

CS535 - Natural Language Processing Section:MDS-1A

Date: 28-Nov-22

Instructor: Dr. MUHAMMAD SARIM

Registered Students: 41

Received Feedbacks: 10

4=Strongly Agree, 3=Agree, 2=Uncertain, 1=Dissatisfied, 0=Strongly Disagree Rating Formula: $\text{Sum}(Q.Wgt \times Avg) / \text{Sum}(Q.Wgt)$

Question	CGPA Range:	3.00 - 4.00					2.00 - 2.99					0.00 - 1.99					Wt.	Avg.	Prod
	4	3	2	1	0	4	3	2	1	0	4	3	2	1	0				
Course Content and organization																			
1 The course objective was clear	6	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.5	0	
2 The course workload was manageable	6	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3.7	3.7	
3 The course was well organized (e.g. timely access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	6	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3.5	3.5	
Teacher Evaluation																			
4 The instructor is prepared for each class	5	1	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3.5	3.5	
5 The instructor demonstrates knowledge of the subject	5	1	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3.4	3.4	
6 The instructor has completed the whole course	5	1	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3.4	3.4	
7 The instructor provides additional material apart from the textbook	5	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.2	0	
8 The instructor communicates the subject matter effectively	5	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3.5	3.5	
9 The instructor shows respect towards students and encourages class participation	5	2	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3.7	3.7	
10 The instructor arrived on time	4	2	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3.2	3.2	
11 The instructor left on time	5	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3.5	3.5	
12 The instructor is fair in examination	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3.3	3.3	
13 The instructor returned the graded scripts etc. in a reasonable amount of time	3	1	1	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	2.4	2.4	
14 The instructor was available during the specified office hours	5	0	2	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.2	0	
15 The subject matter presented in the course has increased your knowledge of the subject	5	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3.5	3.5	
16 The assignments and exams covered the materials presented in the course	6	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3.6	3.6	
17 The course Material is modern and updated	6	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.5	0	
Student Contribution																			
18 Did you actively participated in this course	6	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.5	0	
19 Did you learn from this course	6	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3.4	3.4	
Learning Environment and Teaching methods																			
20 Was this course well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (there was a good balance of lectures, tutorials, practicals etc.)	5	1	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3.2	3.2	
21 Did the learning and teaching methods encouraged participation?	6	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3.6	3.6	
22 Was classroom environment conducive to learning?	6	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.6	0	
23 Were classrooms satisfactory?	6	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.7	0	
Learning Resources																			
24 Recommended reading books etc. were relevant and appropriate	3	2	1	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.9	0	
25 Provision of learning resources in the library was adequate and appropriate (if relevant)	4	1	2	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	
Quality of Delivery																			
26 The course stimulated my interest and thought in the subject area	4	1	2	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3.2	3.2	
27 Pace of the course was appropriate	5	2	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3.4	3.4	

CS535 - Natural Language Processing Section:MDS-1ADate: **28-Nov-22**

Instructor: Dr. MUHAMMAD SARIM

Registered Students: **41**Received Feedbacks: **10**

28 Ideas and concepts were presented clearly	5	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3.4	3.4
Instructor/TA evaluation																		
29 I understood the lectures	5	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.5	0
30 The material was well organized and presented	7	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	3.6	3.6
31 The instructor was responsive to student needs and problems	4	3	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3.5	3.5
32 Had the instructor been regular throughout the course?	4	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3.4	3.4
Tutorial																		
33 The material in the tutorials was useful	5	1	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.4	0
34 I was happy with the amount of work needed for tutorials	6	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.6	0
35 The tutor dealt effectively with my problems	6	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.5	0
															Total: 27		74.9	%
															Overall Rating: 69.35			

Comments**Overall Evaluation****The best features of the course were:**

- 1 Well organized course material and content.
- 2 In depth understanding of concepts

The course could have been improved by:

- 3 None

Teacher Evaluation**Comments/Suggestions about course**

- 4 Could include labs to make it more interesting.

Learning Environment and Teaching methods**Comments, if any**

- 5 Great teacher, great teaching style

Learning Resources**Learning materials (Lesson Plans, Course Notes etc.) were relevant and useful**

- 6 Yes