



Sequential outcome regression

TMLE for breakfast May 23

Emilie Wessel
Section of Biostatistics



UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN

Disclaimer: This talk is *not* about TMLE.

Disclaimer: This talk is *not* about TMLE.

Aim: Provide you with the idea of sequential regression.

Disclaimer: This talk is *not* about TMLE.

Aim: Provide you with the idea of sequential regression.

→ **Maximum likelihood based G-computation estimation**

Notation

Consider the random vector, $\mathbf{X} = (L, A, Y)$. Let P_X denote the joint probability measure. We assume that $P_X \in \mathcal{P}$ where \mathcal{P} is an otherwise unspecified model which is dominated by a σ -finite measure, μ . With the notation,

$$Q_Y(dy \mid a, l) = P_{Y|A,L}(dy \mid A = a, L = l)$$

$$G_A(da \mid l) = P_{A|L}(da \mid L = l),$$

$$Q_L(dl) = P_L(dl),$$

the joint distribution P_X factorizes

$$P_X(dx) = Q_Y(dy \mid a, l) G_A(da \mid l) Q_L(dl).$$

Notation

For any measurable function f of the data we use the notation for the expected value of f under P_X :

$$P_X f = \int f(x) P_X(dx).$$

Using the factorization,

$$P_X(dx) = Q_Y(dy \mid a, l) G_A(da \mid l) Q_L(dl),$$

we extend this compact operator notation to conditional distributions and write

$$P_X f = Q_L G_A Q_Y f = \iiint f(x) Q_Y(dy \mid a, l) G_A(da \mid l) Q_L(dl).$$

Longitudinal data structures

For K time points, $\{0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_K\}$, we consider longitudinal data given by

$$\mathbf{X} = (L(0), A(0), Y(1), L(1), A(1), \dots, Y(K)),$$

s.t. $Y(k)$ is the value of a stochastic outcome process $Y(t)$ at time t_k .

The state space of the process Y is $\{0, 1\}$, i.e.,

$Y(t) = 0$: event has not yet occurred at time t

$Y(t) = 1$: event has occurred in the time interval $[0, t]$

Ex. 1: One time point, $K = 1$, no censoring

Consider the data, $\mathbf{X} = (L(0), A(0), Y(1)) \sim \mathbf{P}_X \in \mathcal{P}$.

Our target parameter is the intervention-specific ATE defined by

$$\psi(\tilde{\mathbf{P}}) = \tilde{\mathbf{P}}(Y^{(1)}(1) = 1) - \tilde{\mathbf{P}}(Y^{(0)}(1) = 1),$$

where $(L(0), Y^{(1)}(1), Y^{(0)}(1)) \sim \tilde{\mathbf{P}} \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ is the counterfactual data under interventions, where the treatment is set to either one or zero.

Want: To identify the target parameter through observed data, i.e.,

$$\psi(\tilde{\mathbf{P}}) = \theta(\mathbf{P}_X) \text{ for some functional, } \theta, \text{ of } \mathbf{P}_X$$

Ex. 1: One time point, $K = 1$, no censoring

Want: To identify the target parameter through observed data.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{P}(Y^{(1)}(1) = 1) &= \int \tilde{P}(Y^{(1)}(1) = 1 \mid A(0) = 1, L(0) = l) Q_{L(0)}(dl) \\
 &\stackrel{!}{=} \int P(Y(1) = 1 \mid A(0) = 1, L(0) = l) Q_{L(0)}(dl) \\
 &= \int P(Y(1) = 1 \mid A(0) = a, L(0) = l) G_{A(0)}^*(da) Q_{L(0)}(dl) \\
 &= \int Q_{Y(1)}(1 \mid a, l) G_{A(0)}^*(da) Q_{L(0)}(dl) \\
 &= Q_{L(0)} G_{A(0)}^* Q_{Y(1)} f, \tag{G-formula}
 \end{aligned}$$

for $f(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbb{1}\{Y(1) = 1\}$. This leads to the estimator,

$$\hat{Q}_{L(0)} \hat{G}_{A(0)}^* \hat{Q}_{Y(1)} f = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{Q}_{Y(1)}(1 \mid 1, L_i(0)).$$

