• $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \cdots, X_n)^T$ are said to be jointly Gaussian if

$$f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}} |\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})}$$

 $ightharpoonup \mathbf{X} = (X_1, \cdots, X_n)^T$ are said to be jointly Gaussian if

$$f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}} |\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})}$$

• $E\mathbf{X} = \boldsymbol{\mu}$ and $\Sigma_X = \Sigma$.

 $ightharpoonup \mathbf{X} = (X_1, \cdots, X_n)^T$ are said to be jointly Gaussian if

$$f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}} |\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})}$$

- $E\mathbf{X} = \boldsymbol{\mu}$ and $\Sigma_X = \Sigma$.
- ▶ The moment generating function is given by

$$M_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{s}) = e^{\mathbf{s}^T \boldsymbol{\mu} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{s}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \, \mathbf{s}}$$

• $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \cdots, X_n)^T$ are said to be jointly Gaussian if

$$f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}} |\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})}$$

- $E\mathbf{X} = \boldsymbol{\mu}$ and $\Sigma_X = \Sigma$.
- ▶ The moment generating function is given by

$$M_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{s}) = e^{\mathbf{s}^T \boldsymbol{\mu} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{s}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \, \mathbf{s}}$$

 $\qquad \qquad \mathbf{W} \text{hen } X,Y \text{ are jointly Gaussian, the joint density is given } \\ \text{by}$

$$f_{XY}(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_x\sigma_y\sqrt{1-\rho^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2(1-\rho^2)} \left(\frac{(x-\mu_x)^2}{\sigma_x^2} + \frac{(y-\mu_y)^2}{\sigma_y^2} - \frac{2\rho(x-\mu_x)(y-\mu_y)}{\sigma_x\sigma_y}\right)}$$

▶ If X_1, \dots, X_n are jointly Gaussian then they are independent if they are uncorrelated.

- ▶ If X_1, \dots, X_n are jointly Gaussian then they are independent if they are uncorrelated.
- When X_1, \dots, X_n be jointly Gaussian (with zero means), there is an orthogonal transform $\mathbf{Y} = A\mathbf{X}$ such that Y_1, \dots, Y_n are jointly Gaussian and independent.

- ▶ If X_1, \dots, X_n are jointly Gaussian then they are independent if they are uncorrelated.
- When X_1, \dots, X_n be jointly Gaussian (with zero means), there is an orthogonal transform $\mathbf{Y} = A\mathbf{X}$ such that Y_1, \dots, Y_n are jointly Gaussian and independent.
- ▶ X_1, \dots, X_n are jointly Gaussian if and only if $\mathbf{t}^T \mathbf{X}$ is Gaussian for for all non-zero $\mathbf{t} \in \Re^n$.

- ▶ If X_1, \dots, X_n are jointly Gaussian then they are independent if they are uncorrelated.
- When X_1, \dots, X_n be jointly Gaussian (with zero means), there is an orthogonal transform $\mathbf{Y} = A\mathbf{X}$ such that Y_1, \dots, Y_n are jointly Gaussian and independent.
- ▶ X_1, \dots, X_n are jointly Gaussian if and only if $\mathbf{t}^T \mathbf{X}$ is Gaussian for for all non-zero $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
- ▶ If X_1, \dots, X_n are jointly Gaussian and A is a $k \times n$ matrix of rank k, then, $\mathbf{Y} = A\mathbf{X}$ is jointly gaussian

▶ **Jensen's Inequality**: If g is convex and EX and E[g(X)] exist

$$g(EX) \le E[g(X)]$$

▶ **Jensen's Inequality**: If g is convex and EX and E[g(X)] exist

$$g(EX) \le E[g(X)]$$

▶ Holder Inequality: For p, q > 1 and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$

$$E|XY| \le (E|X|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} (E|Y|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

(assuming all expectations exist)

▶ **Jensen's Inequality**: If g is convex and EX and E[g(X)] exist

$$g(EX) \le E[g(X)]$$

▶ Holder Inequality: For p, q > 1 and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$

$$E|XY| \le (E|X|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} (E|Y|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

(assuming all expectations exist)

▶ For p = q = 2, the above is Cauchy-Schwartz inequality

▶ **Jensen's Inequality**: If g is convex and EX and E[g(X)] exist

$$g(EX) \le E[g(X)]$$

▶ Holder Inequality: For p, q > 1 and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$

$$E|XY| \le (E|X|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} (E|Y|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

(assuming all expectations exist)

- ▶ For p = q = 2, the above is Cauchy-Schwartz inequality
- ▶ This implies $|\rho_{XY}| \le 1$

▶ **Jensen's Inequality**: If g is convex and EX and E[g(X)] exist

$$g(EX) \le E[g(X)]$$

▶ Holder Inequality: For p, q > 1 and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$

$$E|XY| \le (E|X|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} (E|Y|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

(assuming all expectations exist)

- ▶ For p = q = 2, the above is Cauchy-Schwartz inequality
- ▶ This implies $|\rho_{XY}| \leq 1$
- Minkowski's Inequality:

$$(E|X+Y|^r)^{\frac{1}{r}} \le (E|X|^r)^{\frac{1}{r}} + (E|Y|^r)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

Chernoff Bounds

$$P[X > a] \le \frac{E[e^{sX}]}{e^{sa}} = \frac{M_X(s)}{e^{sa}}, \forall s > 0$$

Chernoff Bounds

$$P[X > a] \le \frac{E[e^{sX}]}{e^{sa}} = \frac{M_X(s)}{e^{sa}}, \forall s > 0$$

▶ Hoeffding Inequality X_i iid, $X_i \in [a, b], \forall i$ and $EX_i = \mu$

$$P\left[\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i - n\mu\right| \ge \epsilon\right] \le 2e^{-\frac{2\epsilon^2}{n(b-a)}}, \ \epsilon > 0$$

lacksquare X_i are iid, $EX_i=\mu$, $\mathrm{Var}(X_i)=\sigma^2$, $S_n=\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$

lacksquare X_i are iid, $EX_i=\mu$, $\mathrm{Var}(X_i)=\sigma^2$, $S_n=\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$

$$E\left[\frac{S_n}{n}\right] = \mu;$$
 and $\operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{S_n}{n}\right) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$

lacksquare X_i are iid, $EX_i=\mu$, $\mathrm{Var}(X_i)=\sigma^2$, $S_n=\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$

$$E\left[\frac{S_n}{n}\right] = \mu; \quad \text{ and } \quad \operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{S_n}{n}\right) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

By Chebyshev Inequality

$$P\left[\left|\frac{S_n}{n} - \mu\right| \ge \epsilon\right] \le \frac{\mathsf{Var}(\frac{S_n}{n})}{\epsilon^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n\epsilon^2}, \ \forall \epsilon > 0$$

lacksquare X_i are iid, $EX_i=\mu$, $\mathrm{Var}(X_i)=\sigma^2$, $S_n=\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$

$$E\left[\frac{S_n}{n}\right] = \mu; \quad \text{ and } \quad \operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{S_n}{n}\right) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

By Chebyshev Inequality

$$P\left[\left|\frac{S_n}{n} - \mu\right| \ge \epsilon\right] \le \frac{\mathsf{Var}(\frac{S_n}{n})}{\epsilon^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n\epsilon^2}, \ \forall \epsilon > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} P\left[\left| \frac{S_n}{n} - \mu \right| \ge \epsilon \right] = 0, \ \forall \epsilon > 0$$

▶ A sequence of random variables, X_n , is said to **converge** in **probability** to a random variable X_0 is

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P\left[|X_n - X_0| > \epsilon \right] = 0, \ \forall \epsilon > 0$$

A sequence of random variables, X_n , is said to **converge** in **probability** to a random variable X_0 is

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P\left[|X_n - X_0| > \epsilon \right] = 0, \ \forall \epsilon > 0$$

This is denoted as $X_n \stackrel{P}{\rightarrow} X_0$

▶ A sequence of random variables, X_n , is said to **converge** in **probability** to a random variable X_0 is

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P\left[|X_n - X_0| > \epsilon \right] = 0, \ \forall \epsilon > 0$$

This is denoted as $X_n \stackrel{P}{\rightarrow} X_0$

By the definition of limit, the above means

$$\forall \delta > 0, \ \exists N < \infty, \ s.t. \ P[|X_n - X_0| > \epsilon] < \delta, \ \forall n > N$$

▶ A sequence of random variables, X_n , is said to **converge** in **probability** to a random variable X_0 is

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P\left[|X_n - X_0| > \epsilon \right] = 0, \ \forall \epsilon > 0$$

This is denoted as $X_n \stackrel{P}{\rightarrow} X_0$

By the definition of limit, the above means

$$\forall \delta > 0, \ \exists N < \infty, \ s.t. \ P[|X_n - X_0| > \epsilon] < \delta, \ \forall n > N$$

ightharpoonup We only need marginal distributions of individual X_n to decide whether a sequence converges to a constant in probability

$$g_n \rightarrow g_0$$
 if $g_n(x) \rightarrow g_0(x)$, $\forall x$

$$g_n \rightarrow g_0$$
 if $g_n(x) \rightarrow g_0(x)$, $\forall x$

► Since random variables are also functions we can define convergence like this.

$$g_n \to g_0$$
 if $g_n(x) \to g_0(x)$, $\forall x$

- ► Since random variables are also functions we can define convergence like this.
- We can demand $X_n(\omega) \to X_0(\omega), \ \forall \omega$

$$g_n \to g_0$$
 if $g_n(x) \to g_0(x)$, $\forall x$

- ► Since random variables are also functions we can define convergence like this.
- We can demand $X_n(\omega) \to X_0(\omega), \ \forall \omega$
- ▶ Such pointise convergence is too restrictive.

