

# Continuous-Time Markov Chains

- ▶ Let  $\{X(t), t \geq 0\}$  be a continuous-time discrete-state process
- ▶ Let  $X(t)$  take non-negative integer values
- ▶ It is called a continuous-time markov chain if

$$\begin{aligned} Pr[X(t+s) = j \mid X(s) = i, X(u) \in A_u, 0 \leq u < s] \\ = Pr[X(t+s) = j \mid X(s) = i] \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Only most recent past matters
- ▶ It is called homogeneous chain if

$$Pr[X(t+s) = j \mid X(s) = i] = Pr[X(t) = j \mid X(0) = i], \forall s$$

- Define

$$P_{ij}(t) = Pr[X(t) = j \mid X(0) = i] = Pr[X(t+s) = j \mid X(s) = i]$$

It is the probability of going from  $i$  to  $j$  in time  $t$

- Analogous to transition probabilities in the discrete case
- Like in the discrete case, we can show that the Markov condition implies

$$\begin{aligned} Pr[X(s) \in B_s, s \in (t, t + \tau] \mid X(t) = i, X(s'), 0 \leq s' < t] \\ = Pr[X(s) \in B_s, s \in (t, t + \tau] \mid X(t) = i] \end{aligned}$$

- Next we consider distribution of time spent in a state before leaving it

- By the Markov property and homogeneity we have

$$\begin{aligned} Pr[X(s) = i, s \in [t, t + \tau] \mid X(s') = i, 0 \leq s' \leq t] \\ = Pr[X(s) = i, s \in [t, t + \tau] \mid X(t) = i] \\ = Pr[X(s) = i, s \in [0, \tau] \mid X(0) = i] \end{aligned}$$

- Let  $X(0) = i$  and let  $T_i$  be time spent in  $i$  before leaving it for the first time

$$\begin{aligned} Pr[X(s) = i, s \in [t, t + \tau] \mid X(s') = i, 0 \leq s' \leq t] \\ = Pr[T_i > t + \tau \mid T_i > t] \\ Pr[X(s) = i, s \in [0, \tau] \mid X(0) = i] = Pr[T_i > \tau] \\ \Rightarrow Pr[T_i > t + \tau \mid T_i > t] = Pr[T_i > \tau] \\ \Rightarrow T_i \text{ is memoryless and hence exponential} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Once you transit into a state, the time spent in it is exponentially distributed.
- ▶ So, the chain can be viewed as follows
- ▶ Once you transit to a state, it spends time, say,  $T_i \sim \text{exponential}(\nu_i)$  in it.
- ▶ Then, when it leaves  $i$ , it transits to state  $j$  with probability, say,  $z_{ij}$
- ▶ We would have  $z_{ij} \geq 0$ ,  $\sum_j z_{ij} = 1$ . Also,  $z_{ii} = 0$
- ▶ Note that  $P_{ij}(t)$  is different from these  $z_{ij}$

## Example: Birth-Death process

- ▶ This is generalization of birth-death chains we saw earlier to continuous time
- ▶ From  $i$  the process can only go to  $i + 1$  or  $i - 1$
- ▶ A birth event takes it to  $i + 1$  and a death event takes it to  $i - 1$
- ▶ An example would be:  $X(t)$  is number of people in a queuing system.
- ▶ A birth event would be a new person joining the queue.
- ▶ A death event would be a person leaving after finishing service

- ▶ Suppose, in state  $n$ , time till next arrival or birth event is exponential( $\lambda_n$ ).
- ▶ Let time till next departure or death event be exponential( $\mu_n$ )  
We assume that these two are independent
- ▶ Now, these  $\lambda_n$  and  $\mu_n$  completely determine  $\nu_n$  and  $z_{ij}$  and hence completely specify the chain
- ▶  $z_{i,i+1}$  is the probability that when the system changes state it goes to  $i + 1$
- ▶ Hence it is the probability that a birth event occurs before a death event.
- ▶ Let  $W_1 \sim \text{exponential}(\lambda_i)$  and  $W_2 \sim \text{exponential}(\mu_i)$  be independent. Then

$$z_{i,i+1} = Pr[W_1 < W_2] = \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i + \mu_i}; \quad \Rightarrow \quad z_{i,i-1} = \frac{\mu_i}{\lambda_i + \mu_i}$$

