

A Modular Three-Stage Fusion Framework for Future Applications

Mamuka Molashkhia

Zugdidi Public School No. 2, Zugdidi, Georgia

May 1, 2025

Abstract

Fusion energy offers a sustainable, clean energy source with applications on Earth and in space. This paper presents a modular three-stage fusion framework designed to achieve self-sustaining, aneutronic energy production with minimal external inputs. By integrating fuel production, intermediate energy generation, and main power output into a feedback loop, the system leverages deuterium-deuterium (D-D), deuterium-helium-3 (D-He-3), and proton-boron-11 (p-B-11) fusion processes. Computational simulations, implemented via a Python-based calculator, assess the framework's feasibility across modern, near-future, and theoretical maximum scenarios. While current technology yields a net energy deficit, near-future advancements enable positive energy output, with theoretical limits suggesting significant potential. This framework outlines a scalable path for fusion energy, identifying key technological challenges for future research.

1 Introduction

Fusion energy, the process powering stars, fuses light atomic nuclei to release vast energy, providing a clean alternative to fossil fuels and fission-based power [1]. Unlike conventional sources, fusion emits no greenhouse gases and, in aneutronic reactions, minimal radioactive waste [2]. Its potential spans terrestrial grids and extraterrestrial habitats, such as lunar bases or deep-space missions, where energy autonomy is vital [3]. However, fusion demands immense energy inputs to achieve the requisite temperatures and pressures, often exceeding outputs with current technology [4].

Dominant fusion research focuses on tokamaks and inertial confinement fusion (ICF) using deuterium-tritium (D-T) fuels [5, 6]. These systems, while advanced, require large infrastructures and external power. Aneutronic fusion, utilizing helium-3 or boron-11, reduces neutron emissions but demands higher ignition temperatures [7, 8]. This paper proposes a modular three-stage fusion framework that interlinks distinct fusion processes into a self-sustaining cycle, minimizing external resource reliance and enhancing adaptability for diverse applications.

2 Conceptual Framework

The framework comprises three interdependent stages, forming a scalable cycle adaptable to technological progress.

2.1 Stage 1: Fuel Production

This stage generates helium-3 via deuterium-deuterium (D-D) fusion [9]. Small-scale reactors, such as inertial electrostatic confinement (IEC) or Polywell designs, produce helium-3 despite low efficiencies [10]. Operating intermittently, Stage 1 stockpiles fuel for subsequent stages.

2.2 Stage 2: Intermediate Energy Generation

Stage 2 employs deuterium-helium-3 (D-He-3) fusion in a field-reversed configuration (FRC) reactor, yielding high-energy protons with minimal neutrons [11]. Requiring small helium-3 quantities, it bridges to Stage 3 by producing protons for ignition.

2.3 Stage 3: Main Power Generation

Stage 3 utilizes proton-boron-11 (p-B-11) fusion, an aneutronic process, for primary energy output [7]. Laser-compressed boron pellets, ignited by Stage 2 protons, aim for net energy gain. The system's innovation is its feedback loop: Stage 3 energy powers Stage 1, producing helium-3 for Stage 2, which generates protons for Stage 3, reducing external fuel dependency.

3 Technical Analysis

This section quantifies the framework's energy performance, focusing on Stage 3 and its reliance on Stages 1 and 2. The total net electrical energy is:

$$E_{\text{total}} = E_{\text{stage 3}} - E_{\text{grid}} \quad (1)$$

where $E_{\text{stage 3}}$ is Stage 3's net electrical output, and E_{grid} is the external energy input.

3.1 Stage 3 Energy Calculations

Stage 3's net electrical energy is:

$$E_{\text{stage3}} = E_{\text{stage3output}} - (E_{\text{ProtonBeam}} + E_{\text{Laser}})$$

Where:

$$E_{\text{stage3output}} = N_{\text{fuel}} \times f_{\text{burn}} \times E_{\text{fusion}} \times \epsilon_{\text{thermal}}$$