Ex. 2: Multiple time points, $K = 2$, with censoring

Consider data, $\mathbf{X} = (L(0), A(0), C(1), Y(1), L(1), A(1), C(2), Y(2))$, where C censoring variable taking values $\{0, 1\}$ with $C(t) = 0$ to mean that the event has been censored. Our target parameter is given by

$$\psi(\tilde{\mathbf{P}}) = \tilde{\mathbf{P}}(Y^{(1)}(2) = 1) - \tilde{\mathbf{P}}(Y^{(0)}(2) = 1).$$

Want: Identifiability, i.e., $\psi(\tilde{\mathbf{P}}) = \theta(\mathbf{P}_X)$. Need some more notation!

Ex. 2: Multiple time points, $K = 2$, with censoring

Consider data, $\mathbf{X} = (L(0), A(0), C(1), Y(1), L(1), A(1), C(2), Y(2))$, where C censoring variable taking values $\{0, 1\}$ with $C(t) = 0$ to mean that the event has been censored. Our target parameter is given by

$$\psi(\tilde{\mathbf{P}}) = \tilde{\mathbf{P}}(Y^{(1)}(2) = 1) - \tilde{\mathbf{P}}(Y^{(0)}(2) = 1).$$

Want: Identifiability, i.e., $\psi(\tilde{\mathbf{P}}) = \theta(\mathbf{P}_X)$. Need some more notation!

History: $\bar{A}(1) = (A(0), A(1))$

Factorization: $dP_{\mathbf{X}} = Q_{Y(2)} G_{C(2)} G_{A(1)} Q_{L(1)} Q_{Y(1)} G_{C(1)} G_{A(0)} Q_{L(0)}$

Then for some function of the data, $f(\mathbf{X})$, we can write

$$P_{\mathbf{X}} f = \int f(x) dP_{\mathbf{X}}(x) = Q_{L(0)} G_{A(0)} G_{C(1)} Q_{Y(1)} Q_{L(1)} G_{A(1)} G_{C(2)} Q_{Y(2)} f.$$

Ex. 2: Multiple time points, $K = 2$, with censoring

Consider the counterfactual distribution defined by

$$G_{\bar{A}(1)}^*(\mathbf{d}(a_0, a_1)) = \mathbb{1}\{a_0 = 1, a_1 = 1\}$$

$$G_{\bar{C}(2)}^*(\mathbf{d}(c_1, c_2)) = \mathbb{1}\{c_1 = 1, c_2 = 1\}.$$

The G-formula in this case is obtained by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}(Y^{(1)}(2) = 1) &\stackrel{!}{=} \int P(Y(2) = 1 \mid \bar{C}(2) = c, \bar{A}(1) = a, \bar{L}(1) = l, Y(1) = y) \\ &\quad \times G_{\bar{C}(2)}^* G_{\bar{A}(1)}^* Q_{L(1)} Q_{Y(1)} G_{C(1)}^* G_{A(0)}^* Q_{L(0)} \\ &= Q_{L(0)} G_{A(0)}^* G_{C(1)}^* Q_{Y(1)} Q_{L(1)} G_{\bar{A}(1)}^* G_{\bar{C}(2)}^* Q_{Y(2)} f, \end{aligned}$$

(G-formula)

for $f(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbb{1}\{Y(2) = 1\}$.

Sequential outcome regression

G-formula: $Q_{L(0)} G_{A(0)}^* G_{C(1)}^* Q_{Y(1)} Q_{L(1)} G_{\bar{A}(1)}^* G_{\bar{C}(2)}^* Q_{Y(2)} f$

Step 1. Regress $Y(2)$ on past covariates, $\bar{A}(1)$ and $\bar{L}(1)$, for subjects at risk

$$\rightsquigarrow G_{\bar{C}(2)}^* Q_{Y(2)} f = P(Y(2) = 1 \mid \bar{C}(2) = 1, Y(1) = y, \bar{A}(1), \bar{L}(1))$$

