

Cracking the GATEs of IITs/IISc

Manas Thakur

PACE Lab, IIT Madras



Content Credits

- *Introduction to Automata Theory, Languages, and Computation*, 3rd edition. Hopcroft et al.
- *Algorithms*, TMH edition. Dasgupta et al..
- *Principles of Compiler Design*. Alfred V. Aho and Jeffrey D. Ullman.
- <https://en.wikipedia.org>
- <https://images.google.com>



Outline

- Problems
- Algorithms
- Programming
- Translation
- Research in Systems

HONEST JON

by Jon Clark

Brothers and sisters, I've just spent the last ten minutes giving you an outline of everything I'm going to speak on but unfortunately, my time is now up...



Ways to begin a talk: The Overdone Overview

www.honestjoncomics.blogspot.com



A Simple Problem

- Design a machine to determine whether a given program P1 prints “Hello World!”.

```
int main() {  
    printf("Hello World!");  
    return 0;  
}
```



A Simple Problem (Cont.)

```
int main() {  
    int n, total, x, y, z;  
    scanf("%d", &n);  
    total = 3;  
    while (1) {  
        for (x=1; x<=total-2; ++x) {  
            for (y=1; y<=total-x-1; ++y) {  
                z = total-x-y;  
                if (exp(x,n)+exp(y,n) == exp(z,n)) {  
                    printf("Hello World!");  
                }  
            }  
        }  
        ++total;  
    }  
    return 0;  
}
```

```
int exp(int i, int n) {  
    int ans, j;  
    ans = 1;  
    for (j=1; j<=n; ++j) {  
        ans *= i;  
    }  
    return ans;  
}
```




Expressing problems as language-membership tests


- **Step 1:** Represent problem instances as *strings* over a finite alphabet.
 - Our program P1 is essentially a string of characters.
- **Step 2:** Design a machine M1 that accepts *valid* strings.
 - Outputs *yes*, if P1 prints “Hello World!”.
 - Outputs *no*, if P1 does not print “Hello World!”.
- The language accepted by M1 is:
$$L(M1) = \{ w \mid w \text{ is a program that prints “Hello World!”} \}$$
- If M1 always terminates and prints *yes* or *no*, it **decides** P1; else it **recognizes** P1.



The Chomsky Hierarchy of Languages



DFA and PDA: A Quick Recap



Turing Machines


A Turing Machine

Tape



Control Unit

Read-Write head



Adapted from slide by Costas Busch, <http://www.cs.rpi.edu>

6




Turing Machines and Algorithms

- **Church-Turing Thesis:** Every algorithm can be realized as a Turing Machine.
- A multitape-TM is equivalent to a single-tape TM.
- A TM can simulate a computer.
- A computer with an *infinite tape* can simulate a TM.
- Turing Machines are more powerful than modern day computers!!
- What about Nondeterministic Turing Machines?



Non-determinism: The Power of Guessing




An NTM is equivalent in power to a DTM; but what about the amount of time?




Moving towards Solutions

- Problems
- Algorithms
- Programming
- Translation
- Research in Systems



Can a problem be solved in “good-enough” time?

Linear vs Polynomial vs Exponential





The P class of problems

- Problems that can be solved in polynomial time by a Deterministic Turing Machine
- All practical problems that we write algorithms for
- Example: Minimum Spanning Tree



The Minimum Spanning Tree Problem




The *NP* class of problems

- Problems that can be solved in polynomial time by a Nondeterministic Turing Machine
 - A given solution can be **checked** in polynomial time by a Deterministic Turing Machine
- Even though the power of an NTM is equivalent to that of a DTM, the time requirements of NP may not be in the “good-enough” zone
- Example: Travelling Salesman Problem (decision version)



The Travelling Salesman Problem



Is $P = NP$?

- A problem Q is **NP-Complete** if:
 - Q is in NP
 - All problems in NP can be reduced (in polynomial time) to Q
- A problem R is **NP-Hard** if:
 - All problems in NP can be reduced (in polynomial time) to R
 - It's not known whether R is in NP
- Thus, if even a single NP-Complete problem can be solved by an algorithm in polynomial time, then $P = NP$.
- It seems that $P \neq NP$; however, there is no proof yet!



Comparing Algorithms

- Given two algorithms A_1 and A_2 that solve the same problem, find out which one is more efficient.



Approach 1: Implement and Test

- Will the results be affected by:
 - Testcase?
 - Programming language?
 - Programmer?
 - Machine?



