Poisson Process

▶ This is the next process we study

Poisson Process

- ▶ This is the next process we study
- ▶ This is a discrete-state continuous-time process

Poisson Process

- ▶ This is the next process we study
- ▶ This is a discrete-state continuous-time process
- ▶ The index set is the interval $[0, \infty)$ and all random variables are discrete and take non-negative integer values.

A random process $\{N(t),\ t\geq 0\}$ is called a counting process if

- \blacktriangleright A random process $\{N(t),\ t\geq 0\}$ is called a counting process if
 - 1. $N(t) \ge 0$ and is integer-valued

- ▶ A random process $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$ is called a counting process if
 - 1. $N(t) \ge 0$ and is integer-valued
 - 2. If s < t then, $N(s) \le N(t)$

- ▶ A random process $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$ is called a counting process if
 - 1. $N(t) \geq 0$ and is integer-valued
 - 2. If s < t then, $N(s) \le N(t)$
 - N(t) represents number of 'events' till t

- ▶ A random process $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$ is called a counting process if
 - 1. $N(t) \geq 0$ and is integer-valued
 - 2. If s < t then, $N(s) \le N(t)$
 - N(t) represents number of 'events' till t
- ▶ The counting process has independent increments if for all $t_1 < t_2 \le t_3 < t_4$, $N(t_2) N(t_1)$ is independent of $N(t_4) N(t_3)$

- ▶ A random process $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$ is called a counting process if
 - 1. $N(t) \geq 0$ and is integer-valued
 - 2. If s < t then, $N(s) \le N(t)$
 - N(t) represents number of 'events' till t
- ▶ The counting process has independent increments if for all $t_1 < t_2 \le t_3 < t_4$, $N(t_2) N(t_1)$ is independent of $N(t_4) N(t_3)$
- ▶ In particular, for all s > t, N(s) N(t) is independent of N(t) N(0)

- ▶ A random process $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$ is called a counting process if
 - 1. $N(t) \geq 0$ and is integer-valued
 - 2. If s < t then, $N(s) \le N(t)$
 - N(t) represents number of 'events' till t
- ▶ The counting process has independent increments if for all $t_1 < t_2 \le t_3 < t_4$, $N(t_2) N(t_1)$ is independent of $N(t_4) N(t_3)$
- ▶ In particular, for all s > t, N(s) N(t) is independent of N(t) N(0)
- ► The process is said to have stationary increments if $N(t_2) N(t_1)$ has the same distribution as $N(t_2 + \tau) N(t_1 + \tau)$, $\forall \tau, \forall t_2 > t_1$

▶ We start with two definitions of Poisson process

- ▶ We start with two definitions of Poisson process
- ▶ **Definition 1** A counting process $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$ is said to be a Poisson process with rate $\lambda > 0$ if

- ▶ We start with two definitions of Poisson process
- ▶ **Definition 1** A counting process $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$ is said to be a Poisson process with rate $\lambda > 0$ if
 - 1. N(0) = 0

- We start with two definitions of Poisson process
- ▶ **Definition 1** A counting process $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$ is said to be a Poisson process with rate $\lambda > 0$ if
 - 1. N(0) = 0
 - 2. The process has stationary and independent increments

- We start with two definitions of Poisson process
- ▶ **Definition 1** A counting process $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$ is said to be a Poisson process with rate $\lambda > 0$ if
 - 1. N(0) = 0
 - 2. The process has stationary and independent increments 3. $Pr[N(t)=n]=e^{-\lambda t}\,\frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!},\;n=0,1,\cdots$

- We start with two definitions of Poisson process
- ▶ **Definition 1** A counting process $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$ is said to be a Poisson process with rate $\lambda > 0$ if
 - 1. N(0) = 0
 - 2. The process has stationary and independent increments
 - 3. $Pr[N(t) = n] = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!}, \ n = 0, 1, \cdots$
- ▶ N(t) is Poisson with parameter λt

- We start with two definitions of Poisson process
- ▶ **Definition 1** A counting process $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$ is said to be a Poisson process with rate $\lambda > 0$ if
 - 1. N(0) = 0
 - 2. The process has stationary and independent increments

3.
$$Pr[N(t) = n] = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!}, \ n = 0, 1, \cdots$$

- ▶ N(t) is Poisson with parameter λt
- $E[N(t)] = \lambda t$ and hence λ is called rate

- We start with two definitions of Poisson process
- ▶ **Definition 1** A counting process $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$ is said to be a Poisson process with rate $\lambda > 0$ if
 - 1. N(0) = 0
 - 2. The process has stationary and independent increments
 - 3. $Pr[N(t) = n] = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!}, \ n = 0, 1, \cdots$
- ▶ N(t) is Poisson with parameter λt
- $E[N(t)] = \lambda t$ and hence λ is called rate
- ▶ Since the process has stationary increments and N(0)=0, (N(t+s)-N(s)) would be Poisson with parameter λt for all s,t>0.

▶ **Definition 2** A counting process $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$ is said to be a Poisson process with rate $\lambda > 0$ if

- ▶ **Definition 2** A counting process $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$ is said to be a Poisson process with rate $\lambda > 0$ if
 - 1. N(0) = 0

- ▶ **Definition 2** A counting process $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$ is said to be a Poisson process with rate $\lambda > 0$ if
 - 1. N(0) = 0
 - 2. The process has stationary and independent increments

- ▶ **Definition 2** A counting process $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$ is said to be a Poisson process with rate $\lambda > 0$ if
 - 1. N(0) = 0
 - 2. The process has stationary and independent increments
 - 3. $Pr[N(h) = 1] = \lambda h + o(h)$ and $Pr[N(h) \ge 2] = o(h)$

- ▶ **Definition 2** A counting process $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$ is said to be a Poisson process with rate $\lambda > 0$ if
 - 1. N(0) = 0
 - 2. The process has stationary and independent increments
 - 3. $Pr[N(h) = 1] = \lambda h + o(h)$ and $Pr[N(h) \ge 2] = o(h)$
- We say g(h) is o(h) if

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{g(h)}{h} = 0$$

- ▶ **Definition 2** A counting process $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$ is said to be a Poisson process with rate $\lambda > 0$ if
 - 1. N(0) = 0
 - 2. The process has stationary and independent increments
 - 3. $Pr[N(h) = 1] = \lambda h + o(h)$ and $Pr[N(h) \ge 2] = o(h)$
- We say g(h) is o(h) if

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{g(h)}{h} = 0$$

► This definition tells us when Poisson process may be a good model

- ▶ **Definition 2** A counting process $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$ is said to be a Poisson process with rate $\lambda > 0$ if
 - 1. N(0) = 0
 - 2. The process has stationary and independent increments
 - 3. $Pr[N(h) = 1] = \lambda h + o(h)$ and $Pr[N(h) \ge 2] = o(h)$
- We say g(h) is o(h) if

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{g(h)}{h} = 0$$

- ► This definition tells us when Poisson process may be a good model
- ▶ We will show that both definitions are equivalent

▶ We first show Definition $2 \Rightarrow$ Definition 1

- ▶ We first show Definition $2 \Rightarrow$ Definition 1
- For this we need to calculate distribution of N(t)

- We first show Definition $2 \Rightarrow$ Definition 1
- ightharpoonup For this we need to calculate distribution of N(t)

- ▶ We first show Definition $2 \Rightarrow$ Definition 1
- For this we need to calculate distribution of N(t)
- ▶ Let $P_n(t) = Pr[N(t) = n]$

$$P_0(t+h) = Pr[N(t+h) = 0]$$

- ▶ We first show Definition $2 \Rightarrow$ Definition 1
- lacktriangle For this we need to calculate distribution of N(t)

$$P_0(t+h) = Pr[N(t+h) = 0]$$

= $Pr[N(t) = 0, N(t+h) - N(t) = 0]$

- ▶ We first show Definition $2 \Rightarrow$ Definition 1
- For this we need to calculate distribution of N(t)

$$P_0(t+h) = Pr[N(t+h) = 0]$$

= $Pr[N(t) = 0, N(t+h) - N(t) = 0]$
= $Pr[N(t) = 0] Pr[N(t+h) - N(t) = 0]$
(because of independent increments)

- ▶ We first show Definition $2 \Rightarrow$ Definition 1
- \blacktriangleright For this we need to calculate distribution of N(t)

$$\begin{split} P_0(t+h) &= Pr[N(t+h)=0] \\ &= Pr[N(t)=0,\ N(t+h)-N(t)=0] \\ &= Pr[N(t)=0]\ Pr[N(t+h)-N(t)=0] \\ &\quad \text{(because of independent increments)} \\ &= Pr[N(t)=0]\ Pr[N(h)=0] \quad \text{(stationary increments)} \end{split}$$

- ▶ We first show Definition $2 \Rightarrow$ Definition 1
- \blacktriangleright For this we need to calculate distribution of N(t)

$$\begin{split} P_0(t+h) &= Pr[N(t+h)=0] \\ &= Pr[N(t)=0,\ N(t+h)-N(t)=0] \\ &= Pr[N(t)=0]\ Pr[N(t+h)-N(t)=0] \\ &\quad \text{(because of independent increments)} \\ &= Pr[N(t)=0]\ Pr[N(h)=0] \quad \text{(stationary increments)} \\ &= P_0(t)(1-\lambda h + o(h)) \end{split}$$

- ▶ We first show Definition $2 \Rightarrow$ Definition 1
- \blacktriangleright For this we need to calculate distribution of N(t)

$$\begin{split} P_0(t+h) &= Pr[N(t+h)=0] \\ &= Pr[N(t)=0,\ N(t+h)-N(t)=0] \\ &= Pr[N(t)=0]\ Pr[N(t+h)-N(t)=0] \\ &\quad \text{(because of independent increments)} \\ &= Pr[N(t)=0]\ Pr[N(h)=0] \quad \text{(stationary increments)} \\ &= P_0(t)(1-\lambda h+o(h)) \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{P_0(t+h)-P_0(t)}{h} \ = \ -\lambda P_0(t)+\frac{o(h)}{h} \end{split}$$