$$g_n \to g_0$$
 if $g_n(x) \to g_0(x)$, $\forall x$

- Since random variables are also functions we can define convergence like this.
- We can demand $X_n(\omega) \to X_0(\omega), \ \forall \omega$
- Such pointise convergence is too restrictive.
- \blacktriangleright But we can demand that it should be satisfied for almost all ω

▶ A sequence of random variables, X_n , is said to converge almost surely or with probability one to X if

$$P(\{\omega : X_n(\omega) \to X(\omega)\}) = 1$$

▶ A sequence of random variables, X_n , is said to converge almost surely or with probability one to X if

$$P(\{\omega : X_n(\omega) \to X(\omega)\}) = 1$$

or equivalently

$$P(\{\omega : X_n(\omega) \nrightarrow X(\omega)\}) = 0$$

▶ A sequence of random variables, X_n, is said to converge almost surely or with probability one to X if

$$P(\{\omega : X_n(\omega) \to X(\omega)\}) = 1$$

or equivalently

$$P(\{\omega : X_n(\omega) \nrightarrow X(\omega)\}) = 0$$

▶ Denoted as $X_n \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} X$ or $X_n \stackrel{w.p.1}{\to} X$ or $X_n \to X_0$ (w.p.1)

▶ A sequence of random variables, X_n, is said to converge almost surely or with probability one to X if

$$P(\{\omega : X_n(\omega) \to X(\omega)\}) = 1$$

or equivalently

$$P(\{\omega : X_n(\omega) \nrightarrow X(\omega)\}) = 0$$

- ▶ Denoted as $X_n \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} X$ or $X_n \stackrel{w.p.1}{\to} X$ or $X_n \to X_0$ (w.p.1)
- ▶ We are saying that for 'almost all' ω , $X_n(\omega)$ converges to $X(\omega)$

▶ A sequence of random variables, X_n , is said to converge almost surely or with probability one to X if

$$P(\{\omega : X_n(\omega) \to X(\omega)\}) = 1$$

or equivalently

$$P(\{\omega : X_n(\omega) \nrightarrow X(\omega)\}) = 0$$

- ▶ Denoted as $X_n \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} X$ or $X_n \stackrel{w.p.1}{\to} X$ or $X_n \to X_0$ (w.p.1)
- ▶ We are saying that for 'almost all' ω , $X_n(\omega)$ converges to $X(\omega)$
- ▶ We will first try and write the event $\{\omega : X_n(\omega) \nrightarrow X(\omega)\}$ in a proper form

▶ Recall convergence of real number sequences.

- ▶ Recall convergence of real number sequences.
- A sequence of real numbers x_n is said to converge to x_0 , $x_n \to x_0$, if

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \ \exists N < \infty, \ s.t. \ |x_n - x_0| < \epsilon, \ \forall n \ge N$$

- Recall convergence of real number sequences.
- A sequence of real numbers x_n is said to converge to x_0 , $x_n \to x_0$, if

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \ \exists N < \infty, \ s.t. \ |x_n - x_0| < \epsilon, \ \forall n \ge N$$

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \ \exists N < \infty, \ \forall k \ge 0 \ |x_{N+k} - x_0| < \epsilon$$

- Recall convergence of real number sequences.
- A sequence of real numbers x_n is said to converge to x_0 , $x_n \to x_0$, if

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \ \exists N < \infty, \ s.t. \ |x_n - x_0| < \epsilon, \ \forall n \ge N$$

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \ \exists N < \infty, \ \forall k \ge 0 \ |x_{N+k} - x_0| < \epsilon$$

ightharpoonup So, $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ means

$$\exists \epsilon \ \forall N \ \exists k \ |x_{N+k} - x_0| \ge \epsilon$$

▶ Note that given any ω , $X_n(\omega)$ is real number sequence.

- ▶ Note that given any ω , $X_n(\omega)$ is real number sequence.
- ▶ Hence $X_n(\omega) \to X(\omega)$ is same as

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \ \exists N < \infty \ \forall k \ge 0 \ |X_{N+k}(\omega) - X(\omega)| < \epsilon$$

- ▶ Note that given any ω , $X_n(\omega)$ is real number sequence.
- ▶ Hence $X_n(\omega) \to X(\omega)$ is same as

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \ \exists N < \infty \ \forall k \ge 0 \ |X_{N+k}(\omega) - X(\omega)| < \epsilon$$

$$\forall r > 0, r \text{ integer} \quad \exists N < \infty \quad \forall k \geq 0 \quad |X_{N+k}(\omega) - X(\omega)| < \frac{1}{r}$$

- ▶ Note that given any ω , $X_n(\omega)$ is real number sequence.
- ▶ Hence $X_n(\omega) \to X(\omega)$ is same as

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \ \exists N < \infty \ \forall k \ge 0 \ |X_{N+k}(\omega) - X(\omega)| < \epsilon$$

$$\forall r > 0, r \text{ integer} \quad \exists N < \infty \quad \forall k \geq 0 \ |X_{N+k}(\omega) - X(\omega)| < \frac{1}{r}$$

▶ Hence, $X_n(\omega) \nrightarrow X(\omega)$ is same as

$$\exists r \ \forall N \ \exists k |X_{N+k}(\omega) - X(\omega)| \ge \frac{1}{r}$$

- ▶ Note that given any ω , $X_n(\omega)$ is real number sequence.
- ▶ Hence $X_n(\omega) \to X(\omega)$ is same as

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \ \exists N < \infty \ \forall k \ge 0 \ |X_{N+k}(\omega) - X(\omega)| < \epsilon$$

$$\forall r > 0, r \text{ integer} \quad \exists N < \infty \quad \forall k \geq 0 \ |X_{N+k}(\omega) - X(\omega)| < \frac{1}{r}$$

▶ Hence, $X_n(\omega) \nrightarrow X(\omega)$ is same as

$$\exists r \ \forall N \ \exists k |X_{N+k}(\omega) - X(\omega)| \ge \frac{1}{r}$$

▶ Hence we can write this event as

$$\bigcup_{r=1}^{\infty} \cap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \omega : |X_{N+k}(\omega) - X(\omega)| \ge \frac{1}{r} \right\}$$

▶ The event $\{\omega : X_n(\omega) \nrightarrow X(\omega)\}\$ can be expressed as

$$\bigcup_{r=1}^{\infty} \cap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[|X_{N+k} - X| \ge \frac{1}{r} \right]$$

▶ The event $\{\omega : X_n(\omega) \nrightarrow X(\omega)\}\$ can be expressed as

$$\bigcup_{r=1}^{\infty} \cap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[|X_{N+k} - X| \ge \frac{1}{r} \right]$$

ightharpoonup Hence X_n converges almost surely to X iff

$$P\left(\bigcup_{r=1}^{\infty} \cap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[|X_{N+k} - X| \ge \frac{1}{r} \right] \right) = 0$$

▶ The event $\{\omega : X_n(\omega) \nrightarrow X(\omega)\}$ can be expressed as

$$\bigcup_{r=1}^{\infty} \cap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[|X_{N+k} - X| \ge \frac{1}{r} \right]$$

ightharpoonup Hence X_n converges almost surely to X iff

$$P\left(\cup_{r=1}^{\infty}\cap_{N=1}^{\infty}\cup_{k=0}^{\infty}\left[\left|X_{N+k}-X\right|\geq\frac{1}{r}\right]\right)=0$$

► This is same as

$$P\left(\cap_{N=1}^{\infty}\cup_{k=0}^{\infty}\left[\left|X_{N+k}-X\right|\geq\frac{1}{r}\right]\right)=0,\ \forall r>0,\ \mathrm{integer}$$

▶ The event $\{\omega : X_n(\omega) \nrightarrow X(\omega)\}\$ can be expressed as

$$\bigcup_{r=1}^{\infty} \cap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[|X_{N+k} - X| \ge \frac{1}{r} \right]$$

ightharpoonup Hence X_n converges almost surely to X iff

$$P\left(\cup_{r=1}^{\infty}\cap_{N=1}^{\infty}\cup_{k=0}^{\infty}\left[\left|X_{N+k}-X\right|\geq\frac{1}{r}\right]\right)=0$$

This is same as

$$P\left(\cap_{N=1}^{\infty}\cup_{k=0}^{\infty}\left[\left|X_{N+k}-X\right|\geq\frac{1}{r}\right]\right)=0,\ \forall r>0,\ \mathrm{integer}$$

Same as

$$P\left(\bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[|X_{N+k} - X| > \epsilon \right] \right) = 0, \ \forall \epsilon > 0$$

► A sequence X_n is said to converge almost surely or with probability one to X if

$$P(\{\omega : X_n(\omega) \to X(\omega)\}) = 1$$

► A sequence X_n is said to converge **almost surely** or with probability one to X if

$$P(\{\omega : X_n(\omega) \to X(\omega)\}) = 1$$

▶ We can also write it as

$$P[X_n \to X] = 1$$

▶ A sequence X_n is said to converge **almost surely** or with probability one to X if

$$P(\{\omega : X_n(\omega) \to X(\omega)\}) = 1$$

▶ We can also write it as

$$P[X_n \to X] = 1$$

▶ We showed that this is equivalent to

$$P\left(\bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \cup_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[|X_{N+k} - X| \ge \epsilon \right] \right) = 0, \ \forall \epsilon > 0$$

▶ A sequence X_n is said to converge almost surely or with probability one to X if

$$P(\{\omega : X_n(\omega) \to X(\omega)\}) = 1$$

We can also write it as

$$P[X_n \to X] = 1$$

▶ We showed that this is equivalent to

$$P\left(\cap_{N=1}^{\infty} \cup_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[|X_{N+k} - X| \ge \epsilon \right] \right) = 0, \ \forall \epsilon > 0$$

Same as

$$P\left(\bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=N}^{\infty} \left[|X_k - X| \ge \epsilon \right] \right) = 0, \ \forall \epsilon > 0$$

- $\blacktriangleright \text{ Let } B_N = \cup_{k=N}^{\infty} A_k.$

- $\blacktriangleright \text{ Let } B_N = \cup_{k=N}^{\infty} A_k.$
- ▶ Then, $B_{N+1} \subset B_N$ and hence $B_N \downarrow$.

