# **Loan Default Prediction & Offline RL Report**

## 1. Task 1 – Exploratory Data Analysis and Feature Selection

I performed initial data analysis and preprocessing to understand loan applicant data.

## **Key Steps:**

- **Data Cleaning:** Missing values handled via mean imputation (numerical) or constant 'missing' (categorical). Categorical variables one-hot encoded.
- Feature Selection: 19 features chosen based on financial logic and predictive power:

Feature	Justification
loan_amnt	Larger loans increase potential loss; critical for reward in RL.
int_rate	Determines profit and correlates with risk.
term_months	Longer terms increase default risk.
annual_inc	Repayment capacity.
dti	Debt-to-income ratio; high values indicate risk.
emp_length_yrs	Employment stability.
grade	Lending grade; pre-assessed risk.
home_ownership	Residential stability.
purpose	Loan purpose may correlate with default.
verification_status	Verified applicants less risky.
application_type	Joint vs individual applications.
revol_util	Credit utilization; high utilization indicates stress.
open_acc, total_acc	c Credit history and experience.
delinq_2yrs	Recent delinquencies increase default probability.
inq_last_6mths	Recent credit inquiries indicate risk.
pub_rec	Public records (bankruptcy, judgments).
credit_age_years	Longer history reduces default risk.
target	Binary: 0 = Fully Paid, 1 = Defaulted

• **Class Balancing:** Downsampling performed to create a balanced dataset (equal defaulted and fully paid loans).

### 2. Task 2 – Predictive Deep Learning Model (MLP)

- Objective: Predict probability of default.
- Model: Multi-Layer Perceptron with 256→128→1 architecture, ReLU activations, dropout 0.3, batch normalization.
- **Training:** Balanced dataset, binary cross-entropy loss, Adam optimizer, batch size 1024, 20 epochs.

#### **Evaluation Metrics:**

### Metric Result Interpretation

**AUC (Test)** 0.733 Measures the model's discriminative ability. AUC > 0.7 indicates good separation of defaulted vs non-defaulted loans.

**F1-score** (Test) Balances precision and recall, crucial for predicting defaults accurately under class imbalance.

### Why AUC and F1-Score?

- AUC: Reflects how well the model ranks applicants by risk, independent of threshold.
  Higher AUC → better identification of risky vs safe loans.
- **F1-Score:** Critical for imbalanced datasets; ensures both false positives (approving risky loans) and false negatives (denying safe loans) are considered.

#### Interpretation:

The MLP effectively predicts default risk and can serve as a baseline policy: approve loans with predicted default probability below a chosen threshold.

### 3. Task 3 – Offline Reinforcement Learning (Fitted Q Iteration, MLP)

- **Objective:** Learn a policy to approve or deny loans that maximizes profit while minimizing losses.
- **State:** Preprocessed feature vector for each applicant.
- Action: {0: Deny, 1: Approve}
- Reward:
  - Approve & Fully Paid → loan amnt \* int rate \* 10 (profit)
  - Approve & Default → -loan amnt \* 5 (loss)
  - o Deny → 0
- Algorithm: Fitted Q Iteration using separate MLP regressors per action.
- **Training:** 30 iterations, max 500 iterations per MLP, warm start enabled.

#### **Evaluation Results:**

### Policy Approval Rate Avg Reward / Policy Value

Learned FQI-NN 4.87% -79.15

Baseline Heuristic 18.92% -634.89

### Why Estimated Policy Value?

- Measures **expected financial outcome** if the learned policy is applied.
- Directly aligns with business objectives: maximize profit, minimize losses.
- Higher Policy Value → more effective, safer loan approval decisions.

#### Analysis:

- FQI agent drastically reduces defaults and potential loss compared to baseline.
- Policy is conservative, approving only highly profitable and low-risk loans.
- Although average reward is negative, it is significantly better than baseline, showing the RL agent learns a safer, profit-oriented policy.

### 4. Task 4 – Comparison and Insights

#### MLP vs RL:

- MLP predicts default risk (AUC/F1), implicitly defining a threshold-based approval policy.
- RL learns a profit-driven approval policy directly, taking loan amounts and interest rates into account.

#### Policy Differences:

- Some low-risk applicants may still be denied by RL if high loan amount and risk-reward is unfavorable.
- o Conversely, MLP may approve them ignoring profit considerations.

#### Limitations:

- o Offline RL relies on historical data; rare cases may be missed.
- Reward amplification may exaggerate conservativeness.

#### Future Steps:

- Explore more sophisticated RL algorithms (CQL, BCQ) for better policy optimization.
- Collect additional applicant data (credit bureau, employment verification) for more accurate models.
- o Combine MLP predictions with RL policy as a hybrid approach.

## 5. Summary

- Features selected based on financial relevance and predictive power.
- MLP predicts loan default with strong AUC (0.733) and F1-score (0.669).
- Offline RL agent learns a safe, profit-oriented policy, outperforming heuristic baseline.
- **Complementary approach:** MLP predicts risk; RL maximizes expected profit while mitigating loss