- ▶ We first show Definition $2 \Rightarrow$ Definition 1
- \blacktriangleright For this we need to calculate distribution of N(t)

$$\begin{split} P_0(t+h) &= Pr[N(t+h)=0] \\ &= Pr[N(t)=0,\ N(t+h)-N(t)=0] \\ &= Pr[N(t)=0]\ Pr[N(t+h)-N(t)=0] \\ &\quad \text{(because of independent increments)} \\ &= Pr[N(t)=0]\ Pr[N(h)=0] \quad \text{(stationary increments)} \\ &= P_0(t)(1-\lambda h + o(h)) \end{split}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{P_0(t+h) - P_0(t)}{h} = -\lambda P_0(t) + \frac{o(h)}{h}$$
$$\Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt}P_0(t) = -\lambda P_0(t)$$

lacktriangle Now we can solve this differential equation to get $P_0(t)$

lacktriangle Now we can solve this differential equation to get $P_0(t)$

$$\frac{d}{dt}P_0(t) = -\lambda P_0(t)$$

Now we can solve this differential equation to get $P_0(t)$

$$\frac{d}{dt}P_0(t) = -\lambda P_0(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{P_0(t)}\frac{d}{dt}P_0(t) = -\lambda$$

Now we can solve this differential equation to get $P_0(t)$

$$\frac{d}{dt}P_0(t) = -\lambda P_0(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{P_0(t)}\frac{d}{dt}P_0(t) = -\lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow \ln(P_0(t)) = -\lambda t + c$$

lacktriangle Now we can solve this differential equation to get $P_0(t)$

$$\frac{d}{dt}P_0(t) = -\lambda P_0(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{P_0(t)}\frac{d}{dt}P_0(t) = -\lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow \ln(P_0(t)) = -\lambda t + c$$

$$\Rightarrow P_0(t) = Ke^{-\lambda t}$$

Now we can solve this differential equation to get $P_0(t)$

$$\frac{d}{dt}P_0(t) = -\lambda P_0(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{P_0(t)}\frac{d}{dt}P_0(t) = -\lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow \ln(P_0(t)) = -\lambda t + c$$

$$\Rightarrow P_0(t) = Ke^{-\lambda t}$$

▶ Since $P_0(0) = Pr[N(0) = 0] = 1$, we get K = 1 and hence

$$P_0(t) = Pr[N(t) = 0] = e^{-\lambda t}$$

▶ Now we can solve this differential equation to get $P_0(t)$

$$\frac{d}{dt}P_0(t) = -\lambda P_0(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{P_0(t)}\frac{d}{dt}P_0(t) = -\lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow \ln(P_0(t)) = -\lambda t + c$$

$$\Rightarrow P_0(t) = Ke^{-\lambda t}$$

▶ Since $P_0(0) = Pr[N(0) = 0] = 1$, we get K = 1 and hence

$$P_0(t) = Pr[N(t) = 0] = e^{-\lambda t}$$

▶ Next we consider $P_n(t)$ for n > 0

$$P_n(t+h) = Pr[N(t+h) = n]$$

$$P_n(t+h) = Pr[N(t+h) = n]$$

$$= Pr[N(t) = n, N(t+h) - N(t) = 0] +$$

$$Pr[N(t) = n - 1, N(t+h) - N(t) = 1] +$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Pr[N(t) = n - k, N(t+h) - N(t) = k]$$

$$P_n(t+h) = Pr[N(t+h) = n]$$

$$= Pr[N(t) = n, N(t+h) - N(t) = 0] +$$

$$Pr[N(t) = n - 1, N(t+h) - N(t) = 1] +$$

$$\sum_{k=2}^{n} Pr[N(t) = n - k, N(t+h) - N(t) = k]$$

$$= P_n(t)P_0(h) + P_{n-1}(t)P_1(h) + o(h)$$

$$P_n(t+h) = Pr[N(t+h) = n]$$

$$= Pr[N(t) = n, N(t+h) - N(t) = 0] +$$

$$Pr[N(t) = n - 1, N(t+h) - N(t) = 1] +$$

$$\sum_{k=2}^{n} Pr[N(t) = n - k, N(t+h) - N(t) = k]$$

$$= P_n(t)P_0(h) + P_{n-1}(t)P_1(h) + o(h)$$

 $= P_n(t)(1-\lambda h+o(h))+P_{n-1}(t)(\lambda h+o(h))+o(h)$

$$\begin{split} P_n(t+h) &= Pr[N(t+h) = n] \\ &= Pr[N(t) = n, \ N(t+h) - N(t) = 0] + \\ ⪻[N(t) = n - 1, \ N(t+h) - N(t) = 1] + \\ &\sum_{k=2}^{n} Pr[N(t) = n - k, \ N(t+h) - N(t) = k] \\ &= P_n(t)P_0(h) + P_{n-1}(t)P_1(h) + o(h) \\ &= P_n(t)(1 - \lambda h + o(h)) + P_{n-1}(t)(\lambda h + o(h)) + o(h) \\ \Rightarrow &\frac{P_n(t+h) - P_n(t)}{h} = -\lambda P_n(t) + \lambda P_{n-1}(t) + \frac{o(h)}{h} \end{split}$$

$$P_{n}(t+h) = Pr[N(t+h) = n]$$

$$= Pr[N(t) = n, N(t+h) - N(t) = 0] + Pr[N(t) = n - 1, N(t+h) - N(t) = 1] + Pr[N(t) = n - k, N(t+h) - N(t) = k]$$

$$= P_{n}(t)P_{0}(h) + P_{n-1}(t)P_{1}(h) + o(h)$$

$$= P_{n}(t)(1 - \lambda h + o(h)) + P_{n-1}(t)(\lambda h + o(h)) + o(h)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{P_{n}(t+h) - P_{n}(t)}{h} = -\lambda P_{n}(t) + \lambda P_{n-1}(t) + \frac{o(h)}{h}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt}P_{n}(t) = -\lambda P_{n}(t) + \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}P_n(t) + \lambda P_n(t) = \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

 \blacktriangleright We need to solve this linear ODE to obtain P_n

$$\frac{d}{dt}P_n(t) + \lambda P_n(t) = \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

- \blacktriangleright We need to solve this linear ODE to obtain P_n
- ▶ The integrating factor is $e^{\lambda t}$. Let $P'_n(t) = \frac{d}{dt}P_n(t)$

$$\frac{d}{dt}P_n(t) + \lambda P_n(t) = \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

- lacktriangle We need to solve this linear ODE to obtain P_n
- ▶ The integrating factor is $e^{\lambda t}$. Let $P'_n(t) = \frac{d}{dt}P_n(t)$

$$e^{\lambda t} \left(P'_n(t) + \lambda P_n(t) \right) = e^{\lambda t} \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}P_n(t) + \lambda P_n(t) = \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

- We need to solve this linear ODE to obtain P_n
- ▶ The integrating factor is $e^{\lambda t}$. Let $P'_n(t) = \frac{d}{dt}P_n(t)$

$$e^{\lambda t} \left(P'_n(t) + \lambda P_n(t) \right) = e^{\lambda t} \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \left(P_n(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_{n-1}(t)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}P_n(t) + \lambda P_n(t) = \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

- We need to solve this linear ODE to obtain P_n
- ▶ The integrating factor is $e^{\lambda t}$. Let $P'_n(t) = \frac{d}{dt}P_n(t)$

$$e^{\lambda t} \left(P_n'(t) + \lambda P_n(t) \right) = e^{\lambda t} \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \left(P_n(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_{n-1}(t)$$

▶ We need P_{n-1} to solve for P_n .

$$\frac{d}{dt}P_n(t) + \lambda P_n(t) = \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

- We need to solve this linear ODE to obtain P_n
- ▶ The integrating factor is $e^{\lambda t}$. Let $P'_n(t) = \frac{d}{dt}P_n(t)$

$$e^{\lambda t} \left(P_n'(t) + \lambda P_n(t) \right) = e^{\lambda t} \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \left(P_n(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_{n-1}(t)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}P_n(t) + \lambda P_n(t) = \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

- We need to solve this linear ODE to obtain P_n
- ▶ The integrating factor is $e^{\lambda t}$. Let $P'_n(t) = \frac{d}{dt}P_n(t)$

$$e^{\lambda t} \left(P_n'(t) + \lambda P_n(t) \right) = e^{\lambda t} \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \left(P_n(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_{n-1}(t)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(P_1(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_0(t)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}P_n(t) + \lambda P_n(t) = \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

- We need to solve this linear ODE to obtain P_n
- ▶ The integrating factor is $e^{\lambda t}$. Let $P'_n(t) = \frac{d}{dt}P_n(t)$

$$e^{\lambda t} \left(P_n'(t) + \lambda P_n(t) \right) = e^{\lambda t} \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \left(P_n(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_{n-1}(t)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(P_1(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_0(t) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} e^{-\lambda t} = \lambda$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}P_n(t) + \lambda P_n(t) = \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

- \blacktriangleright We need to solve this linear ODE to obtain P_n
- ▶ The integrating factor is $e^{\lambda t}$. Let $P'_n(t) = \frac{d}{dt}P_n(t)$

$$e^{\lambda t} \left(P_n'(t) + \lambda P_n(t) \right) = e^{\lambda t} \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \left(P_n(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_{n-1}(t)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(P_1(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_0(t) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} e^{-\lambda t} = \lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow e^{\lambda t} P_1(t) = \lambda t + c$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}P_n(t) + \lambda P_n(t) = \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