Deterministic info: $Y(1) = 1 \Rightarrow Y(2) = 1$ and $C(1) = 0$ or $C(2) = 0 \Rightarrow Y(2) = 0$

head(d)

	L_0	A_0	C_1	Y_1	L_1	A_1	C_2	Y_2
1:	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0
2:	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	1
3:	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0

```
fit2 <- glm(Y_2 ~ L_0 + A_0 + L_1 + A_1,
            data = d[Y_1 == 0 & C_1 == 1 & C_2 == 1],
            family = binomial(link = "logit"))
```

Sequential outcome regression

G-formula: $Q_{L(0)} G_{A(0)}^* G_{C(1)}^* Q_{Y(1)} Q_{L(1)} G_{A(1)}^* G_{C(2)}^* Q_{Y(2)} f$

Step 1. Regress $Y(2)$ on past covariates, $\bar{A}(1)$ and $\bar{L}(1)$, for subjects at risk

$$\rightsquigarrow G_{C(2)}^* Q_{Y(2)} f = P(Y(2) = 1 \mid \bar{C}(2) = 1, Y(1) = y, \bar{A}(1), \bar{L}(1)) \\ Y(1) + (1 - Y(1)) P(Y(2) = 1 \mid \bar{C}(2) = 1, Y(1) = 0, \bar{A}(1), \bar{L}(1))$$

Deterministic info: $Y(1) = 1 \Rightarrow Y(2) = 1$ and $C(1) = 0$ or $C(2) = 0 \Rightarrow Y(2) = 0$

head(d)

	L_0	A_0	C_1	Y_1	L_1	A_1	C_2	Y_2
1:	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0
2:	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	1
3:	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0

```
fit2 <- glm(Y_2 ~ L_0 + A_0 + L_1 + A_1,
  data = d[Y_1 == 0 & C_1 == 1 & C_2 == 1],
  family = binomial(link = "logit"))
```

Sequential outcome regression

$$\text{G-formula: } Q_{L(0)} G_{A(0)}^* G_{C(1)}^* Q_{Y(1)} Q_{L(1)} G_{\bar{A}(1)}^* G_{\bar{C}(2)}^* Q_{Y(2)} f$$

Step 2. Predict according to counterfactual distribution

$$\rightsquigarrow G_{\bar{A}(1)}^* G_{\bar{C}(2)}^* Q_{Y(2)} f = P(Y(2) = 1 \mid \bar{C}(2) = 1, Y(1) = y, \bar{A}(1) = 1, \bar{L}(1))$$

```
d1 <- copy(d); d1[,A_0 := 1]; d1[,A_1 := 1]
head(d1)
```

	L_0	A_0	C_1	Y_1	L_1	A_1	C_2	Y_2
1:	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0
2:	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
3:	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0

```
GQhat2_0 <- predict(fit1, newdata = d1, type = "response")
d[,GQhat2 := (Y_1 + (1 - Y_1)*GQhat2_0)] # 1 if Y_1 = 1
```

Sequential outcome regression

G-formula: $Q_{L(0)} G_{A(0)}^* G_{C(1)}^* Q_{Y(1)} Q_{L(1)} G_{A(1)}^* G_{C(2)}^* Q_{Y(2)} f$

Step 2. Predict according to counterfactual distribution

$$\rightsquigarrow G_{\bar{A}(1)}^* G_{\bar{C}(2)}^* Q_{Y(2)} f = P(Y(2) = 1 \mid \bar{C}(2) = 1, Y(1), \bar{A}(1) = 1, \bar{L}(1)) = \bar{Q}_{L(2)}^{d,2}$$

```
d1 <- copy(d); d1[,A_0 := 1]; d1[,A_1 := 1]
head(d1)
```

	L_0	A_0	C_1	Y_1	L_1	A_1	C_2	Y_2
1:	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0
2:	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
3:	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0

```
GQhat2_0 <- predict(fit1, newdata = d1, type = "response")
d[,GQhat2 := (Y_1 + (1 - Y_1)*GQhat2_0)] # 1 if Y_1 = 1
```


Sequential outcome regression

G-formula: $Q_{L(0)} G_{A(0)}^* G_{C(1)}^* Q_{Y(1)} Q_{L(1)} G_{A(1)}^* G_{C(2)}^* Q_{Y(2)} f$

