
The Most Instructive Games of Chess Ever Played

62 Masterpieces of Chess Strategy



Irving Chernev



The Most Instructive Games of Chess Ever Played: 62 Masterpieces of Chess Strategy

IRVING CHERNEV

DOVER PUBLICATIONS, INC.

NEW YORK

Copyright © 1965 by Irving Chernev.

All rights reserved under Pan American and International Copyright Conventions.

Published in Canada by General Publishing Company, Ltd., 30 Lesmill Road, Don Mills, Toronto, Ontario.

Published in the United Kingdom by Constable and Company, Ltd., 3 The Lanchesters, 162-164 Fulham Palace Road, London W6 9ER.

This Dover edition, first published in 1992, is an unabridged and unaltered republication of the work first published by Simon & Schuster, New York, in 1965.

Manufactured in the United States of America Dover Publications, Inc., 31 East 2nd Street, Mineola, N.Y. 11501

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Cherney, Irving, 1900-1981

The most instructive games of chess ever played: 62 masterpieces of chess strategy / Irving Chernev.

p. cm.

Originally published: New York: Simon and Schuster, 1965. Includes index.

ISBN 0-486-27302-4

1. Chess—Collections of games. I. Title.

GV1452.C49 1992

794.1'5-dc20

92-25679 CIP

To My Dear Wife

Chess, like love, is infectious at any age-Flohr

Table of Contents

1.	Rook on the Seventh Rank Capablanca-Tartakover, New York 1924	17
2.	The King Is a Strong Piece Tal-Lissitzin, Leningrad 1956	21
3.	Knight Outpost at Q5 Boleslavsky-Lissitzin, Moscow 1956	26
4.	Aggressive Rook in the Ending Tarrasch-Thorold, Manchester 1890	29
5.	The Passed Pawn Rubinstein-Duras, Vienna 1908	34
6.	Weak Pawns, Weak Squares and Mighty, Mighty Knights Mattison-Nimzovich, Carlsbad 1929	37
7.	Finesse in the Ending Domenech-Flohr, Rosas 1935	40
8.	Phalanx of Pawns Petrosian-Kozali, Montevideo 1954	45
9.	Passed Pawn's Lust to Expand Fischer-Berliner, New York 1960	48
10.	Rook and Pawn Ending Smyslov-Reshevsky, Moscow 1948	52
11.	King in the Center Tartakover-Frentz, Paris 1933	58
	,	
12.	The Shifting Attack Reshevsky-Najdorf, Dallas 1957	61
	The Shifting Attack	61 64
13.	The Shifting Attack Reshevsky-Najdorf, Dallas 1957 Every Move a Threat!	
13. 14.	The Shifting Attack Reshevsky-Najdorf, Dallas 1957 Every Move a Threat! Porges-Lasker, Nuremberg 1896 A Touch of Jujitsu	64

17.	The Art of Exchanging Pieces Menchik-Capablanca, Hastings 1931	77
18.	The Isolated Pawn Lasker-Tarrasch, St. Petersburg 1914	81
19.	The See-Saw Check, Zugzwang, and Other Tactical Tricks Kupferstich-Andreassen, Denmark 1953	85
20.	The Two Bishops Rosenthal-Steinitz, Vienna 1873	88
21.	Variety of Themes Tartakover-Domenech, Sitges 1934	91
22.	Systematic Strangulation Tarrasch-Showalter, Vienna 1898	95
23.	Good Bishop and Bad Bishop Barden-Rossolimo, Hastings 1950	100
24.	Coup de Grâce Alekhine-Yates, London 1922	104
25.	The Powerful Passed Pawns Aganalian-Petrosian, Tiflis 1945	109
26.	Bishop and a Half Bondarevsky-Smyslov, Moscow 1946	112
27.	Prophetic Strategy Tarrasch-Mieses, Schottlander-Paulsen, von Bardeleben- Riemann, von Scheve-von Gottschall, Leipzig 1888	116
28.	Problem-like Finale Foltys-Golombek, London 1947	120
29.	A Pawn Is a Pawn Is a Pawn Kashdan-Steiner, Pasadena 1932	123
30.	Board with Excitement Keres-Tarnowski, Helsinki 1952	128
31.	Elegant Simplification Botvinnik-Boleslavsky, Moscow 1941	132
32.	Four Endings in One Blackburne-Weiss, New York 1889	137

33.	Bishop and Pawn Ending Deluxe Weiss-Blackburne, New York 1889	142
34.	Brilliant Career of a Pawn Panov-Taimanov, Moscow 1952	145
35.	Dispatching the King's Musketeers Petrosian-Smyslov, Moscow 1961	150
36.	The Sheltering Pawn Schlage-Réti, Berlin 1928	153
37.	The Power of Position Play Capablanca-Germann, Miller, Skillicorn, London 1920	156
38.	That Old Black Magic Bernstein-Mieses, Coburg 1904	160
39.	The Singular Strategy of Steinitz Steinitz-Sellman, Baltimore 1885	166
40.	The Odyssey of an Isolated Pawn Burn-Znosko-Borovsky, Ostend 1906	171
41.	Zugzwang, the Invincible Weapon Marshall-Lasker, New York 1907	175
42.	Symphony of Combinations Eliskases-Grunfeld, Mahrisch-Ostrau 1933	180
43.	Escorting the Potential Queen Schlechter-Mason, Monte Carlo 1903	185
44.	The Pillsbury Bind Chekhover-Rudakovsky, Moscow 1945	189
45.	The Galloping Knight Tarrasch-Vogel, Nuremberg 1910	195
46.	The Roving Rook Pillsbury-Showalter, Brooklyn 1897	199
4 7.	Web of Black Squares Schlechter-John, Barmen 1905	204
48.	Endgame Arithmetic Mieses-Reshevsky, Margate 1935	209
49.	In the Grand Manner Janowsky-Capablanca, New York 1916	213

_		
50.	March of the Little Pawns Pillsbury-Gunsberg, Hastings 1895	218
51.	. Irresistible Pawn-Roller Marshall-Capablanca, New York 1909	223
52.	Quiet, Like a Tiger Botvinnik–Kann, Moscow 1931	228
53.	Cornucopia of Ideas Zagoryansky-Romanovsky, Moscow 1943	233
54.	Endgame Duel—Knight against Rook Botvinnik-Vidmar, Groningen 1946	237
55.	Perennial Favorite Bogolyubov-Réti, Mahrisch-Ostrau 1923	242
56.	Command of the Board Rubinstein-Schlechter, San Sebastian 1912	247
57.	The King Takes a Walk Botvinnik-Kann, Sverdlovsk 1943	250
58.	Surprise! Surprise! Petrosian-Pachman, Bled 1961	255
59.	Bolt from the Blue Andric-Daja, Belgrade 1949	258
60.	Lured into Zugzwang Marshall-Capablanca, New York 1918	262
61.	The Flash of a Mighty Surprise Olafsson-Fischer, Portoroz 1958	267
62.	Symphony of Heavenly Length Evans-Opsahl, Dubrovnik 1950	271
	Index of Openings	279
	Index of Players	281

Introduction

Chess masters play to win. In doing so they would like to create masterpieces. They would like to conjure up brilliant combinations that leave everybody gasping with wonder and admiration—but first and foremost, they play to win, and win quickly and easily.