- \blacktriangleright We need to solve this linear ODE to obtain P_n
- ▶ The integrating factor is $e^{\lambda t}$. Let $P_n'(t) = \frac{d}{dt}P_n(t)$

$$e^{\lambda t} \left(P_n'(t) + \lambda P_n(t) \right) = e^{\lambda t} \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \left(P_n(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_{n-1}(t)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(P_1(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_0(t) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} e^{-\lambda t} = \lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow e^{\lambda t} P_1(t) = \lambda t + c \Rightarrow P_1(t) = e^{-\lambda t} (\lambda t + c)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}P_n(t) + \lambda P_n(t) = \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

- \blacktriangleright We need to solve this linear ODE to obtain P_n
- ▶ The integrating factor is $e^{\lambda t}$. Let $P_n'(t) = \frac{d}{dt}P_n(t)$

$$e^{\lambda t} \left(P'_n(t) + \lambda P_n(t) \right) = e^{\lambda t} \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \left(P_n(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_{n-1}(t)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(P_1(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_0(t) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} e^{-\lambda t} = \lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow e^{\lambda t} P_1(t) = \lambda t + c \Rightarrow P_1(t) = e^{-\lambda t} (\lambda t + c)$$

• Since $P_1(0) = Pr[N(0) = 1] = 0$, c = 0

$$\frac{d}{dt}P_n(t) + \lambda P_n(t) = \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

- \blacktriangleright We need to solve this linear ODE to obtain P_n
- ▶ The integrating factor is $e^{\lambda t}$. Let $P_n'(t) = \frac{d}{dt}P_n(t)$

$$e^{\lambda t} \left(P'_n(t) + \lambda P_n(t) \right) = e^{\lambda t} \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \left(P_n(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_{n-1}(t)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(P_1(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_0(t) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} e^{-\lambda t} = \lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow e^{\lambda t} P_1(t) = \lambda t + c \Rightarrow P_1(t) = e^{-\lambda t} (\lambda t + c)$$

► Since $P_1(0) = Pr[N(0) = 1] = 0$, c = 0Hence $P_1(t) = \lambda t \ e^{-\lambda t}$ ▶ We showed: $P_0(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$ and $P_1(t) = \lambda t e^{-\lambda t}$

- ▶ We showed: $P_0(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$ and $P_1(t) = \lambda t e^{-\lambda t}$
- ▶ We need to show: $P_k(t) = e^{-\lambda t} \, \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!}$

- ▶ We showed: $P_0(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$ and $P_1(t) = \lambda t e^{-\lambda t}$
- We need to show: $P_k(t) = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!}$
- Assume it is true till k = n 1

- We showed: $P_0(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$ and $P_1(t) = \lambda t e^{-\lambda t}$
- We need to show: $P_k(t) = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!}$
- Assume it is true till k = n 1

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(P_n(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_{n-1}(t)$$

- We showed: $P_0(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$ and $P_1(t) = \lambda t e^{-\lambda t}$
- We need to show: $P_k(t) = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!}$
- Assume it is true till k = n 1

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(P_n(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_{n-1}(t) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}$$

- We showed: $P_0(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$ and $P_1(t) = \lambda t e^{-\lambda t}$
- We need to show: $P_k(t) = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!}$
- Assume it is true till k = n 1

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(P_n(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_{n-1}(t) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} = \lambda^n \frac{t^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}$$

- We showed: $P_0(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$ and $P_1(t) = \lambda t e^{-\lambda t}$
- We need to show: $P_k(t) = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!}$
- Assume it is true till k = n 1

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(P_n(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_{n-1}(t) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} = \lambda^n \frac{t^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}$$

$$\Rightarrow e^{\lambda t} P_n(t) = \lambda^n \frac{t^n}{n} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} + c$$

- We showed: $P_0(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$ and $P_1(t) = \lambda t e^{-\lambda t}$
- We need to show: $P_k(t) = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!}$
- ▶ Assume it is true till k = n 1

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(P_n(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_{n-1}(t) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} = \lambda^n \frac{t^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}$$

$$\Rightarrow e^{\lambda t} P_n(t) = \lambda^n \frac{t^n}{n} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} + c \Rightarrow P_n(t) = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!}$$

- We showed: $P_0(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$ and $P_1(t) = \lambda t e^{-\lambda t}$
- We need to show: $P_k(t) = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!}$
- Assume it is true till k = n 1

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(P_n(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_{n-1}(t) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} = \lambda^n \frac{t^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}$$

$$\Rightarrow e^{\lambda t} P_n(t) = \lambda^n \frac{t^n}{n} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} + c \Rightarrow P_n(t) = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!}$$

where c = 0 because $P_n(0) = 0$.

- We showed: $P_0(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$ and $P_1(t) = \lambda t e^{-\lambda t}$
- We need to show: $P_k(t) = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!}$
- Assume it is true till k = n 1

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(P_n(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_{n-1}(t) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} = \lambda^n \frac{t^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}$$

$$\Rightarrow e^{\lambda t} P_n(t) = \lambda^n \frac{t^n}{n} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} + c \Rightarrow P_n(t) = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!}$$

where c = 0 because $P_n(0) = 0$.

► This completes the proof that Definition 2 implies Definition 1

- ▶ **Definition 1** A counting process $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$ is said to be a Poisson process with rate $\lambda > 0$ if
 - 1. N(0) = 0
 - 2. The process has stationary and independent increments
 - 3. $Pr[N(t) = n] = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!}, \ n = 0, 1, \cdots$
- ▶ **Definition 2** A counting process $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$ is said to be a Poisson process with rate $\lambda > 0$ if
 - 1. N(0) = 0
 - 2. The process has stationary and independent increments
 - 3. $Pr[N(h) = 1] = \lambda h + o(h)$ and $Pr[N(h) \ge 2] = o(h)$

▶ Now we prove Definition 1 implies Definition 2

- ▶ Now we prove Definition 1 implies Definition 2
- ▶ We need to only show point(3) of Definition 2 using point (3) of Definition 1

- ▶ Now we prove Definition 1 implies Definition 2
- We need to only show point(3) of Definition 2 using point(3) of Definition 1

Let
$$Pr[N(t) = k] = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!}$$

- ▶ Now we prove Definition 1 implies Definition 2
- We need to only show point(3) of Definition 2 using point(3) of Definition 1

Let
$$Pr[N(t) = k] = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!}$$

$$Pr[N(h) = 1] = \lambda h e^{-\lambda h}$$

- ▶ Now we prove Definition 1 implies Definition 2
- We need to only show point(3) of Definition 2 using point(3) of Definition 1

Let
$$Pr[N(t) = k] = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!}$$

$$Pr[N(h) = 1] = \lambda h e^{-\lambda h} = \lambda h + \lambda h (e^{-\lambda h} - 1)$$

- ▶ Now we prove Definition 1 implies Definition 2
- We need to only show point(3) of Definition 2 using point(3) of Definition 1

Let
$$Pr[N(t) = k] = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!}$$

$$Pr[N(h) = 1] = \lambda \ h \ e^{-\lambda h} = \lambda \ h + \lambda \ h \left(e^{-\lambda h} - 1 \right) = \lambda \ h + o(h)$$

- ▶ Now we prove Definition 1 implies Definition 2
- We need to only show point(3) of Definition 2 using point(3) of Definition 1

Let
$$Pr[N(t) = k] = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!}$$

$$Pr[N(h) = 1] = \lambda \ h \ e^{-\lambda h} = \lambda \ h + \lambda \ h \left(e^{-\lambda h} - 1 \right) = \lambda \ h + o(h)$$
 because

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\lambda \ h \left(e^{-\lambda h} - 1 \right)}{h}$$

- ▶ Now we prove Definition 1 implies Definition 2
- ▶ We need to only show point(3) of Definition 2 using point (3) of Definition 1

Let
$$Pr[N(t) = k] = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!}$$

$$Pr[N(h) = 1] = \lambda \ h \ e^{-\lambda h} = \lambda \ h + \lambda \ h \left(e^{-\lambda h} - 1 \right) = \lambda \ h + o(h)$$
 because

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\lambda h \left(e^{-\lambda h} - 1\right)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0} \lambda \left(e^{-\lambda h} - 1\right)$$

- ▶ Now we prove Definition 1 implies Definition 2
- We need to only show point(3) of Definition 2 using point(3) of Definition 1

Let
$$Pr[N(t) = k] = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!}$$

$$Pr[N(h)=1] = \lambda \ h \ e^{-\lambda h} = \lambda \ h + \lambda \ h \left(e^{-\lambda h} - 1\right) = \lambda \ h + o(h)$$
 because

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\lambda h \left(e^{-\lambda h} - 1 \right)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0} \lambda \left(e^{-\lambda h} - 1 \right) = 0$$

- ▶ Now we prove Definition 1 implies Definition 2
- We need to only show point(3) of Definition 2 using point(3) of Definition 1

Let
$$Pr[N(t) = k] = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!}$$

$$Pr[N(h) = 1] = \lambda \ h \ e^{-\lambda h} = \lambda \ h + \lambda \ h \left(e^{-\lambda h} - 1 \right) = \lambda \ h + o(h)$$
 because

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\lambda h \left(e^{-\lambda h} - 1\right)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0} \lambda \left(e^{-\lambda h} - 1\right) = 0$$

