

## Instructions

This assignment is to be completed and uploaded as a python3 notebook.

This problem set covers the following topics:

- Basics of algorithms: correctness and running time complexity.
- Time Complexity:  $O$ , big-Omega and big-Theta Notations.
- Proving Correctness of Algorithms through Inductive Invariants.
- Merge Sort: Proving Correctness.

### Important Note

Although this is a programming assignment, we have asked you to work on the "design" and provided opportunities for you to analyze your solution and describe your design. **However, those parts will not be graded.** You are welcome to compare your answers against our solutions once you have completed the assignments. Our solutions are provided at the very end.

## Problem 1: Find Crossover Indices.

You are given data that consists of points  $(x_0, y_0), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$ , wherein  $x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n$ , and  $y_0 < y_1 < \dots < y_n$  as well.

Furthermore, it is given that  $y_0 < x_0$  and  $y_n > x_n$ .

Find a "cross-over" index  $i$  between 0 and  $n - 1$  such that  $y_i \leq x_i$  and  $y_{i+1} > x_{i+1}$ .

Note that such an index must always exist (convince yourself of this fact before we proceed).

### Example

$i$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
$x_i$	0	2	4	5	6	7	8	10
$y_i$	-2	0	2	4	7	8	10	12

Your algorithm must find the index  $i = 3$  as the crossover point.

On the other hand, consider the data

$i$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
$x_i$	0	1	4	5	6	7	8	10
$y_i$	-2	1.5	2	4	7	8	10	12

We have two cross over points. Your algorithm may output either  $i = 0$  or  $i = 3$ .

**(A)** Design an algorithm to find an index  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$  such that  $x_i \geq y_i$  but  $x_{i+1} < y_{i+1}$ .

Describe your algorithm using python code for a function *findCrossoverIndexHelper*(x, y, left, right)

- x is a list of x values sorted in increasing order.
- y is a list of y values sorted in increasing order.
- x and y are lists of same size ( n ).
- left and right are indices that represent the current search region in the list such that  $0 \leq \text{left} < \text{right} \leq n$

Your solution must use *recursion*.

**Hint:** Modify the binary search algorithm we presented in class.

```
In [19]: #First write a "helper" function with two extra parameters
# left, right that describes the search region as shown below
def findCrossoverIndexHelper(x, y, left, right):
    # Note: Output index i such that
    #         left <= i <= right
    #         x[i] <= y[i]
    # First, Write down our invariants as assertions here
    assert(len(x) == len(y))
    assert(left >= 0)
    assert(left <= right-1)
    assert(right < len(x))
    # Here is the key property we would like to maintain.
    assert(x[left] > y[left])
    assert(x[right] < y[right])
    # your code here
    mid = (left+right)//2;
    if y[mid]<=x[mid] and y[mid+1]>x[mid+1]:
        return mid
    elif y[mid]>x[mid]:
        return findCrossoverIndexHelper(x,y, left, mid)
    else:
        return findCrossoverIndexHelper(x,y, mid, right)
```

```
In [20]: #Define the function findCrossoverIndex that wil
# call the helper function findCrossoverIndexHelper
def findCrossoverIndex(x, y):
    assert(len(x) == len(y))
    assert(x[0] > y[0])
    n = len(x)
    assert(x[n-1] < y[n-1]) # Note: this automatically ensures n >= 2
    # your code here
    return findCrossoverIndexHelper(x,y,0,n-1)
```

```
In [21]: # BEGIN TEST CASES
j1 = findCrossoverIndex([0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7], [-2, 0, 4, 5, 6, 7,
print('j1 = %d' % j1)
assert j1 == 1, "Test Case # 1 Failed"

j2 = findCrossoverIndex([0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7], [-2, 0, 4, 4.2, 4.3,
print('j2 = %d' % j2)
assert j2 == 1 or j2 == 5, "Test Case # 2 Failed"

j3 = findCrossoverIndex([0, 1], [-10, 10])
print('j3 = %d' % j3)
assert j3 == 0, "Test Case # 3 failed"

j4 = findCrossoverIndex([0,1, 2, 3], [-10, -9, -8, 5])
print('j4 = %d' % j4)
assert j4 == 2, "Test Case # 4 failed"

print('Congratulations: all test cases passed - 10 points')
#END TEST CASES
```

```
j1 = 1
```

```
j2 = 1
```

```
j3 = 0
```

```
j4 = 2
```

```
Congratulations: all test cases passed - 10 points
```

**(B, 0 points)** What is the running time of your algorithm above as a function of the input array size  $n$ ?

**This portion is not graded. You are encouraged to answer it as part of your programming assignment however**

YOUR ANSWER HERE

## Problem 2 (Find integer cube root.)

The integer cube root of a positive number  $n$  is the smallest number  $i$  such that  $i^3 \leq n$  but  $(i + 1)^3 > n$ .

