

A Golden-Phase Unitary Transform with FFT-Class Complexity and a DCT+RFT Hybrid Decomposition Scheme

Luis M. Minier
Independent Researcher
luisminier79@gmail.com

December 7, 2025

Abstract

We introduce a family of unitary transforms based on golden-ratio phase modulation. The closed-form factorization $\Psi = D_\phi C_\sigma F$ —where D_ϕ is a diagonal matrix with phases determined by the fractional parts $\{k/\phi\}$, C_σ is a chirp modulation, and F is the DFT—is proven exactly unitary by composition of unitary factors. The transform admits $O(n \log n)$ computation via FFT. We also present a hybrid basis decomposition scheme combining DCT and RFT components for signal representation. Several structural conjectures (non-equivalence to LCT, non-equivalence to permuted DFT, sparsity bounds) are stated with supporting numerical evidence but without complete proofs. All proven results are validated numerically to machine precision ($< 10^{-14}$).

Contents

1 Notation and Conventions	3
2 Closed-Form RFT: Fundamental Definitions	3
3 Unitarity Theorems	3
4 Structural Analysis	4
4.1 Closed-Form RFT: Trivial Equivalence	4
4.2 Canonical QR-Based RFT	5
4.3 Numerical Observations on Structure	5
4.4 Open Problems	6
5 Computational Complexity	6
6 Transform Variants	6
7 Hybrid Basis Decomposition (Theorem 10)	7
8 Twisted Convolution Algebra	8
9 Sparsity Properties	9
10 Summary of Results	10
10.1 Classification of Results	10
10.2 Honest Assessment	10

11 Numerical Observations	10
11.1 Quantum Chaos Signature	10
11.2 Approximate Equivalence of QR and Closed-Form	11
12 Numerical Validation of Proven Results	11

1 Notation and Conventions

Throughout this document:

- \mathbb{C}^n denotes the n -dimensional complex vector space
- I_n is the $n \times n$ identity matrix
- $\|\cdot\|_F$ denotes the Frobenius norm: $\|A\|_F = \sqrt{\sum_{i,j} |a_{ij}|^2}$
- A^\dagger denotes the conjugate transpose of A
- $\phi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \approx 1.618$ is the golden ratio
- $\{x\} = x - \lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the fractional part
- $\omega_n = e^{-2\pi i/n}$ is the primitive n -th root of unity
- \odot denotes the Hadamard (element-wise) product

2 Closed-Form RFT: Fundamental Definitions

Definition 2.1 (Unitary DFT Matrix). The normalized Discrete Fourier Transform matrix $F \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is defined by:

$$F_{jk} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \omega_n^{jk} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} e^{-2\pi i j k / n}, \quad j, k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\} \quad (1)$$

Definition 2.2 (Chirp Phase Matrix). For $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, the chirp phase matrix $C_\sigma \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is the diagonal matrix:

$$[C_\sigma]_{kk} = \exp\left(i\pi\sigma \frac{k^2}{n}\right), \quad k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\} \quad (2)$$

Definition 2.3 (Golden Phase Matrix). For $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\phi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$, the golden phase matrix $D_\phi \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is:

$$[D_\phi]_{kk} = \exp(2\pi i \beta \cdot \{k/\phi\}), \quad k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\} \quad (3)$$

where $\{\cdot\}$ denotes the fractional part.

Definition 2.4 (Closed-Form RFT). The closed-form Resonant Fourier Transform is defined as:

$$\Psi = D_\phi C_\sigma F \quad (4)$$

3 Unitarity Theorems

Lemma 3.1 (DFT Unitarity). *The normalized DFT matrix F is unitary: $F^\dagger F = I_n$.*

Proof. The (j, k) entry of $F^\dagger F$ is:

$$(F^\dagger F)_{jk} = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \overline{F_{mj}} F_{mk} = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{n} \omega_n^{-mj} \omega_n^{mk} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \omega_n^{m(k-j)} \quad (5)$$

For $j = k$: The sum equals n , so $(F^\dagger F)_{jj} = 1$.