• We showed $Pr[N(h) = 1] = \lambda h + o(h)$

 ${\color{red}\blacktriangleright} \ \, \text{Now we need to show} \,\, Pr[N(h) \geq 2] = o(h)$

$$Pr[N(h) \ge 2] = 1 - Pr[N(h) = 0] - Pr[N(h) = 1]$$

$$Pr[N(h) \ge 2] = 1 - Pr[N(h) = 0] - Pr[N(h) = 1]$$

= $1 - e^{-\lambda h} - \lambda h e^{-\lambda h}$

$$Pr[N(h) \ge 2] = 1 - Pr[N(h) = 0] - Pr[N(h) = 1]$$

= $1 - e^{-\lambda h} - \lambda h e^{-\lambda h}$

▶ This goes to zero as h o 0

$$Pr[N(h) \ge 2] = 1 - Pr[N(h) = 0] - Pr[N(h) = 1]$$

= $1 - e^{-\lambda h} - \lambda h e^{-\lambda h}$

- ▶ This goes to zero as $h \to 0$
- ▶ We can use L'Hospital rule

$$Pr[N(h) \ge 2] = 1 - Pr[N(h) = 0] - Pr[N(h) = 1]$$

= $1 - e^{-\lambda h} - \lambda h e^{-\lambda h}$

- ▶ This goes to zero as $h \to 0$
- ▶ We can use L'Hospital rule

$$\lim_{h\to 0}\frac{1-e^{-\lambda h}-\lambda he^{-\lambda h}}{h}=\lim_{h\to 0}\frac{\lambda e^{-\lambda h}-\lambda e^{-\lambda h}+\lambda^2 he^{-\lambda h}}{1}=0$$

$$Pr[N(h) \ge 2] = 1 - Pr[N(h) = 0] - Pr[N(h) = 1]$$

= $1 - e^{-\lambda h} - \lambda h e^{-\lambda h}$

- ▶ This goes to zero as $h \to 0$
- ▶ We can use L'Hospital rule

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda h} - \lambda h e^{-\lambda h}}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\lambda e^{-\lambda h} - \lambda e^{-\lambda h} + \lambda^2 h e^{-\lambda h}}{1} = 0$$

► This completes the proof that Definition 2 implies Definition 1

These two definitions are equivalent

- ▶ **Definition 1** A counting process $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$ is said to be a Poisson process with rate $\lambda > 0$ if
 - 1. N(0) = 0
 - 2. The process has stationary and independent increments
 - 3. $Pr[N(t) = n] = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!}, \ n = 0, 1, \cdots$
- ▶ **Definition 2** A counting process $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$ is said to be a Poisson process with rate $\lambda > 0$ if
 - 1. N(0) = 0
 - 2. The process has stationary and independent increments
 - 3. $Pr[N(h) = 1] = \lambda h + o(h)$ and $Pr[N(h) \ge 2] = o(h)$

▶ Since the process has stationary increments, for $t_2 > t_1$,

$$Pr[N(t_2) - N(t_1) = k]] = Pr[N(t_2 - t_1) - N(0) = k]$$

ightharpoonup Since the process has stationary increments, for $t_2 > t_1$,

$$Pr[N(t_2) - N(t_1) = k]] = Pr[N(t_2 - t_1) - N(0) = k]$$
$$= e^{-\lambda(t_2 - t_1)} \frac{(\lambda(t_2 - t_1))^k}{k!}$$

$$Pr[N(t_2) - N(t_1) = k]] = Pr[N(t_2 - t_1) - N(0) = k]$$
$$= e^{-\lambda(t_2 - t_1)} \frac{(\lambda(t_2 - t_1))^k}{k!}$$

► The first order distribution of the process is: $N(t) \sim \mathsf{Poisson}(\lambda t)$

$$Pr[N(t_2) - N(t_1) = k]] = Pr[N(t_2 - t_1) - N(0) = k]$$
$$= e^{-\lambda(t_2 - t_1)} \frac{(\lambda(t_2 - t_1))^k}{k!}$$

- ► The first order distribution of the process is: $N(t) \sim \mathsf{Poisson}(\lambda t)$
- ► This, along with stationary and independent increments property determines all distributions

$$Pr[N(t_2) - N(t_1) = k]] = Pr[N(t_2 - t_1) - N(0) = k]$$
$$= e^{-\lambda(t_2 - t_1)} \frac{(\lambda(t_2 - t_1))^k}{k!}$$

- ► The first order distribution of the process is: $N(t) \sim \mathsf{Poisson}(\lambda t)$
- ► This, along with stationary and independent increments property determines all distributions

$$Pr[N(t_1) = n_1, N(t_2) = n_2, N(t_3) = n_3]$$

$$= Pr[N(t_1) = n_1] Pr[N(t_2) - N(t_1) = n_2 - n_1]$$

$$Pr[N(t_3) - N(t_2) = n_3 - n_2]$$

$$Pr[N(t_2) - N(t_1) = k]] = Pr[N(t_2 - t_1) - N(0) = k]$$
$$= e^{-\lambda(t_2 - t_1)} \frac{(\lambda(t_2 - t_1))^k}{k!}$$

- ► The first order distribution of the process is: $N(t) \sim \mathsf{Poisson}(\lambda t)$
- ► This, along with stationary and independent increments property determines all distributions

$$Pr[N(t_1) = n_1, N(t_2) = n_2, N(t_3) = n_3]$$

$$= Pr[N(t_1) = n_1] Pr[N(t_2) - N(t_1) = n_2 - n_1]$$

$$Pr[N(t_3) - N(t_2) = n_3 - n_2]$$

$$= Pr[N(t_1) = n_1] Pr[N(t_2 - t_1) = n_2 - n_1] Pr[N(t_3 - t_2) = n_3 - n_2]$$

$$Pr[N(t_2) - N(t_1) = k]] = Pr[N(t_2 - t_1) - N(0) = k]$$

= $e^{-\lambda(t_2 - t_1)} \frac{(\lambda(t_2 - t_1))^k}{k!}$

- ► The first order distribution of the process is: $N(t) \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda t)$
- ► This, along with stationary and independent increments property determines all distributions

$$Pr[N(t_1) = n_1, N(t_2) = n_2, N(t_3) = n_3]$$

$$= Pr[N(t_1) = n_1] Pr[N(t_2) - N(t_1) = n_2 - n_1]$$

$$Pr[N(t_3) - N(t_2) = n_3 - n_2]$$

$$= Pr[N(t_1) = n_1] Pr[N(t_2 - t_1) = n_2 - n_1] Pr[N(t_3 - t_2) = n_3 - n_2]$$

where we assumed $t_1 < t_2 < t_3$

$$\eta_N(t) = E[N(t)] = \lambda t$$

$$\eta_N(t) = E[N(t)] = \lambda t \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{not stationary}$$

$$\eta_N(t) = E[N(t)] = \lambda t \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{not stationary}$$

$$R_N(t_1, t_2) = E[N(t_2)N(t_1)]$$

$$\eta_N(t) = E[N(t)] = \lambda t \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{not stationary}$$

$$R_N(t_1, t_2) = E[N(t_2)N(t_1)]$$

= $E[N(t_1)(N(t_2) - N(t_1) + N(t_1))]$

$$\eta_N(t) = E[N(t)] = \lambda t \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{not stationary}$$

$$R_N(t_1, t_2) = E[N(t_2)N(t_1)]$$

$$= E[N(t_1)(N(t_2) - N(t_1) + N(t_1))]$$

$$= E[N(t_1)(N(t_2) - N(t_1))] + E[N(t_1)^2]$$

$$\eta_N(t) = E[N(t)] = \lambda t \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{not stationary}$$

$$R_{N}(t_{1}, t_{2}) = E[N(t_{2})N(t_{1})]$$

$$= E[N(t_{1})(N(t_{2}) - N(t_{1}) + N(t_{1}))]$$

$$= E[N(t_{1})(N(t_{2}) - N(t_{1}))] + E[N(t_{1})^{2}]$$

$$= E[N(t_{1})] E[N(t_{2}) - N(t_{1})] + E[N(t_{1})^{2}]$$

$$\eta_N(t) = E[N(t)] = \lambda t \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{not stationary}$$

$$R_{N}(t_{1}, t_{2}) = E[N(t_{2})N(t_{1})]$$

$$= E[N(t_{1})(N(t_{2}) - N(t_{1}) + N(t_{1}))]$$

$$= E[N(t_{1})(N(t_{2}) - N(t_{1}))] + E[N(t_{1})^{2}]$$

$$= E[N(t_{1})] E[N(t_{2}) - N(t_{1})] + E[N(t_{1})^{2}]$$

$$= E[N(t_{1})] E[N(t_{2} - t_{1})] + E[N(t_{1})^{2}]$$

$$\eta_N(t) = E[N(t)] = \lambda \ t \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{not stationary}$$

$$R_{N}(t_{1}, t_{2}) = E[N(t_{2})N(t_{1})]$$

$$= E[N(t_{1})(N(t_{2}) - N(t_{1}) + N(t_{1}))]$$

$$= E[N(t_{1})(N(t_{2}) - N(t_{1}))] + E[N(t_{1})^{2}]$$

$$= E[N(t_{1})] E[N(t_{2}) - N(t_{1})] + E[N(t_{1})^{2}]$$

$$= E[N(t_{1})] E[N(t_{2} - t_{1})] + E[N(t_{1})^{2}]$$

$$= \lambda t_{1}(\lambda(t_{2} - t_{1})) + (\lambda t_{1} + \lambda^{2}t_{1}^{2})$$

$$\eta_N(t) = E[N(t)] = \lambda t \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{not stationary}$$

$$R_{N}(t_{1}, t_{2}) = E[N(t_{2})N(t_{1})]$$

$$= E[N(t_{1})(N(t_{2}) - N(t_{1}) + N(t_{1}))]$$

$$= E[N(t_{1})(N(t_{2}) - N(t_{1}))] + E[N(t_{1})^{2}]$$

$$= E[N(t_{1})] E[N(t_{2}) - N(t_{1})] + E[N(t_{1})^{2}]$$

$$= E[N(t_{1})] E[N(t_{2} - t_{1})] + E[N(t_{1})^{2}]$$

$$= \lambda t_{1}(\lambda(t_{2} - t_{1})) + (\lambda t_{1} + \lambda^{2}t_{1}^{2})$$

$$= \lambda t_{1} + \lambda^{2}t_{1}t_{2}$$

$$\eta_N(t) = E[N(t)] = \lambda t \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{not stationary}$$

$$R_{N}(t_{1}, t_{2}) = E[N(t_{2})N(t_{1})]$$

$$= E[N(t_{1})(N(t_{2}) - N(t_{1}) + N(t_{1}))]$$

$$= E[N(t_{1})(N(t_{2}) - N(t_{1}))] + E[N(t_{1})^{2}]$$

$$= E[N(t_{1})] E[N(t_{2}) - N(t_{1})] + E[N(t_{1})^{2}]$$

$$= E[N(t_{1})] E[N(t_{2} - t_{1})] + E[N(t_{1})^{2}]$$

$$= \lambda t_{1}(\lambda(t_{2} - t_{1})) + (\lambda t_{1} + \lambda^{2}t_{1}^{2})$$

$$= \lambda t_{1} + \lambda^{2}t_{1}t_{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow R_N(t_1, t_2) = \lambda^2 t_1 t_2 + \lambda \min(t_1, t_2)$$

Let T_1 denote the time of first event and let T_n denote the time between n^{th} and (n-1)st events.

