

Komaba campus, the University of Tokyo

# Effects of intervocalic voicing on diminutive -*kko* suffixation in Tohoku Japanese



Mamoru Yakuwa<sup>1</sup>, Chuyu Huang<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Aoyama Gakuin University, <sup>2</sup>Nagoya Gakuin University

February 28, 2026

# Self-introduction

Mamoru Yakuwa (八鍬 守) [website](#) [e-mail: myakuwa2005@gmail.com](mailto:myakuwa2005@gmail.com)

- BA student at Aoyama Gakuin University
- **Domains:** [Generative phonology](#) and [laboratory phonology](#), focusing on morphophonological phenomena in French and Japanese.
- Working to connect generative and psychological approaches.

# Generative phonology: a key issue

## Takeaway

Generative phonology has typically assumed **one-to-one mapping**.

- *SPE*-style phonology (Chomsky & Halle, 1968) and Optimality Theory (Prince & Smolensky, 2004).
- Underlying representation (input) maps to surface representation (output).

## Issue

Language exhibits **variation** and is not always **categorical**.

- This conflicts with strict formalization.
- It motivates experimental approaches.

# Formalizing variation

**Maximum Entropy Harmonic Grammar** (Goldwater & Johnson, 2003; Hayes & Wilson, 2008).

(1) Demonstrating hypocoristics of “Reiwa idols” (Yakuwa, 2026)

| Base     | Hypoc             | *Redup<br>$w = 2.29$ | *Obs→Female<br>$w = 14.25$ | $H$ -score | $e^H$   | Obs   | Exp    |
|----------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|------------|---------|-------|--------|
| nagi(sa) | na.gi             |                      | -1                         | -14.25     | 1.5e+6  | 100%  | >99.9% |
| nagi(sa) | na. <b>gi.gi</b>  | -1                   | -2                         | -30.80     | 2.4e+13 | 0%    | <0.1%  |
| mire(e)  | mi.ree            |                      |                            | 0          | 1       | 90.8% | 90.8%  |
| mire(e)  | mi. <b>re.ree</b> | -1                   |                            | -2.29      | 9.90    | 9.2%  | 9.2%   |

# Statistical modeling

## From tests to modeling

Problems with null hypothesis significance tests (NHSTs).

- Threshold of p-value: Type-I and II errors.
- Sensitivity to sample size.
- “Replication crisis” problem.

## From frequentist to Bayesian

Estimating what we do not know.

## Open science

Data accessibility via Open Science Framework (OSF: [link](#))

## Intuitive practice for inferential analysis!!

# /ko/ suffixation in Tohoku Japanese

(2) /ko/ diminutives in Tohoku Japanese (Kushibiki, 2014)

- a. *ame-kko* 'candy-DIM'
- b. *o-cha-kko* 'HON-tea-DIM'
- c. *sensei-kko* 'teacher-DIM'

## Restriction

**\*<...ko + -kko>**

e.g. \**hanko-kko*, 'stamp-DIM', \**sooko-kko* 'shelf-DIM'

# Effect of voicing

- When the base-final /ko/ is voiced to [go], this restriction does not apply. (Abe, 1999)
  - **Intervocalic voicing (IV): In Tohoku Japanese, /k/ and /t/ between vowels voice to /g/ and /d/. (Inoue, 1968)**
- (3) Compatibility of voiced /ko/ with the diminutive suffix /ko/ (Abe, 1999)
- ✓ *tago-kko*      ‘octopus-DIM’
  - ✓ *hago-kko*      ‘box-DIM’
  - ✓ *nogo-kko*      ‘saw-DIM’

# Research questions

- ❶ How productive is *-kko* suffixation for /ko/-ending bases?
- ❷ Is the /ko/ contiguity prohibition stable across the Tohoku region?
  - Abe (1999) only refers to *Hirosaki-shi* (Aomori) Japanese.
- ❸ Is the /ko/ contiguity prohibition actually observed?
  - This study aims to replicate the effect experimentally.
  - Does IV affect /ko/ adjacency?
- ❹ How do region and generation affect the pattern?
- ❺ What is the underlying representation: /ko/ or /kko/?
  - How is it accounted by phonological theory?



# Procedure

- Production experiment in which participants produce *-kko*-suffixed diminutives.
  - They are asked to add *-kko* for each stimulus after a brief instruction.
  - If the corresponding *-kko* diminutive form is not natural, they pronounce “I do not say it”.
- Online experiment coded with jsPsych (De Leeuw, 2015) and conducted on Cognition.run.