The chess master knows which positions are favorable, and tries to bring these positions about. He knows that his pieces must be placed where they exert the utmost influence, and where they prevent the opponent's pieces from moving about freely. He knows that Rooks must seize the open files, with a view to gaining control of the seventh rank. He knows that Bishops must either command long diagonals, or else pin down and paralyze the opponent's Knights. He knows the squares on which his Knights must be posted to get a powerful grip on the position. He realizes the essential truth in Tartakover's epigram, "Seize the outpost K5 with your Knight, and you can go to sleep. Checkmate will come by itself." The chess master knows how to obtain a slight advantage, and then exploit it to the fullest. In short, he knows the strategy of winning.

The games in this book are to my mind the most instructive examples in the whole literature of the game, of position play—the strategy of winning chess. Who, for example, will doubt the tremendous power exerted by a Rook posted on the seventh rank, after seeing Capablanca's delightfully clear-cut demonstration in Game No. 1 against Tartakover? And who will not learn a great deal about the art of handling Rook and Pawn endings (the most important endings in chess) after playing through Tarrasch's game against Thorold? And can there be a more convincing illustration of the paralyzing effect on the opponent's position that comes from control of the black squares, than in the Bernstein-Mieses game? Or are there more enlightening and entertaining Bishop and Pawn endings than feature the two games between Blackburne and Weiss?

These games, as well as all the others, are masterly demonstrations of the basic strategy of winning. So much so that I thought an appropriate title for a book of these games should be The Most Instructive Games of Chess Ever Played.

But I might just as well have called this collection The Most Beautiful Games of Chess Ever Played.

Paris 1965 New York 1965

-Irving Chernev



🛱 GAME ONE 🕱

Rook on the Seventh Rank

J. R. Capablanca · S. Tartakover

New York 1924, DUTCH DEFENSE



José Raoul Capablanca

Capablanca's play in the game that follows provides us with a magic formula for conducting Rook and Pawn endings: seize the seventh rank with your Rook, and advance your King to the sixth!

Capablanca gives up a couple of valuable Pawns to get his King and Rook onto the key squares. Once there, they keep the adverse King busy warding off threats of mate, and leave him no time to defend his Pawns. Four of these pawns fall victims in half-a-dozen moves, after which resistance is of course hopeless.

Capa's clear-cut, methodical play is so easy to understand that the whole ending is a marvellous piece of instruction, and a thing of beauty as well.

1 P—Q4	P—KB4
2 Kt—KB3	PK3
3 PB4	Kt—KB3
4 B-Kt5	B—K2
5 Kt—B3	0-0
6 P—K3	P—QKt3
7 B—Q3	B-Kt2
8 O—O	QK1

Black evidently intends to attack on the King side by 9 ... Q—R4 and 10 ... Kt—Kt5—customary strategy in the Dutch Defense.

9 Q-K2!

This move makes Tartakover change his mind, since 9 ... Q—R4 is met by 10 P—K4, and White's center is imposing.

9	Kt-K5
10 B×B	$Kt \times Kt$
11 P×Kt	$Q \times B$

The exchanges have left White with a doubled Bishop Pawn. In compensation for this weakness, the Knight file has been opened and is available to his Rooks.

12 P--OR4!


A clever preventive move! It stops an unwelcome intrusion by 12... Q—R6, and also prepares to meet 12... Kt—B3 with 13 KR—Kt1, and if then 13... Kt—R4 14 P—B5 undoubles the Pawns by force, since the continuation 14... P×P 15 R—Kt5 is to White's advantage.

Another preventive move. Black cannot free himself by 15... P—K4 as 16 B×P would follow. The Queen's move also makes it possible for White to play 16 P—B4, giving him a grip on the square K5.

The Queen returns to B3, to dominate the long diagonal.

Having done its work on the Knight file, the Rook moves to the center, to support a break by 19 P—K4.

Q—Q2



19 P-K4!

18 ...

White opens up the position to give his pieces more scope.

White stabilizes his position with this move and the next, before starting an attack on the King-side by P—R4 and P—R5.

21	K—B1
22 K—Kt2	R—B2
23 P—R4	P-Q4

This leads to an exchange of Queens, leaving White with a tiny advantage—but all Capablanca needs is a microscopic advantage!

24 P×P	$P \times P$
25 Q × Rch!	$Q \times Q$
26 R × Qch	$K \times R$
27 P—R5!	

All according to plan! If Black plays 27 ... P×P, there follows 28 R—R1, K—B1 29 R×P, and White wins the Rook Pawn or the Queen Pawn.

Good players always seem to hold the high cards. Capablanca's Rook controls an open file and will seize the seventh rank next move. Should Tartakover's Rook become ambitious and try to counter-attack by 29 ... R—B3, the reply 30 B—Kt5 would come like a flash and pin the unfortunate piece.

Rook to the seventh—the magic move in Rook and Pawn endings. What is the secret in the strength of this move? It is this:

- (a) The Rook is in perfect position to attack any Pawns that have not yet moved—those still standing on the second rank.
- (b) The Rook is prepared to attack any Pawns that have moved, by getting behind them without loss


- of time. The Pawns would be under constant threat of capture, no matter how many squares they advanced on the file.
- (c) The Rook's domination of the seventh rank confines the opposing King to the last rank, preventing him from taking any part in the fighting.

The Knight hastens to get into active play. Black naturally avoids $31 \dots R \times P$, as the reply $32 B \times P$ allows his opponent to have two connected passed Pawns.

Threatens to win by 33 R—R6, K—Kt2 34 P—B5.

<i>32</i>	Kt-K6ch
<i>33</i> KB3	Kt—B4
34 B×Kt	$P \times B$

This is the position, with White to move:



Now comes a brilliant continuation, which Capablanca must have planned many moves before. In a simplified ending where Pawns are worth their weight in gold, he gives away two Pawns! Moreover he lets Black capture them with check!

35 K-Kt3!

The King is headed for B6, a square from which he can assist the Rook in mating threats, and also help the passed Pawn take those last three steps.

Instead of this, if Black tries to exchange Rooks, this follows: 36...
R—B8 37 K—R5, R—R8ch 38
K—Kt6, R×R 39 K×R, P—B4 40
P—Kt6, and the Pawn crashes through.

Capturing the Queen Pawn would be fatal: 38 ... R×P 39 K—B6, K—Kt1 (on 39 ... K—K1 40 R—R8ch, K—Q2 41 P—Kt7, and Black must give up his Rook for the Pawn) 40 R—Q7, and White mates next move.

