that selectively deliver the radiation dosage to the targeted tissue are used in targeted radionuclide treatment for cancer. The quality of 3D dose estimates determines the accuracy of 3D patient-specific dosimetry. These measurements are often made using a mix of single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) and CT scans, which allow for tumor volume calculation as well as 3D imaging of radionuclide distribution across time [7].

PET imaging is becoming a potentially useful tool for dosimetry in therapeutic procedures because it can provide 3D estimations of many radio labeled therapeutic and imaging agents. PET imaging is becoming a potentially useful tool for dosimetry in therapeutic procedures because it can provide 3D estimations of many

radio labeled therapeutic and imaging agents. As an example, iodine-131 ( $^{131}\text{I}$ )-labeled antibody for therapy can be combined with iodine-124 ( $^{124}\text{I}$ ) for PET imaging in patients with thyroid cancer, in a process in which 3D PET imaging-based dosimetry provides dose-volume histograms of the absorbed dose, and therefore of the tumor's response and of toxicity in normal organs. Similarly, yttrium-90 ( $^{90}\text{Y}$ ) TOF PET imaging is used to precisely quantify  $^{90}\text{Y}$ -DOTATOC (edotreotide) in somatostatin-receptor-based radionuclide therapy; it is also used on microspheres for radioembolization of liver tumors, even though the  $^{90}\text{Y}$  branching ratio for pair production yielding PET events is extremely low (34 disintegrations per min) [18].

Knowledge of the 3D distribution and quantification of a radiopharmaceutical are needed to accurately estimate the efficacy of the treatment. Valid dosimetry techniques that are suitable with clinical work in the context of preparation and acquisition time have been developed thanks to the creation of new, improved PET imaging protocols. PET imaging is particularly effective for precisely parameterizing lesion TACs in monoexponential models to quantify the total estimated activity concentration of a lesion. However, PET imaging still fails to predict the absorption of radiotherapeutic drugs in a large proportion of patients. The remaining challenges for imaging dosimetry in targeted radionuclide radiotherapy or charged particle-beam therapy are predicting and correcting the positron range of the isotopes used, as well as better scattering estimation and correction, both of which are magnified by unavoidable patient movement.

## 9 Multimodality Imaging Technique

Several researchers proposed a multimodal medical imaging system in the late 1980s and early 1990s that would combine the more understandable anatomical pictures from CT and MRI with the functional information offered by PET (or SPECT). Combining diverse modalities offered a new variety of imaging techniques after a period of development. The term work presented several combinations of modalities to improve the accuracy of the information by combining anatomical and functional information. Only a few of these combinations, such as PET and CT, PET and MRI, and SPECT and CT, have shown to be clinically and commercially successful. Similar issues apply in preclinical imaging,

however with a greater choice of PET, SPECT, MRI, and CT combinations, as well as triple-modality systems. Multimodality systems come with exchange in imaging performance and cost, and multimodality systems need knowledge in both, or all, of the combined modalities.

### 9.1 PET-CT Imaging

The primary goal of using combined PET-CT imaging is to accurately align the PET and CT images, which provide complementary information about the function by using PET and anatomy by using CT. Clinical PET-CT imaging has demonstrated its added value, and it is now the standard approach for diagnosing and staging many cancers. Nearsimultaneous PET and CT imaging provides information that is not available in separate PET and CT scans; thus, it improves the assessment of the primary tumor, and nodal and distant metastases. Although PET-CT imaging is primarily used in oncology, it has also been used to identify brown fat, which potentially has important implications for metabolic disorders related to diabetes. It also has applications in clinical and research cardiology. Preclinical PET-CT imaging has also become a standard imaging tool that is used in studies using mouse models [18]. CT image can also be used as the basis for correcting attenuation and scatter, along with offering substantial reductions in transmission imaging time and other secondary benefits.

Although PET and CT pictures are virtually synchronous in a combined system, they cannot be captured at the same time because the CT X-ray photon flow would overwhelm the PET detector system. As a result, there is a small time delay between the CT picture and the PET image, which is normally taken first. The potential of mobility between the CT and PET pictures is therefore allowed. When such movements occur, they might result in a variety of mistakes [2].