# Participants

- 32 people born and raised in the Tohoku region (Aomori, Akita, Iwate, Yamagata, Miyagi, Fukushima) were recruited via Croudworks.
- Another 17 people were recruited via snowball sampling.
  - The critical period for their native dialect is defined as up to junior high school graduation.
- Participants were also asked about their gender, age, and residence history.

# Participants

- $N = 49$ ,  $F = 25$ ,  $M = 24$
- Mean age = 44.16  
SD = 16.54
- Aomori: 6  
Akita: 11  
Iwate: 9  
Yamagata: 5  
Miyagi: 12  
Fukushima: 6




Figure: Participants' residences during the critical period

# Carrier sentence

- Participants were instructed to fill the blank in the carrier sentence below with a /ko/-suffixed diminutive of the given stimulus.
- Dialect-specific expressions were used to elicit dialectal features.
- The carrier sentence was taken from Huang et al. (2023).

## Actual carrier sentence

*\_\_dabe. ndanda, \_\_ da.*  
'It should be \_\_, yeah, it is \_\_.

# Stimuli

- Eighteen stimulus words were prepared.
  - Each word ends with /ko/
- Half contain intervocalic /k/.
  - Intervocalic /k/ is expected to undergo voicing, whereas the others are not.
- Non-intervocalic environments
  - 1 .../N (nasal mora) ko/ as in *kinko*
  - 2 .../Q (geminate mora) ko/ as in *iburigakko*
  - 3 .../R (long vowel) ko/ as in *sooko*

# Entire stimuli

| Intervocalic    |                 | Non-intervocalic  |                     |
|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| <i>komugiko</i> | ‘flour’         | <i>osinko</i>     | ‘a kind of pickles’ |
| <i>tarako</i>   | ‘cod roe’       | <i>rakko</i>      | ‘otter’             |
| <i>tabako</i>   | ‘cigarette’     | <i>iburigakko</i> | ‘a kind of pickles’ |
| <i>taiko</i>    | ‘drum’          | <i>kinko</i>      | ‘vault’             |
| <i>ochoko</i>   | ‘a kind of cup’ | <i>hiyayakko</i>  | ‘tofu’              |
| <i>hudebako</i> | ‘pencil case’   | <i>sooko</i>      | ‘warehouse’         |
| <i>namako</i>   | ‘sea cucumber’  | <i>patiNko</i>    | ‘pinball game’      |
| <i>takenoko</i> | ‘bamboo sprout’ | <i>anko</i>       | ‘bean paste’        |
| <i>neko</i>     | ‘cat’           | <i>inko</i>       | ‘parakeet’          |

# Online experiment sample




Figure: Screenshot of experiment interface

# Data cleansing

- Recorded tokens were converted from BASE64 to 16 kHz monaural WAV files using Python.
- Stimulus words that participants altered were excluded.  
e.g., *tyape* for *neko* ‘cat’ *dego-duge* for *osinko* ‘a kind of pickles’
- Finally, **631 tokens** from **46 participants** were analysed.
  - Sample size: **optional stopping** (Rouder, 2014).
  - Optional stopping protocol: 95% CI  $\geq 0.0$  and BF  $\geq 3.0$ .



# Raw data




Figure: Acceptance and voice response rates by prefecture

# Inferential analysis: model

## • Bayesian mixed effects model

- `brms` package (Bürkner, 2017) of R (R Core Team, 2025), implemented with R Studio.
- **Prior setting**: normal (3,0) weakly informative prior (Lemoine, 2019).
- **MCMC**: 4 chains, each with 2,000 iterations, were run. The first 1,000 iterations were discarded as warmup. No thinning (`thin=1`).

### Model formula

$$\underbrace{\overbrace{\textit{acceptance}}^{\text{dependent variable}} \sim \underbrace{\overbrace{\textit{voi\_qual} + \textit{pref} + \textit{age\_group}}^{\text{independent variable (not interacted)}}}_{\text{fixed factor}} + \underbrace{(1|\textit{stimuli}) + (1|\textit{participant})}_{\text{random factor}}}_{\text{fixed factor}}$$