39 K-B6!

Excellent! The King is beautifully placed to support the passed Pawn, and incidentally to frighten Black with threats of mate.

Notice that White disdained capturing Black's Pawn. Now it acts as a buffer against annoying checks by the Rook.

39	K-Kt1
40 R-Kt7ch	KR1
41 R×P	RK1
42 K×P	RK5
43 KB6	R—B5ch
44 KK5	

White goes after the Queen-side Pawns. Contrasting the activity of the two Kings, White is practically a piece ahead!

Black doesn't dare take the Pawn. If 45 ... R×P 46 R×R, K×R 47 K×P, K—B2 48 K—Q6, K—K1 49 K—B7, K—K2 50 P—Q5, and the Pawn cannot be stopped.

46 R×P	R-Kt8
47 K×P	R—QB8
48 K—Q6	R—B7
49 P—Q5	R—B8
50 R—QB7	RQR8
51 K-B6	$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}$
52 PQ6	Resigns

The continuation (for anyone still skeptical) would be 52 ... R—Q5 53 P—Q7, R—B5ch (if 53 ... K×P 54 P—Q8 (Q) dis ch wins) 54 K—Kt7, R—Q5 55 K—B8, and the Pawn becomes a Queen next move.

"No one has ever played these endgames with such elegant ease as Capablanca," says Réti.

買 GAME TWO I

The King Is a Strong Piece

M. Tal · G. Lissitzin

Leningrad 1956, SICILIAN DEFENSE



Mikhail Tal

To those of us who worry about the safety of the King, Tal's play in this game is a joy and a revelation. Tal realizes that the power of the King increases as the game progresses and as the pieces come flying off the board. By the time the ending has been reached, the King is truly a

formidable fighting piece.

Watch Tal's King stroll nonchalantly into the heart of the enemy camp, gather up a couple of Pawns, and then prepare to escort one of his own Pawns to the Queening square. It is a treat to watch, an absorbing lesson in endgame procedure.

1 P—K4	P—QB4
2 Kt—KB3	PQ3
3 P-Q4	$P \times P$
4 Kt×P	Kt—KB3
5 KtQB3	PKKt3
6 P—B4	Kt—B3

Black avoids a trap with this move, indicating that one must not play mechanically even at this early stage. If $6 ext{...} B$ —Kt2 (the natural follow-up to $5 ext{...} P$ —Kt3) the continuation is 7 P—K5, $P \times P \ 8 P \times P$, Kt—Kt5 9 B—Kt5ch, K—B1 (on $9 ext{...} B$ —Q2 or $9 ext{...} Kt$ —Q2 $10 ext{ Q} \times Kt$ wins a piece) $10 ext{ Kt}$ —Kt6ch, and White wins the Queen.

Other lines of play look more aggressive, but lead to no more than equality. For example: 10 Q—Q4, Kt—B3 11 B—K3, B—K2 12 B—K2, O—O 13 O—O, P—B4. Or 10 Q—K2ch, B—K2 11 B—K3, O—O.

Proper development does not concern itself merely with placing the pieces where they are effective for attack. It is equally important to interfere with the range of influence of the opponent's pieces. You must dispute control, as Tal does here, of every file, rank and diagonal.


Indicating his intention of opening up the Rook file by 15 P—R5.

Black seizes an open file. Capturing the Rook Pawn instead would be dangerous, as after 14 ... B×P 15 Q—R3, P—Kt4 16 P—KKt3, Kt—B3 17 P—B5, and the Bishop is trapped.

Guards against the threat 15 ... B×B 16 R×B, Q—Kt3, and Black attacks the Rook as well as the Queen Knight Pawn.

Tal is not tempted by the offer of a Pawn. It is easy to yield and then fall into something like this: 16 B×P, Q—R4 17 B—K3, R×KtP! 18 K×R, B×Ktch 19 K—B1, Q—R6ch 20 K—Kt1, Q—Kt7 mate.

Here too Tal resists temptation. If 17 Q×P, Q—Q3 18 P—KKt3, R×KtP 19 K×R, Q—Kt5ch 20 K—B1, Q×Kt, and Black has a strong attack, one threat for example being 21 ... Q—R8ch 22 K—Q2, Kt—K5ch 23 K—K1, Q—B6ch 24 K—K2, B—Kt5 mate.



19 Kt-R4!

A powerful move, even though the Knight moves to the side of the board. Tal has two objects in mind: To fix Black's center Pawns so that they may not advance, and to dominate his opponent's weakened black squares.


A fine positional sacrifice. At the cost of a Pawn Tal disrupts his opponent's Pawn structure on the King side. In addition to this, the acceptance of the sacrifice leaves Black's Bishop hemmed in by Pawns occupying white squares.

Black is hypnotized into taking the Pawn, and that leads to his ruin.

Another fine positional move. Tal is a Pawn behind, but does not hesitate to exchange pieces. The

point is that he must dispute control of the open King file, or else Black will double Rooks and gain complete possession of it.

This is the position with Tal to play:



25 K-Q2!

The beginning of a remarkable tour. The King is headed for the Queen side where it will terrorize all the Pawns in sight.

Clears the way for the Bishop to come into the game.

The King continues his journey along the black squares.

Not only does Black want to exchange Bishops (being a Pawn ahead) but he has this idea in mind: 28 ... B×B 29 K×B, R—K6ch 30

K-Q4, R-K7, and his Rook controls the seventh rank.

Obviously, to go after the Rook Pawn.

29 Kt—B5	R-R3
30 K-K5!	$\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B}$
31 P×B	$R \times P$
32 K—Q6	

The King goes merrily on his way.

Despite the fact that he is two Pawns down, White's chances are better in the ending. His King is so wonderfully active, and Black's so woefully passive, that he is in effect a King ahead!

The Knight guards the Queen Bishop Pawn, freeing the Rook for active duty. Black threatens now 35 ... R—R7 followed by 36 ... P—B6, winning another Pawn.

Instead of this, if Black tried to exchange Rooks (being a Pawn ahead), this would teach him the error of his ways: 37 ... R—Kt3 38 R×Rch, RP×R 39 Kt×Kt, P×Kt 40 K×P, K—B2 41 P—QKt4, and White will have a new Queen in a few moves.

38 K×P!

The King fears nothing—not even discovered check.

<i>38</i>	$Kt \times Ktch$
<i>39</i> K×Kt	RK3
40 K×P	

(One must resolutely avoid the impulse to say, "The Pawns fall like ripe apples.")

This cuts off Black's King from the Queen side, and the possibility of blocking the passed Pawn.

Better than 45 R—Q5 when 45 ... R—B5 (threatening 46 ... R—Kt5) allows Black counter-play.

Drives the King still farther away from the Queen side.

