

VIRAL EVOLUTION

Genomic surveillance elucidates Ebola virus origin and transmission during the 2014 outbreak

Stephen K. Gire,^{1,2*} Augustine Goba,^{3*†} Kristian G. Andersen,^{1,2*†} Rachel S. G. Sealfon,^{2,4*} Daniel J. Park,^{2*} Lansana Kanneh,³ Simbirie Jalloh,³ Mambu Momoh,^{3,5} Mohamed Fullah,^{3,5†} Gytis Dudas,⁶ Shirlee Wohl,^{1,2,7} Lina M. Moses,⁸ Nathan L. Yozwiak,^{1,2} Sarah Winnicki,^{1,2} Christian B. Matranga,² Christine M. Malboeuf,² James Qu,² Adrienne D. Gladden,² Stephen F. Schaffner,^{1,2} Xiao Yang,² Pan-Pan Jiang,^{1,2} Mahan Nekoui,^{1,2} Andres Colubri,¹ Moinya Ruth Coomber,³ Mbalu Fonnio,^{3†} Alex Moigboi,^{3†} Michael Gbakie,³ Fatima K. Kamara,³ Veronica Tucker,³ Edwin Konuwa,³ Sidiki Saffa,^{3†} Josephine Sellu,³ Abdul Azziz Jalloh,³ Alice Kovoma,^{3†} James Koninga,³ Ibrahim Mustapha,³ Kandeh Kargbo,³ Momoh Foday,³ Mohamed Yillah,³ Franklyn Kanneh,³ Willie Robert,³ James L. B. Massally,³ Sinéad B. Chapman,² James Bochicchio,² Cheryl Murphy,² Chad Nusbaum,² Sarah Young,² Bruce W. Birren,² Donald S. Grant,³ John S. Scheffelin,⁸ Eric S. Lander,^{2,7,9} Christian Happi,¹⁰ Sahr M. Gevao,¹¹ Andreas Gnirke,^{2§} Andrew Rambaut,^{6,12,13§} Robert F. Garry,^{8§} S. Humarr Khan,^{3†§} Pardis C. Sabeti^{1,2†§}

In its largest outbreak, Ebola virus disease is spreading through Guinea, Liberia, Sierra Leone, and Nigeria. We sequenced 99 Ebola virus genomes from 78 patients in Sierra Leone to ~2000× coverage. We observed a rapid accumulation of interhost and intrahost genetic variation, allowing us to characterize patterns of viral transmission over the initial weeks of the epidemic. This West African variant likely diverged from central African lineages around 2004, crossed from Guinea to Sierra Leone in May 2014, and has exhibited sustained human-to-human transmission subsequently, with no evidence of additional zoonotic sources. Because many of the mutations alter protein sequences and other biologically meaningful targets, they should be monitored for impact on diagnostics, vaccines, and therapies critical to outbreak response.

Ebola virus (EBOV; formerly Zaire ebolavirus), one of five ebolaviruses, is a lethal human pathogen, causing Ebola virus disease (EVD) with an average case fatality rate of 78% (1). Previous EVD outbreaks were confined to remote regions of central Africa; the largest, in 1976, had 318 cases (2) (Fig. 1A). The current outbreak started in February 2014 in Guinea, West Africa (3) and spread into Liberia in March, Sierra Leone in May, and Nigeria in late July. It is the largest known EVD outbreak and is expand-

ing exponentially, with a doubling period of 34.8 days (Fig. 1B). As of 19 August 2014, 2240 cases and 1229 deaths have been documented (4, 5). Its emergence in the major cities of Conakry (Guinea), Freetown (Sierra Leone), Monrovia (Liberia), and Lagos (Nigeria) raises the specter of increasing local and international dissemination.

In an ongoing public health crisis, where accurate and timely information is crucial, new genomic technologies can provide near-real-time insights into the pathogen's origin, transmission


dynamics, and evolution. We used massively parallel viral sequencing to understand how and when EBOV entered human populations in the 2014 West African outbreak, whether the outbreak is continuing to be fed by new transmissions from its natural reservoir, and how the virus changed, both before and after its recent jump to humans.