# Inferential analysis: Overall result

| Parameter            | Estimate | pd            | lower limit of 95%CI | upper limit of 95%CI | R̂   |
|----------------------|----------|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|------|
| voiceless - voiced   | 3.55     | <b>99.10%</b> | <b>0.24</b>          | <b>7.59</b>          | 1.00 |
| younger - middle     | 1.39     | 66.30%        | -5.64                | 8.02                 | 1.00 |
| younger - elder      | 2.80     | 72.95%        | -7.01                | 11.52                | 1.00 |
| middle - elder       | 1.32     | 64.12%        | -7.52                | 9.96                 | 1.00 |
| Aomori - Akita       | -2.30    | 72.90%        | -9.58                | 5.38                 | 1.00 |
| Aomori - Iwate       | -4.30    | 86.08%        | -12.06               | 3.46                 | 1.00 |
| Aomori - Yamagata    | -3.21    | 77.65%        | -11.52               | 4.90                 | 1.00 |
| Aomori - Miyagi      | -2.06    | 69.67%        | -9.04                | 5.57                 | 1.00 |
| Aomori - Fukushima   | 2.66     | 68.53%        | -7.67                | 14.10                | 1.00 |
| Akita - Iwate        | -2.00    | 71.25%        | -8.69                | 5.65                 | 1.00 |
| Akita - Yamagata     | -0.96    | 59.72%        | -8.62                | 6.65                 | 1.00 |
| Akita - Miyagi       | 0.30     | 54.20%        | -6.76                | 7.35                 | 1.00 |
| Akita - Fukushima    | 5.01     | 82.85%        | -6.85                | 15.25                | 1.00 |
| Iwate - Yamagata     | 1.00     | 60.22%        | -6.89                | 8.36                 | 1.00 |
| Iwate - Miyagi       | 2.29     | 74.17%        | -4.96                | 9.65                 | 1.00 |
| Iwate - Fukushima    | 7.08     | 89.85%        | -4.32                | 18.02                | 1.00 |
| Yamagata - Miyagi    | 1.23     | 62.40%        | -7.10                | 8.62                 | 1.00 |
| Yamagata - Fukushima | 5.91     | 85.55%        | -5.13                | 17.79                | 1.00 |
| Miyagi - Fukushima   | 4.62     | 81.92%        | -5.93                | 15.73                | 1.00 |
| Participant          | 11.20    | —             | 5.05                 | 22.79                | 1.00 |
| Stimuli              | 1.00     | —             | 0.04                 | 3.01                 | 1.00 |

# Key findings from the result

- The four chains mixed well ( $\hat{R} = 1.00$ ).
- **Intervocalic voicing facilitates the acceptance of -kko suffixation.**  
95% CI: [0.24-7.59], pd = 99.10%: **probably present** (Makowski, Ben-Shachar, Chen, & Lüdecke, 2019).
- Regional effects are **not supported**.
- Generational effects are also **not supported**.
  - All 95% CI ranges include 0 and pds are too low to be compelling.
- **Inter-speaker variation** (Estimate = 11.20).
- Small stimulus-level variation (Estimate = 1.00).

# Identity avoidance

- UR of [kko] → /**kko**/ or /**ko**/?
- **Identity avoidance** (IA) (Yip, 1998)  
Adjacency of identical segments is prohibited.
- Moraic identity avoidance in Japanese: **OCP(CV)**

Evidence 1: Sano (2013) —

(4) IA in *ra*-deletion (*ra-nuki*)

- kari-**rare**-ru →<sup>✓</sup> [kari-re-ru] ‘can borrow’
- ire-**rare**-ru →<sup>?</sup> [ire-re-ru] ‘can insert’

(5) IA in potential *sa*-insertion

- ik-ase-ru →<sup>✓</sup> [ik-**as**ase-ru] ‘have somebody go’
- tobas-ase-ru →<sup>\*</sup> [tobas-**as**ase-ru] ‘have somebody fly’

# Identity avoidance

## Evidence 2: Rendaku

### (6) IA in Rendaku (Sato, 1989)

- a. tobi-hi \*tobi-bi 'flew-fire'
- b. kizu-tukeru \*kizu-dukeru 'to hurt'

- Kawahara and Sano (2014) nonce word experiment

### (7) **IA plays a role in catalyzing rendaku**

- a. ika-[ka→ga]-kaniro > ika-[ta→da]niro
- b. iga-[ka→ga]niro < ida-[ka→ga]niro

# Underlying representation

/ko-kko/: Two /ko/s are **not adjacent**.

→ IA is not applicable.