Step 3. Regress $G_{A(1)}^* G_{C(2)}^* Q_{Y(2)} f$ on $A(0)$ and $L(0)$ for subjects at risk

$$\begin{aligned} &\rightsquigarrow G_{C(1)}^* Q_{Y(1)} Q_{L(1)} G_{A(1)}^* G_{C(2)}^* Q_{Y(2)} f \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[G_{A(1)}^* G_{C(2)}^* Q_{Y(2)} f \mid C(1) = 1, A(0), L(0) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Note: $G_{A(1)}^* G_{C(2)}^* Q_{Y(2)} f \in (0, 1)$ not binary. We use quasi-binomial logistic regression with an extra dispersion parameter to describe additional variation in data – in R with `glm`, coefficient estimates are the same, but the SE's differ.

```
fit1 <- glm(GQhat2 ~ L_0 + A_0,
            data = d[C_1 == 1],
            family = quasibinomial(link = "logit"))
```

Sequential outcome regression

G-formula: $Q_{L(0)} G_{A(0)}^* G_{C(1)}^* Q_{Y(1)} Q_{L(1)} G_{A(1)}^* G_{C(2)}^* Q_{Y(2)} f$

Step 4. Predict according to counterfactual distribution

$$\rightsquigarrow G_{A(0)}^* G_{C(1)}^* Q_{Y(1)} Q_{L(1)} G_{A(1)}^* G_{C(2)}^* Q_{Y(2)} f$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[G_{A(1)}^* G_{C(2)}^* Q_{Y(2)} f \mid C(1) = 1, A(0) = 1, L(0) \right]$$

```
GQhat1 <- predict(fit1, newdata = d1, type = "response"))
```

Step 5. Take sample average over $L(0)$

$$\rightsquigarrow Q_{L(0)} G_{A(0)}^* G_{C(1)}^* Q_{Y(1)} Q_{L(1)} G_{A(1)}^* G_{C(2)}^* Q_{Y(2)} f$$

```
mean(GQhat1)
[1] 0.03377855
```

Sequential outcome regression

$$\text{G-formula: } Q_{L(0)} G_{A(0)}^* G_{C(1)}^* Q_{Y(1)} Q_{L(1)} G_{A(1)}^* G_{C(2)}^* Q_{Y(2)} f$$

Step 4. Predict according to counterfactual distribution

$$\begin{aligned} &\rightsquigarrow G_{A(0)}^* G_{C(1)}^* Q_{Y(1)} Q_{L(1)} G_{A(1)}^* G_{C(2)}^* Q_{Y(2)} f \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\underbrace{G_{A(1)}^* G_{C(2)}^* Q_{Y(2)} f}_{\bar{Q}_{L(2)}^{d,2}} \mid C(1) = 1, A(0) = 1, L(0) \right] = \bar{Q}_{L(1)}^{d,2} \end{aligned}$$

```
GQhat1 <- predict(fit1, newdata = d1, type = "response")
```

Step 5. Take sample average over $L(0)$

$$\rightsquigarrow Q_{L(0)} G_{A(0)}^* G_{C(1)}^* Q_{Y(1)} Q_{L(1)} G_{A(1)}^* G_{C(2)}^* Q_{Y(2)} f$$

```
mean(GQhat1)
[1] 0.03377855
```

Sequential outcome regression

G-formula: $Q_{L(0)} G_{A(0)}^* G_{C(1)}^* Q_{Y(1)} Q_{L(1)} G_{A(1)}^* G_{C(2)}^* Q_{Y(2)} f$

Step 4. Predict according to counterfactual distribution

$$\rightsquigarrow G_{A(0)}^* G_{C(1)}^* Q_{Y(1)} Q_{L(1)} G_{A(1)}^* G_{C(2)}^* Q_{Y(2)} f$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[\underbrace{G_{A(1)}^* G_{C(2)}^* Q_{Y(2)} f}_{\bar{Q}_{L(2)}^{d,2}} \mid C(1) = 1, A(0) = 1, L(0) \right] = \bar{Q}_{L(1)}^{d,2}$$

```
GQhat1 <- predict(fit1, newdata = d1, type = "response")
```