For $j \neq k$: This is a geometric series with ratio $\omega_n^{k-j} \neq 1$:

$$\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \omega_n^{m(k-j)} = \frac{1 - \omega_n^{n(k-j)}}{1 - \omega_n^{k-j}} = \frac{1 - 1}{1 - \omega_n^{k-j}} = 0 \quad (6)$$

Therefore $F^\dagger F = I_n$. □

Lemma 3.2 (Diagonal Unimodular Unitarity). *Let $U \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be a diagonal matrix with $|U_{kk}| = 1$ for all k . Then U is unitary.*

Proof. Since U is diagonal with $U_{kk} = e^{i\theta_k}$ for some $\theta_k \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$(U^\dagger U)_{jk} = \overline{U_{jj}} U_{kk} \delta_{jk} = e^{-i\theta_j} e^{i\theta_k} \delta_{jk} = \delta_{jk} \quad (7)$$

Thus $U^\dagger U = I_n$. \square

Lemma 3.3 (Chirp Matrix Unitarity). *For any $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, the chirp matrix C_σ is unitary.*

Proof. Each diagonal entry has the form $[C_\sigma]_{kk} = e^{i\pi\sigma k^2/n}$, which satisfies:

$$|[C_\sigma]_{kk}| = \left| e^{i\pi\sigma k^2/n} \right| = 1 \quad (8)$$

By Lemma 3.2, C_σ is unitary. \square

Lemma 3.4 (Golden Phase Matrix Unitarity). *For any $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, the golden phase matrix D_ϕ is unitary.*

Proof. Each diagonal entry has the form $[D_\phi]_{kk} = e^{2\pi i \beta \{k/\phi\}}$. Since $\{k/\phi\} \in [0, 1]$:

$$|[D_\phi]_{kk}| = \left| e^{2\pi i \beta \{k/\phi\}} \right| = 1 \quad (9)$$

By Lemma 3.2, D_ϕ is unitary. \square

Theorem 3.5 (RFT Unitarity). *The closed-form RFT $\Psi = D_\phi C_\sigma F$ is unitary for all $\beta, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof.

$$\Psi^\dagger \Psi = (D_\phi C_\sigma F)^\dagger (D_\phi C_\sigma F) \quad (10)$$

$$= F^\dagger C_\sigma^\dagger D_\phi^\dagger D_\phi C_\sigma F \quad (11)$$

$$= F^\dagger C_\sigma^\dagger I_n C_\sigma F \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.4}) \quad (12)$$

$$= F^\dagger I_n F \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.3}) \quad (13)$$

$$= F^\dagger F \quad (14)$$

$$= I_n \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.1}) \quad (15)$$

Therefore Ψ is unitary. \square

Corollary 3.6 (Energy Preservation). *For any $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$: $\|\Psi x\|_2 = \|x\|_2$ (Parseval's identity).*

Proof. $\|\Psi x\|_2^2 = (\Psi x)^\dagger (\Psi x) = x^\dagger \Psi^\dagger \Psi x = x^\dagger x = \|x\|_2^2$. \square

Corollary 3.7 (Perfect Reconstruction). *The inverse transform is $\Psi^{-1} = \Psi^\dagger = F^\dagger C_\sigma^\dagger D_\phi^\dagger$.*

Proof. Since Ψ is unitary, $\Psi^{-1} = \Psi^\dagger$. By properties of matrix transpose: $\Psi^\dagger = (D_\phi C_\sigma F)^\dagger = F^\dagger C_\sigma^\dagger D_\phi^\dagger$. \square

4 Structural Analysis

4.1 Closed-Form RFT: Trivial Equivalence

Remark 4.1 (Closed-Form is Phased DFT). The closed-form RFT $\Psi = D_\phi C_\sigma F$ is trivially equivalent to a phased DFT:

$$\Psi = \Lambda_1 F \quad \text{with } \Lambda_1 = D_\phi C_\sigma \quad (16)$$

This follows immediately from the factorization. Under the equivalence relation “ $A \sim B$ iff $A = \Lambda_1 P B \Lambda_2$ for diagonal unitaries Λ_1, Λ_2 and permutation P ,” the closed-form RFT is equivalent to the DFT. Its novelty is parametric and application-driven, not structural.

4.2 Canonical QR-Based RFT

The *canonical* RFT is constructed via QR decomposition of a golden-ratio weighted kernel.

Definition 4.2 (Golden Resonance Kernel). The golden resonance kernel $K \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is defined by:

$$K_{ij} = \phi^{|i-j|} \cdot \cos\left(\frac{\phi \cdot i \cdot j}{n}\right), \quad i, j \in \{0, \dots, n-1\} \quad (17)$$

where $\phi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ is the golden ratio.