For instance, the integer cube root of 100 is 4 since  $4^3 \leq 100$  but  $5^3 > 100$ . Likewise, the integer cube root of 1000 is 10.

Write a function `integerCubeRootHelper(n, left, right)` that searches for the integer cube-root of  $n$  between `left` and `right` given the following pre-conditions:

- $n \geq 1$
- $\text{left} < \text{right}$ .
- $\text{left}^3 < n$
- $\text{right}^3 > n$ .

```
In [48]: def integerCubeRootHelper(n, left, right):
    cube = lambda x: x * x * x # anonymous function to cube a number
    assert(n >= 1)
    assert(left < right)
    assert(left >= 0)
    assert(right < n)
    assert(cube(left) < n), f'{left}, {right}'
    assert(cube(right) > n), f'{left}, {right}'

    # your code here
    mid = (left+right)//2
    if(cube(mid)<=n and cube(mid+1)>n):
        return mid
    if(cube(mid)>n):
        return integerCubeRootHelper(n, left, mid)
    return integerCubeRootHelper(n, mid, right)
```

```
In [49]: # Write down the main function
def integerCubeRoot(n):
    assert( n > 0)
    if (n == 1):
        return 1
    if (n == 2):
        return 1
    return integerCubeRootHelper(n, 0, n-1)
```

```
In [50]: assert(integerCubeRoot(1) == 1)
assert(integerCubeRoot(2) == 1)
assert(integerCubeRoot(4) == 1)
assert(integerCubeRoot(7) == 1)
assert(integerCubeRoot(8) == 2)
assert(integerCubeRoot(20) == 2)
assert(integerCubeRoot(26) == 2)
for j in range(27, 64):
    assert(integerCubeRoot(j) == 3)
for j in range(64,125):
    assert(integerCubeRoot(j) == 4)
for j in range(125, 216):
    assert(integerCubeRoot(j) == 5)
for j in range(216, 343):
    assert(integerCubeRoot(j) == 6)
for j in range(343, 512):
    assert(integerCubeRoot(j) == 7)
print('Congrats: All tests passed! (10 points)')
```

Congrats: All tests passed! (10 points)

### (B, 0 points)

The inductive invariant for the function `integerCubeRootHelper(n, left, right)` that ensures that the overall algorithm for finding the integer cube root is correct is :

$$\text{left}^3 < n \text{ and } \text{right}^3 > n$$

Use the inductive invariant to establish that the integer cube root of  $n$  (the final answer we seek) must lie between `left` and `right` .

In other words, let  $j$  be the integer cube root of  $n$ .

Prove using the inductive invariant and property of the integer cube root  $j$  that:

$$\text{left} \leq j < \text{right}$$

**Note that this part is not graded. You are encouraged to answer it for your own understanding.**

YOUR ANSWER HERE

### (C, 0 points)

Prove that your solution for `integerCubeRootHelper` maintains the overall inductive invariant from part (B). I.e, if the function were called with

$$0 \leq \text{left} < \text{right} < n, \text{ and } \text{left}^3 < n \text{ and } \text{right}^3 > n.$$

Any subsequent recursive calls will have their arguments that also satisfy this property. Model your answer based on the lecture notes for binary search problem provided.

**Note that this part is not graded. You are encouraged to answer it for your own understanding.**

YOUR ANSWER HERE

## Problem 3 (Develop Multiway Merge Algorithm, 15 points).

We studied the problem of merging 2 sorted lists `lst1` and `lst2` into a single sorted list in time  $\Theta(m + n)$  where  $m$  is the size of `lst1` and  $n$  is the size of `lst2`. Let `twoWayMerge(lst1, lst2)` represent the python function that returns the merged result using the approach presented in class.

In this problem, we will explore algorithms for merging  $k$  different sorted lists, usually represented as a list of sorted lists into a single list.

### (A, 0 points)

Suppose we have  $k$  lists that we will represent as `lists[0]`, `lists[1]`, ..., `lists[k-1]` for convenience and the size of these lists are all assumed to be the same value  $n$ .

We wish to solve multiway merge by merging two lists at a time:

```
mergedList = lists[0] # start with list 0
for i = 1, ... k-1 do
    mergedList = twoWayMerge(mergedList, lists[i])
return mergedList
```

Knowing the running time of the `twoWayMerge` algorithm as mentioned above, what is the overall running time of the algorithm in terms of  $n, k$ .