Step 5. Take sample average over $L(0)$

$$\rightsquigarrow Q_{L(0)} G_{A(0)}^* G_{C(1)}^* Q_{Y(1)} Q_{L(1)} G_{A(1)}^* G_{C(2)}^* Q_{Y(2)} f = \bar{Q}_{L(0)}^{d,2}$$

```
mean(GQhat1)
[1] 0.03377855
```

Sequential outcome regression with the Ltmle package

Maximum likelihood based G-computation estimate with Ltmle

Step 0. Prepare data.

```
head(data)
```

	L_0	A_0	C_1	Y_1	L_1	A_1	C_2	Y_2
1:	1	1	uncensored	0	1	0	uncensored	0
2:	0	0	uncensored	1	NA	NA	NA	1
3:	1	0	uncensored	0	1	1	censored	NA

```
gform
```

```
[1] "A_0 ~ L_0"
[2] "A_1 ~ L_0 + A_0"
```

```
Qform
```

```
"Q.plus1 ~ L_0 + A_0"      "Q.plus1 ~ L_0 + L_1 + A_0 + A_1"
```

Sequential outcome regression with the Ltmle package

Maximum likelihood based G-computation estimate with Ltmle

Use setting: `gcomp = TRUE` (default is `FALSE`)

```
fit_ltmle <- Ltmle(data = data ,  
                  Anodes = c("A_0", "A_1"),  
                  Cnodes = c("C_1", "C_2"),  
                  Lnodes = c("L_0", "L_1"),  
                  Ynodes = c("Y_0", "Y_1"),  
                  survivalOutcome = TRUE,  
                  Qform = Qform ,  
                  gform = gform ,  
                  abar = c(1,1),  
                  gcomp = TRUE,  
                  SL.library = "glm")
```

```
summary(fit_ltmle)$effect.measures$treatment$estimate  
[1] 0.03377855
```

Discussion

Extension to $K > 2$ time points is straightforward

Discussion

Extension to $K > 2$ time points is straightforward

- Step 1 + 2. Regress $Y(K)$ on past cov. (until time $K - 1$) for subjects at risk and predict according to intervention rule $\rightsquigarrow G_{A(K-1)}^* G_{C(K)}^* Q_{Y(K)} f$
- Step 3 + 4. Regress $G_{A(K-1)}^* G_{C(K)}^* Q_{Y(K)} f$ on past cov. (until time $K - 2$) for subjects at risk and predict according to intervention rule
- \vdots
- Step $2K + 1$. Take sample average over $L(0)$

Discussion

Extension to $K > 2$ time points is straightforward

Extension to competing risk:

- * Suppose $D(1)$ competing risk, e.g., a value of one means death
- * At risk: $d[Y_1 == 0 \ \& \ C_1 == 1 \ \& \ C_2 == 1 \ \& \ D_1 == 0]$
- * Deterministic info about $Y(2)$, e.g., $D(1) = 1 \Rightarrow Y(2) = 0$
- * In Ltmle: deterministic.Q.function (Alessandra will tell you more)

Discussion

Extension to $K > 2$ time points is straightforward

Extension to competing risk:

- * Suppose $D(1)$ competing risk, e.g., a value of one means death
- * At risk: $d[Y_1 == 0 \ \& \ C_1 == 1 \ \& \ C_2 == 1 \ \& \ D_1 == 0]$
- * Deterministic info about $Y(2)$, e.g., $D(1) = 1 \Rightarrow Y(2) = 0$
- * In Ltmle: `deterministic.Q.function` (Alessandra will tell you more)

References

- [1] Heejung Bang and James M Robins. Doubly robust estimation in missing data and causal inference models. *Biometrics*, 61(4):962–973, 2005. (Hard to read!)
- [2] Samuel D Lendle, Joshua Schwab, Maya L Petersen, and Mark J van der Laan. ltmle: an r package implementing targeted minimum loss-based estimation for longitudinal data. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 81:1–21, 2017. (Ltmle doc.)