- ▶ The time spent in state  $i$ ,  $T_i$ , is exponential( $\nu_i$ )
- ▶ The chain would be in state  $i$  till either a birth event or a death event occurs
- ▶ Hence,  $T_i = \min(W_1, W_2)$
- ▶ Hence,  $T_i \sim \text{exponential}(\lambda_i + \mu_i)$ .
- ▶ Thus,  $\nu_i = \lambda_i + \mu_i$
- ▶ We have taken state space to be non-negative integers.
- ▶ Hence,  $\mu_0 = 0$  and  $\nu_0 = \lambda_0$  and  $z_{01} = 1$

- ▶ Suppose  $\lambda_n = \lambda, \forall n$  and  $\mu_n = 0, \forall n$
- ▶ It is called pure birth process
- ▶ The process spend time  $T_i \sim \text{exponential}(\lambda)$  in state  $i$  and then moves to state  $i + 1$
- ▶ This is the Poisson process



- ▶ Consider a queuing system
- ▶ Suppose people joining the queue is a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda$
- ▶ Suppose the time to service each customer is independent and exponential with parameter  $\mu$ .
- ▶ We assume that the arrival and service processes are independent.
- ▶ Then this is a birth death process with

$$\lambda_n = \lambda, \quad n \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_n = \mu, \quad n \geq 1$$

- ▶ This is known as an  $M/M/1$  queue
- ▶ A variation:  $M/M/K$  queue

$$\lambda_n = \lambda, \quad n \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_n = \begin{cases} n\mu & 1 \leq n \leq K \\ K\mu & n > K \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Consider an example of some calculations with continuous Markov chains
- ▶ Consider a Birth-Death process. Let  $Y_i$  be the time that a chain currently in  $i$  takes to reach state  $i + 1$  for the first time.
- ▶ We want to calculate  $E[Y_i]$ . (Note that  $E[Y_0] = 1/\lambda_0$ )
- ▶ The chain may directly go to  $i + 1$  or it may go to  $i - 1$  and then to  $i$  and then to  $i + 1$  or ...
- ▶ Define

$$I_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if first transition out of } i \text{ is to } i + 1 \\ 0 & \text{if first transition out of } i \text{ is to } i - 1 \end{cases}$$

- ▶ We can find  $E[Y_i]$  by conditioning on  $I_i$ .

- ▶ Time spent in  $i$  is exponential with rate  $\lambda_i + \mu_i$ .
- ▶ Hence, expected time till transition out of  $i$  is  $1/(\lambda_i + \mu_i)$
- ▶ If this transition is to  $i + 1$  then that is the expected time to reach  $i + 1$

$$E[Y_i \mid I_i = 1] = \frac{1}{\lambda_i + \mu_i}$$

- ▶ Suppose this transition is to  $i - 1$ .
- ▶ Then the expected time to reach  $i + 1$  is this time plus expected time to reach  $i$  from  $i - 1$  plus expected time to reach  $i + 1$  from  $i$

$$E[Y_i \mid I_i = 0] = \frac{1}{\lambda_i + \mu_i} + E[Y_{i-1}] + E[Y_i]$$

- ▶ We also have

$$Pr[I_i = 1] = z_{i,i+1} = \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i + \mu_i}; \quad Pr[I_i = 0] = \frac{\mu_i}{\lambda_i + \mu_i}$$

- ▶ Now we can calculate  $E[Y_i]$  as

$$\begin{aligned} E[Y_i] &= Pr[I_i = 1] E[Y_i | I_i = 1] + Pr[I_i = 0] E[Y_i | I_i = 0] \\ &= \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i + \mu_i} \frac{1}{\lambda_i + \mu_i} + \frac{\mu_i}{\lambda_i + \mu_i} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_i + \mu_i} + E[Y_{i-1}] + E[Y_i] \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_i + \mu_i} + \frac{\mu_i}{\lambda_i + \mu_i} (E[Y_{i-1}] + E[Y_i]) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E[Y_i] \left( 1 - \frac{\mu_i}{\lambda_i + \mu_i} \right) &= \frac{1}{\lambda_i + \mu_i} + \frac{\mu_i}{\lambda_i + \mu_i} (E[Y_{i-1}]) \\ E[Y_i] &= \frac{1}{\lambda_i} + \frac{\mu_i}{\lambda_i} E[Y_{i-1}] \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Thus we get

$$E[Y_i] = \frac{1}{\lambda_i} + \frac{\mu_i}{\lambda_i} E[Y_{i-1}], \quad i \geq 1$$

- ▶ Since  $E[Y_0] = 1/\lambda_0$ , we have a formula for  $E[Y_i]$
- ▶ For example,

$$E[Y_1] = \frac{1}{\lambda_1} + \frac{\mu_1}{\lambda_1 \lambda_0}; \quad E[Y_2] = \frac{1}{\lambda_2} + \frac{\mu_2}{\lambda_2} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_1} + \frac{\mu_1}{\lambda_1 \lambda_0} \right)$$