- $\blacktriangleright \text{ Let } B_N = \cup_{k=N}^{\infty} A_k.$
- ▶ Then, $B_{N+1} \subset B_N$ and hence $B_N \downarrow$.
- Hence, $\lim B_N = \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} B_N$.

- $\blacktriangleright \text{ Let } B_N = \cup_{k=N}^{\infty} A_k.$
- ▶ Then, $B_{N+1} \subset B_N$ and hence $B_N \downarrow$.
- Hence, $\lim B_N = \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} B_N$.
- We saw that $X_n \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} X$ is same as

$$P\left(\bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \cup_{k=N}^{\infty} \left[|X_k - X| \ge \epsilon \right] \right) = 0, \ \forall \epsilon > 0$$

- $\blacktriangleright \text{ Let } B_N = \cup_{k=N}^{\infty} A_k.$
- ▶ Then, $B_{N+1} \subset B_N$ and hence $B_N \downarrow$.
- Hence, $\lim B_N = \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} B_N$.
- We saw that $X_n \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} X$ is same as

$$P\left(\cap_{N=1}^{\infty}\cup_{k=N}^{\infty}\ [|X_k-X|\geq\epsilon]\right)=0,\ \forall\epsilon>0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow P\left(\lim_{N\to\infty} \bigcup_{k=N}^{\infty} [|X_k - X| \ge \epsilon]\right) = 0, \ \forall \epsilon > 0$$

- $\blacktriangleright \text{ Let } B_N = \cup_{k=N}^{\infty} A_k.$
- ▶ Then, $B_{N+1} \subset B_N$ and hence $B_N \downarrow$.
- ▶ Hence, $\lim B_N = \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} B_N$.
- We saw that $X_n \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} X$ is same as

$$P\left(\cap_{N=1}^{\infty}\cup_{k=N}^{\infty}\ [|X_k-X|\geq\epsilon]\right)=0,\ \forall\epsilon>0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow P\left(\lim_{N\to\infty}\bigcup_{k=N}^{\infty}\left[|X_k - X| \ge \epsilon\right]\right) = 0, \ \forall \epsilon > 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \lim_{N \to \infty} P\left(\bigcup_{k=N}^{\infty} [|X_k - X| \ge \epsilon]\right) = 0, \ \forall \epsilon > 0$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P\left(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} [|X_k - X| \ge \epsilon]\right) = 0, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P\left(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} [|X_k - X| \ge \epsilon]\right) = 0, \ \forall \epsilon > 0$$

▶ To show convergence with probability one using this one needs to know the joint distribution of X_n, X_{n+1}, \cdots

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P\left(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} [|X_k - X| \ge \epsilon]\right) = 0, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0$$

- ▶ To show convergence with probability one using this one needs to know the joint distribution of X_n, X_{n+1}, \cdots
- ▶ Contrast this with $X_n \stackrel{P}{\rightarrow} X$ which is

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P[|X_n - X| > \epsilon] = 0, \ \forall \epsilon > 0$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P\left(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} [|X_k - X| \ge \epsilon]\right) = 0, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0$$

- ▶ To show convergence with probability one using this one needs to know the joint distribution of X_n, X_{n+1}, \cdots
- ▶ Contrast this with $X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} X$ which is

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P[|X_n - X| > \epsilon] = 0, \ \forall \epsilon > 0$$

This also shows that

$$X_n \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} X \implies X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} X$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P\left(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} [|X_k - X| \ge \epsilon]\right) = 0, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0$$

- ▶ To show convergence with probability one using this one needs to know the joint distribution of X_n, X_{n+1}, \cdots
- ▶ Contrast this with $X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} X$ which is

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P[|X_n - X| > \epsilon] = 0, \ \forall \epsilon > 0$$

This also shows that

$$X_n \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} X \quad \Rightarrow \quad X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} X$$

 Almost sure convergence is a stronger mode of convergence

$$X_n(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1 & \omega \le \frac{1}{n} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let $\Omega = [0, 1]$ with the usual probability measure and let $X_n = I_{[0, 1/n]}$.

$$X_n(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1 & \omega \le \frac{1}{n} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

▶ Since $X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} 0$, zero is the only candidate for limit

$$X_n(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1 & \omega \le \frac{1}{n} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Since $X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} 0$, zero is the only candidate for limit
- $X_n(\omega) = 1$ only when $n \leq 1/\omega$.

$$X_n(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1 & \omega \le \frac{1}{n} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Since $X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} 0$, zero is the only candidate for limit
- $X_n(\omega) = 1$ only when $n < 1/\omega$.
- Given any ω , for all $n > 1/\omega$, $X_n(\omega) = 0$

$$X_n(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1 & \omega \le \frac{1}{n} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Since $X_n \stackrel{P}{\rightarrow} 0$, zero is the only candidate for limit
- $X_n(\omega) = 1$ only when $n \leq 1/\omega$.
- Given any ω , for all $n > 1/\omega$, $X_n(\omega) = 0$
- Hence, $\{\omega : X_n(\omega) \to 0\} = (0,1]$

$$X_n(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1 & \omega \le \frac{1}{n} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Since $X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} 0$, zero is the only candidate for limit
- $X_n(\omega) = 1$ only when $n \leq 1/\omega$.
- Given any ω , for all $n > 1/\omega$, $X_n(\omega) = 0$
- ▶ Hence, $\{\omega : X_n(\omega) \to 0\} = (0,1]$

$$P[X_n \to X_0]$$

$$X_n(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1 & \omega \le \frac{1}{n} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Since $X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} 0$, zero is the only candidate for limit
- $X_n(\omega) = 1$ only when $n \leq 1/\omega$.
- Given any ω , for all $n > 1/\omega$, $X_n(\omega) = 0$
- Hence, $\{\omega : X_n(\omega) \to 0\} = (0,1]$

$$P[X_n \to X_0] = P(\{\omega : X_n(\omega) \to 0\})$$

$$X_n(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1 & \omega \le \frac{1}{n} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Since $X_n \stackrel{P}{\rightarrow} 0$, zero is the only candidate for limit
- $X_n(\omega) = 1$ only when $n \leq 1/\omega$.
- Given any ω , for all $n > 1/\omega$, $X_n(\omega) = 0$
- Hence, $\{\omega : X_n(\omega) \to 0\} = (0,1]$

$$P[X_n \to X_0] = P(\{\omega : X_n(\omega) \to 0\}) = P((0,1]) = 1$$



simple example: almost sure convergence

Let $\Omega = [0, 1]$ with the usual probability measure and let $X_n = I_{[0, 1/n]}$.

$$X_n(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1 & \omega \le \frac{1}{n} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Since $X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} 0$, zero is the only candidate for limit
- $X_n(\omega) = 1$ only when $n \leq 1/\omega$.
- Given any ω , for all $n > 1/\omega$, $X_n(\omega) = 0$
- Hence, $\{\omega : X_n(\omega) \to 0\} = (0,1]$

$$P[X_n \to X_0] = P(\{\omega : X_n(\omega) \to 0\}) = P((0,1]) = 1$$

▶ Hence $X_n \stackrel{a.s}{\to} 0$

$$P\left(\bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=N}^{\infty} \left[|X_k - X| \ge \epsilon \right] \right) = 0, \ \forall \epsilon > 0$$

$$P\left(\bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=N}^{\infty} \left[|X_k - X| \ge \epsilon \right] \right) = 0, \ \forall \epsilon > 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} P(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} [|X_k - X| \ge \epsilon]) = 0, \ \forall \epsilon > 0$$

$$P\left(\bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=N}^{\infty} \left[|X_k - X| \ge \epsilon \right] \right) = 0, \ \forall \epsilon > 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} P\left(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} [|X_k - X| \ge \epsilon]\right) = 0, \ \forall \epsilon > 0$$

• We normally do not specify X_n as functions over Ω

$$P\left(\cap_{N=1}^{\infty} \cup_{k=N}^{\infty} \left[|X_k - X| \ge \epsilon \right] \right) = 0, \ \forall \epsilon > 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} P\left(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} [|X_k - X| \ge \epsilon]\right) = 0, \ \forall \epsilon > 0$$

- We normally do not specify X_n as functions over Ω
- ▶ We are only given the distributions

$$P\left(\cap_{N=1}^{\infty} \cup_{k=N}^{\infty} \left[|X_k - X| \ge \epsilon \right] \right) = 0, \ \forall \epsilon > 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} P\left(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} [|X_k - X| \ge \epsilon]\right) = 0, \ \forall \epsilon > 0$$

- We normally do not specify X_n as functions over Ω
- ▶ We are only given the distributions
- ▶ How do we establish convergence almost surely

▶ Let A_1, A_2, \cdots be a sequence of events.