- Let T_1 denote the time of first event and let T_n denote the time between n^{th} and (n-1)st events.
- ▶ Let $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i$ time of n^{th} event

- Let T_1 denote the time of first event and let T_n denote the time between n^{th} and (n-1)st events.
- ▶ Let $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i$ time of n^{th} event

$$Pr[T_1 > t] = Pr[N(t) = 0]$$

- Let T_1 denote the time of first event and let T_n denote the time between n^{th} and (n-1)st events.
- ▶ Let $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i$ time of n^{th} event

$$Pr[T_1 > t] = Pr[N(t) = 0] = e^{-\lambda t}$$

- Let T_1 denote the time of first event and let T_n denote the time between n^{th} and (n-1)st events.
- ▶ Let $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i$ time of n^{th} event

$$Pr[T_1 > t] = Pr[N(t) = 0] = e^{-\lambda t}$$

- Let T_1 denote the time of first event and let T_n denote the time between n^{th} and (n-1)st events.
- ▶ Let $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i$ time of n^{th} event

$$Pr[T_1 > t] = Pr[N(t) = 0] = e^{-\lambda t}$$

$$Pr[T_2 > t | T_1 = s] = Pr[0 \text{ events in } (s, s+t] | T_1 = s]$$

- Let T_1 denote the time of first event and let T_n denote the time between n^{th} and (n-1)st events.
- Let $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i$ time of n^{th} event

$$Pr[T_1 > t] = Pr[N(t) = 0] = e^{-\lambda t}$$

$$\begin{split} Pr[T_2 > t | T_1 = s] &= Pr[0 \text{ events in } (s, \ s+t] \mid T_1 = s] \\ &= Pr[0 \text{ events in } (s, \ s+t] \,] \end{split}$$

- Let T_1 denote the time of first event and let T_n denote the time between n^{th} and (n-1)st events.
- Let $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i$ time of n^{th} event

$$Pr[T_1 > t] = Pr[N(t) = 0] = e^{-\lambda t}$$

$$Pr[T_2 > t | T_1 = s] = Pr[0 \text{ events in } (s, s+t] | T_1 = s]$$

= $Pr[0 \text{ events in } (s, s+t]] = e^{-\lambda t}$

- Let T_1 denote the time of first event and let T_n denote the time between n^{th} and (n-1)st events.
- ▶ Let $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i$ time of n^{th} event

$$Pr[T_1 > t] = Pr[N(t) = 0] = e^{-\lambda t}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Pr[T_2>t|T_1=s] & = & Pr[0 \;\; \text{events in} \;\; (s,\; s+t] \;|\; T_1=s] \\ & = & Pr[0 \;\; \text{events in} \;\; (s,\; s+t] \;] = e^{-\lambda t} \\ \Rightarrow & Pr[T_2>t] & = & \int Pr[T_2>t|T_1=s] \; f_{T_1}(s) \; ds = e^{-\lambda t} \end{array}$$

- Let T_1 denote the time of first event and let T_n denote the time between n^{th} and (n-1)st events.
- ▶ Let $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i$ time of n^{th} event

$$Pr[T_1 > t] = Pr[N(t) = 0] = e^{-\lambda t}$$

 \Rightarrow $T_1 \sim \text{exponential}(\lambda)$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Pr[T_2>t|T_1=s] & = & Pr[0 \;\; \text{events in} \;\; (s,\; s+t] \;|\; T_1=s] \\ & = & Pr[0 \;\; \text{events in} \;\; (s,\; s+t] \;] = e^{-\lambda t} \\ \Rightarrow & Pr[T_2>t] & = & \int Pr[T_2>t|T_1=s] \; f_{T_1}(s) \; ds = e^{-\lambda t} \end{array}$$

 $ightharpoonup T_n$ are iid exponential with parameter λ

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i$$

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i$$

Since T_i are iid, exponential, S_n is Gamma with parameters n, λ

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i$$

Since T_i are iid, exponential, S_n is Gamma with parameters n, λ

$$Pr[T_1 < s | N(t) = 1]$$

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i$$

Since T_i are iid, exponential, S_n is Gamma with parameters n, λ

$$Pr[T_1 < s | N(t) = 1] = \frac{Pr[T_1 < s, N(t) = 1]}{Pr[N(t) = 1]}$$

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i$$

Since T_i are iid, exponential, S_n is Gamma with parameters n, λ

$$Pr[T_1 < s | N(t) = 1] = \frac{Pr[T_1 < s, N(t) = 1]}{Pr[N(t) = 1]}$$

$$= \frac{Pr[1 \text{ event in } (0, s), 0 \text{ in } [s, t]]}{Pr[N(t) = 1]}$$

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i$$

Since T_i are iid, exponential, S_n is Gamma with parameters n, λ

$$\begin{split} Pr[T_1 < s | N(t) = 1] &= \frac{Pr[T_1 < s, \ N(t) = 1]}{Pr[N(t) = 1]} \\ &= \frac{Pr[1 \text{ event in } (0, \ s), \ 0 \text{ in } [s, \ t]]}{Pr[N(t) = 1]} \\ &= \frac{\lambda s e^{-\lambda s} \ e^{-\lambda (t-s)}}{\lambda t e^{-\lambda t}} \end{split}$$

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i$$

Since T_i are iid, exponential, S_n is Gamma with parameters n, λ

$$\begin{split} Pr[T_1 < s | N(t) = 1] &= \frac{Pr[T_1 < s, \ N(t) = 1]}{Pr[N(t) = 1]} \\ &= \frac{Pr[1 \text{ event in } (0, \ s), \ 0 \text{ in } [s, \ t]]}{Pr[N(t) = 1]} \\ &= \frac{\lambda s e^{-\lambda s} \ e^{-\lambda (t-s)}}{\lambda t e^{-\lambda t}} \\ &= \frac{s}{-t} \end{split}$$

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i$$

Since T_i are iid, exponential, S_n is Gamma with parameters n, λ

▶ Let *s* < *t*.

$$Pr[T_1 < s | N(t) = 1] = \frac{Pr[T_1 < s, N(t) = 1]}{Pr[N(t) = 1]}$$

$$= \frac{Pr[1 \text{ event in } (0, s), 0 \text{ in } [s, t]]}{Pr[N(t) = 1]}$$

$$= \frac{\lambda s e^{-\lambda s} e^{-\lambda (t-s)}}{\lambda t e^{-\lambda t}}$$

$$= \frac{s}{4}$$

▶ Conditioned on N(t) = 1, T_1 is uniform over [0, t]

▶ This can be used, e.g., in simulating Poisson process

- ▶ This can be used, e.g., in simulating Poisson process
- \blacktriangleright We can cut time axis into small intervals of length h.

- ▶ This can be used, e.g., in simulating Poisson process
- \blacktriangleright We can cut time axis into small intervals of length h.
- ▶ In each interval we can decide whether or not there is an event, with prob λh .

- ▶ This can be used, e.g., in simulating Poisson process
- \blacktriangleright We can cut time axis into small intervals of length h.
- ▶ In each interval we can decide whether or not there is an event, with prob λh .
- ▶ If there is an event, we choose its time uniformly in the interval.

- ▶ This can be used, e.g., in simulating Poisson process
- \blacktriangleright We can cut time axis into small intervals of length h.
- ▶ In each interval we can decide whether or not there is an event, with prob λh .
- ▶ If there is an event, we choose its time uniformly in the interval.
- ► Called Bernoulli approximation of Poisson process

- ▶ This can be used, e.g., in simulating Poisson process
- \blacktriangleright We can cut time axis into small intervals of length h.
- ▶ In each interval we can decide whether or not there is an event, with prob λh .
- ► If there is an event, we choose its time uniformly in the interval.
- Called Bernoulli approximation of Poisson process
- ► We could also generate Poisson process by generating independent exponential random variables

$$E[N(4) - N(2)|N(1) = 3] =$$

$$E[N(4) - N(2)|N(1) = 3] = E[N(4) - N(2)]$$

$$E[N(4) - N(2)|N(1) = 3] = E[N(4) - N(2)]$$

= $E[N(2) - 0] = 2\lambda$

We look at a few simple example problems using Poisson process.

$$E[N(4) - N(2)|N(1) = 3] = E[N(4) - N(2)]$$

= $E[N(2) - 0] = 2\lambda$

Another example;

$$E[S_4] =$$

We look at a few simple example problems using Poisson process.

$$E[N(4) - N(2)|N(1) = 3] = E[N(4) - N(2)]$$

= $E[N(2) - 0] = 2\lambda$

Another example;

$$E[S_4] = E\left[\sum_{i=1}^4 T_i\right] = \frac{4}{\lambda}$$

We look at a few simple example problems using Poisson process.

