Assignment | Solutions: Equations/statements marked in blue casey | point each. Alternate solutions are accepted (as long as they are well heasoned) Message any of the TAs if you have a problem Given: ACRMXN, BERNXP 10 PT sank (AB) = min Erank (A), rank (B)} → YxEN(B), Ba=0 (Definition of N(B)) => ABa=0 (Premultiply with A) =) x E N (AB) (Definition of N(AB)) → NB C N(AB) (: tx EU=)xEV=>UEW => dim (N(B)) < dim (N(AB))) (U < V => dim (U) ≤ dim (V) =) b- dim (NCB)) = b-dim N (AB) > (Rank-Nullity Than) → Sank (AB) ≤ Sank(B) -> + x E R(AB), 3 y st ABy=x (Refinition of R(A)) $\chi = A(By) = A2$ => x E R(A) =) R(AB) CR(A) Thue, dim (R(AB)) & dim (R(A)), \(\frac{4}{4}\)/ U \(\subseteq V\) and sank (AB) & sank (A).

Alt: 1. After either rank (AB) \leq rank (A) or rank (AB) \leq rank (B) is proven, you can also do: rank (A'B') \leq rank (A') for some compatible A', B'

Let
$$B' = A^T$$
, $A' = B^T$.

(Rowelank = Col. Rank)

$$=$$
) $lank(B^TA^T) \leq Rank(B)$
 $loop Rank(B^TA^T)^T)$

(Rowelank = (al. Rank)

2. You can also prove and use Rank(AB) = Rank(B) - dim(N(A) (R(B)).This proof is also correct.

A. From (a), sank (ATA) < rank(A)

-(1)

(Definition)