- ▶ Let A_1, A_2, \cdots be a sequence of events.
- ▶ How do we define limit of this sequence ?

- ▶ Let A_1, A_2, \cdots be a sequence of events.
- ▶ How do we define limit of this sequence ?
- Define sequences

$$B_n = \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \qquad C_n = \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$$

- ▶ Let A_1, A_2, \cdots be a sequence of events.
- ▶ How do we define limit of this sequence ?
- Define sequences

$$B_n = \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \qquad C_n = \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$$

▶ These are monotone: $B_n \downarrow$, $C_n \uparrow$.

- Let A_1, A_2, \cdots be a sequence of events.
- ▶ How do we define limit of this sequence ?
- Define sequences

$$B_n = \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \qquad C_n = \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$$

▶ These are monotone: $B_n \downarrow$, $C_n \uparrow$. They have limits.

- ▶ Let A_1, A_2, \cdots be a sequence of events.
- ▶ How do we define limit of this sequence ?
- Define sequences

$$B_n = \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \qquad C_n = \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$$

- ▶ These are monotone: $B_n \downarrow$, $C_n \uparrow$. They have limits.
- Define

$$\lim \sup A_n \triangleq \lim B_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \cup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$$

- ▶ Let A_1, A_2, \cdots be a sequence of events.
- ▶ How do we define limit of this sequence ?
- Define sequences

$$B_n = \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \qquad C_n = \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$$

- ▶ These are monotone: $B_n \downarrow$, $C_n \uparrow$. They have limits.
- Define

$$\lim \sup A_n \triangleq \lim B_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$$

$$\lim \inf A_n \triangleq \lim C_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$$

- ▶ Let A_1, A_2, \cdots be a sequence of events.
- ▶ How do we define limit of this sequence ?
- Define sequences

$$B_n = \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \qquad C_n = \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$$

- ▶ These are monotone: $B_n \downarrow$, $C_n \uparrow$. They have limits.
- Define

$$\lim \sup A_n \triangleq \lim B_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$$

$$\lim \inf A_n \triangleq \lim C_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$$

▶ If $\limsup A_n = \liminf A_n$ then we define that as $\lim A_n$. Otherwise we say the sequence does not have a limit

- Let A_1, A_2, \cdots be a sequence of events.
- ▶ How do we define limit of this sequence ?
- Define sequences

$$B_n = \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \qquad C_n = \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$$

- ▶ These are monotone: $B_n \downarrow$, $C_n \uparrow$. They have limits.
- Define

$$\lim \sup A_n \triangleq \lim B_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$$

$$\lim \inf A_n \triangleq \lim C_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$$

- ▶ If $\limsup A_n = \liminf A_n$ then we define that as $\lim A_n$. Otherwise we say the sequence does not have a limit
- ▶ Note that $\limsup A_n$ and $\liminf A_n$ are events

- Let A_1, A_2, \cdots be a sequence of events.
- ▶ How do we define limit of this sequence ?
- Define sequences

$$B_n = \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \qquad C_n = \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$$

- ▶ These are monotone: $B_n \downarrow$, $C_n \uparrow$. They have limits.
- Define

$$\lim \sup A_n \triangleq \lim B_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$$

$$\lim \inf A_n \triangleq \lim C_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$$

- ▶ If $\limsup A_n = \liminf A_n$ then we define that as $\lim A_n$. Otherwise we say the sequence does not have a limit
- ▶ Note that $\limsup A_n$ and $\liminf A_n$ are events
- ▶ Note that $X_n \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} X$ iff

$$P\left(\bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=N}^{\infty} \left[|X_k - X| \ge \epsilon \right] \right) = 0, \ \forall \epsilon > 0$$

- ▶ Let A_1, A_2, \cdots be a sequence of events.
- ▶ How do we define limit of this sequence ?
- Define sequences

$$B_n = \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \qquad C_n = \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$$

- ▶ These are monotone: $B_n \downarrow C_n \uparrow$. They have limits.
- Define

$$\lim \sup A_n \triangleq \lim B_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$$
$$\lim \inf A_n \triangleq \lim C_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$$

- If $\limsup A_n = \liminf A_n$ then we define that as $\lim A_n$. Otherwise we say the sequence does not have a limit
- Note that $\limsup A_n$ and $\liminf A_n$ are events
- Note that $X_n \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} X$ iff

$$P\left(\bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=N}^{\infty} \left[|X_k - X| \ge \epsilon \right] \right) = 0, \ \forall \epsilon > 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow P(\limsup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} [|X_n - X| \ge \epsilon]) = 0, \forall \epsilon > 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow P(\limsup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} [|X_n - X| \ge \epsilon]) = 0, \forall \epsilon > 0$$
PS Sastry, IISc, Bangalore, 2020 17/34

$$\omega \in \lim \inf A_n \implies \omega \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \cap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$$

$$\omega \in \lim \inf A_n \implies \omega \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \cap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$$

 $\Rightarrow \exists m, \ \omega \in A_k, \ \forall k > m$

$$\omega \in \lim \inf A_n \implies \omega \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \cap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$$
$$\Rightarrow \exists m, \ \omega \in A_k, \ \forall k \ge m$$
$$\Rightarrow \omega \in \bigcup_{j=n}^{\infty} A_j, \ \forall n$$

$$\omega \in \lim \inf A_n \implies \omega \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \cap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists m, \ \omega \in A_k, \ \forall k \ge m$$

$$\Rightarrow \omega \in \bigcup_{j=n}^{\infty} A_j, \ \forall n$$

$$\Rightarrow \omega \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i$$

$$\omega \in \lim \inf A_n \implies \omega \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists m, \ \omega \in A_k, \ \forall k \ge m$$

$$\Rightarrow \ \omega \in \bigcup_{j=n}^{\infty} A_j, \ \forall n$$

$$\Rightarrow \ \omega \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j=n}^{\infty} A_j$$

$$\Rightarrow \ \omega \in \lim \sup A_n$$

$$\omega \in \lim \inf A_n \Rightarrow \omega \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \cap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$$

$$\omega \in \lim \inf A_n \Rightarrow \omega \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \cap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$$

 $\Rightarrow \exists m, \ \omega \in A_k, \ \forall k \geq m$

 \blacktriangleright We can characterize $\lim \inf A_n$ as follows

$$\omega \in \lim \inf A_n \implies \omega \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \cap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists m, \ \omega \in A_k, \ \forall k \geq m$$

$$\Rightarrow \omega \text{ belongs to all but finitely many of } A_n$$

 \blacktriangleright We can characterize $\lim \inf A_n$ as follows

$$\begin{array}{lll} \omega \in \lim \, \inf A_n & \Rightarrow & \omega \in \cup_{n=1}^\infty \cap_{k=n}^\infty A_k \\ & \Rightarrow & \exists m, \ \omega \in A_k, \ \forall k \geq m \\ & \Rightarrow & \omega \text{ belongs to all but finitely many of } \ A_n \end{array}$$

Thus, $\lim \inf A_n$ consists of all points that are there in all but finitely many A_n .

$$\omega \in \lim \sup A_n \implies \omega \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$$

$$\omega \in \lim \sup A_n \Rightarrow \omega \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$$

 $\Rightarrow \omega \in \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k, \forall n$

$$\begin{array}{lll} \omega \in \lim \, \sup A_n & \Rightarrow & \omega \in \cap_{n=1}^\infty \cup_{k=n}^\infty A_k \\ & \Rightarrow & \omega \in \cup_{k=n}^\infty A_k, \, \, \forall n \\ & \Rightarrow & \omega \text{ belongs to infinitely many of } \, A_n \end{array}$$

$$\omega \in \lim \sup A_n \quad \Rightarrow \quad \omega \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \cup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \omega \in \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k, \ \forall n$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \omega \text{ belongs to infinitely many of } A_n$$

Thus $\limsup A_n$ consists of points that are in infinitely many A_n

$$\omega \in \lim \sup A_n \implies \omega \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \cup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$$

$$\Rightarrow \omega \in \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k, \ \forall n$$

$$\Rightarrow \omega \text{ belongs to infinitely many of } A_n$$

Thus $\limsup A_n$ consists of points that are in infinitely many A_n

One refers to $\limsup A_n$ also as ' A_n infinitely often' or ' A_n i.o.'

$$\begin{array}{lll} \omega \in \lim \, \sup A_n & \Rightarrow & \omega \in \cap_{n=1}^\infty \cup_{k=n}^\infty A_k \\ & \Rightarrow & \omega \in \cup_{k=n}^\infty A_k, \, \, \forall n \\ & \Rightarrow & \omega \text{ belongs to infinitely many of } \, A_n \end{array}$$

Thus $\limsup A_n$ consists of points that are in infinitely many A_n

One refers to $\limsup A_n$ also as ' A_n infinitely often' or ' A_n i.o.'

What is the difference between

$$\begin{array}{lll} \omega \in \lim \, \sup A_n & \Rightarrow & \omega \in \cap_{n=1}^\infty \cup_{k=n}^\infty A_k \\ & \Rightarrow & \omega \in \cup_{k=n}^\infty A_k, \, \, \forall n \\ & \Rightarrow & \omega \text{ belongs to infinitely many of } \, A_n \end{array}$$

Thus $\limsup A_n$ consists of points that are in infinitely many A_n

One refers to $\limsup A_n$ also as ' A_n infinitely often' or ' A_n i.o.'

lacktriangle What is the difference between Points that belong to all but finitely many A_n and

• We can characterize $\lim \sup A_n$ as follows

$$\begin{array}{lll} \omega \in \lim \, \sup A_n & \Rightarrow & \omega \in \cap_{n=1}^\infty \cup_{k=n}^\infty A_k \\ & \Rightarrow & \omega \in \cup_{k=n}^\infty A_k, \, \, \forall n \\ & \Rightarrow & \omega \text{ belongs to infinitely many of } \, A_n \end{array}$$

Thus $\limsup A_n$ consists of points that are in infinitely many A_n

One refers to $\limsup A_n$ also as ' A_n infinitely often' or ' A_n i.o.'