In March 2014, Kenema Government Hospital (KGH) established EBOV surveillance in Kenema, Sierra Leone, near the origin of the 2014 outbreak (Fig. 1C and fig. S1) (6). Following standards for field-based tests in previous (7) and current (3) outbreaks, KGH performed conventional polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based EBOV diagnostics (8) (fig. S2); all tests were negative through early May. On 25 May, KGH scientists confirmed the first case of EVD in Sierra Leone. Investigation by the Ministry of Health and Sanitation (MoHS) uncovered an epidemiological link between this case and the burial of a traditional healer who had treated EVD patients from Guinea. Tracing led to 13 additional cases—all females who attended the burial. We obtained ethical approval from MoHS, the Sierra Leone Ethics and Scientific Review Committee, and our U.S. institutions to sequence patient samples in the United States according to approved safety standards (6).

We evaluated four independent library preparation methods and two sequencing platforms

Fig. 1. Ebola outbreaks, historical and current.

(A) Historical EVD outbreaks, colored by decade. Circle area represents total number of cases (RC = Republic of the Congo; DRC = Democratic Republic of Congo). (B) 2014 outbreak growth (confirmed, probable, and suspected cases). (C) Spread of EVD in Sierra Leone by district. The gradient denotes number of cases; the arrow depicts likely direction. (D) EBOV samples from 78 patients were sequenced in two batches, totaling 99 viral genomes [replication = technical replicates (6)]. Mean coverage and median depth of coverage with range are shown. (E) Combined coverage (normalized to the sample average) across sequenced EBOV genomes.



(9) (table S1) for our first batch of 15 inactivated EVD samples from 12 patients. Nextera library construction and Illumina sequencing provided the most complete genome assembly and reliable intrahost single-nucleotide variant (iSNV, frequency >0.5%) identification (6). We used this combination for a second batch of 84 samples from 66 additional patients, performing two independent replicates from each sample (Fig. 1D).

We also sequenced 35 samples from suspected EVD cases that tested negative for EBOV; genomic analysis identified other known pathogens, including Lassa virus, HIV-1, enterovirus A, and malaria parasites (fig. S3).

In total, we generated 99 EBOV genome sequences from 78 confirmed EVD patients, representing more than 70% of the EVD patients diagnosed in Sierra Leone from late May to mid-

June; we used multiple extraction methods or time points for 13 patients (table S2). Median coverage was >2000×, spanning more than 99.9% of EBOV coding regions (Fig. 1, D and E, and table S2).

We combined the 78 Sierra Leonean sequences with three published Guinean samples (3) [correcting 21 likely sequencing errors in the latter (6)] to obtain a data set of 81 sequences. They




Fig. 2. Relationship between outbreaks. (A) Unrooted phylogenetic tree of EBOV samples; each major clade corresponds to a distinct outbreak (scale bar = nucleotide substitutions per site). (B) Root-to-tip distance correlates better with sample date when rooting on the 1976 branch ($R^2 = 0.92$, top) than on the 2014 branch ($R^2 = 0.67$, bottom). (C) Temporally rooted tree from (A).




Fig. 3. Molecular dating of the 2014 outbreak. (A) BEAST dating of the separation of the 2014 lineage from central African lineages [SL, Sierra Leone; GN, Guinea; DRC, Democratic Republic of Congo; time of most recent common ancestor (tMRCA), September 2004; 95% highest posterior density (HPD), October 2002 to May 2006]. (B) BEAST dating of the tMRCA of the 2014 West African outbreak (23 February; 95% HPD, 27 January to 14 March) and the tMRCA of the Sierra Leone lineages (23 April; 95% HPD, 2 April to 13 May). Probability distributions for both 2014 divergence events are overlaid below. Posterior support for major nodes is shown.

reveal 341 fixed substitutions (35 nonsynonymous, 173 synonymous, and 133 noncoding) between the 2014 EBOV and all previously published EBOV sequences, with an additional 55 single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs; 15 nonsynonymous, 25 synonymous, and 15 noncoding), fixed within individual patients, within the West African outbreak. Notably, the Sierra Leonean genomes differ from PCR probes for four separate

assays used for EBOV and pan-filovirus diagnostics (table S3).


Deep-sequence coverage allowed identification of 263 iSNVs (73 nonsynonymous, 108 synonymous, 70 noncoding, and 12 frameshift) in the Sierra Leone patients (6). For all patients with multiple time points, consensus sequences were identical and iSNV frequencies remained stable (fig. S4). One notable intrahost variation is the

RNA editing site of the glycoprotein (GP) gene (fig. S5A) (10–12), which we characterized in patients (6).