**Note that this part is not graded. You are encouraged to answer it for your own understanding.**

## YOUR ANSWER HERE

**(B)** Implement an algorithm that will implement the  $k$  way merge by calling `twoWayMerge` repeatedly as follows:

1. Call `twoWayMerge` on consecutive pairs of lists `twoWayMerge(lists[0], lists[1]), ..., twoWayMerge(lists[k-2], lists[k-1])` (assume  $k$  is even).
2. Thus, we create a new list of lists of size  $k/2$ .
3. Repeat steps 1, 2 until we have a single list left.

```
In [ ]: def twoWayMerge(lst1, lst2):
        # Implement the two way merge algorithm on
        #         two ascending order sorted lists
        # return a fresh ascending order sorted list that
        #         merges lst1 and lst2
        # your code here
```

```
In [ ]: # given a list_of_lists as input,
        # if list_of_lists has 2 or more lists,
        #     compute 2 way merge on elements i, i+1 for i = 0, 2, ...
        # return new list of lists after the merge
        # Handle the case when the list size is odd carefully.
def oneStepKWayMerge(list_of_lists):
    if (len(list_of_lists) <= 1):
        return list_of_lists
    ret_list_of_lists = []
    k = len(list_of_lists)
    for i in range(0, k, 2):
        if (i < k-1):
            ret_list_of_lists.append(twoWayMerge(list_of_lists[i], list_of_lists[i+1]))
        else:
            ret_list_of_lists.append(list_of_lists[k-1])
    return ret_list_of_lists
```

```
In [ ]: # Given a list of lists wherein each
        # element of list_of_lists is sorted in ascending order,
        # use the oneStepKWayMerge function repeatedly to merge them.
        # Return a single merged list that is sorted in ascending order.
def kWayMerge(list_of_lists):
    k = len(list_of_lists)
    if k == 1:
        return list_of_lists[0]
    else:
        new_list_of_lists = oneStepKWayMerge(list_of_lists)
        return kWayMerge(new_list_of_lists)
```

```
In [ ]: # BEGIN TESTS
lst1= kWayMerge([[1,2,3], [4,5,7], [-2,0,6], [5]])
assert lst1 == [-2, 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 5, 6, 7], "Test 1 failed"

lst2 = kWayMerge([[-2, 4, 5, 8], [0, 1, 2], [-1, 3, 6, 7]])
assert lst2 == [-2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8], "Test 2 failed"

lst3 = kWayMerge([[-1, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5]])
assert lst3 == [-1, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5], "Test 3 Failed"

print('All Tests Passed = 15 points')
#END TESTS
```

### (C, 0 points)

What is the overall running time of the algorithm in (B) as a function of  $n$  and  $k$ ?

**Note that this part is not graded. You are encouraged to answer it for your own understanding.**

YOUR ANSWER HERE

## Solutions to the Conceptual (Non Coding) Questions

### Problem 1B

Note that the running time of *findCrossOverIndexHelper* for inputs  $x, y$  of size  $n$  is  $\Theta(\log(n))$ . This is because, each iteration of the algorithm halves the search region and the algorithm terminates when the search region has size 2. This requires at most  $\Theta(\log(n))$  iterations by the same argument as that presented for binary search in the lecture video.

### Problem 2B

**The reason we can conclude  $\text{left} \leq j < \text{right}$  is :**

We note that since  $j$  is assumed to be integer cube root of  $n$ , we have  $j^3 \leq n$  and  $(j+1)^3 > n$ . We have  $\text{left} < j+1$  and likewise  $\text{right} > j$ . Therefore,  $\text{left} \leq j < \text{right}$ .

### Problem 2C

```
mid = (left + right)//2
if (cube(mid) <= n and cube(mid+1) > n):
    return mid
elif (cube(mid) > n):
    return integerCubeRootHelper(n, left, mid) # Call 1
else:
    return integerCubeRootHelper(n, mid, right) # Call 2
```

If Call 1 happens, we note that  $\text{cube}(\text{mid}) > n$ . However,  $\text{cube}(\text{left}) < n$  is already true since the value of `left` is unchanged. Thus Call 1 satisfies the invariant.

Note that Call 2 will satisfy the property because  $\text{cube}(\text{right}) > n$  and the call will only happen if  $\text{cube}(\text{mid}+1) \leq n$ . This implies that  $\text{cube}(\text{mid}) < n$ . Therefore, we conclude that Call 2 will satisfy the invariant, as well.

### Problem 3A

The overall running time is  $\Theta(n \times ((k - 1) + \dots + 1)) = \Theta(n \times k^2)$

### Problem 3C

At iteration  $i$ , the list of lists has size  $k/2^{i-1}$  with each element of size  $n \times 2^{i-1}$ . The number of merge operations is  $k/2^i$  with each merge operation taking  $n \times 2^i$  time. The overall work done at the  $i^{\text{th}}$  iteration remains  $k \times n$ . There are  $\Theta(\log(k))$  iterations in all. Therefore, the overall complexity is  $\Theta(nk \log(k))$ .

## That's All Folks!