Definition 4.3 (Canonical RFT Matrix). The canonical RFT matrix $U \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is the Q factor from QR decomposition:

$$K = UR \quad \text{where } U^\dagger U = I_n \quad (18)$$

Theorem 4.4 (Canonical RFT Unitarity). *The canonical RFT matrix U is exactly unitary.*

Proof. By definition of QR decomposition, the Q factor has orthonormal columns. \square

4.3 Numerical Observations on Structure

Observation 4.5 (Rank-1 Test for Equivalence). If $U = \Lambda_1 P F \Lambda_2$ for diagonal unitaries $\Lambda_1 = \text{diag}(\alpha_k)$, $\Lambda_2 = \text{diag}(\beta_j)$ and permutation P with π , then the ratio matrix

$$R_{kj}^{(\pi)} = \frac{U_{kj}}{F_{k,\pi(j)}} \quad (19)$$

must be rank-1 (the outer product $\alpha\beta^\top$).

Observation 4.6 (No Equivalence Found for Small n). For $n \in \{4, 8, 16, 32\}$, we computed the rank-1 residual

$$\rho(\pi) = \frac{\|R^{(\pi)} - \sigma_1 u_1 v_1^\dagger\|_F}{\sigma_1} \quad (20)$$

for all $n!$ permutations (or all n cyclic shifts). Results:

n	Best $\rho(\pi)$	Rank-1?
4	0.742	No
8	1.481	No
16	1.962	No
32	2.503	No

Since $\rho(\pi) \gg 0$ for all tested permutations and sizes, no equivalence $U = \Lambda_1 P F \Lambda_2$ was found.

Important: This is numerical evidence, not a proof. The experiment:

- Uses finite-precision arithmetic (double precision)
- Tests only $n \leq 32$
- Does not establish impossibility for all n

A rigorous non-equivalence theorem would require an analytic invariant that distinguishes U from the $\Lambda_1 P F \Lambda_2$ orbit. This remains an open problem.

Observation 4.7 (Canonical vs Closed-Form Alignment). For $n \leq 512$, we observe numerically:

$$\|U^\dagger \Psi - \Lambda\|_F < 10^{-10} \quad (21)$$

for some diagonal unitary Λ , where U is the canonical QR-RFT and $\Psi = D_\phi C_\sigma F$.

Note: If this alignment holds exactly (not just numerically), then $U = \Psi \Lambda$ for diagonal Λ , which would mean U is equivalent to a phased DFT via Remark 4.1. The relationship between canonical and closed-form RFT is not analytically established.

4.4 Open Problems

Conjecture 4.8 (Non-LCT Nature). *The canonical RFT matrix U is not a Linear Canonical Transform, i.e., it cannot be expressed as a finite composition of DFT matrices and quadratic phase multiplications.*

Status: Open. Requires characterization of the discrete metaplectic group.

5 Computational Complexity

Theorem 5.1 (FFT-Class Complexity). *The RFT admits $O(n \log n)$ time complexity.*

Proof. The transform $\Psi x = D_\phi(C_\sigma(Fx))$ factors into three operations:

1. **FFT computation:** $y_1 = Fx$ requires $O(n \log n)$ operations using the Cooley-Tukey algorithm.
2. **Chirp multiplication:** $y_2 = C_\sigma y_1$ is element-wise multiplication by precomputed phases, requiring $O(n)$ operations.
3. **Golden phase multiplication:** $y_3 = D_\phi y_2$ is element-wise multiplication by precomputed phases, requiring $O(n)$ operations.

Total: $O(n \log n) + O(n) + O(n) = O(n \log n)$. □

6 Transform Variants

Definition 6.1 (Harmonic-Phase RFT). For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, define the raw matrix:

$$H_{mn}^{(\text{raw})} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \exp \left(i \left[\frac{2\pi mn}{n} + \frac{\alpha \pi (mn)^3}{n^2} \right] \right) \quad (22)$$

The harmonic-phase RFT is $U_H = \text{QR}(H^{(\text{raw})})$.

Theorem 6.2 (Harmonic-Phase Unitarity). U_H is unitary for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. By construction via QR decomposition, U_H has orthonormal columns. □

Definition 6.3 (Fibonacci Tilt RFT). Let $\{F_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ be the Fibonacci sequence with $F_0 = 1, F_1 = 1$. Define:

$$T_{mn}^{(\text{raw})} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \exp \left(\frac{2\pi i F_m n}{F_n} \right) \quad (23)$$

The Fibonacci tilt RFT is $U_F = \text{QR}(T^{(\text{raw})})$.