Symbols	Description	Units
N_{fuel}	number of ^{11}B ions	Particles
f_{burn}	the percentage of fuel that reacts in the fusion reaction	Decimals
E_{fusion}	the energy we get per fusion reaction	Joules
$\epsilon_{\text{thermal}}$	Efficiency of converting to useful electricity	Decimals

$$E_{ProtonBeam} = \frac{N_{proton} \times T_{proton} \times 1.602 \times 10^{-19}}{\epsilon_{driver}}$$

Symbols	Description	Units
$E_{ProtonBeam}$	Energy for the proton beam	Joules
N_{proton}	Required amount of protons	Particles
T_{proton}	Energy/temperature of protons	eV
ϵ_{driver}	Efficiency of the proton beam	decimals

$$E_{laser} = \frac{\frac{3}{2} \times N_{fuel} \times K_B \times (\Delta T)}{\epsilon_{laser}}$$

Symbols	Description	Units
N_{fuel}	Number of ions in the fuel	particles
K_B	Boltzmann's constant	1.380649×10 ⁻²³ J/K
ΔT	Difference between the desired temperature and the starting temperature	Kelvin(K)
ϵ_{laser}	Laser to plasma coupling, or the efficiency of delivering energy via the laser	decimals

3.2 Grid Energy Input

Grid energy includes ignition and sustainment costs:

$$E_{grid} = E_{ignition1} + E_{sustain1} + E_{ignition2} \quad (6)$$

Ignition energy for Stages 1 and 2, corrected for accuracy, is:

$$E_{ignition1-2} = n \times V \times \left(\frac{3}{2} \times K_B \times T + E_{ion} \right) \quad (7)$$

Where

Symbols	Description	Units
n	Deuterium ion density	m^{-3}
V	Plasma volume	m^3
K_B	Boltzmann constant	$1.380649 \times 10^{-23} \text{ J/K}$
T	Plasma temperature	Kelvin, or eV
E_{ion}	Ionization energy per particle	J

Stage 1 sustainment energy is:

$$E_{sustain1} = (P_{magnet} + P_{ElectronInjection} - P_{fusion}) \times t_{operation} \quad (8)$$

Where:

$$P_{magnet} = \frac{B^2 \times V}{2\mu_o \times \epsilon_{magnet}}$$

Symbols	Description	Units
P_{magnet}	Power for magnetic confinement	W
B	Magnetic field strength	T
V	Plasma volume	m^3
μ_o	Permeability of free space	$4\pi \times 10^{-7} \text{ H/m}$
ϵ_{magnet}	Magnet efficiency	decimals

$$P_{elec} = I_e \times V_e$$

Symbols	Description	Units
P_{elec}	Power for electron injection	W
I_e	Electron current	A
V_e	Electron drive voltage	V

$$P_{fusion} = \frac{1}{2} \times n^2 \times \langle \sigma v \rangle \times E_{fusion} \times V$$

Symbols	Description	Units
P_{fusion}	Power produced by D-D fusion, which reduces external input.	W
n	Deuterium ion density	m^{-3}
$\langle \sigma v \rangle$	D-D fusion reactivity. Temperature dependent	m^3/s
E_{fusion}	Energy per D-D fusion reaction	eV
V	Plasma volume	m^3

. Operation time, corrected from $\frac{1}{18}$ to $\frac{1}{4}$ based on D-D branching ratios, is:

$$t_{operation} = \frac{N_{Helium-3}}{\frac{1}{4} \times n^2 \times \langle \sigma v \rangle \times V} \quad (12)$$

3.3 Helium-3 Requirements

Helium-3 mass for Stage 2, driving Stage 3 ignition, is:

$$m = \frac{E_{Stage2Output}}{E_{PerGram} \times Q}$$

where Q is Stage 2's Q-value, and $E_{per\ gram\ He3} = 5.87 \times 10^{11} \text{ J/g}$.

4 Computational Results

A Python-based fusion energy calculator (available in supplementary materials) simulated the framework using modern, near-future, and maximum theoretical parameters.

4.1 Modern Values

With current technology:

- Stage-3 net energy: -0.445MJ
- Grid energy input: 0.444MJ

- Total net energy: -0.889MJ
- He-3 required: $0.574\mu\text{g}$
- Stage 1 operation time: 0.459s

Negative output reflects current inefficiencies.