Phylogenetic comparison to all 20 genomes from earlier outbreaks suggests that the 2014 West African virus likely spread from central Africa within the past decade. Rooting the phylogeny using divergence from other ebolavirus genomes is problematic (Fig. 2A and fig. S6) (6, 13).

Fig. 4. Viral dynamics during the 2014 outbreak.

(A) Mutations, one patient sample per row; beige blocks indicate identity with the Kissidougou Guinean sequence (GenBank accession KJ660346). The top row shows the type of mutation (green, synonymous; pink, nonsynonymous; gray, intergenic), with genomic locations indicated above. Cluster assignments are shown at the left. (B) Number of EVD-confirmed patients per day, colored by cluster. Arrow indicates the first appearance of the derived allele at position 10,218, distinguishing clusters 2 and 3. (C) Intrahost frequency of SNP 10,218 in all 78 patients (absent in 28 patients, polymorphic in 12, fixed in 38). (D and E) Twelve patients carrying iSNV 10,218 cluster geographically and temporally (HCW-A = unsequenced health care worker; Driver drove HCW-A from Kissi Teng to Jawie, then continued alone to Mambo; HCW-B treated HCW-A). KGH = location of Kenema Government Hospital. (F) Substitution rates within the 2014 outbreak and between all EVD outbreaks. (G) Proportion of non-synonymous changes observed on different time scales (green, synonymous; pink, nonsynonymous). (H) Acquisition of genetic variation over time. Fifty mutational events (short dashes) and 29 new viral lineages (long dashes) were observed (intrahost variants not included).



However, rooting the tree on the oldest outbreak reveals a strong correlation between sample date and root-to-tip distance, with a substitution rate of 8×10^{-4} per site per year (Fig. 2B and fig. S7) (13). This suggests that the lineages of the three most recent outbreaks all diverged from a common ancestor at roughly the same time, around 2004 (Fig. 2C and Fig. 3A), which supports the hypothesis that each outbreak represents an independent zoonotic event from the same genetically diverse viral population in its natural reservoir.

Genetic similarity across the sequenced 2014 samples suggests a single transmission from the natural reservoir, followed by human-to-human transmission during the outbreak. Molecular dating places the common ancestor of all sequenced Guinea and Sierra Leone lineages around late February 2014 (Fig. 3B), 3 months after the earliest suspected cases in Guinea (3); this coalescence would be unlikely had there been multiple transmissions from the natural reservoir. Thus, in contrast to some previous EVD outbreaks (14), continued human-reservoir exposure is unlikely to have contributed to the growth of this epidemic in areas represented by available sequence data.

Our data suggest that the Sierra Leone outbreak stemmed from the introduction of two genetically distinct viruses from Guinea around the same time. Samples from 12 of the first EVD patients in Sierra Leone, all believed to have attended the funeral of an EVD case from Guinea, fall into two distinct clusters (clusters 1 and 2) (Fig. 4A and fig. S8). Molecular dating places the divergence of these two lineages in late April (Fig. 3B), predating their co-appearance in Sierra Leone in late May (Fig. 4B); this finding suggests that the funeral attendees were most likely infected by two lineages then circulating in Guinea, possibly at the funeral (fig. S9). All subsequent diversity in Sierra Leone accumulated on the background of those two lineages (Fig. 4A), consistent with epidemiological information from tracing contacts.

Patterns in observed intrahost and interhost variation provide important insight about transmission and epidemiology. Groups of patients with identical viruses or with shared intrahost variation show temporal patterns suggesting transmission links (fig. S10). One iSNV (position 10,218) shared by 12 patients is later observed as fixed within 38 patients, becoming the majority allele in the population (Fig. 4C) and defining a

third Sierra Leone cluster (Fig. 4, A and D, and fig. S8). Repeated propagation at intermediate frequency suggests that transmission of multiple viral haplotypes may be common. Geographic, temporal, and epidemiological metadata support the transmission clustering inferred from genetic data (Fig. 4, D and E, and fig. S11) (6).

The observed substitution rate is roughly twice as high within the 2014 outbreak as between outbreaks (Fig. 4F). Mutations are also more frequently nonsynonymous during the outbreak (Fig. 4G). Similar findings have been seen previously (15) and are consistent with expectations from incomplete purifying selection (16–18). Determining whether individual mutations are deleterious, or even adaptive, would require functional analysis; however, the rate of nonsynonymous mutations suggests that continued progression of this epidemic could afford an opportunity for viral adaptation (Fig. 4H), underscoring the need for rapid containment.