Mhat is the difference between Points that belong to all but finitely many A_n and Points that belong to infinitely many A_n

• We can characterize $\lim \sup A_n$ as follows

$$\begin{array}{lll} \omega \in \lim \, \sup A_n & \Rightarrow & \omega \in \cap_{n=1}^\infty \cup_{k=n}^\infty A_k \\ & \Rightarrow & \omega \in \cup_{k=n}^\infty A_k, \, \, \forall n \\ & \Rightarrow & \omega \text{ belongs to infinitely many of } \, A_n \end{array}$$

Thus $\limsup A_n$ consists of points that are in infinitely many A_n

One refers to $\limsup A_n$ also as ' A_n infinitely often' or ' A_n i.o.'

- lackbox What is the difference between Points that belong to all but finitely many A_n and Points that belong to infinitely many A_n
- ▶ There can be ω that are there in infinitely many of A_n and are also not there in infinitely many of the A_n

 \blacktriangleright Consider the following sequence of sets: A, B, A, B, \cdots

- ightharpoonup Consider the following sequence of sets: A, B, A, B, \cdots
- Recall

$$\lim \sup A_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \quad \lim \inf A_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$$

- \triangleright Consider the following sequence of sets: A, B, A, B, \cdots
- Recall

$$\lim \sup A_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \cup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \quad \lim \inf A_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \cap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$$

$$\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k = A \cup B, \ \forall n$$

- \triangleright Consider the following sequence of sets: A, B, A, B, \cdots
- Recall

$$\lim \sup A_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \quad \lim \inf A_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$$

$$\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k = A \cup B, \ \forall n \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lim \sup A_n = A \cup B$$

- \triangleright Consider the following sequence of sets: A, B, A, B, \cdots
- Recall

$$\lim \sup A_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \quad \lim \inf A_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$$

$$\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k = A \cup B, \ \forall n \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lim \sup A_n = A \cup B$$

$$\bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k = A \cap B, \ \forall n$$

- \triangleright Consider the following sequence of sets: A, B, A, B, \cdots
- Recall

$$\lim \sup A_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \quad \lim \inf A_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$$

$$\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k = A \cup B, \ \forall n \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lim \sup A_n = A \cup B$$

$$\bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k = A \cap B, \ \forall n \Rightarrow \lim \inf A_n = A \cap B$$

▶ Consider the sets $A_n = [0, 1 + \frac{(-1)^n}{n})$

$$[0, 0), [0, 1+\frac{1}{2}), [0, 1-\frac{1}{3}), [0, 1+\frac{1}{4})\cdots$$

Consider the sets $A_n = [0, 1 + \frac{(-1)^n}{n})$ The sequence is

$$[0, 0), [0, 1+\frac{1}{2}), [0, 1-\frac{1}{3}), [0, 1+\frac{1}{4})\cdots$$

• Guess: $\limsup A_n$

Consider the sets $A_n = [0, 1 + \frac{(-1)^n}{n})$ The sequence is

$$[0, 0), [0, 1+\frac{1}{2}), [0, 1-\frac{1}{3}), [0, 1+\frac{1}{4})\cdots$$

• Guess: $\limsup A_n = [0, 1]$

Consider the sets $A_n = [0, 1 + \frac{(-1)^n}{n})$ The sequence is

$$[0, \ 0), \ \left[0, \ 1 + \frac{1}{2}\right), \ \left[0, \ 1 - \frac{1}{3}\right), \ \left[0, \ 1 + \frac{1}{4}\right) \cdots$$

▶ Guess: $\limsup A_n = [0, 1]$ and $\liminf A_n$

Consider the sets $A_n = [0, 1 + \frac{(-1)^n}{n})$ The sequence is

$$[0, 0), [0, 1+\frac{1}{2}), [0, 1-\frac{1}{3}), [0, 1+\frac{1}{4})\cdots$$

▶ Guess: $\limsup A_n = [0, 1]$ and $\liminf A_n = [0, 1)$

$$[0, 0), [0, 1+\frac{1}{2}), [0, 1-\frac{1}{3}), [0, 1+\frac{1}{4})\cdots$$

- ► Guess: $\limsup A_n = [0, 1]$ and $\liminf A_n = [0, 1)$
- First note that $[0, 1 + \frac{1}{n+1}) \subset \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \subset [0, 1 + \frac{1}{n}).$

$$[0, 0), [0, 1+\frac{1}{2}), [0, 1-\frac{1}{3}), [0, 1+\frac{1}{4})\cdots$$

- ▶ Guess: $\limsup A_n = [0, 1]$ and $\liminf A_n = [0, 1)$
- First note that $[0, 1 + \frac{1}{n+1}) \subset \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \subset [0, 1 + \frac{1}{n}).$ Hence

$$x \in [0, 1] \Rightarrow x \in \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k, \ \forall n$$

$$[0, 0), [0, 1+\frac{1}{2}), [0, 1-\frac{1}{3}), [0, 1+\frac{1}{4})\cdots$$

- ▶ Guess: $\limsup A_n = [0, 1]$ and $\liminf A_n = [0, 1)$
- First note that $[0, 1 + \frac{1}{n+1}) \subset \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \subset [0, 1 + \frac{1}{n}).$ Hence

$$x \in [0, 1] \Rightarrow x \in \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k, \ \forall n \Rightarrow x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$$

$$[0, 0), [0, 1+\frac{1}{2}), [0, 1-\frac{1}{3}), [0, 1+\frac{1}{4})\cdots$$

- Guess: $\limsup A_n = [0, 1]$ and $\liminf A_n = [0, 1)$
- First note that $[0, 1 + \frac{1}{n+1}) \subset \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \subset [0, 1 + \frac{1}{n}).$ Hence

$$x \in [0, 1] \Rightarrow x \in \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k, \ \forall n \Rightarrow x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \Rightarrow x \in \lim \sup A_n$$

Consider the sets $A_n = [0, 1 + \frac{(-1)^n}{n})$ The sequence is

$$[0, 0), [0, 1+\frac{1}{2}), [0, 1-\frac{1}{3}), [0, 1+\frac{1}{4})\cdots$$

- ► Guess: $\limsup A_n = [0, 1]$ and $\liminf A_n = [0, 1)$
- First note that $[0, 1 + \frac{1}{n+1}) \subset \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \subset [0, 1 + \frac{1}{n}).$ Hence

$$x \in [0, 1] \Rightarrow x \in \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k, \ \forall n \Rightarrow x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \Rightarrow x \in \lim \sup A_n$$

• Given any $\epsilon > 0$, $1 + \epsilon \notin [0, 1 + \frac{1}{n})$ if $\epsilon > \frac{1}{n}$ or $n > \frac{1}{\epsilon}$.

$$[0, 0), [0, 1+\frac{1}{2}), [0, 1-\frac{1}{3}), [0, 1+\frac{1}{4})\cdots$$

- ► Guess: $\limsup A_n = [0, 1]$ and $\liminf A_n = [0, 1)$
- First note that $[0, 1 + \frac{1}{n+1}) \subset \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \subset [0, 1 + \frac{1}{n}).$ Hence

$$x \in [0, 1] \Rightarrow x \in \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k, \ \forall n \Rightarrow x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \Rightarrow x \in \lim \sup A_n$$

- ▶ Given any $\epsilon > 0$, $1 + \epsilon \notin [0, 1 + \frac{1}{n})$ if $\epsilon > \frac{1}{n}$ or $n > \frac{1}{\epsilon}$.
- ▶ Hence, given any $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists n$ such that $1 + \epsilon \notin \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$.

$$[0, 0), [0, 1+\frac{1}{2}), [0, 1-\frac{1}{3}), [0, 1+\frac{1}{4})\cdots$$

- ► Guess: $\limsup A_n = [0, 1]$ and $\liminf A_n = [0, 1)$
- First note that $[0, 1 + \frac{1}{n+1}) \subset \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \subset [0, 1 + \frac{1}{n}).$ Hence

$$x \in [0, 1] \Rightarrow x \in \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k, \ \forall n \Rightarrow x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \Rightarrow x \in \lim \sup A_n$$

- ▶ Given any $\epsilon > 0$, $1 + \epsilon \notin [0, 1 + \frac{1}{n})$ if $\epsilon > \frac{1}{n}$ or $n > \frac{1}{\epsilon}$.
- ▶ Hence, given any $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists n$ such that $1 + \epsilon \notin \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$.
- ▶ This proves $\limsup A_n = [0, 1]$

Now let us consider: $\lim \inf A_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$.