Black sacrifices one Pawn to make a passed Pawn of the other. There was nothing in 49 ... R—B7ch, as after the reply 50 R—B4, Black has simply wasted a move.

		<u> </u>
50 P×P	K—Kt4	would have been 54 R—B7ch
51 P-Kt5	P—B4	55 K-Q5, P-B7 56 P-Kt8(Q),
52 R—QKt4	P—B5	P-B8(Q) 57 Q-Kt3ch, K-B3 (or
53 P—Kt6	P—B6	57 K—B4 58 Q—Kt6 mate) 58
54 P—Kt7	Resigns	Q-Kt6ch, K-K2 59 R-Kt7ch
The finish, had L	issitzin played on,	and quick mate.

国 GAME THREE 宣

Knight Outpost at Q5

I. Boleslavsky · G. Lissitzin

Moscow 1956, Sicilian Defense

Boleslavsky knows that a good grip on the center almost always guarantees the success of a King-side attack. He therefore plans to anchor a Knight at Q5—so firmly that it can never be driven away. To accomplish this he must do away with two enemy pieces that bear down on that square, a Bishop and a Knight. He lures the Bishop off by a gift of a Pawn, and disposes of the Knight by pinning it and forcing its exchange.

Once Boleslavsky's Knight reaches the magic square Q5, combinations appear out of the air as a reward, and the King-side attack seems to play itself.

1 P—K4	P—OB4
2 Kt—KB3	P—Q3
3 P—Q4	P×P
4 Kt×P	Kt-KB3
5 KtQB3	P-KKt3
6 BK3	B-Kt2
7 P-B3	

This move does many things: it strengthens the center, prevents an attack on the Bishop (and its subsequent exchange) by 7... Kt—Kt5, and prepares for a later Pawn storm by P—KKt4 and P—KR4.

An attempt by Black to free himself by 9 ... P—Q4 could lead to this interesting combination: 10 Kt×Kt, P×Kt 11 P×P, P×P 12 Kt×P, Kt×Kt 13 Q×Kt, Q—B2 14 Q×R, B—B4 (threatens mate) 15 Q×Rch, K×Q 16 R—Q2, and White has the better prospects.

Threatens 12 Kt—Q5, Q—Q1 (if 12 ... Q×Q 13 Kt×Pch wins a Pawn) 13 Kt×Ktch, and White will win the Queen Pawn.


Prepares for an eventual ... P—Q4, which would free his game.

This powerful move interferes with Black's plans. If Black replies to it with $14 \ldots Q \times Q$, then 15 R×Q follows and White threatens 16 R×P as well as 16 Kt—B7, QR—B1 18 Kt×B, and he has the advantage of two Bishops against Knight and Bishop.

The Queen Pawn is attacked three times, but if White took it this would

be the consequence: 15 Kt×QP, Kt—K1 16 B—B5, Kt×Kt 17 B×Kt, B—B1 18 Q—Kt4, R×B! 19 R×R (on 19 Q×Q, R×R is checkmate) Q×Q 20 P×Q, B×R, and Black has won a piece.

This is the position, with White to play:



At this point Boleslavsky has two objects in mind:

- (1) Prevent Black from freeing himself by ... P—Q4.
- (2) Establish his Knight firmly at the outpost station Q5.

To bring the latter about it is necessary to rid the board of the two black pieces that guard the square Q5, the Bishop at K3 and the Knight.

A brilliant sacrifice which must be accepted. Refusing the Pawn means that Black could never free himself by ... P—Q4. It would also enable White to play 16 Kt—B3 next move (attacking the Queen) and thus gain time for 17 Kt—Q5, establishing a strongly-supported outpost.

One black piece has been disposed of. Now to get rid of the other!


White pins the Knight to keep it from running away. Now he is assured of being able to remove it from the board.

Now we shall see whether Bole-slavsky's imaginative strategy is justified. He has given up a solid, valuable Pawn for something that is intangible—the unassailable position of his Knight. The Knight, it is true, dominates the board and cannot be driven off, but is that worth a Pawn?

Black tries to prevent the advance of the adverse King-side Pawns. He intends to meet 21 P—KKt3 with 21 ... Q—R6, while the reply to 21 P—R3 would be 21 ... B—R3 followed by 22 ... B—B5.

A subtle preparatory move. If at once 22 P—KKt3, Q—R6 blockades the Rook Pawn.

This is how things look:



23 P-KKt3

The Pawns begin their advance to break up Black's King-side.

If 24 ... Q×KtP 25 R—Q2 leaves Black curiously helpless against the threat of 26 R—Kt2 winning the Queen.

25 P-KKt4

Now White intends 26 P—Kt5, Q—Kt2 (if 26 ... Q—R4 27 Kt—B6ch wins the Queen) 27 Kt—B6ch, K—R1 28 P—R5 (threatens to win the Queen by 29 P—R6) P×P 29 R×RP, and the attack on the Rook

Pawn will force Black to give up his Queen.

Threatens annihilation by 29 Kt—B6ch followed by 30 R×Pch.

Black has no defense in 28 ... Q×R, as 29 Kt—B6ch wins the Queen, nor in 28 ... B—Kt2 when 29 Kt—K7ch does likewise.

Here too $29 ext{...} ext{B} imes ext{R}$ is penalized by $30 ext{ Kt} ext{--} ext{K7ch}$ and loss of the Queen.

On 30 ... Q—Kt3, the continuation is 31 Q—R1 (threatens 32 R—Kt1 winning the Queen) Q—K3 32 R—R8ch, K—Kt2 33 Q—R7 mate.

The King-side Pawns did an amazing job of opening up files for the benefit of the heavy pieces.

営 GAME FOUR I

Aggressive Rook in the Ending

S. Tarrasch · E. Thorold

Manchester 1890, French Defense

Tarrasch plays the following game as though he were giving a good friend a lesson in the art of winning an ending. "In a Rook and Pawn ending," Tarrasch used to say, "the Rook must be used aggressively. It must either attack enemy Pawns, or give active support to the advance of one its own Pawns to the Queening square."

Here, with the help of an active Rook that keeps the opponent under unremitting pressure, Tarrasch's King and passed Pawn march methodically up the chessboard. As they move forward step by step, the opponent's pieces are driven further and further back until they reach the very edge of the board. There, they can put up little resistance to the inexorable advance of the passed Pawn.

The classic simplicity of Tarrasch's technique in the conduct of this ending is so impressive as to make it in my opinion:

The most Instructive Rook and Pawn Ending Ever Played.

Tarrasch prefers this to the usual 3 Kt—QB3, since the Knight is

developed without blocking the Queen Bishop Pawn.

This is better than $4 ext{...} BP \times P ext{ } 5$ B—Kt5ch, B—Q2 $6 ext{ } P \times P$, $B \times B ext{ } 7$ Q—R5, (threatens $8 ext{ } Q \times P ext{ } mate)$ Q—K2 $8 ext{ } Q \times Bch$, and White enjoys a pleasant initiative.