- ▶ Expected time to go from  $i$  to  $j$ ,  $i < j$  can now be computed as

$$E[Y_i] + E[Y_{i+1}] + \cdots + E[Y_{j-1}]$$

- ▶ Note that these are only for birth-death processes

- ▶ Consider the transition probabilities,  $P_{ij}(t)$
- ▶ These satisfy Chapman-Kolmogorov equation

$$\begin{aligned}P_{ij}(t+s) &= Pr[X(t+s) = j \mid X(0) = i] \\&= \sum_k Pr[X(t+s) = j \mid X(s) = k, X(0) = i] Pr[X(s) = k \mid X(0) = i] \\&= \sum_k Pr[X(t+s) = j \mid X(s) = k] Pr[X(s) = k \mid X(0) = i] \\&= \sum_k Pr[X(t) = j \mid X(0) = k] Pr[X(s) = k \mid X(0) = i] \\&= \sum_k P_{kj}(t) P_{ik}(s)\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ For finite chain,  $P$  is a matrix and  
 $P(t+s) = P(t) P(s)$

- ▶ Chapman-Kolmogorov equation gives

$$P_{ij}(t+s) = \sum_k P_{ik}(s) P_{kj}(t)$$

- ▶ Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} P_{ij}(t+h) - P_{ij}(t) &= \sum_k P_{ik}(h) P_{kj}(t) - P_{ij}(t) \\ &= \sum_{k \neq i} P_{ik}(h) P_{kj}(t) - (1 - P_{ii}(h)) P_{ij}(t) \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Define

$$q_{ik} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{P_{ik}(h)}{h}, \quad i \neq k, \quad \text{and} \quad q_{ii} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1 - P_{ii}(h)}{h}$$

- ▶ Then, assuming limit and sum can be interchanged,

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{P_{ij}(t+h) - P_{ij}(t)}{h} = \sum_{k \neq i} q_{ik} P_{kj}(t) - q_{ii} P_{ij}(t)$$

- ▶ By definition,  $1 - P_{ii}(h)$  is the probability that the chain that started in  $i$  is not in  $i$  at  $h$ .
- ▶ This is equivalent to there being a transition in the time  $h$  and transitions out of  $i$  occur at the rate of  $\nu_i$ .  
Also, two or more transitions in  $h$  is  $o(h)$

- ▶ Hence

$$1 - P_{ii}(h) = \nu_i h + o(h)$$

- ▶ Thus  $q_{ii} = \nu_i$ . It is rate of transition out of  $i$
- ▶ We also have

$$\nu_i = q_{ii} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1 - P_{ii}(h)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sum_{j \neq i} P_{ij}(h)}{h} = \sum_{j \neq i} q_{ij}$$



- ▶ By definition,  $P_{ij}(h) = q_{ij}h + o(h)$ ,  $i \neq j$
- ▶ Hence  $q_{ij}$  is the rate at which transitions out of  $i$  into  $j$  are occurring.
- ▶ Transitions out of  $i$  occur with rate  $\nu_i$  and  $z_{ij}$  fraction of these are into  $j$
- ▶ Hence,  $q_{ij} = \nu_i z_{ij}$ ,  $i \neq j$
- ▶ Thus, we got

$$\nu_i = \sum_{j \neq i} q_{ij}, \quad z_{ij} = \frac{q_{ij}}{\sum_{j \neq i} q_{ij}}, \quad q_{ii} = - \sum_{j \neq i} q_{ij}$$

- ▶ The  $\{q_{ij}\}$  are called the infinitesimal generator of the process.
- ▶ A continuous time Markov Chain is specified by these  $q_{ij}$

- ▶ Consider a Birth-Death process.
- ▶ We got earlier

$$\nu_i = \lambda_i + \mu_i, \quad z_{i,i+1} = \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i + \mu_i}, \quad z_{i,i-1} = \frac{\mu_i}{\lambda_i + \mu_i}$$

- ▶ Now we can calculate  $q_{ij}$

$$q_{i,i+1} = (\lambda_i + \mu_i) \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i + \mu_i} = \lambda_i, \quad q_{i,i-1} = (\lambda_i + \mu_i) \frac{\mu_i}{\lambda_i + \mu_i} = \mu_i$$

- ▶ This is intuitively obvious
- ▶ We specify a birth-death chain by  
birth rate (rate of transition from  $i$  to  $i + 1$ ),  $\lambda_i$  and  
death rate (rate of transition from  $i$  to  $i - 1$ ),  $\mu_i$ .