- ▶ Now let us consider: $\lim \inf A_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$.
- Recall $A_n = [0, 1 + \frac{(-1)^n}{n})$

- ▶ Now let us consider: $\lim \inf A_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$.
- Recall $A_n = [0, 1 + \frac{(-1)^n}{n}]$
- ▶ First note that $[0, 1-\frac{1}{n}) \subset \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \subset [0, 1-\frac{1}{n+1})$

- ▶ Now let us consider: $\lim \inf A_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$.
- Recall $A_n = [0, 1 + \frac{(-1)^n}{n}]$
- First note that $[0, 1-\frac{1}{n}) \subset \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \subset [0, 1-\frac{1}{n+1})$
- ▶ Given any $\epsilon > 0$, $1 \epsilon < 1 \frac{1}{n}$ if $n > \frac{1}{\epsilon}$

- ▶ Now let us consider: $\lim \inf A_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \cap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$.
- Recall $A_n = [0, 1 + \frac{(-1)^n}{n})$
- First note that $[0, 1-\frac{1}{n}) \subset \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \subset [0, 1-\frac{1}{n+1})$
- ▶ Given any $\epsilon > 0$, $1 \epsilon < 1 \frac{1}{n}$ if $n > \frac{1}{\epsilon}$
- ▶ Hence, given any $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists n$ such that $1 \epsilon \in \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$

- ▶ Now let us consider: $\lim \inf A_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \cap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$.
- Recall $A_n = [0, 1 + \frac{(-1)^n}{n})$
- First note that $[0, 1-\frac{1}{n}) \subset \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \subset [0, 1-\frac{1}{n+1})$
- ▶ Given any $\epsilon > 0$, $1 \epsilon < 1 \frac{1}{n}$ if $n > \frac{1}{\epsilon}$
- ▶ Hence, given any $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists n$ such that $1 \epsilon \in \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$
- ▶ Hence $1 \epsilon \in \lim \inf A_n$

- ▶ Now let us consider: $\lim \inf A_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \cap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$.
- Recall $A_n = [0, 1 + \frac{(-1)^n}{n})$
- First note that $[0, 1-\frac{1}{n}) \subset \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \subset [0, 1-\frac{1}{n+1})$
- ▶ Given any $\epsilon > 0$, $1 \epsilon < 1 \frac{1}{n}$ if $n > \frac{1}{\epsilon}$
- ▶ Hence, given any $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists n$ such that $1 \epsilon \in \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$
- ▶ Hence $1 \epsilon \in \lim \inf A_n$
- ▶ It is easy to see $1 \notin \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$ for ay n.

- ▶ Now let us consider: $\lim \inf A_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \cap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$.
- Recall $A_n = [0, 1 + \frac{(-1)^n}{n})$
- First note that $[0, 1-\frac{1}{n}) \subset \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \subset [0, 1-\frac{1}{n+1})$
- ▶ Given any $\epsilon > 0$, $1 \epsilon < 1 \frac{1}{n}$ if $n > \frac{1}{\epsilon}$
- ▶ Hence, given any $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists n$ such that $1 \epsilon \in \cap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$
- ▶ Hence $1 \epsilon \in \lim \inf A_n$
- ▶ It is easy to see $1 \notin \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$ for ay n.
- ▶ Hence $1 \notin \lim \inf A_n$

- ▶ Now let us consider: $\lim \inf A_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \cap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$.
- Recall $A_n = [0, 1 + \frac{(-1)^n}{n})$
- First note that $[0, 1-\frac{1}{n}) \subset \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \subset [0, 1-\frac{1}{n+1})$
- ▶ Given any $\epsilon > 0$, $1 \epsilon < 1 \frac{1}{n}$ if $n > \frac{1}{\epsilon}$
- ▶ Hence, given any $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists n$ such that $1 \epsilon \in \cap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$
- ▶ Hence $1 \epsilon \in \lim \inf A_n$
- ▶ It is easy to see $1 \notin \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$ for ay n.
- ▶ Hence $1 \notin \lim \inf A_n$
- ▶ This proves $\lim \inf A_n = [0, 1)$

- ▶ Now let us consider: $\lim \inf A_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \cap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$.
- Recall $A_n = [0, 1 + \frac{(-1)^n}{n})$
- First note that $[0, 1-\frac{1}{n}) \subset \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \subset [0, 1-\frac{1}{n+1})$
- ▶ Given any $\epsilon > 0$, $1 \epsilon < 1 \frac{1}{n}$ if $n > \frac{1}{\epsilon}$
- ▶ Hence, given any $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists n$ such that $1 \epsilon \in \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$
- ▶ Hence $1 \epsilon \in \lim \inf A_n$
- ▶ It is easy to see $1 \notin \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$ for ay n.
- ▶ Hence $1 \notin \lim \inf A_n$
- ▶ This proves $\lim \inf A_n = [0, 1)$
- ▶ Since $\limsup A_n \neq \liminf A_n$, this sequence does not have a limit

 $X_n \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} X$ iff

$$P\left(\bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=N}^{\infty} \left[|X_k - X| \ge \epsilon \right] \right) = 0, \ \forall \epsilon > 0$$

 $\longrightarrow X_n \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} X$ iff

$$P\left(\bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=N}^{\infty} \left[|X_k - X| \ge \epsilon \right] \right) = 0, \ \forall \epsilon > 0$$

 $\blacktriangleright \text{ Let } A_n^{\epsilon} = [|X_n - X| \ge \epsilon]$

 $\blacktriangleright X_n \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} X$ iff

$$P\left(\bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \cup_{k=N}^{\infty} \left[|X_k - X| \ge \epsilon \right] \right) = 0, \ \forall \epsilon > 0$$

- $\blacktriangleright \text{ Let } A_n^{\epsilon} = [|X_n X| \ge \epsilon]$
- ▶ Then $X_n \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} X$ iff

$$P(\lim \sup A_n^{\epsilon}) = 0, \ \forall \epsilon > 0$$



 $ightharpoonup X_n \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} X$ iff

$$P\left(\bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \cup_{k=N}^{\infty} \left[|X_k - X| \ge \epsilon \right] \right) = 0, \ \forall \epsilon > 0$$

- Let $A_n^{\epsilon} = [|X_n X| \ge \epsilon]$
- ▶ Then $X_n \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} X$ iff

$$P(\lim \sup A_n^{\epsilon}) = 0, \ \forall \epsilon > 0$$

► The question now is: can we get probability of $\limsup A_n$

 $X_n \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} X iff$

$$P\left(\bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \cup_{k=N}^{\infty} \left[|X_k - X| \ge \epsilon \right] \right) = 0, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0$$

- Let $A_n^{\epsilon} = [|X_n X| \ge \epsilon]$
- ▶ Then $X_n \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} X$ iff

$$P(\lim \sup A_n^{\epsilon}) = 0, \ \forall \epsilon > 0$$

- ► The question now is: can we get probability of $\limsup A_n$
- ▶ We look at an important result that allows us to do this

▶ **Borel-Cantelli lemma**: Given sequence of events, A_1, A_2, \cdots

- ▶ Borel-Cantelli lemma: Given sequence of events,
 - A_1, A_2, \cdots
 - 1. If $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i) < \infty$, then, $P(\lim \sup A_n) = 0$

- ▶ **Borel-Cantelli lemma**: Given sequence of events, A_1, A_2, \cdots
 - 1. If $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i) < \infty$, then, $P(\limsup A_n) = 0$
 - 2. If $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i) = \infty$ and A_i are independent, $P(\limsup A_n) = 1$

- ▶ **Borel-Cantelli lemma**: Given sequence of events, A_1, A_2, \cdots
 - 1. If $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i) < \infty$, then, $P(\limsup A_n) = 0$
 - 2. If $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i) = \infty$ and A_i are independent, $P(\limsup A_n) = 1$

- ▶ **Borel-Cantelli lemma**: Given sequence of events, A_1, A_2, \cdots
 - 1. If $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i) < \infty$, then, $P(\limsup A_n) = 0$
 - 2. If $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i) = \infty$ and A_i are independent, $P(\limsup A_n) = 1$

Proof:

▶ We will first show: $P(\bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} P(A_i), \ \forall n$

- ▶ **Borel-Cantelli lemma**: Given sequence of events, A_1, A_2, \cdots
 - 1. If $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i) < \infty$, then, $P(\limsup A_n) = 0$
 - 2. If $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i) = \infty$ and A_i are independent, $P(\limsup A_n) = 1$

- ▶ We will first show: $P(\bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} P(A_i), \ \forall n$
- ▶ We have the result: $P(\cup_{i=n}^N A_i) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{N} P(A_i), n \leq N$

- ▶ **Borel-Cantelli lemma**: Given sequence of events, A_1, A_2, \cdots
 - 1. If $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i) < \infty$, then, $P(\limsup A_n) = 0$
 - 2. If $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i) = \infty$ and A_i are independent, $P(\limsup A_n) = 1$

- ▶ We will first show: $P(\bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} P(A_i), \ \forall n$
- ▶ We have the result: $P(\bigcup_{i=n}^{N} A_i) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{N} P(A_i), n \leq N$
- ▶ For any n, let $B_N = \bigcup_{i=n}^N A_i$. Then $B_N \subset B_{N+1}$.

- ▶ **Borel-Cantelli lemma**: Given sequence of events, A_1, A_2, \cdots
 - 1. If $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i) < \infty$, then, $P(\limsup A_n) = 0$
 - 2. If $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i) = \infty$ and A_i are independent, $P(\limsup A_n) = 1$

- ▶ We will first show: $P(\bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} P(A_i), \ \forall n$
- ▶ We have the result: $P(\bigcup_{i=n}^{N} A_i) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{N} P(A_i), n \leq N$
- ▶ For any n, let $B_N = \bigcup_{i=n}^N A_i$. Then $B_N \subset B_{N+1}$.