$$E[N(4) - N(2)|N(1) = 3] = E[N(4) - N(2)]$$

= $E[N(2) - 0] = 2\lambda$

Another example;

$$E[S_4] = E\left[\sum_{i=1}^4 T_i\right] = \frac{4}{\lambda}$$

We look at a few simple example problems using Poisson process.

$$E[N(4) - N(2)|N(1) = 3] = E[N(4) - N(2)]$$

= $E[N(2) - 0] = 2\lambda$

Another example;

$$E[S_4] = E\left[\sum_{i=1}^4 T_i\right] = \frac{4}{\lambda}$$

$$Pr[S_3 > t | N(1) = 2]$$

We look at a few simple example problems using Poisson process.

$$E[N(4) - N(2)|N(1) = 3] = E[N(4) - N(2)]$$

= $E[N(2) - 0] = 2\lambda$

Another example;

$$E[S_4] = E\left[\sum_{i=1}^4 T_i\right] = \frac{4}{\lambda}$$

$$Pr[S_3 > t | N(1) = 2] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t < 1 \end{cases}$$



We look at a few simple example problems using Poisson process.

$$E[N(4) - N(2)|N(1) = 3] = E[N(4) - N(2)]$$

= $E[N(2) - 0] = 2\lambda$

Another example;

$$E[S_4] = E\left[\sum_{i=1}^4 T_i\right] = \frac{4}{\lambda}$$

$$Pr[S_3 > t | N(1) = 2] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t < 1 \\ e^{-\lambda(t-1)} & \text{if } t \ge 1 \end{cases}$$

• We can explicitly derive this (taking t > 1)

• We can explicitly derive this (taking t > 1)

$$Pr[S_3 > t | N(1) = 2] = \frac{Pr[S_3 > t, N(1) = 2]}{Pr[N(1) = 2]}$$

$$\begin{split} Pr[S_3 > t | N(1) = 2] &= \frac{Pr[S_3 > t, \ N(1) = 2]}{Pr[N(1) = 2]} \\ &= \frac{Pr[2 \text{ event in } (0, \ 1], \ 0 \text{ in } (1, \ t)]}{Pr[N(1) = 2]} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} Pr[S_3 > t | N(1) = 2] &= \frac{Pr[S_3 > t, \ N(1) = 2]}{Pr[N(1) = 2]} \\ &= \frac{Pr[2 \text{ event in } (0, \ 1], \ 0 \text{ in } (1, \ t)]}{Pr[N(1) = 2]} \\ &= \frac{Pr[2 \text{ event in } (0, \ 1]] \ Pr[0 \text{ in } (1, \ t)]}{Pr[2 \text{ event in } (0, \ 1]]} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} Pr[S_3 > t | N(1) = 2] &= \frac{Pr[S_3 > t, \ N(1) = 2]}{Pr[N(1) = 2]} \\ &= \frac{Pr[2 \text{ event in } (0, \ 1], \ 0 \text{ in } (1, \ t)]}{Pr[N(1) = 2]} \\ &= \frac{Pr[2 \text{ event in } (0, \ 1]] \ Pr[0 \text{ in } (1, \ t)]}{Pr[2 \text{ event in } (0, \ 1]]} \\ &= e^{-\lambda(t-1)} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} Pr[S_3 > t | N(1) = 2] &= \frac{Pr[S_3 > t, \ N(1) = 2]}{Pr[N(1) = 2]} \\ &= \frac{Pr[2 \text{ event in } (0, \ 1], \ 0 \text{ in } (1, \ t)]}{Pr[N(1) = 2]} \\ &= \frac{Pr[2 \text{ event in } (0, \ 1]] \ Pr[0 \text{ in } (1, \ t)]}{Pr[2 \text{ event in } (0, \ 1]]} \\ &= e^{-\lambda(t-1)} \end{split}$$

► Here is another example

$$E[S_4|N(1)=2]$$

$$\begin{split} Pr[S_3 > t | N(1) = 2] &= \frac{Pr[S_3 > t, \ N(1) = 2]}{Pr[N(1) = 2]} \\ &= \frac{Pr[2 \text{ event in } (0, \ 1], \ 0 \text{ in } (1, \ t)]}{Pr[N(1) = 2]} \\ &= \frac{Pr[2 \text{ event in } (0, \ 1]] \ Pr[0 \text{ in } (1, \ t)]}{Pr[2 \text{ event in } (0, \ 1]]} \\ &= e^{-\lambda(t-1)} \end{split}$$

► Here is another example

$$E[S_4|N(1)=2]=1+E[S_2]=1+\frac{2}{\lambda}$$

$$\begin{split} Pr[S_3 > t | N(1) = 2] &= \frac{Pr[S_3 > t, \ N(1) = 2]}{Pr[N(1) = 2]} \\ &= \frac{Pr[2 \text{ event in } (0, \ 1], \ 0 \text{ in } (1, \ t)]}{Pr[N(1) = 2]} \\ &= \frac{Pr[2 \text{ event in } (0, \ 1]] \ Pr[0 \text{ in } (1, \ t)]}{Pr[2 \text{ event in } (0, \ 1]]} \\ &= e^{-\lambda(t-1)} \end{split}$$

Here is another example

$$E[S_4|N(1)=2]=1+E[S_2]=1+\frac{2}{\lambda}$$

Exercise for you: calculate $Pr[S_4 > t | N(1) = 2]$ and use it to find the above expectation

▶ Given a specific T_0 we want to guess which is the last event before T_0 .

- ▶ Given a specific T_0 we want to guess which is the last event before T_0 .
- ▶ Consider a strategy: we will wait till $T_0 \tau$ and pick the next event as the last one before T_0 .

- ▶ Given a specific T_0 we want to guess which is the last event before T_0 .
- ▶ Consider a strategy: we will wait till $T_0 \tau$ and pick the next event as the last one before T_0 .
- ► The probability of winning for this is

- ▶ Given a specific T_0 we want to guess which is the last event before T_0 .
- ▶ Consider a strategy: we will wait till $T_0 \tau$ and pick the next event as the last one before T_0 .
- ▶ The probability of winning for this is

$$Pr[\text{exactly 1 event in } (T_0 - \tau, T_0)]$$

- ▶ Given a specific T_0 we want to guess which is the last event before T_0 .
- ▶ Consider a strategy: we will wait till $T_0 \tau$ and pick the next event as the last one before T_0 .
- ▶ The probability of winning for this is

$$Pr[\text{exactly 1 event in } (T_0 - \tau, T_0)] = \lambda \tau e^{-\lambda \tau}$$

- ▶ Given a specific T_0 we want to guess which is the last event before T_0 .
- ▶ Consider a strategy: we will wait till $T_0 \tau$ and pick the next event as the last one before T_0 .
- ▶ The probability of winning for this is

$$Pr[\text{exactly 1 event in } (T_0 - \tau, T_0)] = \lambda \tau e^{-\lambda \tau}$$

ightharpoonup We pick au to maximize this

- ▶ Given a specific T_0 we want to guess which is the last event before T_0 .
- ▶ Consider a strategy: we will wait till $T_0 \tau$ and pick the next event as the last one before T_0 .
- ▶ The probability of winning for this is

$$Pr[\text{exactly 1 event in } (T_0 - \tau, T_0)] = \lambda \tau e^{-\lambda \tau}$$

 \blacktriangleright We pick τ to maximize this

$$\lambda e^{-\lambda \tau} - \lambda^2 \tau e^{-\lambda \tau} = 0$$

- ▶ Given a specific T_0 we want to guess which is the last event before T_0 .
- ▶ Consider a strategy: we will wait till $T_0 \tau$ and pick the next event as the last one before T_0 .
- The probability of winning for this is

$$Pr[\text{exactly 1 event in } (T_0 - \tau, T_0)] = \lambda \tau e^{-\lambda \tau}$$

 \blacktriangleright We pick τ to maximize this

$$\lambda e^{-\lambda \tau} - \lambda^2 \tau e^{-\lambda \tau} = 0 \implies \tau = \frac{1}{\lambda}$$



- ▶ Given a specific T_0 we want to guess which is the last event before T_0 .
- ▶ Consider a strategy: we will wait till $T_0 \tau$ and pick the next event as the last one before T_0 .
- The probability of winning for this is

$$Pr[\text{exactly 1 event in } (T_0 - \tau, T_0)] = \lambda \tau e^{-\lambda \tau}$$

• We pick τ to maximize this

$$\lambda e^{-\lambda \tau} - \lambda^2 \tau e^{-\lambda \tau} = 0 \implies \tau = \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

Intuitively reasonable because expected inter-arrival time is $\frac{1}{\lambda}$

▶ Let $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$ be a Poisson process with rate λ

- ▶ Let $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$ be a Poisson process with rate λ
- Suppose each event can be one of two types Typ-I or Typ-II

- ▶ Let $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$ be a Poisson process with rate λ
- Suppose each event can be one of two types Typ-I or Typ-II
 - $N_1(t)$ = number of Typ-I events till t

- ▶ Let $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$ be a Poisson process with rate λ
- Suppose each event can be one of two types Typ-I or Typ-II
 - $N_1(t) = \text{number of Typ-I events till } t$
 - $N_2(t) =$ number of Typ-II events till t

- ▶ Let $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$ be a Poisson process with rate λ
- Suppose each event can be one of two types Typ-I or Typ-II
 - $N_1(t)$ = number of Typ-I events till t
 - $N_2(t) =$ number of Typ-II events till t
 - Note that $N(t) = N_1(t) + N_2(t), \forall t$

- ▶ Let $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$ be a Poisson process with rate λ
- Suppose each event can be one of two types Typ-I or Typ-II
 - $N_1(t)$ = number of Typ-I events till t
 - $N_2(t) =$ number of Typ-II events till t
 - Note that $N(t) = N_1(t) + N_2(t), \forall t$
- ▶ Suppose that, independently of everything else, an event is of Typ-I with probability p and Typ-II with probability (1-p)