- ▶ The Chapman-Kolmogorov equations give us

$$P_{ij}(t+h) - P_{ij}(t) = \sum_{k \neq i} P_{ik}(h) P_{kj}(t) - (1 - P_{ii}(h)) P_{ij}(t)$$

- ▶ Using this we derived

$$P'_{ij}(t) = \sum_{k \neq i} q_{ik} P_{kj}(t) - q_{ii} P_{ij}(t)$$

Called Kolmogorov Backward equation

- ▶ We can solve these ODEs to get  $P_{ij}(t)$
- ▶ For a birth-death chain the equation becomes

$$P'_{ij}(t) = \lambda_i P_{i+1,j}(t) + \mu_i P_{i-1,j}(t) - (\lambda_i + \mu_i) P_{ij}(t)$$

# Poisson process as a special case

- ▶ Consider the case:  $\lambda_i = \lambda$  and  $\mu_i = 0, \forall i$ .
- ▶ This would be a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda$ .
- ▶ Taking  $i = 0$ , the differential equation becomes

$$P'_{0j}(t) = \lambda P_{1j}(t) - \lambda P_{0j}(t)$$

- ▶  $P_{0j}(t)$  is the probability of  $j$  events in an interval of length  $t$  which is same as what we had called  $P_j(t)$ .
- ▶ Similarly,  $P_{1j}(t)$  is same as what we called  $P_{j-1}(t)$  there
- ▶ Now one can see that the above ODE is what we got for Poisson process.

- ▶ Consider a two-state Birth-Death chain.
- ▶ We would have  $\mu_0 = \lambda_1 = 0$ . Let  $\lambda_0 = \lambda$  and  $\mu_1 = \mu$
- ▶ The two states can be a machine working or failed.
- ▶  $\lambda$  is rate of failure. Time till next failure is exponential( $\lambda$ )
- ▶  $\mu$  is rate of repair. Time for repair is exponential( $\mu$ )
- ▶ We may want to calculate  $P_{00}(T)$ , the probability that the machine would be working at a time  $T$  units later given it is in working condition now
- ▶ We can calculate it by solving the ODE's

$$P'_{ij}(t) = \lambda_i P_{i+1,j}(t) + \mu_i P_{i-1,j}(t) - (\lambda_i + \mu_i) P_{ij}(t)$$

- ▶ For the two state chain, these equations are

$$P'_{00}(t) = \lambda_0 P_{10}(t) - \lambda_0 P_{00}(t)$$

$$P'_{01}(t) = \lambda_0 P_{11}(t) - \lambda_0 P_{01}(t)$$

$$P'_{10}(t) = \mu_1 P_{00}(t) - \mu_1 P_{10}(t)$$

$$P'_{11}(t) = \mu_1 P_{01}(t) - \mu_1 P_{11}(t)$$

- ▶ As is easy to see, we get a system of equations like this for any finite chain.
- ▶ Solving these we can show

$$P_{00}(t) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \mu} e^{-(\lambda + \mu)t} + \frac{\mu}{\lambda + \mu}$$

- ▶ Consider a finite chain
- ▶ Then the transition probabilities can be represented as a matrix
- ▶ The Chapman-Kolmogorov equation gives

$$P(t + s) = P(t) P(s)$$

- ▶ Differentiating the above with respect to  $t$

$$P'(t + s) = P'(t)P(s)$$

- ▶ Putting  $t = 0$  in the above we get

$$P'(s) = P'(0) P(s) = \bar{Q} P(s), \quad \text{where } \bar{Q} = P'(0)$$

- ▶ The solution for this is

$$P(t) = e^{t\bar{Q}}, \quad \text{because } P(0) = I$$

- ▶ This is the expression for calculating  $P_{ij}(t)$  for any  $t$  and  $i, j$

- ▶ Let us examine the matrix  $\bar{Q} = [\bar{q}_{ij}]$

$$\bar{Q} = P'(0) = \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{P(h) - P(0)}{h} = \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{P(h) - I}{h}$$

- ▶ This gives us

$$\text{for } k \neq j, \quad \bar{q}_{kj} = \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{P_{kj}(h) - 0}{h} = q_{kj}$$

$$\bar{q}_{jj} = \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{P_{jj}(h) - 1}{h} = -q_{jj} = -\nu_j$$

- ▶ Thus this  $\bar{Q}$  matrix has  $q_{ik}$  as off-diagonal entries and  $-q_{jj}$  as diagonal entries
- ▶ So, each row here sums to zero
- ▶ We normally write it as  $Q$  and call it the infinitesimal generator of the process