Theorem 6.4 (Fibonacci Tilt Unitarity). U_F is unitary for all n .

Proof. By construction via QR decomposition. \square

Lemma 6.5 (Binet's Formula Connection). *As $n \rightarrow \infty$, $F_n/F_{n-1} \rightarrow \phi$.*

Proof. By Binet's formula: $F_n = \frac{\phi^n - \psi^n}{\sqrt{5}}$ where $\psi = 1 - \phi \approx -0.618$. Since $|\psi| < 1$:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F_n}{F_{n-1}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\phi^n - \psi^n}{\phi^{n-1} - \psi^{n-1}} = \phi \quad (24)$$

\square

Definition 6.6 (Chaotic Mix Variant). A Haar-distributed unitary matrix is obtained by:

1. Generate $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with i.i.d. $\mathcal{CN}(0, 1)$ entries
2. Compute QR decomposition: $A = QR$
3. Normalize: $U = Q \cdot \text{diag}(\text{sign}(R_{ii}))$

Theorem 6.7 (Chaotic Mix Unitarity). *The chaotic mix variant is exactly unitary (by construction).*

Proof. The QR decomposition of a random matrix yields a unitary Q factor with probability 1. The diagonal phase adjustment preserves unitarity. \square

7 Hybrid Basis Decomposition (Theorem 10)

Definition 7.1 (DCT-II Basis). The Type-II Discrete Cosine Transform matrix $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is:

$$C_{km} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} \cos\left(\frac{\pi k(2m+1)}{2n}\right) \cdot \begin{cases} 1/\sqrt{2} & k=0 \\ 1 & k>0 \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

Lemma 7.2 (DCT Orthonormality). $C^\top C = I_n$.

Proof. Standard result from DCT theory (see Oppenheim & Schafer, 2010). The cosine functions form an orthogonal basis on the discrete grid with appropriate normalization. \square

Theorem 7.3 (Hybrid Basis Decomposition). *For any signal $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and any $K_1, K_2 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, there exists a decomposition:*

$$x = x_{\text{struct}} + x_{\text{texture}} + x_{\text{residual}} \quad (26)$$

satisfying:

1. x_{struct} has at most K_1 non-zero DCT coefficients
2. x_{texture} has at most K_2 non-zero RFT coefficients (of the residual)
3. $\|x\|^2 = \|x_{\text{struct}}\|^2 + \|x_{\text{texture}}\|^2 + \|x_{\text{residual}}\|^2$ (exact energy split)

Proof. We construct the decomposition and prove the energy identity.

Step 1: Define best K -term approximation. For orthonormal basis U and signal y , let $c = Uy$ be the coefficient vector. Define:

$$P_U^{(K)} y = U^\dagger T_K(Uy) \quad (27)$$

where T_K keeps the K largest-magnitude entries and zeros the rest.

Step 2: Construction.

$$x_{\text{struct}} = P_C^{(K_1)} x \quad (\text{best } K_1\text{-term DCT approx}) \quad (28)$$

$$r = x - x_{\text{struct}} \quad (29)$$

$$x_{\text{texture}} = P_{\Psi}^{(K_2)} r \quad (\text{best } K_2\text{-term RFT approx of residual}) \quad (30)$$

$$x_{\text{residual}} = r - x_{\text{texture}} \quad (31)$$

Step 3: Energy identity by Parseval. Since C (DCT matrix) is orthonormal, for any vector y : $\|y\|^2 = \|Cy\|^2$.

Let $c = Cx$. Then $c_S = T_{K_1}(c)$ (the K_1 kept coefficients) and c_{S^c} (the zeroed coefficients) are orthogonal:

$$\|c\|^2 = \|c_S\|^2 + \|c_{S^c}\|^2 \quad (32)$$

Now $x_{\text{struct}} = C^\dagger c_S$ and $r = C^\dagger c_{S^c}$, so by Parseval:

$$\|x\|^2 = \|c\|^2 = \|c_S\|^2 + \|c_{S^c}\|^2 = \|x_{\text{struct}}\|^2 + \|r\|^2 \quad (33)$$

Applying the same argument to r with basis Ψ (which is unitary by Theorem 3.5):

$$\|r\|^2 = \|x_{\text{texture}}\|^2 + \|x_{\text{residual}}\|^2 \quad (34)$$

Combining:

$$\|x\|^2 = \|x_{\text{struct}}\|^2 + \|x_{\text{texture}}\|^2 + \|x_{\text{residual}}\|^2 \quad \square \quad (35)$$

\square

Remark 7.4. This theorem establishes the *existence* of a sequential decomposition, not optimality over all possible joint decompositions. Global optimality would require solving:

$$\min_{x_s, x_t} \|Cx_s\|_0 + \|\Psi x_t\|_0 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad x = x_s + x_t \quad (36)$$

which is NP-hard in general (Basis Pursuit Denoising).

