# IST 614 – Management Principles for Information Professionals (Fall 2017)

# Problem # 5

# **Managing Money**

### Cost Study 1

Which of these two alternatives looks economically more attractive and why? (use 2.5% cost of money)

- a. Invest \$5 million and get a steady \$2,500,000 at the end of each of years 1, 2, and 3, or,
- b. Invest \$10 million and get \$4 million at the end of year 1, \$5 million at the end of year 2, and \$6 million at the end of year 3?

## Solution

The two cases can be viewed as follows:

Case A	Case B
Given,	Given,
$PV_0 = $5 \text{ million}$	$PV_0 = $10 \text{ million}$
$FV_1 = $2,500,000$	$FV_1 = \$4,000,000$
$FV_2 = $2,500,000$	$FV_2 = $5,000,000$
$FV_3 = \$2,500,000$	$FV_3 = $6,000,000$
r = 2.5% = 0.025	r = 2.5% = 0.025

Calculating Present Value (PV) for each year using the formula,

$$PV = FV / (1 + r)^{n}$$

$PV_1 = 2,500,000 / (1 + 0.025)^1$ $PV_1 = 2,439,024.39$	$\begin{aligned} PV_1 &= 4,000,000 \ / \ (1+0.025)^1 \\ PV_1 &= 3,902,439.024 \end{aligned}$
$PV_2 = 2,500,000 / (1 + 0.025)^2$ $PV_2 = 2,379,535.99$	$\begin{aligned} PV_2 &= 5,000,000 \ / \ (1+0.025)^2 \\ PV_2 &= 4,759,071.981 \end{aligned}$
$PV_3 = 2,500,000 / (1 + 0.025)^3$	$PV_3 = 6,000,000 / (1 + 0.025)^3$
$PV_3 = 2,321,498.527$	$PV_3 = 5,571,596.466$
$PV_A = PV_1 + PV_2 + PV_3$	$PV_B = PV_1 + PV_2 + PV_3$
$PV_A = 7,140,058.907$	$PV_B = 14,233,107.471$

Calculating Net Present Value (NPV) using the formula, NPV = Sum of all calculated PVs – Initial Investment

$$\begin{aligned} NPV_A &= PV_A - PV_0 \\ NPV_A &= 2,140,058.907 \end{aligned} \qquad \begin{aligned} NPV_B &= PV_B - PV_0 \\ NPV_B &= 4,233,107.471 \end{aligned}$$

Calculating Return on Investment (RoI) using the formula,

RoI = NPV / Initial Investment %

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{RoI}_{\text{A}} = \text{NPV}_{\text{A}} \, / \, \text{PV}_{0} \, \% \\ &\text{RoI}_{\text{A}} = 42.80117814\% \end{aligned} \qquad \qquad \begin{aligned} &\text{RoI}_{\text{B}} = \text{NPV}_{\text{B}} \, / \, \text{PV}_{0} \, \% \\ &\text{RoI}_{\text{B}} = 42.33107471\% \end{aligned}$$

As seen above, though there is a very minor difference between the two RoIs; RoI<sub>A</sub> is greater than RoI<sub>B</sub>. Hence, Alternative A is better.

#### Cost Study 2

The initial start-up expenses for your new office are estimated to be \$6,500 for hardware, \$3,000 for software, \$1,500 for customized modifications to the software, and \$727 for relevant IT-related training.

Benefits over the three years are estimated to be: \$0 in year 1; \$5,160 in year 2 and \$15,000 in year 3. Should you proceed with this start-up? Why or why not?

Assume IT-related cost of money at a rate of 22% annually.

### **Solution**

Given.

```
PV_0 = \$6,500 + \$3,000 + \$1500 + \$727
PV_0 = \$11,727
FV_1 = \$0
FV_2 = $5,160
FV_3 = $15,000
r = 22\% = 0.22
Calculating Present Value (PV) for each year using the formula,
PV = FV / (1 + r)^{n}
PV_1 = 0 / (1 + 0.22)^1
PV_1 = 0
PV_2 = 5{,}160 / (1 + 0.22)^2
PV_2 = 3,466.809997
PV_3 = 15,000 / (1 + 0.22)^3
PV_3 = 8,260.60331
PV = PV_1 + PV_2 + PV_3
PV = 11,727.41331
Calculating Net Present Value (NPV) using the formula,
NPV = Sum of all calculated PVs – Initial Investment
NPV = PV - PV_0
NPV = 0.41331
Calculating Return on Investment (RoI) using the formula,
RoI = NPV / Initial Investment %
RoI = NPV / PV_0 \%
RoI = 0.00352441\%
```

Since the RoI is approximately zero, in my opinion, this start up should not be proceeded with. Theoretically, since the RoI is above zero, the investment will not incur any losses. Realistically, the conditions may get worse eventually and the RoI may turn to negative since it is already extremely low.