- ▶ Let $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$ be a Poisson process with rate λ
- Suppose each event can be one of two types Typ-I or Typ-II
 - $N_1(t) =$ number of Typ-I events till t
 - $N_2(t) =$ number of Typ-II events till t
 - ▶ Note that $N(t) = N_1(t) + N_2(t)$, $\forall t$
- ▶ Suppose that, independently of everything else, an event is of Typ-I with probability p and Typ-II with probability (1-p)

Theorem: $\{N_1(t), t \geq 0\}$ and $\{N_2(t), t \geq 0\}$ are Poisson processes with rate λp and $\lambda(1-p)$ respectively, and they are independent

 $Pr[N_1(t) = n, N_2(t) = m]$

$$Pr[N_1(t) = n, N_2(t) = m]$$

$$= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} Pr[N_1(t) = n, N_2(t) = m \mid N(t) = k] Pr[N(t) = k]$$

$$Pr[N_1(t) = n, N_2(t) = m]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} Pr[N_1(t) = n, N_2(t) = m \mid N(t) = k] Pr[N(t) = k]$$

$$\overline{k}$$
= $Pr[N_1(t) = n, N_2(t) = m \mid N(t) = m + n] Pr[N(t) = m + n]$

$$Pr[N_1(t) = n, N_2(t) = m]$$

$$= \sum_{k} Pr[N_1(t) = n, N_2(t) = m \mid N(t) = k] Pr[N(t) = k]$$

$$= Pr[N_1(t) = n, N_2(t) = m \mid N(t) = m + n] Pr[N(t) = m + n]$$

$$= {}^{m+n}C_n p^n (1-p)^m$$

$$Pr[N_1(t) = n, N_2(t) = m]$$

$$= \sum_{k} Pr[N_1(t) = n, N_2(t) = m \mid N(t) = k] Pr[N(t) = k]$$

$$= Pr[N_1(t) = n, N_2(t) = m \mid N(t) = m + n] Pr[N(t) = m + n]$$

$$= {}^{m+n}C_n p^n (1-p)^m e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^{m+n}}{(m+n)!}$$

$$Pr[N_{1}(t) = n, N_{2}(t) = m]$$

$$= \sum_{k} Pr[N_{1}(t) = n, N_{2}(t) = m \mid N(t) = k] Pr[N(t) = k]$$

$$= Pr[N_{1}(t) = n, N_{2}(t) = m \mid N(t) = m + n] Pr[N(t) = m + n]$$

$$= {}^{m+n}C_{n} p^{n} (1-p)^{m} e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^{m+n}}{(m+n)!}$$

$$= \frac{(m+n)!}{m! n!} p^{n} (1-p)^{m} e^{-\lambda (p+1-p)t} \frac{(\lambda t)^{m} (\lambda t)^{n}}{(m+n)!}$$

$$Pr[N_{1}(t) = n, N_{2}(t) = m]$$

$$= \sum_{k} Pr[N_{1}(t) = n, N_{2}(t) = m \mid N(t) = k] Pr[N(t) = k]$$

$$= Pr[N_{1}(t) = n, N_{2}(t) = m \mid N(t) = m + n] Pr[N(t) = m + n]$$

$$= {}^{m+n}C_{n} p^{n} (1-p)^{m} e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^{m+n}}{(m+n)!}$$

$$= \frac{(m+n)!}{m!} p^{n} (1-p)^{m} e^{-\lambda(p+1-p)t} \frac{(\lambda t)^{m} (\lambda t)^{n}}{(m+n)!}$$

$$= \frac{(\lambda pt)^{n}}{n!} e^{-\lambda pt} \frac{(\lambda (1-p)t)^{m}}{m!} e^{-\lambda(1-p)t}$$

$$Pr[N_{1}(t) = n, N_{2}(t) = m]$$

$$= \sum_{k} Pr[N_{1}(t) = n, N_{2}(t) = m \mid N(t) = k] Pr[N(t) = k]$$

$$= Pr[N_{1}(t) = n, N_{2}(t) = m \mid N(t) = m + n] Pr[N(t) = m + n]$$

$$= {}^{m+n}C_{n} p^{n} (1 - p)^{m} e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^{m+n}}{(m+n)!}$$

$$= \frac{(m+n)!}{m!} p^{n} (1 - p)^{m} e^{-\lambda(p+1-p)t} \frac{(\lambda t)^{m} (\lambda t)^{n}}{(m+n)!}$$

$$= \frac{(\lambda pt)^{n}}{n!} e^{-\lambda pt} \frac{(\lambda (1-p)t)^{m}}{m!} e^{-\lambda(1-p)t}$$

▶ This shows that $N_1(t)$ and $N_2(t)$ are independent Poisson

▶ The interesting issue here is that $N_1(t)$ and $N_2(t)$ are independent.

- ▶ The interesting issue here is that $N_1(t)$ and $N_2(t)$ are independent.
- ► Suppose customers arrive at a bank as a Poisson process with rate 12 per hour.

- ▶ The interesting issue here is that $N_1(t)$ and $N_2(t)$ are independent.
- ► Suppose customers arrive at a bank as a Poisson process with rate 12 per hour.
- ► Independently of everything, an arriving customer is male or female with equal probability.

- ▶ The interesting issue here is that $N_1(t)$ and $N_2(t)$ are independent.
- ► Suppose customers arrive at a bank as a Poisson process with rate 12 per hour.
- ► Independently of everything, an arriving customer is male or female with equal probability.
- ▶ Q: Given that on some day 6 male customers came in the first half hour, what is the expected number of female customers in that half hour?

- ▶ The interesting issue here is that $N_1(t)$ and $N_2(t)$ are independent.
- ► Suppose customers arrive at a bank as a Poisson process with rate 12 per hour.
- ► Independently of everything, an arriving customer is male or female with equal probability.
- ▶ Q: Given that on some day 6 male customers came in the first half hour, what is the expected number of female customers in that half hour?
- ► The answer is 3 because the two processes are independent

► The theorem is easily generalized to multiple types for events

- ► The theorem is easily generalized to multiple types for events
- ▶ Consider Poisson process with rate λ

- ► The theorem is easily generalized to multiple types for events
- ▶ Consider Poisson process with rate λ
- ▶ Suppose, independently of everything, an event is Typ-i with probability p_i , $i=1,\cdots K$.

- ► The theorem is easily generalized to multiple types for events
- ▶ Consider Poisson process with rate λ
- ▶ Suppose, independently of everything, an event is Typ-i with probability p_i , $i = 1, \dots K$.
- Note we have $\sum_{i=1}^{K} p_i = 1$

- ➤ The theorem is easily generalized to multiple types for events
- ▶ Consider Poisson process with rate λ
- ▶ Suppose, independently of everything, an event is Typ-i with probability p_i , $i = 1, \dots K$.
- Note we have $\sum_{i=1}^{K} p_i = 1$
- Let $N_i(t)$ be the number of Typ-i customers till t

- ► The theorem is easily generalized to multiple types for events
- ▶ Consider Poisson process with rate λ
- ▶ Suppose, independently of everything, an event is Typ-i with probability p_i , $i=1,\cdots K$.
- Note we have $\sum_{i=1}^{K} p_i = 1$
- Let $N_i(t)$ be the number of Typ-i customers till t
- ▶ Then, these are independent Poisson processes with rates $\lambda p_i, i = 1, \cdots, K$

► Superposition of independent Poisson processes also gives Poisson process.

- ► Superposition of independent Poisson processes also gives Poisson process.
- ▶ If N_1 and N_2 are independent Poisson processes with rates λ_1 and λ_2 then $N(t) = N_1(t) + N_2(t)$ is a Poisson process with rate $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$

- Superposition of independent Poisson processes also gives Poisson process.
- ▶ If N_1 and N_2 are independent Poisson processes with rates λ_1 and λ_2 then $N(t) = N_1(t) + N_2(t)$ is a Poisson process with rate $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$
- ▶ We know that sum of independent Possion rv's is Poisson

▶ Suppose number of radioactive particles emitted is Poisson with rate λ .

- Suppose number of radioactive particles emitted is Poisson with rate λ .
- ▶ We are counting particles using a sensor

- Suppose number of radioactive particles emitted is Poisson with rate λ .
- ▶ We are counting particles using a sensor
- ightharpoonup Suppose (independent of everything) an emitted particle is detected by our sensor with probability p

- ▶ Suppose number of radioactive particles emitted is Poisson with rate λ .
- ▶ We are counting particles using a sensor
- ► Suppose (independent of everything) an emitted particle is detected by our sensor with probability *p*
- ► Given that we detected *K* particles till *t* what is the expected number of particles emitted?

- Suppose number of radioactive particles emitted is Poisson with rate λ .
- ▶ We are counting particles using a sensor
- ► Suppose (independent of everything) an emitted particle is detected by our sensor with probability *p*
- ► Given that we detected *K* particles till *t* what is the expected number of particles emitted?
- ▶ Let these processes be $N(t), N_1(t), N_2(t)$

- Suppose number of radioactive particles emitted is Poisson with rate λ .
- ▶ We are counting particles using a sensor
- ► Suppose (independent of everything) an emitted particle is detected by our sensor with probability *p*
- ► Given that we detected *K* particles till *t* what is the expected number of particles emitted?
- ▶ Let these processes be $N(t), N_1(t), N_2(t)$

$$E[N(t)|N_1(t) = K] = E[N_1(t) + N_2(t)|N_1(t) = K]$$

- Suppose number of radioactive particles emitted is Poisson with rate λ .
- ▶ We are counting particles using a sensor
- ► Suppose (independent of everything) an emitted particle is detected by our sensor with probability *p*
- ► Given that we detected *K* particles till *t* what is the expected number of particles emitted?
- ▶ Let these processes be N(t), $N_1(t)$, $N_2(t)$

$$E[N(t)|N_1(t) = K] = E[N_1(t) + N_2(t)|N_1(t) = K]$$

= $K + E[N_2(t)] = K + \lambda(1-p)t$

- Suppose number of radioactive particles emitted is Poisson with rate λ .
- ▶ We are counting particles using a sensor
- ► Suppose (independent of everything) an emitted particle is detected by our sensor with probability *p*
- ► Given that we detected *K* particles till *t* what is the expected number of particles emitted?
- ▶ Let these processes be $N(t), N_1(t), N_2(t)$

$$E[N(t)|N_1(t) = K] = E[N_1(t) + N_2(t)|N_1(t) = K]$$

= $K + E[N_2(t)] = K + \lambda(1 - p)t$

where we have used independence of N_1 and N_2

▶ There is an interesting generalization of this.