A temporary Pawn sacrifice, to gain time for quick development of the pieces.

It would be a mistake to protect the Queen Pawn by 7... P—K4 as the continuation 8 Kt×KP, Q×Q (if 8 ... Q×Kt 9 R—K1 wins) 9 B×Pch, K—K2 10 R×Q would cost Black a couple of Pawns.

With a triple attack on the Queen Pawn.

Other captures lose instantly: 9 Kt Kt by the brusque 10 Q \times Q, and 9 Q Kt by the equally ungallant pin of the Queen, 10 R K1.

This pin, followed by 12 P—B4, will regain the piece given up by White.

The threat is now 13 $P \times Kt$, $P \times P$ 14 $R \times Pch$ followed by 15 $Kt \times P$, and White is a pawn ahead.

Not merely a developing move, this attack on the Rook must be met carefully, if White is to avoid being forced into a draw.

Tarrasch sidesteps the plausible 13 R—K2, after which 13 ... B—Kt5 14 R—K4, B—KB4 15 R—K2, B—Kt5 allows Black to draw by his perpetual attack on the Rook.

Best, since the King reaches comparative safety while the Queen Rook comes into play.

White benefits in two ways by the exchange of pieces: he is rid of

Black's active Bishop, and he has an open file for his Queen Rook.

This prevents the King from moving toward the center for the ending. If he does so by 18 ... K—Q2, there follows 19 R×P, K—Q3 20 R—K4, K—Q4 21 R—B4, and White wins the Queen Pawn.

Preferable to this is 20 ... R—Q2, to dispute possession of the seventh rank. If then 21 R—K7, KR—Q1 gives Black a fair chance to hold the game.

21
$$R \times R$$
 Kt $\times R$


If $21 ext{...} R \times R$ instead, $22 R \times R$ ch, $Kt \times R$ 24 Kt—Kt3 follows, and White wins a Pawn.

Rook on the Seventh Rank.

A paralyzing move! Black must submit to the loss of a Pawn.

To prevent the Knight from coming in at R5. If instead 23 ... K—R2, to get the King into play, then 24 Kt—R5, R—Kt1 25 Kt—B6ch wins a whole Rook.

This is the position on the board:



White is a Pawn ahead, and that should be sufficient to win. If he is greedy though, and wants to pick up another Pawn or two (just to make sure) this is what might happen: 26 R—K7ch, K—Q3 27 R×P, R×P 28 R×P, R—Q8ch 29 K—B2, R—Q7ch 30 K—B3, R×QKtP, and Black has good drawing chances, his Rook being so active.

A star move! White protects the important passed Pawn, while keeping the adverse Rook out.

This forces the Rook Pawn to advance, thus weakening the Knight Pawn.

Changing of the Guard.

This move accomplishes a great deal:

- (1) The King protects the Pawn, freeing the Rook for active duty.
- (2) The King is brought closer to the center.

- (3) Black's Rook, blockader of the Pawn, is forced to retreat.
- (4) The passed Pawn will be able to advance.

An attempt to get behind White's Pawns.

The Rook must return to defend the Knight Pawn. On 32 ... R—QKt8 instead, 33 K—B2 wins a Pawn for White.

An offer to exchange Rooks, which Black dares not accept. The ensuing Pawn ending would be an easy win for White.

Once more the Rook tries to get at the Pawns.

Mindful of the safety of his Queen side Pawns, Tarrasch moves them away from the second rank and possible attack by the Rook.

The hostile Rook must be evicted—and at once!

<i>36</i>	R—B3
37 PQKt4	RB8
38 R-K5	R

The Rook persists in trying to settle down on the seventh rank. An attack on the Queen side Pawns would be futile, 38 ... R—QR8 being met by 39 P—R5, and 38 ... R—QKt8 by 39 P—Kt5.

39 R-KKt5

Combines attack (on Black's Knight Pawn) with defense (of his own). Black's Rook will have to scurry back.

Clearly, this is better than 39 ... R—QKt7, which loses a Pawn at once after 40 K—B3 in reply.

40 P-R3!

An effective waiting move. If at once 40 P—Q5, R—B5 is annoying, while 40 K—K4 is met by 40 ... R—K3ch 41 R—K5, R—QB3, and Black has some counter-play.

Cuts down the choice of reply. For example, if 43 ... R—B7 44 R—K6ch wins a Pawn. Or if 43 ... P—QR4 44 P×P, P×P 45 R—K6ch, R×R 46 P×R, K×P 47 K—Q4, K—Q3 48 K—B4, K—B3 49 P—R4, K—Kt3 50 K—Q5, K—Kt2 51 K—B5, K—R3 52 K—B6, and Black has run out of moves.

Clears the square K5 for the King, and also arranges to bring the Rook

to Kt3 and then to KB3, where the threat of exchange will drive the opposing Rook off the open file.


44	K-Q3
45 R-Kt3	K-K2
46 R-KB3	RO3

The Rook must leave the only available open file, since an exchange would be ruinous.

Advance of King and Pawn.

White threatens a quick win by 48 R—B7ch, K×R 49 K×R, K—K1 50 K—B7, and the Pawn marches through.

This is the position, with White to play:



48 P-Q6ch!

Very pretty! If Black captures by 48 ... R×P, the continuation is 49 R—B7ch, K×R 50 K×R, and White picks off the Queen side Pawns, winning easily.

No better is 48 ... K-K1 49

$\overline{K-K6, P-KKt4}$ 50 P-Q7ch, $R \times P$	51 R—B2	R-K1ch
51 R—B8ch, and it's all over.	52 K-B6	P—QKt4
(0 D D7-1 I/ D1	53 P—Q7	RR1
49 R—B7ch K—B1	54 KK7	R-R2ch
A humiliating retreat, but 49	55 K—Q6	R-R1
K-B3 50 R-B7 mate is even more	56 R—K2	
embarrassing.	Indicating that I	he will check at
50 R—B7ch K—Kt1	K8, and Queen threat is decisive, so	the Pawn. The
Forcing the Exchange of Rooks.	56	Resigns

I GAME FIVE I

The Passed Pawn

A. Rubinstein · O. Duras

Vienna 1908, QUEEN'S PAWN GAME

I don't know which you will enjoy more—Rubinstein's explosive combination early in the game to win a Pawn, or his skillful play thereafter to exploit his advantage.

The combination, involving a Queen sacrifice, is brilliant and clear-cut. The subsequent strategy of winning with an extra Pawn may be summed up as follows:

- (1) Rubinstein simplifies the position by exchanging as many pieces as possible.
- (2) He returns the extra Pawn on one wing to create a passed Pawn on the other.
- (3) He rushes the passed Pawn to the Queening square.