- ▶ The Kolmogorov backward equation is

$$P'_{ij}(t) = \sum_{k \neq i} q_{ik} P_{kj}(t) - q_{ii} P_{ij}(t)$$

- ▶ The above can be written in a matrix form

$$P'(t) = QP(t)$$

- ▶ The off-diagonal entries of  $Q$  are  $q_{ik}$  and diagonal entries are  $-q_{ii}$
- ▶ From the above equation,  $P'(0) = Q$
- ▶ So, what we did is to write the backward equation in matrix form

- ▶ For the backward equation, we started with

$$P_{ij}(t+h) = \sum_k P_{ik}(h) P_{kj}(t)$$

- ▶ The Chapman-Kolmogorov equation also gives us

$$P_{ij}(t+h) = \sum_k P_{ik}(t) P_{kj}(h)$$

- ▶ Similar algebra as earlier gives us

$$P'_{ij}(t) = \sum_{k \neq j} P_{ik}(t) q_{kj} - q_{jj} P_{ij}(t)$$

(under some assumptions about interchanging limit and summation)

- ▶ This is known as Kolmogorov forward equation
- ▶ For finite chains, both forward and backward equations are same
- ▶ For infinite chains there are some differences

- ▶ We can define transient and recurrent states as in the discrete case.
- ▶ However, we need to be careful about defining hitting times or first passage times
- ▶ We define

$$T_i = \min\{t > 0 : X(t) \neq i\} \quad f_i = \min\{t : t > T_i, X(t) = i\}$$

- ▶ For a chain started in  $i$  we take  $f_i$  as first return time to  $i$
- ▶ A state  $i$  is said to be
  - ▶ Transient if  $Pr[f_i < \infty \mid X(0) = i] < 1$
  - ▶ Recurrent if  $Pr[f_i < \infty \mid X(0) = i] = 1$

- ▶ Most of the other definitions are also similar to the case of discrete chains
- ▶ The chain is said to be irreducible if for all  $i, j$  there is a positive probability of going from  $i$  to  $j$  in some finite time:  $P_{ij}(t) > 0$  for some  $t$
- ▶ A recurrent state is positive recurrent if mean time to return is finite:  $E[f_i \mid X(0) = i] < \infty$   
Otherwise it is null recurrent
- ▶ An irreducible positive recurrent chain would have a unique stationary distribution
- ▶ There is no concept of periodicity in the continuous time case
- ▶ An irreducible positive recurrent chain would be called an ergodic chain

- ▶ Define

$$\pi_j(t) = Pr[X(t) = j] = \sum_i \pi_i(0) P_{ij}(t)$$

This also analogous to the discrete case

- ▶ The above equation is true for general infinite chains.
- ▶ In the finite case, we can get a more compact expression
- ▶ For a finite chain, taking  $\pi$  as a row vector,

$$\pi(t) = \pi(0) P(t) = \pi(0) e^{Qt}$$

- ▶ We say  $\pi$  is a stationary distribution if

$$\pi(0) = \pi \quad \Rightarrow \quad \pi(t) = \pi, \quad \forall t$$

- ▶ Hence, if we start the chain in the stationary distribution,  $\pi'(t) = 0$
- ▶ We get from the earlier equation

$$\pi_j(t) = \sum_i \pi_i(0) P_{ij}(t) \quad \text{and hence} \quad \pi'_j(t) = \sum_i \pi_i(0) P'_{ij}(t)$$

- ▶ Using the forward equation for  $P'_{ij}(t)$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i \pi_i(0) \left( \sum_{k \neq j} q_{kj} P_{ik}(t) - q_{jj} P_{ij}(t) \right) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \sum_{k \neq j} q_{kj} \pi_k - \pi_j \sum_{k \neq j} q_{jk} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

when  $\pi$  is a stationary distribution and  $\pi(0) = \pi$

- ▶ What we showed is that any stationary distribution  $\pi$  has to satisfy

$$\sum_{k \neq j} q_{kj} \pi_k = \pi_j \sum_{k \neq j} q_{jk}$$

- ▶ We can interpret this (as we did in discrete case)
- ▶  $q_{kj}$  is the rate of transition from  $k$  to  $j$  and  $\pi_k$  is the fraction present in  $k$ .
- ▶ Hence  $\sum_{k \neq j} q_{kj} \pi_k$  is the net flow into  $j$
- ▶  $\pi_j \sum_{k \neq j} q_{jk}$  is the net flow out of  $j$
- ▶ At steady state the flows have to be balanced
- ▶ The above equation is known as a balance equation