## Proposal

- ① *neko*  
↓ Intervocalic voicing
- ② *nego*  
↓ Suffixation (+*ko*)
- ③ *nego-ko*  
↓ Gemination
- ④ *nego-kko*

- ① *neko*  
↓ Suffixation (+*ko*)
- ② No output

# Conclusion

- ❶ *-kko* suffixation for /ko/-ending bases is not much productive.
- ❷ Intervocalic voicing of [go] facilitates acceptance of diminutive /ko/ adjacency.
  - This supports the literature (Abe, 1999).
- ❸ Regional and generational differences were not confirmed.
- ❹ Proposal: *-kko* suffixation is **opaque**.
  - **UR:** /ko/
  - A serial derivation can account for it.



## References

- Abe, T. (1999). Descriptive study on semantics of diminutive /-ko/: evidence from Tsugaru and Hirosaki dialect of Aomori prefecture [sishooji /-ko/ ni kansuru imi-kijutu: Aomori-ken tugaru-tihou, hirosakihougen o rei ni]. *Research note of sociolinguistics, Osaka University*, 1, 16–24.
- Bürkner, P.-C. (2017). *brms: An R Package for Bayesian Multilevel Models Using Stan*. (Pages: 1 Publication Title: J. Stat. Softw. Volume: 80)
- Chomsky, N., & Halle, M. (1968). The sound pattern of English.
- De Leeuw, J. R. (2015). jsPsych: A JavaScript library for creating behavioral experiments in a Web browser. *Behavior Research Methods*, 47(1), 1–12.
- Goldwater, S., & Johnson, M. (2003). Learning OT constraint rankings using a maximum entropy model. In *Proceedings of the workshop on variation within Optimality Theory* (pp. 111–120).
- Hayes, B., & Wilson, C. (2008). A maximum entropy model of phonotactics and phonotactic learning. *Linguistic inquiry*, 39(3), 379–440.
- Huang, C., Matsui, S., Watabe, N., Noguchi, H., Hashimoto, A., Mizoguchi, A., & Kitahara, M. (2023). Neutralization and secondary acoustic cues of voicing contrast: A Tohoku and Tokyo Japanese production experiment. In *Proceedings of ICPhS 2023*.
- Inoue, H. (1968). Consonant System of the Tōhoku Dialect [Tōhoku-hougen no siin taikēi]. *GENGO KENKYU (Journal of the Linguistic Society of Japan)*, 52, 80–98.
- Kawahara, S., & Sano, S.-i. (2014). Identity avoidance and Lyman's law. *Lingua*, 150, 71–77.
- Kushibiki, Y. (2014). Research on diminutives of "ame-kko", "ame-tyan", "Bombonica" ["amekko", "ametyan", "Bonbonica" no sishooji]. *Asia gakka nempou*, 8, 28–35.
- Lemoine, N. P. (2019). Moving beyond noninformative priors: why and how to choose weakly informative priors in Bayesian analyses. *Oikos*, 128(7), 912–928.
- Makowski, D., Ben-Shachar, M. S., Chen, S. A., & Lüdtke, D. (2019). Indices of effect existence and significance in the Bayesian framework. *Frontiers in psychology*, 10, 2767.
- Prince, A., & Smolensky, P. (2004). Optimality Theory: Constraint interaction in generative grammar. *Optimality Theory in phonology: A reader*, 1–71.
- R Core Team. (2025). *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Retrieved from <https://www.R-project.org/>
- Rouder, J. N. (2014). Optional stopping: No problem for Bayesians. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 21(2), 301–308.
- Sano, S. (2013). Violable and Inviolable OCP Effects on Linguistic Changes: Evidence from Verbal Inflections in Japanese. *MIT Working Papers in Linguistics*, 66, 145–156.
- Sato, Y. (1989). Rules of accent and rendaku in compounds [hukugou-go ni okru akusento kisoku to rendaku kisoku]. *Lecture on Japanese and education of Japanese language, phonetics and phonology of Japanese (upper volume)*, 2, 233–265.
- Yakuwa, M. (2026). Paruru? Paru-tan? Different trends of hypocoristics formations in Heisei-idols and Reiwa-idols and MaxEnt simulation. *Linguistics Fes. 2026*. Retrieved from [https://mamyaku31.github.io/mamoruyakuwa\\_home/poster.pdf](https://mamyaku31.github.io/mamoruyakuwa_home/poster.pdf)
- Yip, M. (1998). *Identity avoidance in phonology and morphology*. Retrieved 2025-11-25, from <http://roa.rutgers.edu/files/82-0000/roa-82-yip-3.pdf.gz>