- An unknown spatial offset between the CT and PET scans might cause the diagnostic or staging criteria to change. It can be difficult to see whether a lung tumour is close or entering the chest wall or pleura, for example.
- A change in the attenuation correction might cause an inaccuracy, especially if PET radiotracer uptake occurs at a tissue border with high attenuation variances, such as the top of the liver or the skin surface.

- The attenuation-correction technique will transfer any artefacts or defects found in the CT picture to the PET image. Because the attenuation-correction procedure and iterative image-reconstruction techniques are nonlinear, the influence of these distortions on PET pictures is difficult to predict a priori.

In addition to the time difference between CT and PET image capture, the pictures themselves need different durations. A modern CT scanner can capture a picture comparable in a matter of seconds approximately 10s, whereas PET scanners can take anywhere from 5 to 20 minutes, depending on the acquisition methodology. These differences in acquisition time might cause mistakes due to respiratory motion.

## 9.2 PET–MR Imaging

Although combined PET–CT scanners were quickly established as clinical tools, the development of combined PET and MR imaging took longer due to the technological challenges that both modalities provide when used together. The greater capabilities of MRI for soft tissue imaging compared to CT, as well as the many types of imaging that may be conducted, are linked to the potential benefit achieved by combining PET and MR imaging. Furthermore, unlike PET–CT imaging, PET–MR imaging allows for true simultaneous imaging. Its integrated inline design makes this possible.

The simultaneous acquisition of PET and MRI data in small animals was first done in vivo in the late 1990s [18]. The majority of advancement in the sector was accomplished in preclinical scanners at first. It took a decade for the first human brain imaging technologies to be created. Although the PET imaging performance of these systems was poor, they were an important step in the development of the contemporary WB systems in clinical use. Early research comparing the diagnostic utility of PET–MR pictures to that of PET–CT imaging began with the advent of clinical PET–MR scanners. PET image data generated from PET–MR scanners is substantially equivalent to that received from PET–CT scanners, according to published PET–MR imaging studies.

## 10 Conclusion

Before the full potential of the sensitivity and accuracy of PET imaging can be exploited, chal-

lenges must be resolved, including the limited SNR, the development of cost-effective technologies, and the development of efficient workflows for clinical and research imaging. These limitations arise not only from the physics of PET imaging but also from limitations in the technology. The continued introduction of new detector technologies that use new, faster scintillators, along with the introduction of semiconductor detectors, has enabled simultaneous hybrid PET–MR imaging. Recent advances in processing scintillator crystals and coupling these to photodetectors have improved the performance of scanners built using these technologies, enabling new applications in cardiology, oncology, neurology, and pediatrics.

Advanced algorithms that can be used for image reconstruction and correction are improving the accuracy of quantitative imaging, and more sophisticated CT techniques, such as dual energy CT, have been successfully applied in preclinical imaging. Scatter and random effects, which have historically limited the image SNR, are being corrected by incorporating improved estimations of these effects in iterative image-reconstruction methods. These new, albeit computationally intensive, algorithms are demonstrating improved quantitative properties and improved lesion detection. Improvements in detector technology combined with enhanced image-reconstruction algorithms are enabling clinical applications of 4D (that is, 3D plus time) imaging, kinetic modeling, dosimetry, and even multi-isotope PET applications that provide more complete and accurate information about the physiology being studied.

Finally, multimodality imaging has been widely adopted by the scientific community as a way to gain a more comprehensive understanding of the effects of diseases and treatments. The PET–CT revolution has initiated a new way of looking at physiology and morphology simultaneously, and the new class of PET–MR systems is broadening the landscape of opportunities for clinical and preclinical applications, while at the same time presenting new challenges for clinicians using PET–MR systems and the engineers who are developing them. The continued introduction of new detector technologies, hybrid imaging, and advanced algorithms for image reconstruction and analysis make it clear that there will continue to be exciting developments in the technology. These developments will both address the challenges and enable new uses of PET in research and clinical imaging.