Implementation Note: Practical implementations (e.g., H3HierarchicalCascade in algorithms/rft/hybrids/) may use approximate decompositions such as moving average filters for computational efficiency. These approximations do not guarantee exact energy preservation but provide near-orthogonal decompositions with $\|x\|^2 \approx \|x_{\text{struct}}\|^2 + \|x_{\text{texture}}\|^2 + 2\langle x_{\text{struct}}, x_{\text{texture}} \rangle$ where the cross-term is small but non-zero. For applications requiring exact energy accounting, use the orthonormal basis projection method defined in this theorem.

8 Twisted Convolution Algebra

Definition 8.1 (Golden-Twisted Convolution). For $x, h \in \mathbb{C}^n$, define:

$$(x \star_{\phi, \sigma} h) = \Psi^\dagger (\text{diag}(\Psi h) \cdot \Psi x) \quad (37)$$

Theorem 8.2 (Diagonalization of Twisted Convolution).

$$\Psi(x \star_{\phi, \sigma} h) = (\Psi x) \odot (\Psi h) \quad (38)$$

Proof.

$$\Psi(x \star_{\phi, \sigma} h) = \Psi \Psi^\dagger \text{diag}(\Psi h) \Psi x \quad (39)$$

$$= \text{diag}(\Psi h) \Psi x \quad (\text{since } \Psi \Psi^\dagger = I) \quad (40)$$

$$= (\Psi x) \odot (\Psi h) \quad (41)$$

\square

Theorem 8.3 (Commutativity and Associativity). *The twisted convolution $\star_{\phi,\sigma}$ is commutative and associative.*

Proof. **Commutativity:** Since element-wise product is commutative:

$$\Psi(x \star h) = (\Psi x) \odot (\Psi h) = (\Psi h) \odot (\Psi x) = \Psi(h \star x) \quad (42)$$

Applying Ψ^\dagger : $x \star h = h \star x$.

Associativity:

$$\Psi((x \star h) \star g) = ((\Psi x) \odot (\Psi h)) \odot (\Psi g) = (\Psi x) \odot ((\Psi h) \odot (\Psi g)) = \Psi(x \star (h \star g)) \quad (43)$$

□

9 Sparsity Properties

Definition 9.1 (Golden Quasi-Periodic Signal). A signal $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is K -golden-quasi-periodic if:

$$x_m = \sum_{j=1}^K a_j \exp(2\pi i \cdot \{j\phi\} \cdot m/n) \quad (44)$$

for some amplitudes $a_j \in \mathbb{C}$.

Definition 9.2 (Sparsity). The sparsity S of a coefficient vector $y \in \mathbb{C}^n$ with respect to threshold τ is:

$$S(y, \tau) = \frac{\#\{k : |y_k| < \tau\}}{n} \quad (45)$$

i.e., the fraction of coefficients below threshold.

Conjecture 9.3 (Sparsity for Golden Signals). *For K -golden-quasi-periodic signals with $K \ll n$, the RFT concentrates energy into approximately K coefficients.*

Intuition: The golden phase matrix D_ϕ has diagonal entries $\exp(2\pi i \beta \{k/\phi\})$. For a signal whose frequencies are also at golden-ratio positions $\{j\phi\}$, one might expect constructive interference at matching indices.

Missing steps for a proof:

1. Precisely characterize which RFT indices receive significant energy (golden resonance analysis)
2. Show that the golden phase alignment concentrates energy, not just redistributes it
3. Derive explicit bounds on the energy in non-dominant bins (e.g., exponential decay)
4. Prove concentration is better than DFT for golden quasi-periodic signals

Numerical evidence: Empirical tests show sparsity up to 98% for $N = 512$ on synthetic golden-ratio signals, but this may be construction-dependent. For general signals, sparsity improvement over DFT is typically modest (5-15%).

Status: Plausible conjecture requiring rigorous harmonic analysis; no proof currently exists.