- ▶ There is an interesting generalization of this.
- Events are of different types

- ▶ There is an interesting generalization of this.
- Events are of different types
- ► The type of an event can depend on the time of occurrence but it is independent of everything else.

- ▶ There is an interesting generalization of this.
- Events are of different types
- ► The type of an event can depend on the time of occurrence but it is independent of everything else.
- Suppose an event occurring at time t is Typ-i with probability $p_i(t)$.

- ▶ There is an interesting generalization of this.
- Events are of different types
- ► The type of an event can depend on the time of occurrence but it is independent of everything else.
- Suppose an event occurring at time t is Typ-i with probability $p_i(t)$.
- $p_i(t) \ge 0$, $\forall i, t$ and $\sum_{i=1}^K p_i(t) = 1$, $\forall t$

- ▶ There is an interesting generalization of this.
- Events are of different types
- ► The type of an event can depend on the time of occurrence but it is independent of everything else.
- Suppose an event occurring at time t is Typ-i with probability $p_i(t)$.
- $ightharpoonup p_i(t) \ge 0, \ \forall i, t \ \text{and} \ \sum_{i=1}^K p_i(t) = 1, \ \forall t$
- $ightharpoonup N_i(t)$ is the number of Typ-i events till t

- ▶ There is an interesting generalization of this.
- Events are of different types
- ► The type of an event can depend on the time of occurrence but it is independent of everything else.
- ▶ Suppose an event occurring at time t is Typ-i with probability $p_i(t)$.
- $ightharpoonup p_i(t) \ge 0, \ \forall i, t \ \text{and} \ \sum_{i=1}^K p_i(t) = 1, \ \forall t$
- $ightharpoonup N_i(t)$ is the number of Typ-i events till t

Theorem; Then, at any t, $N_i(t)$, $i = 1, \dots K$ are independent Poisson random variables with

$$E[N_i(t)] = \lambda \int_0^t p_i(s) ds$$

▶ We use a simple model

- We use a simple model
- lacktriangle Individuals get infected as a Poisson process with rate λ

- ▶ We use a simple model
- ▶ Individuals get infected as a Poisson process with rate λ
- ightharpoonup Time between getting infected and showing symptoms is a random variable with known distribution function G

- We use a simple model
- lacktriangle Individuals get infected as a Poisson process with rate λ
- ▶ Time between getting infected and showing symptoms is a random variable with known distribution function G An individual infected at s would show symptoms by t with probability G(t-s)

- We use a simple model
- lacktriangle Individuals get infected as a Poisson process with rate λ
- ▶ Time between getting infected and showing symptoms is a random variable with known distribution function G An individual infected at s would show symptoms by t with probability G(t-s)
- The incubation times of different infected individuals are iid

- We use a simple model
- lacktriangle Individuals get infected as a Poisson process with rate λ
- ▶ Time between getting infected and showing symptoms is a random variable with known distribution function G An individual infected at s would show symptoms by t with probability G(t-s)
- ► The incubation times of different infected individuals are iid
- Define

- We use a simple model
- ▶ Individuals get infected as a Poisson process with rate λ
- ▶ Time between getting infected and showing symptoms is a random variable with known distribution function G An individual infected at s would show symptoms by t with probability G(t-s)
- The incubation times of different infected individuals are iid
- Define
 - $lackbox{N}(t)$ total number infected till t

- ▶ We use a simple model
- lacktriangle Individuals get infected as a Poisson process with rate λ
- ▶ Time between getting infected and showing symptoms is a random variable with known distribution function G An individual infected at s would show symptoms by t with probability G(t-s)
- The incubation times of different infected individuals are iid
- Define
 - ightharpoonup N(t) total number infected till t
 - $N_1(t)$ number showing symptoms by t

- ▶ We use a simple model
- lacktriangle Individuals get infected as a Poisson process with rate λ
- ▶ Time between getting infected and showing symptoms is a random variable with known distribution function G An individual infected at s would show symptoms by t with probability G(t-s)
- The incubation times of different infected individuals are iid
- Define
 - ightharpoonup N(t) total number infected till t
 - $N_1(t)$ number showing symptoms by t
 - $N_2(t)$ infected by t but not showing symptoms

lacktriangle Define two types of events. We take t as current time and treat it as fixed

- ▶ Define two types of events. We take t as current time and treat it as fixed
 - An event occurring at s is Typ-1 with probability G(t-s)

- ▶ Define two types of events. We take t as current time and treat it as fixed
 - An event occurring at s is Typ-1 with probability G(t-s)
 - It is Typ-2 with probability 1 G(t s)

- ▶ Define two types of events. We take t as current time and treat it as fixed
 - An event occurring at s is Typ-1 with probability G(t-s)
 - ▶ It is Typ-2 with probability 1 G(t s)
- \blacktriangleright Then, Typ-1 individuals are those showing symptoms by t

- ▶ Define two types of events. We take t as current time and treat it as fixed
 - An event occurring at s is Typ-1 with probability G(t-s)
 - ▶ It is Typ-2 with probability 1 G(t s)
- \blacktriangleright Then, Typ-1 individuals are those showing symptoms by t
- From our theorem,

- ▶ Define two types of events. We take t as current time and treat it as fixed
 - An event occurring at s is Typ-1 with probability G(t-s)
 - ▶ It is Typ-2 with probability 1 G(t s)
- \triangleright Then, Typ-1 individuals are those showing symptoms by t
- From our theorem.

$$E[N_1(t)] = \lambda \int_0^t G(t-s) \ ds = \lambda \int_0^t G(y) \ dy$$

- Define two types of events. We take t as current time and treat it as fixed
 - An event occurring at s is Typ-1 with probability G(t-s)
 - ▶ It is Typ-2 with probability 1 G(t s)
- \triangleright Then, Typ-1 individuals are those showing symptoms by t
- From our theorem.

$$E[N_1(t)] = \lambda \int_0^t G(t-s) \ ds = \lambda \int_0^t G(y) \ dy$$

$$E[N_2(t)] = \lambda \int_0^t (1 - G(t - s)) ds = \lambda \int_0^t (1 - G(y)) dy$$

ightharpoonup Suppose we have n_1 people showing symptoms at t

- ightharpoonup Suppose we have n_1 people showing symptoms at t
- ▶ We can approximate

$$n_1 \approx E[N_1(t)] = \lambda \int_0^t G(y) \ dy$$

- ightharpoonup Suppose we have n_1 people showing symptoms at t
- ▶ We can approximate

$$n_1 \approx E[N_1(t)] = \lambda \int_0^t G(y) \ dy$$

▶ Hence we can estimate

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{n_1}{\int_0^t G(y) \ dy}$$

- ightharpoonup Suppose we have n_1 people showing symptoms at t
- ▶ We can approximate

$$n_1 \approx E[N_1(t)] = \lambda \int_0^t G(y) dy$$

Hence we can estimate

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{n_1}{\int_0^t G(y) \ dy}$$

Using this we can approximate

$$E[N_2(t)] \approx \hat{\lambda} \int_0^t (1 - G(y)) dy$$

► The Poisson process we considered is called homogeneous because the rate is constant.

- ► The Poisson process we considered is called homogeneous because the rate is constant.
- ► For a non-homogeneous Poisson process the rate can be changing with time.

- ► The Poisson process we considered is called homogeneous because the rate is constant.
- ► For a non-homogeneous Poisson process the rate can be changing with time.
- ▶ But we can still use a definition similar to definition 2

$$Pr[N(t+h) - N(t) = 1] = \lambda(t)h + o(h)$$

- ► The Poisson process we considered is called homogeneous because the rate is constant.
- ► For a non-homogeneous Poisson process the rate can be changing with time.
- ▶ But we can still use a definition similar to definition 2

$$Pr[N(t+h) - N(t) = 1] = \lambda(t)h + o(h)$$

 We still stipulate independent increments though we cannot have stationary increments now

- ► The Poisson process we considered is called homogeneous because the rate is constant.
- ► For a non-homogeneous Poisson process the rate can be changing with time.
- ▶ But we can still use a definition similar to definition 2

$$Pr[N(t+h) - N(t) = 1] = \lambda(t)h + o(h)$$

- ► We still stipulate independent increments though we cannot have stationary increments now
- One can show that N(t+s)-N(t) is Poisson with parameter m(t+s)-m(t) where $m(\tau)=\int_0^{\tau}\lambda(s)\;ds$

- ► The Poisson process we considered is called homogeneous because the rate is constant.
- ► For a non-homogeneous Poisson process the rate can be changing with time.
- ▶ But we can still use a definition similar to definition 2

$$Pr[N(t+h) - N(t) = 1] = \lambda(t)h + o(h)$$

- ► We still stipulate independent increments though we cannot have stationary increments now
- ▶ One can show that N(t+s) N(t) is Poisson with parameter m(t+s) m(t) where $m(\tau) = \int_0^{\tau} \lambda(s) \ ds$
- ▶ Suppose Y_i are iid and ind of N(t). Then

$$X(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} Y_i$$

is called a compound Poisson process