As in every EVD outbreak, the 2014 EBOV variant carries a number of genetic changes distinct to this lineage; our data do not address whether these differences are related to the severity of the outbreak. However, the catalog of 395 mutations, including 50 fixed nonsynonymous changes with 8 at positions with high levels of conservation across ebolaviruses, provides a starting point for such studies (table S4).

To aid in relief efforts and facilitate rapid global research, we have immediately released all sequence data as it is generated. Ongoing epidemiological and genomic surveillance is imperative to identify viral determinants of transmission dynamics, monitor viral changes and adaptation, ensure accurate diagnosis, guide research on therapeutic targets, and refine public health strategies. It is our hope that this work will aid the multidisciplinary international efforts to understand and contain this expanding epidemic.

In memoriam: Tragically, five co-authors, who contributed greatly to public health and research efforts in Sierra Leone, contracted EVD and lost their battle with the disease before this manuscript could be published: Mohamed Fullah, Mbalu Fonnio, Alex Moigboi, Alice Kovoma, and S. Humarr Khan. We wish to honor their memory.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

1. J. H. Kuhn et al., *Biosecure. Bioterror.* **9**, 361–371 (2011).
2. J. Burke, *Bull. World Health Organ.* **56**, 271–293 (1978).

3. S. Baize et al., *N. Engl. J. Med.* 10.1056/NEJMoa1404505 (2014).
4. WHO, (2014), www.who.int/csr/don/archive/disease/ebola/en/
5. O. Reynard, V. Volchkov, C. Peyrefitte, *Med. Sci.* **30**, 671–673 (2014).
6. See supplementary materials on Science Online.
7. J. S. Townner, T. K. Sealy, T. G. Ksiazek, S. T. Nichol, *J. Infect. Dis.* **196** (suppl. 2), S205–S212 (2007).
8. M. Panning et al., *J. Infect. Dis.* **196** (suppl. 2), S199–S204 (2007).
9. C. M. Malboeuf et al., *Nucleic Acids Res.* **41**, e13 (2013).
10. A. Sanchez, S. G. Trappier, B. W. Mahy, C. J. Peters, S. T. Nichol, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **93**, 3602–3607 (1996).
11. V. E. Volchkov et al., *Virology* **214**, 421–430 (1995).
12. V. A. Volchkova, O. Dolnik, M. J. Martinez, O. Reynard, V. E. Volchkov, *J. Infect. Dis.* **204** (suppl. 3), S941–S946 (2011).
13. G. Dudas, A. Rambaut, *PLOS Curr. Outbreaks* **6**, 10.1371/currents.outbreaks.84ee5ce43ec9dc0bf0670f7b8b417d (2014).
14. J. Kuhn, C. H. Calisher, Eds., *Filoviruses: A Compendium of 40 Years of Epidemiological, Clinical, and Laboratory Studies* (Springer, New York, 2008).
15. M. J. Schreiber et al., *J. Virol.* **83**, 4163–4173 (2009).
16. J. O. Wertheim, S. L. Kosakovsky Pond, *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **28**, 3355–3365 (2011).
17. S. Y. Ho, M. J. Phillips, A. Cooper, A. J. Drummond, *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **22**, 1561–1568 (2005).
18. E. C. Holmes, *J. Virol.* **77**, 11296–11298 (2003).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Office of the President of Sierra Leone (President E. Koroma, M. Jones, S. Blyden), the Sierra Leone Ministry of Health and Sanitation (Minister M. Kargbo, B. Kargbo, M. A. Vandi, A. Jambai), the Kenema District Health Management Team, and the Lassa fever program for their efforts in outbreak response. We thank P. Cingolani, Y.-C. Wu, M. Lipsitch, S. Günther, S. Baize, N. Wauquier, J. Bangura, V. Lungay, L. Hensley, J. Johnson, M. Voorhees, A. O'Hearn, R. Schoep, L. Gaffney, J. Kuhn, S. C. Sealfon, J. B. Shapiro, C. Edwards, and Sabeti lab members for technical support and feedback. Supported by the NSF Graduate Research Fellowship Program (R.S.G.S.), NIH grant GM080177 (S. Wohl), NIH grant 1U01HG007480-01 and the World Bank (C.H.), European Union grant FP7/2007-2013 278433-PREDEMICS and European Research Council grant 260864 (A.R.), Natural Environment Research Council grant D76739X (G.D.), NIH grant 1DP2OD006514-01, and National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases grant HHSN272200900049C. Sequence data are available at NCBI (NCBI BioGroup: PRJNA257197). Sharing of RNA samples used in this study requires approval from the Sierra Leone Ministry of Health and Sanitation.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

www.sciencemag.org/content/345/6202/1369/suppl/DC1
Materials and Methods
Supplementary Text
Figs. S1 to S11
Tables S1 to S4
Supplementary files S1 to S4
References (19–44)

