

CS518 - Software Quality Assurance Section:MSP-1A

Date: 28-Nov-22

Instructor: Syed Tassuduq Hussain

Registered Students: 37

Received Feedbacks: 16

4=Strongly Agree, 3=Agree, 2=Uncertain, 1=Dissatisfied, 0=Strongly Disagree Rating Formula: $\text{Sum}(Q.Wgt \times \text{Avg}) / \text{Sum}(Q.Wgt)$

	CGPA Range:					3.00 - 4.00					2.00 - 2.99					0.00 - 1.99							
Question	4	3	2	1	0	4	3	2	1	0	4	3	2	1	0	Wt.	Avg.	Prod					
Course Content and organization																							
1 The course objective was clear	4	5	1	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	3.38	0					
2 The course workload was manageable	3	6	1	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	3.25	3.25					
3 The course was well organized (e.g. timely access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	4	5	1	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	3.44	3.44					
Teacher Evaluation																							
4 The instructor is prepared for each class	5	4	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	3.44	3.44					
5 The instructor demonstrates knowledge of the subject	5	4	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	3.5	3.5					
6 The instructor has completed the whole course	5	4	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	3.44	3.44					
7 The instructor provides additional material apart from the textbook	4	4	2	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	3.38	0					
8 The instructor communicates the subject matter effectively	4	5	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	3.44	3.44					
9 The instructor shows respect towards students and encourages class participation	4	5	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	3.44	3.44					
10 The instructor arrived on time	4	5	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	3.38	3.38					
11 The instructor left on time	4	5	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	3.44	3.44					
12 The instructor is fair in examination	5	4	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	3.44	3.44					
13 The instructor returned the graded scripts etc. in a reasonable amount of time	4	3	2	1	0	3	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	3.19	3.19					
14 The instructor was available during the specified office hours	4	5	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3.38	0					
15 The subject matter presented in the course has increased your knowledge of the subject	4	5	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	3.44	3.44					
16 The assignments and exams covered the materials presented in the course	4	5	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	3.31	3.31					
17 The course Material is modern and updated	5	4	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	3.5	0					
Student Contribution																							
18 Did you actively participated in this course	5	4	1	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	3.44	0					
19 Did you learn from this course	4	5	1	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	3.25	3.25					
Learning Environment and Teaching methods																							
20 Was this course well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (there was a good balance of lectures, tutorials, practicals etc.)	5	4	1	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	3.38	3.38					
21 Did the learning and teaching methods encouraged participation?	4	5	1	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	3.25	3.25					
22 Was classroom environment conducive to learning?	4	5	1	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	3.31	0					
23 Were classrooms satisfactory?	3	6	1	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3.25	0					
Learning Resources																							
24 Recommended reading books etc. were relevant and appropriate	5	4	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	3.5	0					
25 Provision of learning resources in the library was adequate and appropriate (if relevant)	4	4	1	1	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	3.13	0					
Quality of Delivery																							
26 The course stimulated my interest and thought in the subject area	5	4	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	3.38	3.38					
27 Pace of the course was appropriate	5	4	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	3.5	3.5					

CS518 - Software Quality Assurance Section:MSP-1ADate: **28-Nov-22**

Instructor: Syed Tassudq Hussain

Registered Students: **37**Received Feedbacks: **16**

28 Ideas and concepts were presented clearly	5	4	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	3.38	3.38
Instructor/TA evaluation																		
29 I understood the lectures	6	3	1	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	3.38
30 The material was well organized and presented	9	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	3.75
31 The instructor was responsive to student needs and problems	4	5	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	3.44
32 Had the instructor been regular throughout the course?	4	5	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	3.38
Tutorial																		
33 The material in the tutorials was useful	4	5	1	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	3.38
34 I was happy with the amount of work needed for tutorials	3	6	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3.31
35 The tutor dealt effectively with my problems	5	4	1	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3.38
																Total:	27	74.81
																Overall Rating:	69.27	%

Comments**Overall Evaluation****The best features of the course were:**

- 1 real life examples
- 2 Digital Assurance

The course could have been improved by:

- 3 adding hands on work
- 4 practical work

Teacher Evaluation**Comments/Suggestions about instructor**

- 5 very good teacher . always tries to explain with real life examples

Comments/Suggestions about course

- 6 good

Learning Resources**Learning materials (Lesson Plans, Course Notes etc.) were relevant and useful**

- 7 yes i agree that Learning materials (Lesson Plans, Course Notes etc.) were relevant and useful