## References

- [1] Samira Abbaspour, Babak Mahmoudian, and Jalil Pirayesh Islamian. “Cadmium telluride semiconductor detector for improved spatial and energy resolution radioisotopic imaging”. In: *World journal of nuclear medicine* 16.2 (2017), p. 101.
- [2] Constantinos Anagnostopoulos et al. “Assessment of myocardial perfusion and viability by positron emission tomography”. In: *International journal of cardiology* 167.5 (2013), pp. 1737–1749.
- [3] Dale L Bailey, Joel S Karp, and Suleman Surti. “Physics and instrumentation in PET”. In: *Positron emission tomography*. Springer, 2005, pp. 13–39.
- [4] Lars H Brudin et al. “Fluorine-18 deoxyglucose uptake in sarcoidosis measured with positron emission tomography”. In: *European journal of nuclear medicine* 21.4 (1994), pp. 297–305.
- [5] Simon R Cherry, James A Sorenson, and Michael E Phelps. *Physics in nuclear medicine e-Book*. Elsevier Health Sciences, 2012.
- [6] Michael V Green et al. “High resolution PET, SPECT and projection imaging in small animals”. In: *Computerized medical imaging and graphics* 25.2 (2001), pp. 79–86.
- [7] Sara St James et al. “Current status of radiopharmaceutical therapy”. In: *International Journal of Radiation Oncology\* Biology\* Physics* 109.4 (2021), pp. 891–901.
- [8] Roger Lecomte. “Novel detector technology for clinical PET”. In: *European journal of nuclear medicine and molecular imaging* 36.1 (2009), pp. 69–85.
- [9] Paul Lecoq, Alexander Gektin, and Mikhail Korzhik. “How User’s Requirements Influence the Development of Scintillators”. In: *Inorganic Scintillators for Detector Systems*. Springer, 2017, pp. 43–101.
- [10] Sushmita Mitra and B Uma Shankar. “Medical image analysis for cancer management in natural computing framework”. In: *Information Sciences* 306 (2015), pp. 111–131.
- [11] Mohammad-Reza Mohammadian-Behbahani and Shahyar Saramad. “Pile-up correction algorithm based on successive integration for high count rate medical imaging and radiation spectroscopy”. In: *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* 897 (2018), pp. 1–7.
- [12] David Christopher Oxley. *A quantitative assessment of the performance of a planar germanium detector for PET imaging*. The University of Liverpool (United Kingdom), 2010.
- [13] Feng Qiao et al. “A motion-incorporated reconstruction method for gated PET studies”. In: *Physics in Medicine & Biology* 51.15 (2006), p. 3769.
- [14] Margarida Gonçalves Santos. “Biomaterials for PET diagnosis”. PhD thesis. Universidade de Coimbra, 2021.
- [15] Gary A Shaw et al. “Deep UV photon-counting detectors and applications”. In: *Advanced Photon Counting Techniques III*. Vol. 7320. International Society for Optics and Photonics, 2009, 73200J.
- [16] Virginia Ch Spanoudaki and Craig S Levin. “Photo-detectors for time of flight positron emission tomography (ToF-PET)”. In: *Sensors* 10.11 (2010), pp. 10484–10505.
- [17] Suleman Surti, Austin R Pantel, and Joel S Karp. “Total body PET: Why, how, what for?” In: *IEEE transactions on radiation and plasma medical sciences* 4.3 (2020), pp. 283–292.
- [18] Juan José Vaquero and Paul Kinahan. “Positron emission tomography: current challenges and opportunities for technological advances in clinical and preclinical imaging systems”. In: *Annual review of biomedical engineering* 17 (2015), pp. 385–414.
- [19] Jin Yang et al. “Eardrum-inspired active sensors for self-powered cardiovascular system characterization and throat-attached anti-interference voice recognition”. In: *Advanced Materials* 27.8 (2015), pp. 1316–1326.
- [20] Habib Zaidi and Alberto Del Guerra. “An outlook on future design of hybrid PET/MRI systems”. In: *Medical physics* 38.10 (2011), pp. 5667–5689.