5 August 2014; accepted 21 August 2014
Published online 28 August 2014;
10.1126/science.1259657

Genomic surveillance elucidates Ebola virus origin and transmission during the 2014 outbreak

Stephen K. Gire, Augustine Goba, Kristian G. Andersen, Rachel S. G. Sealfon, Daniel J. Park, Lansana Kanneh, Simbirie Jalloh, Mambu Momoh, Mohamed Fullah, Gytis Dudas, Shirlee Wohl, Lina M. Moses, Nathan L. Yozwiak, Sarah Winnicki, Christian B. Matranga, Christine M. Malboeuf, James Qu, Adrienne D. Gladden, Stephen F. Schaffner, Xiao Yang, Pan-Pan Jiang, Mahan Nekoui, Andres Colubri, Moinya Ruth Coomber, Mbalu Fonnio, Alex Moigboi, Michael Gbakie, Fatima K. Kamara, Veronica Tucker, Edwin Konuwa, Sidiki Saffa, Josephine Sellu, Abdul Azziz Jalloh, Alice Kovoma, James Koninga, Ibrahim Mustapha, Kande Kargbo, Momoh Foday, Mohamed Yillah, Franklyn Kanneh, Willie Robert, James L. B. Massally, Sinéad B. Chapman, James Bochicchio, Cheryl Murphy, Chad Nusbaum, Sarah Young, Bruce W. Birren, Donald S. Grant, John S. Scheffelin, Eric S. Lander, Christian Happi, Sahr M. Gevao, Andreas Gnirke, Andrew Rambaut, Robert F. Garry, S. Humarr Khan and Pardis C. Sabeti

Science **345** (6202), 1369-1372.

DOI: 10.1126/science.1259657 originally published online August 28, 2014

Evolution of Ebola virus over time

The high rate of mortality in the current Ebola epidemic has made it difficult for researchers to collect samples of the virus and study its evolution. Gire *et al.* describe Ebola epidemiology on the basis of 99 whole-genome sequences, including samples from 78 affected individuals. The authors analyzed changes in the viral sequence and conclude that the current outbreak probably resulted from the spread of the virus from central Africa in the past decade. The outbreak started from a single transmission event from an unknown animal reservoir into the human population. Two viral lineages from Guinea then spread from person to person into Sierra Leone.

Science, this issue p. 1369

ARTICLE TOOLS

<http://science.sciencemag.org/content/345/6202/1369>

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

<http://science.sciencemag.org/content/suppl/2014/08/27/science.1259657.DC1>

RELATED CONTENT

<http://science.sciencemag.org/content/sci/345/6200/989.full>
<http://stm.sciencemag.org/content/scitransmed/5/207/207ra143.full>
<http://stm.sciencemag.org/content/scitransmed/5/199/199ra113.full>
<http://stm.sciencemag.org/content/scitransmed/5/190/190ra79.full>
<http://stm.sciencemag.org/content/scitransmed/4/158/158ra146.full>
<http://science.sciencemag.org/content/sci/346/6208/433.1.full>

REFERENCES

This article cites 41 articles, 7 of which you can access for free
<http://science.sciencemag.org/content/345/6202/1369#BIBL>

Use of this article is subject to the [Terms of Service](#)

Science (print ISSN 0036-8075; online ISSN 1095-9203) is published by the American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1200 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005. The title *Science* is a registered trademark of AAAS.

Copyright © 2014, American Association for the Advancement of Science

PERMISSIONS

<http://www.sciencemag.org/help/reprints-and-permissions>

Use of this article is subject to the [Terms of Service](#)

Science (print ISSN 0036-8075; online ISSN 1095-9203) is published by the American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1200 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005. The title *Science* is a registered trademark of AAAS.

Copyright © 2014, American Association for the Advancement of Science