1. Classification Evaluation Metrics

When working with classification models (where the output is categorical), we want to measure how well our model predicts the correct class labels. Here are some popular metrics:

a. Accuracy

- **Definition**: The proportion of correctly predicted instances out of the total instances.
- Formula:

$$Accuracy = \frac{Number \ of \ Correct \ Predictions}{Total \ Number \ of \ Predictions}$$

- **Use Case**: Useful when the dataset is balanced (i.e., when each class has a similar number of samples).
- **Limitation**: Can be misleading if the dataset is imbalanced (e.g., 95% of the data is class A, and only 5% is class B).

b. Precision

- Definition: The proportion of true positive predictions among all predicted positives.
- Formula:

$$\operatorname{Precision} = rac{\operatorname{True\ Positives}}{\operatorname{True\ Positives} + \operatorname{False\ Positives}}$$

• **Use Case**: Important when **false positives** are costly (e.g., in spam detection where mistakenly marking a legitimate email as spam is undesirable).

c. Recall (Sensitivity or True Positive Rate)

- **Definition**: The proportion of actual positives that were correctly predicted.
- Formula:

$$ext{Recall} = rac{ ext{True Positives}}{ ext{True Positives} + ext{False Negatives}}$$

• Use Case: Useful when false negatives are costly (e.g., in medical diagnosis where missing a positive case is dangerous).

d. F1-Score

- **Definition**: The harmonic mean of precision and recall. It provides a balance between the two.
- Formula:

$$F1 = 2 imes rac{ ext{Precision} imes ext{Recall}}{ ext{Precision} + ext{Recall}}$$

• **Use Case**: Useful when you need a balance between precision and recall, especially with imbalanced datasets.

e. Confusion Matrix

- **Definition**: A table that summarizes the number of true positives, true negatives, false positives, and false negatives.
 - o **True Positives (TP)**: Correctly predicted positive cases.
 - o **True Negatives (TN)**: Correctly predicted negative cases.
 - o **False Positives (FP)**: Incorrectly predicted positive cases (Type I error).
 - False Negatives (FN): Incorrectly predicted negative cases (Type II error).
- **Use Case**: Provides a detailed breakdown of classification performance beyond simple accuracy.

f. ROC-AUC (Receiver Operating Characteristic - Area Under Curve)

- Definition: Measures the model's ability to distinguish between classes. It plots the True
 Positive Rate (Recall) against the False Positive Rate.
- AUC (Area Under the Curve): A score of 1.0 indicates perfect classification, while 0.5 indicates random guessing.
- Use Case: Good for comparing models and understanding their performance at various classification thresholds.

2. Regression Evaluation Metrics

For regression models (where the output is a continuous numerical value), these metrics evaluate how closely the predicted values match the actual values.

a. Mean Absolute Error (MAE)

- Definition: The average absolute difference between the predicted and actual values.
- Formula:

$$ext{MAE} = rac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |y_i - \hat{y}_i|$$

• Use Case: Simple to understand and interpret; less sensitive to outliers than other metrics.

b. Mean Squared Error (MSE)

- **Definition**: The average squared difference between the predicted and actual values.
- Formula:

$$ext{MSE} = rac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

- Use Case: Commonly used because it penalizes larger errors more heavily than MAE.
- **Limitation**: Sensitive to outliers due to squaring the errors.

c. Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE)

- **Definition**: The square root of the Mean Squared Error, giving an error measure in the same units as the target variable.
- Formula:

$$\text{RMSE} = \sqrt{\text{MSE}}$$

• Use Case: Useful when you want to interpret the error in the original scale of the data.

d. R² Score (Coefficient of Determination)

- **Definition**: Measures the proportion of variance in the target variable explained by the model.
- Formula:

$$R^2 = 1 - rac{\sum (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}{\sum (y_i - ar{y})^2}$$

- y_i: Actual value
- \hat{y}_i : Predicted value
- \bar{y} : Mean of the actual values
- Use Case: Ranges from 0 to 1, where a value closer to 1 indicates better performance.
- **Limitation**: Can be misleading when used with non-linear models.

3. Clustering Evaluation Metrics

For clustering models (used in unsupervised learning where there are no predefined labels), we need metrics that measure the quality of the clusters formed.

a. Silhouette Score

- **Definition**: Measures how similar an object is to its own cluster (cohesion) compared to other clusters (separation).
- Formula: Ranges from -1 to +1, where a higher value indicates well-separated clusters.
- Use Case: Useful to determine the optimal number of clusters.

etric curacy, F1-Score, ROC-AUC	Best For Balanced datasets, binary classification
curacy, F1-Score, ROC-AUC	Ralanced datasets hipany descrification
,,	balanced datasets, binary classification
ecision, Recall	Imbalanced datasets (focus on false positives/negatives)
AE, MSE, RMSE, R ² Score	Continuous numerical predictions
houette Score, ARI	Unsupervised learning with clustering algorithms
Α	E, MSE, RMSE, R ² Score