1 PQ4	PQ4
2 KtKB3	P—OB4
3 P—K3	Kt—KB3
4 P×P	Q-R4ch

The Queen should not come into play so soon. A safer way to regain the Pawn is by the simple 4 ... P—K3. White could not then hold on to the Pawn, for if 5 P—QKt4, P—QR4 6 P—B3, P×P 7 P×P, P—QKt3, and if White continues stubbornly by 8 B—R3, then 8 ... P×P 9 P×P, R×B 10 Kt×R, Q—R4ch, and Black wins two

pieces for a Rook.

5 QKt—Q2 Q×BP 6 P—QR3 Q—B2 7 P—B4 P×P


This move not only surrenders the center, but helps White develop his pieces. A preferable move is 7 ... P—K3.

8 Kt×P B-Kt5

"Knights before Bishops!" advised Lasker, 'way back in 1895, but some people just won't listen.

9 P—Kt4 Kt—B3 10 B—Kt2 P—QKt4

A nervous attempt to force the Knight to retreat, but Rubinstein has other plans for the piece. Its next move initiates a spectacular combination.



11 Kt(B4)—K5!	$Kt \times Kt$
12 Kt×Kt!	$\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{Q}$
13 B × Pch	Kt-O2

The alternative 13 ... K—Q1 leads to this sparkling finish: 14 R×Bch, K—B1 15 B—R6ch, K—Kt1 16 Kt—B6ch, Q×Kt 17 B—K5ch, Q—Q3 18 R—QB1, and mate follows next move.

Practically forced, as after $14 ext{ ... }$ K—Q1, $15 ext{ R} \times B$ leaves Black helpless to ward off the many threats.

The two Bishops might enable Black to put up a good deal of resistance, so Rubinstein removes one of them. In return, Black gets an open file for his King Rook.

The King moves toward the center, to take an active part in the endgame.

Preparing to evict the Bishop by 21 ... P—K4, from its strong position in the middle of the board.

Puts the question to Black. He must either exchange Rooks or

abandon control of the open file.

Much better than capturing with the King. The Rook must attack in the endgame, not stay inert at R1 protecting a Pawn.

If 22 ... R×P 23 R—B8ch, K—B2 24 K—K2, P—K4 25 B—B5, B×B 26 R×B, R—R1 27 R—B7ch, K—K3 28 R—R7, and White will soon have two connected passed Pawns.

Or 23 ... B×B 24 R×B, K—Q2 25 R—R5, R—R1 26 P—QKt5, and White wins.


24 B×B	$\mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{B}$
25 K—K2	PK5
26 R—B6	R-Kt7
27 R×RP	$R \times KtP$

Material is even, but Rubinstein has a great positional advantage in his two connected passed Pawns—either of them a potential Queen.

Almost instinctively the Rook hastens to seize control of the seventh rank.

<i>28</i>	R-Kt8
29 P-Kt5!	R-Kt8
<i>30</i> P—R4	P—Kt4
31 R-Kt7	R—OR8

This is the position, with White to play:



32 P-Kt6!

Rubinstein doesn't waste time saving both Pawns. One Pawn (in the right hands) is enough to win.

Offers an exchange of Rooks (which Black dares not accept) and clears the way for the Pawn's advance.

With the threat of winning by 35 R—R8ch followed by Queening the Pawn.

Nothing else is any better, 34 ... K—K2 losing instantly by 35 P—Kt8(Q) dis ch.

35 R-R8ch	K-B2
36 P-Kt8(Q)	$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Q}$
37 R×R	K—K3
38 R-K8ch	K—B4
<i>39</i> K—B1	Resigns

Further resistance is not only useless, but could lead to this humiliating finish: 39 ... P—Kt6 40 P×P, K—Kt5 41 K—Kt2, P—B4 42 R—K7, P—Kt4 43 R—KKt7, P—B5 44 KP×P, P—K6 45 R×P mate.

買 GAME SIX 罩

Weak Pawns, Weak Squares and Mighty, Mighty Knights

H. Mattison · A. Nimzovich

Carlsbad 1929, Nimzo-Indian Defense

It is amazing how much instructive strategy Nimzovich can pack into a mere 23 moves.

His attack on a doubled Pawn leads to a weakening of a key square. On this important square Nimzovich plants a Knight so firmly that it cannot be dislodged. He then forces open a file for the benefit of his Queen Rook. With that sector under control, he switches the King Knight over to the center of the board. The power generated by the centralized Knights is devastating. So great is the effect that Mattison feels compelled to resign, though he hasn't lost so much as a Pawn.

Is chess of this sort an art or a science? In the hands of a craftsman like Nimzovich, it may be either.

1 P—Q4	KtKB3
2 P—QB4	PK3
3 Kt—QB3	B-Kt5
4 Kt—B3	$\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{K}$ tch
5 P×B	

An exchange which probably pleases both parties. White, because he has the two Bishops, and an open file for his Queen Rook. Black, because he has created a weakness—a doubled Pawn—in his opponent's position.

Black is ready to meet 7 P—K4 with 7 ... P—K4, securing a fair share of the center.

7 B-R3

With two objects in mind:

- (1) To prevent $7 ext{...} P$ —K4, after which $8 P \times P$ leaves Black unable to recapture, and
- (2) To advance 8 P—B5, with the idea of dissolving the doubled Pawn.

This fixes White's Pawn at B4, making it a stationary target at which Nimzovich can aim attack.

8 P-KKt3

White prepares to fianchetto the Bishop and control the long diagonal. Ordinarily, this is a commendable development, but here this has the drawback of depriving the weak Pawn (at QB4) of a defender. A better course was probably & P—K4 followed by 9 B—Q3.


Black of course intends to dispute control of the diagonal.

White is anxious to exchange Bishops, since Nimzovich's has more scope, and bears down (together with the Knight) so strongly on the square K5.

A better way to bring about an exchange though was by 11 Kt—Q2. The Knight would then not only exert more influence on the center, but would be a useful protector of the frail Bishop Pawn.

Much better than this was the recapture by 12 Kt×B, to bring the Knight back into play. If then 12... Kt—B3 13 P—K4, Kt—QR4 14 Kt—K3, and White does not stand too badly, his Knight being centralized, and his Bishop Pawn defended.

This is the situation, with Black to play:



White gets into difficulties after


this. The right move was 13 Kt—B3, which gets the Knight back from the sidelines, and gives White a fighting chance.

Interposing by 13 P—B3 would lose a piece after 13 ... P—KKt4 14 Q—Q2, P—KR3, and the Knight has no flight square.

Attacks Bishop and Bishop Pawn, forcing White's reply.

The alternatives are:

- (1) 15 P×P, KtP×P, and Black threatens 16 ... QR—Kt1 winning the Bishop and 16 ... Kt—K4 winning the Bishop Pawn.
- (2) 15 Kt—B3, Kt—QR4 16 Q— Kt5, Q×Q 17 P×Q, Kt—B5 18 B—B1, Kt—Q4, and the Bishop Pawn falls.