Approach 2: Be lazy


- Don't implement
- Formalize the running time
- For big inputs, ignore everything except the fastest growing term
- Drop the constants
- Compare the asymptotic complexity



Example: Breadth First Search

Breadth First Search

```
queue.enqueue(first);  
while q not empty  
    cur = queue.dequeue();  
    visited.add(cur);  
    for each neighbour n of cur  
        if visited.absent(n)  
            queue.enqueue(n)
```



1. $q = \{\}$
2. $q = \{2\}$
3. $q = \{0, 3\}$
4. $q = \{1\}$
5. $q = \{4\}$
6. $q = \{5\}$


Using a queue

Complexity:

$$\begin{aligned} & V * (O(1) + (V * \text{numNeighbors}) + O(1)) \\ &= V + E + V \\ &= 2V + E \\ &= O(V+E) \leq O(V^2) \end{aligned}$$




Big-Oh



Hands on

- Problems
- Algorithms
- Programming
- Translation
- Research in Systems

GitHub Projects with 1000+ Stars (as of February 2016)



Is the following C string-copy function correct?

```
char* strcpy_p1(char* dst, char* src) {  
    while (*src != '\0') {  
        *dst++ = *src++;  
    }  
    return dst;  
}
```



What about this one?

```
char* strcpy_p2(char* dst, char* src) {  
    char* addr = dst;  
    while (*src != '\0') {  
        *dst++ = *src++;  
    }  
    return addr;  
}
```



And this one?

```
char* strcpy_p3(char* dst, char* src) {  
    assert ((dst != NULL) && (src != NULL));  
    char* addr = dst;  
    while (*src != '\0') {  
        *dst++ = *src++;  
    }  
    return addr;  
}
```



Why is this one better?

```
char* strcpy_p4(char* dst, const char* src) {  
    assert ((dst != NULL) && (src != NULL));  
    char* addr = dst;  
    while (*src != '\0') {  
        *dst++ = *src++;  
    }  
    return addr;  
}
```



Is this equivalent to the previous one?

```
char* strcpy_p5(char* dst, const char* src) {  
    int i;  
    assert ((dst != NULL) && (src != NULL));  
    for (i=0; src[i]!='\0'; ++i) {  
        dst[i] = src[i];  
    }  
    return dst;  
}
```



Is one of the two better than the other?

```
char* strcpy_p4(char* dst, const char* src) {  
    assert ((dst != NULL) && (src != NULL));  
    char* addr = dst;  
    while (*src != '\0') {  
        *dst++ = *src++;  
    }  
    return addr;  
}
```

```
char* strcpy_p5(char* dst, const char* src) {  
    int i;  
    assert ((dst != NULL) && (src != NULL));  
    for (i=0; src[i]!='\0'; ++i) {  
        dst[i] = src[i];  
    }  
    return dst;  
}
```




A few tricky PL concepts for GATE

- Semantics of pointer arithmetic
- Memory management – The Stack and the Heap
- Understanding recursive programs
- Operations on various data structures




C Theriyadhu

- Problems
- Algorithms
- Programming
- Translation
- Research in Systems



Source to binary



Why do we *need* a compiler?




Image source: <https://stackoverflow.com/questions/2377273/how-does-an-interpreter-compiler-work>



Why do we *need* a compiler?

A COMPILER

Input ... takes an entire program as its input.

Output ... generates intermediate object code.

Speed ... executes faster.

Memory ... requires more memory in order to create object code.

Workload ... doesn't need to compile every single time, just once.

Errors ... displays errors once the entire program is checked.

AN INTERPRETER

... takes a single line of code, or instruction, as its input.

... does not generate any intermediate object code.

... executes slower.

... requires less memory (doesn't create object code).


... has to convert high-level languages to low-level programs at execution.

... displays errors when each instruction is run.

Image source: <https://www.upwork.com>



Phases of a typical compiler



Loop invariant code motion

```
for (i=0; i<N; ++i) {  
    for (j=0; j<N; ++j) {  
        c = N*(N-1);  
        d = c+a[i];  
        a[i] = d;  
    }  
}
```

```
c = N*(N-1);  
for (i=0; i<N; ++i) {  
    for (j=0; j<N; ++j) {  
        d = c+a[i];  
        a[i] = d;  
    }  
}
```



Correct loop invariant code motion

```
c = 100;

...

for (i=0; i<N; ++i) {
    for (j=0; j<N; ++j) {
        c = N*(N-1);
        d = c+a[i];
        a[i] = d;
    }
}

...

if (c > 50) {
    printf("CEE");
}
```

```
c = 100;

...

if (N > 0) {
    c = N*(N-1);
}

for (i=0; i<N; ++i) {
    for (j=0; j<N; ++j) {
        d = c+a[i];
        a[i] = d;
    }
}

...

if (c > 50) {
    printf("CEE");
}
```



A few tricky Compilers concepts for GATE

- Program equivalence
- Program correctness
- Code optimization




Who created this stupid computer?

- Problems
- Algorithms
- Programming
- Translation
- Research in Systems




The Free Lunch is Over




Manas Thakur



Multicore systems



Manycore systems



Final words

- Clearing GATE opens up a plethora of opportunities; take it seriously
- Stay away from All-in-One guides; your textbooks should be your best friends
- Join a good test series; assessing yourself apriori boosts the confidence significantly
- Practice previous-year papers
- Join Post-GATE guidance groups on Facebook
- Apply wisely at various institutes
- Know the difference between industry and research programmes
- Low score: Look out for winter admissions



Stay Hungry, Stay Foolish, Stay Connected

www.cse.iitm.ac.in/~manas



github.com/manasthakur
gist.github.com/manasthakur

manasthakur17@gmail.com



manasthakur.wordpress.com

linkedin.com/in/manasthakur



manasthakur.github.io/docs/year4sct.pdf