4.2 Near-Future Values

With moderate advancements:

- Stage-3 net energy: 248.597MJ
- Grid energy input: 110.601MJ
- Total net energy: 137.996MJ
- He-3 required: $192.013\mu\text{g}$
- Stage 1 operation time: 0.001s

Positive output suggests near-term viability.

4.3 Maximum Theoretical Values

Under optimal conditions:

- Stage-3 net energy: $1,348,028.754\text{MJ}$
- Grid energy input: $524,767.712\text{MJ}$
- Total net energy: $823,261.042\text{MJ}$
- He-3 required: $413,264.589\mu\text{g}$
- Stage 1 operation time: $\approx 0\text{s}$

This highlights the framework's potential with advanced technology.

5 Applications and Challenges

The framework promises scalable, clean energy for Earth and autonomous power for space habitats [1, 3]. Challenges include:

- **Helium-3 Production:** Low-efficiency IEC reactors require design improvements [10].
- **Energy Transfer:** Proton and energy delivery across stages demands precision [14].
- **Technology:** High-efficiency lasers, superconductors, and materials are critical [13].

6 Conclusion

This modular three-stage fusion framework offers a theoretical path to self-sustaining, aneutronic energy production. While currently unfeasible, simulations indicate viability with near-future advancements, with significant potential under theoretical maxima. Continued research into reactor efficiency and inter-stage energy transfer is essential to realize fusion's promise.

References

- [1] Katoch, G., et al. (2025). Fusion energy: A sustainable pathway to meeting future global energy demands. *Discover Sustainability*, 6, 221.
- [2] National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. (2021). *Bringing fusion to the U.S. grid*. The National Academies Press.
- [3] Meschini, S., et al. (2023). Review of commercial nuclear fusion projects. *Frontiers in Energy Research*, 11, 1157394.
- [4] Wurzel, S. E., & Hsu, S. C. (2022). Progress toward fusion energy breakeven and gain. *Physics of Plasmas*, 29(6), 062103.
- [5] Wesson, J. (2011). *Tokamaks* (4th ed.). Oxford University Press.
- [6] Lindl, J. D., et al. (2004). The physics basis for ignition using indirect-drive ICF targets. *Physics of Plasmas*, 11(2), 339–491.
- [7] Magee, R. M., et al. (2023). First measurements of p-11B fusion in a magnetically confined plasma. *Nature Communications*, 14, 955.
- [8] Cai, J., et al. (2022). A study of the requirements of p-11B fusion reactor by tokamak system code. *Fusion Science and Technology*, 78(1), 149–163.
- [9] Mahdavi, M., & Koohrokhi, T. (2010). Simultaneous catalytic regime of tritium and helium-3 in D-D fusion. *Pramana - Journal of Physics*, 74, 377–390.

- [10] Wulfkühler, J.-P., et al. (2024). A systematic approach to modelling geometries of IEC fusion devices. *Scientific Reports*, 14, 2261.
- [11] Helion Energy. (2023). How does Helion generate electricity? *Helion Energy FAQs*.
- [12] Freidberg, J. P. (2007). *Plasma physics and fusion energy*. Cambridge University Press.
- [13] Batani, D. (2024). Inertial confinement fusion: Recent results and perspectives. *EPJ Web of Conferences*, 310, 00013.
- [14] National Research Council. (2013). *Assessment of inertial confinement fusion targets*. The National Academies Press.

7 Appendix

Provided here are the values taken for the computational model, as well as the link to the python tool.

7.1 Modern values

Parameter	Value	Units	Explanation
Mass of B-11 fuel	0.001	g	Small-scale experimental runs
Burn-up fraction	0.01	Decimal	Low due to current fusion challenges
Thermal efficiency	0.3	Decimal	Typical for modern power plants
Proton driver efficiency	0.2	Decimal	Current particle accelerator efficiency
Temperature rise	1×10^7	K	Needed for fusion initiation
Laser coupling efficiency	0.1	Decimal	Standard for inertial confinement
Number of protons in beam	1×10^{18}	Particles	Feasible for small experiments
Ion density	1×10^{21}	m ⁻³ m ³	Common in magnetic confinement
Plasma volume	0.01		Small experimental reactors
Stage 1 ignition temperature	1×10^8	K	Approximate for deuterium Same as Stage 1
Stage 2 ignition temperature	2×10^8	K	At moderate temperatures
Ionization energy (Stage 1)	2×10^{-18}	J J	Current superconducting magnets
Ionization energy (Stage 2)	2×10^{-18}	m ³ /s	
ReactivityMagnetic field $\langle\sigma v\rangle$ for D-D	2×10^{-18}	T	
	1×10^{-22}		
Magnet efficiency	0.8	Decimal	Reasonable for today's systems
Electron current	100	A	Standard for auxiliary heating
Electron drive voltage	1×10^4	V	Typical for electron injection
Stage-2 Q-value	0.5	Unitless	Below breakeven, current limits

