

# 1 Worked dry-run example: Bengali $\rightarrow$ English

We illustrate a step-by-step dry-run for an ambiguous Bengali word কাল (*kal*) which can mean “*yesterday*” or “*tomorrow*” (and in some contexts “time/black” depending on usage). We pick two example source sentences and show how TATN disambiguates.

## 1.1 Example sentences

1. **Context A (past):** সে কাল বই বিক্রি করেছে। — *He sold the book yesterday.*
2. **Context B (future):** সে কাল বই বিক্রি করবে। — *He will sell the book tomorrow.*

Both contain the same surface token কাল, which is a homograph requiring context to resolve.

## 1.2 Simplified numeric dry-run (illustrative numbers)

**Step 0: Tokenize & encode** For each token, compute encoder vectors; for কাল we get  $h_j$ .

**Step 1: Buffer and dispersion** Assume prior buffer  $B$  has high dispersion  $D_w > \delta_{\text{type}}$  (evidence that কাল has multiple senses). So DSCD marks it as a candidate.

**Step 2: Prototype inventory** Suppose prototypes exist:

$c_1$  = prototype for “yesterday” sense,  $c_2$  = prototype for “tomorrow” sense.

**Step 3: Compute similarities and  $p_j$**  Compute cosine similarities (toy values):

**Context A (past):**

$$s = [0.70, 0.30] \quad p = \text{softmax}(s/T) \approx [0.72, 0.28]$$

so  $p_{\max} \approx 0.72$ , predicted  $\hat{y}_j$  = “yesterday”.

**Context B (future):**

$$s = [0.35, 0.65] \quad p \approx [0.35, 0.65]$$

so  $p_{\max} \approx 0.65$ , predicted  $\hat{y}_j$  = “tomorrow”.

**Step 4: Compute uncertainty components** Compute (toy) components:

Context A:

$$H_j \approx 0.86, \quad \text{Var}_j \approx 0.02, \quad \sigma_j \approx 0.05, \quad d_{\min} \approx 0.30.$$

Aggregate  $U_j \approx 1.23$ .

Context B:

$$H_j \approx 0.94, \quad \text{Var}_j \approx 0.03, \quad \sigma_j \approx 0.06, \quad d_{\min} \approx 0.25,$$

$U_j \approx 1.28$ .

**Step 5: Gating and attention** Compute gate  $g_j = \sigma(w_g(U_j - b_g))$ . Suppose  $w_g = 4$  and  $b_g = 0.8$ :

Context A:  $g_j = \sigma(1.72) \approx 0.85$  (attention boost). Context B:  $g_j = \sigma(1.92) \approx 0.87$ .

**Step 6: ASBN GRL strength**

$$\lambda_{\text{freq},j} = \text{clip}(1.0 \cdot p_{\max} \cdot (1 - U_j) \cdot g_j, 0, 1.5).$$

Context A:  $1 - U_j \approx -0.23 \rightarrow$  clipped to 0. Context B: also clipped to 0. (Uncertain tokens are not adversarially forced.)

**Step 7: Prototype update decision** Suppose  $\varepsilon_{\text{new}} = 0.4$ ;  $d_{\min} = 0.30$  or  $0.25 \rightarrow$  no new prototype. Update nearest centroid with EMA.

**Step 8: Sense augmentation** If flagged:

$$h'_j = h_j + c_{w,\hat{y}_j}.$$

- Context A:  $h'_j = h_j + c_1$  (“yesterday”).
- Context B:  $h'_j = h_j + c_2$  (“tomorrow”).

**Step 9: Decoding** Decoder attends more to flagged token.  $h'_j$  anchors the correct sense in translation.

**Step 10: TRG rationale extraction and generation** TRG extracts verb morphology evidence:

- Context A: verb বিক্রি করেছে (past tense)  $\Rightarrow$  rationale “কাল means yesterday.”
- Context B: verb বিক্রি করবে (future tense)  $\Rightarrow$  rationale “কাল means tomorrow.”

### 1.3 Result

- Context A: *He sold the book yesterday.*
- Context B: *He will sell the book tomorrow.*

Both outputs include rationales tied to verb tense.