Result	Statement	Status
Theorem 3.5	Closed-form RFT unitarity	PROVEN
Theorem 4.4	Canonical RFT unitarity	PROVEN
Theorem 5.1	$O(n \log n)$ complexity	PROVEN
Theorem 7.3	Hybrid decomposition with energy identity	PROVEN
Theorem 8.2	Twisted convolution diagonalization	PROVEN
Observation 4.6	No equivalence found for $n \leq 32$	Numerical
Observation 4.7	Canonical \approx closed-form alignment	Numerical
Conjecture 4.8	Canonical RFT is not an LCT	Open
Conjecture 9.3	Sparsity for golden signals	Open

Table 1: Classification of results: proven theorems, numerical observations, and open conjectures.

10 Summary of Results

10.1 Classification of Results

10.2 Honest Assessment

What is proven:

- The closed-form RFT is unitary and computable in $O(n \log n)$ via FFT
- The closed-form RFT is *trivially equivalent* to a phased DFT (Remark 4.1)
- The canonical QR-based RFT is unitary by construction
- The hybrid decomposition gives exact energy accounting via Parseval

What is not proven:

- That the canonical RFT is structurally distinct from the DFT orbit for all n
- The precise relationship between canonical and closed-form constructions
- Any sparsity advantages for specific signal classes

Note on closed-form RFT: The closed-form $\Psi = D_\phi C_\sigma F$ is trivially equivalent to a phased DFT ($\Psi = \Lambda_1 F$ with $\Lambda_1 = D_\phi C_\sigma$). Its value lies in computational efficiency, not structural novelty.

11 Numerical Observations

The following results are purely numerical observations, not theorems. They provide empirical motivation but do not constitute proofs.

11.1 Quantum Chaos Signature

Observation 11.1 (Level Spacing Statistics). The eigenvalue level spacing distribution of Ψ exhibits level repulsion consistent with Wigner-Dyson statistics (Gaussian Orthogonal Ensemble), rather than Poisson statistics.

Method:

1. Compute eigenvalues $e^{i\theta_k}$ of Ψ
2. Extract eigenphases $\theta_k \in [0, 2\pi)$
3. Sort and compute unfolded spacings: $s_k = (\theta_{k+1} - \theta_k)/\langle s \rangle$
4. Compute variance of $\{s_k\}$

Results:

- Variance ratio ≈ 0.26 (GOE prediction: ≈ 0.273)
- Poisson (uncorrelated levels) would give variance ≈ 1.0

Interpretation: This suggests RFT has “mixing” behavior characteristic of quantum chaotic systems, distinct from the ordered spectrum of the DFT. This is relevant for cryptographic applications but is *not* a proof of any security property.

11.2 Approximate Equivalence of QR and Closed-Form

Observation 11.2 (QR vs Closed-Form Alignment). For the canonical QR-derived RFT U_ϕ and the closed-form $\Psi = D_\phi C_\sigma F$:

$$\left\| U_\phi^\dagger \Psi - \Lambda \right\|_F < 10^{-10} \quad (46)$$

for some diagonal unitary Λ .

Interpretation: Both constructions appear to produce the same unitary up to column phases. This is numerically observed but not proven analytically.

12 Numerical Validation of Proven Results

The proven theorems have been validated numerically with the following precision:

Property	Error Bound	Test Sizes
Unitarity $\ \Psi^\dagger \Psi - I\ _F$	$< 10^{-14}$	$n \in \{32, 64, 128, 256, 512\}$
Round-trip $\ \Psi^\dagger \Psi x - x\ / \ x\ $	$< 10^{-14}$	1000 random vectors
Energy preservation	$< 10^{-14}$	1000 random vectors
Twisted convolution identity	$< 10^{-15}$	100 random pairs
Non-quadratic $\Delta^2 f$	Exact: $\{-1, 0, 1\}$	Direct computation

Table 2: Numerical validation of proven theorems

References

1. Oppenheim, A.V., Schafer, R.W. (2010). *Discrete-Time Signal Processing*, 3rd ed. Pearson.
2. Cooley, J.W., Tukey, J.W. (1965). “An Algorithm for the Machine Calculation of Complex Fourier Series.” *Math. Comp.* 19:297–301.
3. Wolf, K.B. (1979). *Integral Transforms in Science and Engineering*. Plenum Press.
4. Haake, F. (2010). *Quantum Signatures of Chaos*, 3rd ed. Springer.