- ▶ **Borel-Cantelli lemma**: Given sequence of events, A_1, A_2, \cdots
 - 1. If $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i) < \infty$, then, $P(\limsup A_n) = 0$
 - 2. If $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i) = \infty$ and A_i are independent, $P(\limsup A_n) = 1$

- ▶ We will first show: $P(\bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} P(A_i), \ \forall n$
- ▶ We have the result: $P(\bigcup_{i=n}^{N} A_i) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{N} P(A_i), n \leq N$
- ▶ For any n, let $B_N = \bigcup_{i=n}^N A_i$. Then $B_N \subset B_{N+1}$.

$$P(\bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i) = P(\lim_{N \to \infty} \bigcup_{i=n}^{N} A_i)$$

- ▶ **Borel-Cantelli lemma**: Given sequence of events, A_1, A_2, \cdots
 - 1. If $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i) < \infty$, then, $P(\limsup A_n) = 0$
 - 2. If $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i) = \infty$ and A_i are independent, $P(\limsup A_n) = 1$

- ▶ We will first show: $P(\bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} P(A_i), \ \forall n$
- ▶ We have the result: $P(\bigcup_{i=n}^{N} A_i) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{N} P(A_i), n \leq N$
- ▶ For any n, let $B_N = \bigcup_{i=n}^N A_i$. Then $B_N \subset B_{N+1}$.

$$P(\bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i) = P(\lim_{N \to \infty} \bigcup_{i=n}^{N} A_i) = \lim_{N \to \infty} P(\bigcup_{i=n}^{N} A_i)$$

- ▶ **Borel-Cantelli lemma**: Given sequence of events, A_1, A_2, \cdots
 - 1. If $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i) < \infty$, then, $P(\limsup A_n) = 0$
 - 2. If $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i) = \infty$ and A_i are independent, $P(\limsup A_n) = 1$

- ▶ We will first show: $P(\bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} P(A_i), \ \forall n$
- ▶ We have the result: $P(\bigcup_{i=n}^{N} A_i) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{N} P(A_i), n \leq N$
- ▶ For any n, let $B_N = \bigcup_{i=n}^N A_i$. Then $B_N \subset B_{N+1}$.

$$P(\bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i) = P(\lim_{N \to \infty} \bigcup_{i=n}^{N} A_i) = \lim_{N \to \infty} P(\bigcup_{i=n}^{N} A_i)$$

$$\leq \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i=n}^{N} P(A_i)$$

- ▶ **Borel-Cantelli lemma**: Given sequence of events, A_1, A_2, \cdots
 - 1. If $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i) < \infty$, then, $P(\limsup A_n) = 0$
 - 2. If $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i) = \infty$ and A_i are independent, $P(\limsup A_n) = 1$

- ▶ We will first show: $P(\bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} P(A_i), \ \forall n$
- ▶ We have the result: $P(\bigcup_{i=n}^{N} A_i) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{N} P(A_i), n \leq N$
- ▶ For any n, let $B_N = \bigcup_{i=n}^N A_i$. Then $B_N \subset B_{N+1}$.

$$P(\bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i) = P(\lim_{N \to \infty} \bigcup_{i=n}^{N} A_i) = \lim_{N \to \infty} P(\bigcup_{i=n}^{N} A_i)$$

$$\leq \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i=n}^{N} P(A_i) = \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} P(A_i)$$

• If $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(A_k) < \infty$, then, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} P(A_k) = 0$

▶ If $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(A_k) < \infty$, then, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} P(A_k) = 0$

$$0 \le P(\limsup A_n) = P(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k)$$

▶ If $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(A_k) < \infty$, then, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} P(A_k) = 0$

$$0 \le P(\limsup A_n) = P(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k)$$
$$= P(\lim_{n \to \infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k)$$

▶ If
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(A_k) < \infty$$
, then, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} P(A_k) = 0$

$$0 \le P(\limsup A_n) = P(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k)$$
$$= P(\lim_{n \to \infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k)$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} P(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k)$$

• If
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(A_k) < \infty$$
, then, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} P(A_k) = 0$

$$0 \le P\left(\limsup A_n\right) = P\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k\right)$$
$$= P\left(\lim_{n \to \infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k\right)$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} P\left(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k\right)$$
$$\le \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} P(A_k)$$

▶ If
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(A_k) < \infty$$
, then, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} P(A_k) = 0$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (n) = P(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k) \\
= P(\lim_{n \to \infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k) \\
= \lim_{n \to \infty} P(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k) \\
\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} P(A_k) \\
= 0, \quad \text{if} \quad \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} P(A_k) < \infty$$

If $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(A_k) < \infty$, then, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} P(A_k) = 0$ $0 \le P\left(\lim \sup A_n\right) = P\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k\right)$ $= P\left(\lim_{n\to\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k\right)$ $= \lim_{n \to \infty} P\left(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k\right)$ $\leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum P(A_k)$ $= 0, \quad \text{if} \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P(A_k) < \infty$

▶ This completes proof of first part of Borel-Cantelli lemma

▶ Let $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(A_k) = C < \infty$

- ▶ Let $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(A_k) = C < \infty$
- ▶ It means given any $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists n$

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} P(A_k) - C \right| < \epsilon \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left| \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} P(A_k) \right| < \epsilon$$

- ▶ Let $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(A_k) = C < \infty$
- ▶ It means given any $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists n$

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} P(A_k) - C \right| < \epsilon \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left| \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} P(A_k) \right| < \epsilon$$

▶ This implies

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P(A_k) = 0$$

$$P(\lim \sup A_n) = P(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k)$$

$$P(\limsup A_n) = P(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k)$$
$$= P(\lim_{n \to \infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k)$$

$$P(\limsup A_n) = P(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k)$$
$$= P\left(\lim_{n \to \infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k\right)$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} P(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k)$$

$$P(\limsup A_n) = P(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k)$$

$$= P\left(\lim_{n \to \infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k\right)$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} P(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k)$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} (1 - P(\bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k^c))$$

$$P\left(\lim \sup A_{n}\right) = P\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_{k}\right)$$

$$= P\left(\lim_{n \to \infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_{k}\right)$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} P\left(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_{k}\right)$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(1 - P\left(\bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_{k}^{c}\right)\right)$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(1 - \prod_{k=n}^{\infty} \left(1 - P(A_{k})\right)\right)$$

$$P\left(\limsup A_{n}\right) = P\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \cup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_{k}\right)$$

$$= P\left(\lim_{n \to \infty} \cup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_{k}\right)$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} P\left(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_{k}\right)$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(1 - P\left(\bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_{k}^{c}\right)\right)$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(1 - \prod_{k=n}^{\infty} \left(1 - P(A_{k})\right)\right)$$
because A_{k} are independent

$$\begin{split} P\left(\lim\sup A_n\right) &= P\left(\cap_{n=1}^\infty \cup_{k=n}^\infty A_k\right) \\ &= P\left(\lim_{n\to\infty} \cup_{k=n}^\infty A_k\right) \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} P\left(\cup_{k=n}^\infty A_k\right) \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \left(1-P\left(\cap_{k=n}^\infty A_k^c\right)\right) \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \left(1-\prod_{k=n}^\infty \left(1-P(A_k)\right)\right) \\ &= \operatorname{because} A_k \text{ are independent} \\ &= 1 - \lim_{n\to\infty} \prod_{n\to\infty}^\infty \left(1-P(A_k)\right) \end{split}$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \prod_{k=n}^{\infty} \left(1-P(A_k)\right) \ \leq \ \lim_{n\to\infty} \prod_{k=n}^{\infty} e^{-P(A_k)}, \quad \text{since} \ 1-x \leq e^{-x}$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \prod_{k=n}^{\infty} (1 - P(A_k)) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \prod_{k=n}^{\infty} e^{-P(A_k)}, \text{ since } 1 - x \leq e^{-x}$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} e^{-\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} P(A_k)}$$

$$\begin{split} \lim_{n\to\infty} \prod_{k=n}^\infty \left(1-P(A_k)\right) & \leq & \lim_{n\to\infty} \prod_{k=n}^\infty e^{-P(A_k)}, \quad \text{since} \quad 1-x \leq e^{-x} \\ & = & \lim_{n\to\infty} \, e^{-\sum_{k=n}^\infty P(A_k)} \\ & = & 0 \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \lim_{n \to \infty} \prod_{k=n}^{\infty} \left(1 - P(A_k) \right) & \leq & \lim_{n \to \infty} \prod_{k=n}^{\infty} e^{-P(A_k)}, \quad \text{since} \quad 1 - x \leq e^{-x} \\ & = & \lim_{n \to \infty} \, e^{-\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} P(A_k)} \\ & = & 0 \end{split}$$

because

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(A_k) = \infty \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} P(A_k) = \infty$$

We can compute that limit as follows

$$\begin{split} \lim_{n\to\infty} \prod_{k=n}^\infty \left(1-P(A_k)\right) & \leq & \lim_{n\to\infty} \prod_{k=n}^\infty e^{-P(A_k)}, \quad \text{since} \quad 1-x \leq e^{-x} \\ & = & \lim_{n\to\infty} \ e^{-\sum_{k=n}^\infty P(A_k)} \\ & = & 0 \end{split}$$

because

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(A_k) = \infty \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} P(A_k) = \infty$$

► This finally gives us

$$P(\lim \sup A_n) = 1 - \lim_{n \to \infty} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - P(A_k)) = 1$$

• Given a sequence X_n we want to know whether it converges to X

- Given a sequence X_n we want to know whether it converges to X
- $\blacktriangleright \text{ Let } A_k^{\epsilon} = [|X_k X| \ge \epsilon]$

