

1 Worked Example: Bengali–English Translation with Revised TATN

We illustrate the revised TATN algorithm with a concrete Bengali→English example. Consider the sentence:

Input (Bengali): আমি কল বন্ধ করলাম।

Ambiguous token: কল (can mean *tap/faucet* or *phone call*)

The algorithm processes this token in two distinct phases: *Phase A (Training)* and *Phase B (Inference)*.

1.1 Phase A: Training Example

1. **Encoding.** The contextual embedding for token “কল” is

$$h_j = [0.6, 0.8, 0.0], \quad \|h_j\| = 1.$$

2. **Prototypes.** Two prototypes for type “কল” already exist:

$$c_{w,1} = [0.8, 0.6, 0], \quad c_{w,2} = [-0.6, 0.8, 0].$$

3. **Cosine Similarity.**

$$s_{j,1} = \cos(h_j, c_{w,1}) = 0.96, \quad s_{j,2} = 0.28.$$

4. **Sense Probabilities (softmax, $T = 0.8$).**

$$p_j = [0.7006, 0.2994], \quad \hat{y}_j = \text{tap}.$$

5. **Uncertainty Signals.**

$$H_j = - \sum_i p_{j,i} \ln p_{j,i} = 0.610,$$

$$\text{Var}_j = 0.00013 \quad (\text{MC-dropout variance}),$$

$$\sigma_j = \exp(0.5u_j) = 1.073,$$

$$d_{\min} = \min_i (1 - s_{j,i}) = 0.04.$$

Aggregated:

$$U_j = H_j + \text{Var}_j + \sigma_j + d_{\min} \approx 1.72.$$

6. **Flagging & Gating.** Since $U_j > \delta_{\text{inst}} = 0.5$, token j is flagged. Gate value:

$$g_j = \sigma(U_j - b_g) = \sigma(1.72 - 0.8) = 0.715.$$

7. **Attention Boost.** If $a_j^{(0)} = 0.05$ (base attention), then

$$\tilde{a}_j = a_j^{(0)} \cdot (1 + \gamma g_j), \quad \gamma = 2.0 \Rightarrow \tilde{a}_j = 0.1215.$$

8. **Sense-Augmented Embedding.**

$$h'_j = h_j + c_{w,1} = [1.4, 1.4, 0].$$

9. **ASBN (Adversarial).** Gradient reversal strengths:

$$\lambda_{k,j} = \text{clip}(\bar{\lambda}_k \cdot p_{\max}(1 - U_j)g_j, 0, \lambda_{\max}).$$

Since $(1 - U_j) < 0$, all $\lambda_{k,j}$ clip to 0: no adversarial forcing is applied here.

10. **Rationale Extraction (TRG).** Evidence collected:

- Prototype match: $c_{w,1}$ (tap, distance 0.04).
- Context cue: verb “বন্ধ” (close/turn off).
- Alternatives: tap (0.70), call (0.30).

Template rationale R^T : “Because the verb ‘বন্ধ’ (turn off) appears in context and the nearest prototype corresponds to a faucet, translate ‘কল’ as **tap**.”

During training, R^T is stored in a silver dataset for the generator–verifier (TRG) module.

1.2 Phase B: Inference Example

At inference, the system repeats the forward pass but does not update parameters:

1. Compute $p_j = [0.7006, 0.2994]$, $U_j = 1.72$.
2. Since $p_{\max} = 0.70 < 0.9$ and $U_j > 0.2$, a generated rationale is required.
3. Generator G outputs candidates, e.g.:
 - R1: “Because the verb ‘বন্ধ’ appears in context and the prototype matches faucet, translate as tap.”
 - R2: “Because it looks like a phone event, translate as call.”
4. Verifier V accepts R1 (faithful to sense=“tap”), rejects R2.
5. Final translation:

Output: “I turned off the tap.”

with rationale R1.

1.3 Summary

This worked example shows how:

- **DSCD** computes sense distributions, uncertainty, and prototypes.
- **ASBN** selectively suppresses shortcut cues via adaptive GRL.
- **TRG** generates and verifies rationales, ensuring explanations are faithful.

Thus, the ambiguous token “কল” is correctly interpreted as *tap* in this context, with a faithful natural language rationale provided.