The doubled Pawn has been dissolved, but the weakness of the square on which it stood remains. Nimzovich anchors his Knight on

this vital square, and with that move he secures new advantages:

- (1) The Knight is posted aggressively. It attacks the Bishop and drives it back to its original square.
- (2) The Knight is posted defensively. It protects the Queen Pawn and the Knight Pawn against possible attack.
- (3) The Knight has a great deal of influence on the important central squares.
- (4) The Knight cannot be dislodged by Pawns, nor by the Bishop (which operates on black squares only).

P-QR3!

This forces open the Queen Rook file, since White must capture or lose a Pawn.

 $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}$

Now the Rook has a fine target in the isolated Rook Pawn.

KtP x P

The Knight returns, but it's late in the day.

A comparison of the positions shows the superiority of Black's in that his Knights are strongly centralized, and his Rooks can operate on the two open files. White's minor pieces are widely scattered, and his Rooks out of touch with each other.

Mattison surrenders though he hasn't lost so much as a Pawn! If he chose to play on, this would be the continuation: 24 R—Q1, Kt×P 25 R—B1 (on 25 R—Q2 or 25 R—K1, Kt—B6ch wins the exchange) R×P 26 R×R, Kt—B6ch 27 K—R1, R×R, and White must lose a third Pawn.

🖺 GAME SEVEN 🖺

Finesse in the Ending

R. Domenech · S. Flohr

Rosas 1935, SICILIAN DEFENSE



Salo Flohr

For a description of Flohr's skill in this game, I commend you to Roget's Thesaurus, where you will find such adjectives as exquisite, elegant, artistic, and enchanting.

Throughout the play there are delightful finesses and touches of originality. Who but Salo Flohr would interrupt a series of exchanges,

force an irreparable weakness, and then proceed to complete the exchanges?

To my mind, this quiet little positional game, played with crystalline clarity, outshines all the blazing combinations of a dozen wide-open, slam-bang attacking games.

1 PK4	P—QB4
2 Kt—KB3	PK3
2 D R/I	

An attempt to get the Maroczy Bind, which is no improvement on the usual 3 P—Q4. A move has been wasted that should have been devoted to straightforward development, while the Pawn at B4 restricts the scope of White's King Bishop.

3	Kt—QB3
4 PQ4	$P \times P$
5 Kt×P	Kt—B3
K Kt v Kt	

Apparently White does not care to play 6 Kt—QB3, when 6 ... B—Kt5 in reply puts him on the defensive. He would have to guard against 7 ... Kt×P (winning a Pawn) as well as 7 ... B×Ktch 8 P×B (saddling him with a doubled Pawn).

$$6 \dots QP \times Kt!$$

Geniuses do not have to capture toward the center! Black wants the Queen file open so that he can exert pressure on White's Q3 and Q4 squares, both of these squares having been weakened by White's premature third move.

These weaknesses are almost imperceptible, and it is difficult at this early stage to see how Flohr can possibly exploit them, but he does so—and beautifully!

$$7 \, \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{Qch} \qquad \mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{Q}$$

Black has lost the privilege of Castling, but it is of no consequence. The King is more useful in the center than hidden away in a corner. With Queens off the board, there is little danger of the King running into

a mating attack.

This saves the King Pawn, but it limits still more the scope of White's King Bishop. The more of White's Pawns there are on white squares, the less freedom of action the King Bishop has, since it travels on white squares only.

On 8 P—K5 instead, there follows 8 ... Kt—Kt5 9 B—B4, B—B4 (threatens 10 ... Kt×BP) 10 B—Kt3 (or 10 P—B3, Kt—B7 11 R—Kt1, Kt—Q6ch, and Black wins the exchange) B—Q5, and Black wins a Pawn.

A little move, but it accomplishes a great deal:

- (1) It releases the Queen Bishop, which will assume a strong attacking position at K3.
- (2) It fixes White's King Pawn at K4, preventing it from advancing to K5.
- (3) It exerts pressure on Q5, one of the weak squares in White's position.

White's idea may have been to prevent 10 ... B—Kt5ch (a move his opponent had no intention of making) but time is wasted, and another weakness created—a "hole" at QKt3. This will cause White bitter regret later on.

The simple 10 Kt—B3, developing a piece, would have been better.


The point of this is that it will enable Black to play ... B—QB4, and force an exchange of Bishops. This would eliminate White's good Bishop, and leave him with the one that is ineffectual.

P-QR4!

The Pawn is to advance to R5, where it will have a crippling effect on White's Queen side.

P-R5!

This is the position, with Black to move:



13 ...

B-QB4!

Forces an exchange which will leave Black in control of the black squares.

 $Kt \times B$

15 QR—QB1

B---K3

This Bishop is aggressive, White's Bishop is passive.

KR-Q1

Intending to double Rooks on the Queen file. If White tries to dispute


control of the file, this is what might happen: 17 Kt—B1, Kt—Kt6 18 R×R, R×R 19 R—Q1, R×R 20 B×R, B×P, and White has lost a Pawn.

The purpose of this move and White's next, is to place some Pawns on black squares, thereby allowing his pieces (notably the Bishop) more freedom of movement.

Black now has a powerful grip on the Queen file. His opponent can do little but sit tight and await developments.

A perfectly natural move, but it opens the door to a surprising delayed-action combination. It is one that is unique, so far as I know, in the literature of chess.

This is the position, with Black to play and win:



19 ... 20 P×P

P×Pch

Obviously 20 K×P loses a piece

instantly by 20 ... R×Kt.

20 ...

R-Q6ch!

At this point, with so little material on the board, this is a startling sacrifice of the exchange.

21 B×R

 $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{B}$ ch

22 K-B2

The alternative is 22 K—K2, after which the play would go $22 \dots$ B—Kt5ch 23 K—K1, $\text{B} \times \text{R}$ $24 \text{ R} \times \text{B}$ (if $24 \text{ K} \times \text{B}$, $\text{Kt} \times \text{P}$ 25 R—B2, $\text{R} \times \text{Ktch}$, with an easy win for Black) $\text{Kt} \times \text{P}$ $25 \text{ Kt} \times \text{Kt}$, R—K6ch 26 K—B2, $\text{R} \times \text{Kt}$, and Black wins another Pawn and the game.

22 ...

B-Kt5

Threatens a quick finish by the simplification: $23 \dots B \times R$ $24 R \times B$, $R \times K$ tch $25 R \times R$, $Kt \times P$ ch followed by $26 \dots Kt \times R$.

White is practically in zugzwang (compelled to move, without a playable move left):

- (1) If the King Rook moves, 23 ... R × Ktch wins a piece.
- (2) If the Knight moves to B3 to shield the Rook from the Bishop, 23... R × Ktch finishes the Knight's career.
- (3) If the Queen Rook moves, Black wins as in the previous note.