- Given a sequence X_n we want to know whether it converges to X
- $\blacktriangleright \text{ Let } A_k^{\epsilon} = [|X_k X| \ge \epsilon]$
- $X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} X$ if

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} P[|X_k - X| \ge \epsilon] = 0$$

- Given a sequence X_n we want to know whether it converges to X
- $\blacktriangleright \text{ Let } A_k^{\epsilon} = [|X_k X| \ge \epsilon]$
- $X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} X$ if

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}P[|X_k-X|\geq\epsilon]=0\quad\text{ same as }\ \lim_{k\to\infty}P(A_k)=0,\ \ \forall\epsilon>0$$

- Given a sequence X_n we want to know whether it converges to X
- Let $A_k^{\epsilon} = [|X_k X| \ge \epsilon]$
- $X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} X$ if

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}P[|X_k-X|\geq\epsilon]=0\quad\text{ same as }\ \lim_{k\to\infty}P(A_k)=0,\ \ \forall\epsilon>0$$

By Borel-Cantelli lemma

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P(A_k) < \infty \implies P(\lim \sup A_k) = 0 \implies X_k \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} X$$

$$P[X_n = 0] = 1 - a_n; \quad P[X_n = c_n] = a_n$$

$$P[X_n = 0] = 1 - a_n; \quad P[X_n = c_n] = a_n$$

▶ We want to investigate convergence to 0.

$$P[X_n = 0] = 1 - a_n; \quad P[X_n = c_n] = a_n$$

- ▶ We want to investigate convergence to 0.
- $A_n^{\epsilon} = [|X_n 0| > \epsilon]$

$$P[X_n = 0] = 1 - a_n; \quad P[X_n = c_n] = a_n$$

- ▶ We want to investigate convergence to 0.
- $A_n^{\epsilon} = [|X_n 0| > \epsilon] = [X_n = c_n], \ \forall \epsilon > 0$

$$P[X_n = 0] = 1 - a_n; \quad P[X_n = c_n] = a_n$$

- ▶ We want to investigate convergence to 0.
- $A_n^{\epsilon} = [|X_n 0| > \epsilon] = [X_n = c_n], \ \forall \epsilon > 0$
- Hence $P(A_n^{\epsilon}) = a_n, \forall \epsilon > 0.$

$$P[X_n = 0] = 1 - a_n; \quad P[X_n = c_n] = a_n$$

- ▶ We want to investigate convergence to 0.
- $A_n^{\epsilon} = [|X_n 0| > \epsilon] = [X_n = c_n], \ \forall \epsilon > 0$
- Hence $P(A_n^{\epsilon}) = a_n, \ \forall \epsilon > 0.$
- ▶ If $a_n \to 0$ then $X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} 0$. (e.g., $a_n = \frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n^2}$)

$$P[X_n = 0] = 1 - a_n; \quad P[X_n = c_n] = a_n$$

- ▶ We want to investigate convergence to 0.
- $A_n^{\epsilon} = [|X_n 0| > \epsilon] = [X_n = c_n], \ \forall \epsilon > 0$
- Hence $P(A_n^{\epsilon}) = a_n, \forall \epsilon > 0.$
- ▶ If $a_n \to 0$ then $X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} 0$. (e.g., $a_n = \frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n^2}$)
- If $\sum a_n < \infty$, $X_n \stackrel{a.s.}{\rightarrow} 0$ (e.g., $a_n = \frac{1}{n^2}$)

$$P[X_n = 0] = 1 - \frac{1}{n}; \quad P[X_n = 1] = \frac{1}{n}$$

$$P[X_n = 0] = 1 - \frac{1}{n}; \quad P[X_n = 1] = \frac{1}{n}$$

• We can easily conclude $X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} 0$.

$$P[X_n = 0] = 1 - \frac{1}{n}; \quad P[X_n = 1] = \frac{1}{n}$$

- We can easily conclude $X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} 0$.
- ▶ But since, $\sum_{n} \frac{1}{n} = \infty$, Borel-Cantelli lemma is not really useful here

$$P[X_n = 0] = 1 - \frac{1}{n}; \quad P[X_n = 1] = \frac{1}{n}$$

- We can easily conclude $X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} 0$.
- ▶ But since, $\sum_{n} \frac{1}{n} = \infty$, Borel-Cantelli lemma is not really useful here
- We saw one example where such X_n converge almost surely.

$$P[X_n = 0] = 1 - \frac{1}{n}; \quad P[X_n = 1] = \frac{1}{n}$$

- We can easily conclude $X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} 0$.
- ▶ But since, $\sum_{n} \frac{1}{n} = \infty$, Borel-Cantelli lemma is not really useful here
- We saw one example where such X_n converge almost surely.
- ▶ But, if X_n are independent, then by Borel-Cantelli lemma, they do not converge

$$P[X_n = 0] = 1 - \frac{1}{n}; \quad P[X_n = 1] = \frac{1}{n}$$

- We can easily conclude $X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} 0$.
- ▶ But since, $\sum_{n} \frac{1}{n} = \infty$, Borel-Cantelli lemma is not really useful here
- We saw one example where such X_n converge almost surely.
- ▶ But, if X_n are independent, then by Borel-Cantelli lemma, they do not converge
- ► Convergence (to a constant) in probability depends only on distribution of individual X_n .

$$P[X_n = 0] = 1 - \frac{1}{n}; \quad P[X_n = 1] = \frac{1}{n}$$

- We can easily conclude $X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} 0$.
- ▶ But since, $\sum_{n} \frac{1}{n} = \infty$, Borel-Cantelli lemma is not really useful here
- We saw one example where such X_n converge almost surely.
- ▶ But, if X_n are independent, then by Borel-Cantelli lemma, they do not converge
- ► Convergence (to a constant) in probability depends only on distribution of individual X_n .
- Convergence almost surely depends on the joint distribution

Strong Law of Large Numbers

Let X_n be iid, $EX_n = \mu$, $\mathrm{Var}(X_n) = \sigma^2$, $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$

Strong Law of Large Numbers

- Let X_n be iid, $EX_n = \mu$, $Var(X_n) = \sigma^2$, $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$
- ▶ We saw weak law of large numbers:

$$\frac{S_n}{n} \stackrel{P}{\to} \mu$$

Strong Law of Large Numbers

- Let X_n be iid, $EX_n = \mu$, $Var(X_n) = \sigma^2$, $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$
- ▶ We saw weak law of large numbers:

$$\frac{S_n}{n} \stackrel{P}{\to} \mu$$

Strong law of large numbers says:

$$\frac{S_n}{n} \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} \mu$$

▶ Let $A_n^{\epsilon} = \left[\left| \frac{S_n}{n} - \mu \right| > \epsilon \right]$

- ▶ Let $A_n^{\epsilon} = \left[\left| \frac{S_n}{n} \mu \right| > \epsilon \right]$
- ► As we saw, by Chebyshev inequality

$$P\left[\left|\frac{S_n}{n} - \mu\right| > \epsilon\right] \le \frac{\sigma^2}{n\epsilon^2}$$

- ▶ Let $A_n^{\epsilon} = \left[\left| \frac{S_n}{n} \mu \right| > \epsilon \right]$
- ► As we saw, by Chebyshev inequality

$$P\left[\left|\frac{S_n}{n} - \mu\right| > \epsilon\right] \le \frac{\sigma^2}{n\epsilon^2}$$

▶ This shows $P(A_n^{\epsilon}) \to 0$ and thus we get weak law

- ▶ Let $A_n^{\epsilon} = \left[\left| \frac{S_n}{n} \mu \right| > \epsilon \right]$
- ► As we saw, by Chebyshev inequality

$$P\left[\left|\frac{S_n}{n} - \mu\right| > \epsilon\right] \le \frac{\sigma^2}{n\epsilon^2}$$

- ▶ This shows $P(A_n^{\epsilon}) \to 0$ and thus we get weak law
- ▶ To prove strong law using Borel-Cantelli lemma, we need $\sum P(A_n^\epsilon) < \infty$

- $\blacktriangleright \text{ Let } A_n^{\epsilon} = \left[\left| \frac{S_n}{n} \mu \right| > \epsilon \right]$
- As we saw, by Chebyshev inequality

$$P\left[\left|\frac{S_n}{n} - \mu\right| > \epsilon\right] \le \frac{\sigma^2}{n\epsilon^2}$$

- ▶ This shows $P(A_n^{\epsilon}) \to 0$ and thus we get weak law
- ▶ To prove strong law using Borel-Cantelli lemma, we need $\sum P(A_n^{\epsilon}) < \infty$
- ▶ Since $\sum_{n} \frac{\sigma^2}{n\epsilon^2} = \infty$, the Chebyshev bound is not useful

- $\blacktriangleright \text{ Let } A_n^{\epsilon} = \left[\left| \frac{S_n}{n} \mu \right| > \epsilon \right]$
- ► As we saw, by Chebyshev inequality

$$P\left[\left|\frac{S_n}{n} - \mu\right| > \epsilon\right] \le \frac{\sigma^2}{n\epsilon^2}$$

- ▶ This shows $P(A_n^{\epsilon}) \to 0$ and thus we get weak law
- ▶ To prove strong law using Borel-Cantelli lemma, we need $\sum P(A_n^\epsilon) < \infty$
- ▶ Since $\sum_{n} \frac{\sigma^2}{n\epsilon^2} = \infty$, the Chebyshev bound is not useful
- ▶ We need a bound: $P[|\frac{S_n}{n} \mu|] \le c_n$ such that $\sum_n c_n < \infty$.