7.2 Near-Future Values

Parameter	Value	Units	Explanation
Mass of B-11 fuel	0.1	g	Larger fuel for sustained experiments
Burn-up fraction	0.1	Decimal	Better confinement and drivers
Thermal efficiency	0.4	Decimal	Improved heat transfer systems
Proton driver efficiency	0.4	Decimal	Advances in accelerators
Temperature rise	5×10^7	K	Higher temps for better reaction rates
Laser coupling efficiency	0.2	Decimal	Improved laser-plasma interaction
Number of protons in beam	1×10^{20}	Particles	Larger beams for more energy
Ion density	5×10^{21}	m ⁻³ m ³	Denser plasmas for fusion
Plasma volume	1		Medium-sized reactors
Stage 1 ignition temperature	5×10^8	K	Higher for improved reactivity
Stage 2 ignition temperature	1×10^9	K	Even higher for Stage 2
Ionization energy (Stage 1)	2×10^{-18}	J J	Unchanged
Ionization energy (Stage 2)	2×10^{-18}	m ³ /s	Unchanged
ReactivityMagnetic field $\langle\sigma v\rangle$ for D-D	2×10^{-18}	T	At higher temperatures
	5×10^{-21}		Stronger fields with advanced magnets
	10		
Magnet efficiency	0.9	Decimal	Improved magnet designs
Electron current	1000	A	Higher currents for heating
Electron drive voltage	5×10^4	V	More effective injection
Stage-2 Q-value	2	Unitless	Above breakeven, net energy gain

7.2.1 Required Advancements for Near-future

- High-temperature superconductors
- Improved laser systems
- Advanced plasma diagnostics and control
- Efficient particle accelerators
- Better heat transfer materials

7.3 Maximum Theoretical Values

Parameter	Value	Units	Explanation
Mass of B-11 fuel	100	g	
Burn-up fraction	0.3	Decimal	Near max for p-11B fusion
Thermal efficiency	0.6	Decimal	Upper limit for energy conversion
Proton driver efficiency	0.7	Decimal	Highly efficient accelerators
Temperature rise	1×10^8	K	Optimal reaction rates
Laser coupling efficiency	0.5	Decimal	Near-perfect energy transfer
Number of protons in beam	1×10^{22}	Particles	Massive beams for high output
Ion density	1×10^{23}	m ⁻³ m3	Ultra-dense plasmas
Plasma volume	100		Large reactor volumes
Stage 1 ignition temperature	1×10^9	K	Very high for D-D fusion
Stage 2 ignition temperature	2×10^9	K	Even higher for Stage 2
Ionization energy (Stage 1)	2×10^9	J J	Lower due to advanced techniques
Ionization energy (Stage 2)	1×10^{-18}	m ³ /s	
ReactivityMagnetic field(σv)	1×10^{-18}	T	At extremely high temperatures
for D-D	1×10^{-20}		Exceptionally strong fields
	20		
Magnet efficiency	0.95	Decimal	Near-ideal performance
Electron current	1×10^4	A	Very high currents for heating
Electron drive voltage	1×10^5	V	Maximum feasible voltage
Stage-2 Q-value	10	Unitless	High gain, highly efficient system

7.3.1 Required Advances For Maximum Theoretical Values

- Breakthroughs in plasma confinement
- Ultra-efficient laser/particle beams
- Advanced materials
- AI-driven plasma control

Link to the python tool:

<https://gist.github.com/mamukicha/cf57c287f4e91f0ab4d5e6fa801e5e3c>