Grateful for small favors, White advances the King Pawn to rescue it from immediate danger of capture.

23 ...

 $B \times R$

24 R×B

One would now expect Flohr to continue by 24 ... Kt—Kt6 25 K—K2, R×Ktch 26 R×R, Kt×R,

leaving him with a slightly superior position. Whether Flohr could squeeze a win out of it is doubtful, skilled though he is in the endgame.

Flohr does bring the Knight to Kt6.

Flohr does clear away all the pieces.

But first his Knight will make two moves that will create irreparable weaknesses in White's Pawn position.

Watch the Knight do some fancy stepping!

24 ...

Kt-K3!

Attacks the Bishop Pawn, and forces its advance.

25 P-B5

Nothing else saves the Pawn, 25 K—K2 being refuted by 25 ... Kt×Pch.

25 ... 26 P—B6 Kt-Q5!

Once again the only move.

26 ... 27 P×P P×P Kt—Kt6!

Plants a piece in the "hole" created by White's tenth move.

28 K—K2

R × Ktch

29 R×R 30 K×Kt $Kt \times R$ K—O3

31 Resigns

The rest is a matter of counting moves. In order that White may Queen a Pawn he must capture the Queen Knight and Queen Bishop Pawns (six moves), move his King aside (one move) and advance the Queen Bishop Pawn (four moves). Total—11 moves.

Black meanwhile captures the King Bishop Pawn (two moves),

moves his King aside (one move), and advances the King Bishop Pawn (five moves). Total—8 moves.

Resignation for White was clearly in order.

🛱 GAME EIGHT 🕱

Phalanx of Pawns

T. Petrosian · Kozali

Montevideo 1954, Queen's Pawn Game



Tigran Petrosian

Petrosian's moves flow along in this game like the words of a well-written short story. Imperceptibly he gets one little advantage, transforms it to another, and then to still another. Thus, an admirable centralization allows Petrosian to start a flank attack with his Pawns.

The invasion of these Pawns opens up files for the pieces behind the Pawns. One of the pieces (a Rook) shoots up a file to the seventh rank, and imprisons the enemy King. The end comes very quickly after that—loss of material, or checkmate in two.

1 PQ4	Kt-KB3
2 P—QB4	P-K3
3 Kt-QB3	PQ4
4 P×P	•

A favorite move of many modern players, among them Botvinnik, Keres and Reshevsky. It simplifies the position without relieving the pressure Black is under in Queen side openings.

Naturally, White does not try to win a Pawn, as that would land him in a well-known opening trap: 6 Kt×P, Kt×Kt! 7 B×Q, B—Kt5ch 8 Q—Q2, B×Qch 9 K×B, K×B, and Black has won a piece.

Protects the Queen Pawn so that he can free himself by $10 \ldots Kt$ — K5.

With the mild threat of gaining a Pawn by 12 Kt×Kt, P×Kt 13 B×P, and the vicious threat of winning the Queen by 12 Kt×P, P×Kt 13 B—B7.

This Knight must be dispossessed!

An attempt to relieve the pressure


by exchanging pieces. Strangely enough, this does not lessen Black's troubles.

Threatens to win a piece by 16 P—K5.

This leads to difficulties for the Knight. A better defense, though not an agreeable one, was 15... Kt—Q2 followed by ... Kt—B1 later on.

Attacks the Queen, and also threatens 17 B×Pch.

This is the position, with White to move:



17 Q-Q2!

Brilliant! White's threat of winning the stranded Knight by 18 P—Kt4 forces an exchange of Queens. Though the forces are then greatly diminished, Petrosian can, remarkably enough, really start an attack rolling.

The King is now closer to the center for the endgame, and the Rooks are in touch with each other. White still threatens to win the Knight by 19 P—Kt4.

The stabilized center enables White to set in motion the phalanx of Pawns on the King side, without worrying too much about counterplay.

Black prepares to meet 21 P—R5 with 21 ... P—KKt4.

This protects the Knight Pawn, making 23 P—KB4 and 24 P—B5 possible—the next steps in the invasion.

Black tries a diversion on the Queen side, a gesture to which his opponent pays no attention.

The attack begins! Two immediate threats are: 25 P×P, P×P 26 B×KKtP winning a Pawn, and 25 P—K6, BP×P 26 P—B6, stealing the Knight, which has no flight square.


No better is 24 ... K—R2 25 P×Pch, P×P, when White can attack the doomed Knight Pawn again by 26 P—R5 or 25 R—B6.

The last exchange has uncovered an attack on Black's Knight. It it pinned by the Rook, and White threatens to win the beast by 26 P—B6.

This of course is the way to break up the position, and not 26 P—B6, to which Black replies 26 ... Kt—K3.

Forced, the alternative 27 ... Kt—R4 losing a piece by the Bishop fork 28 B—Kt6.

This is the position before Petrosian administers the coup de grâce:



29 R-Kt7!

Decisive! At one stroke the Bishop is attacked, the King imprisoned, and mate in two threatened by the Knight!

Black is curiously helpless! After 29...QR—Q1, 30 Kt—B4 threatens a mate at Kt6 which cannot possibly be parried.

E GAME NINE

Passed Pawn's Lust to Expand

R. Fischer · H. Berliner

New York 1960, ALEKHINE DEFENSE



Bobby Fischer

"A passed Pawn increases in strength," says Capablanca, "as the number of pieces on the board diminishes."

In this game Fischer demonstrates in brilliant style the power that is pent-up in a passed Pawn. Note how Fischer drives off the blockaders of the Pawn, and disposes of the pieces that impede its progress. Note also how Fischer's persistent threats against unprotected Pawns and pieces keep his opponent on the run while he gains precious time.

Fischer's restless energy in attack is reminiscent of the fire and dash of that other prodigy who dazzled the chess world with his mastery—

Paul Morphy.

1 P—K4 Kt—KB3

This defense to I P—K4 was first played in serious tournament chess in 1921, when Alekhine beat Steiner with it at Budapest. Previous to this no master had ever ventured on I ... Kt—KB3. It seemed a sad waste of time to let the Knight be chased around by Pawns, aside from the fact that these Pawns were building up an imposing center for White. Alekhine showed that the Pawn center was not so strong as it looked, and that the advanced Pawns could be vulnerable objects of attack.

But not 3 ... Kt—QB3, when 4 P—QB4, Kt—Kt3 5 P—Q5, Kt×KP (if 5 ... Kt—Kt5 6 P—B5, Kt(Kt3)×P 7 P—QR3, and Black loses a Knight) 6 P—B5, Kt(Kt3)—B5 7 P—B4 wins a Knight, as Borochow did from Reuben Fine.


4 P—QB4	Kt-Kt3
5 P×P	$\mathbf{BP} \times \mathbf{P}$
6 Kt—QB3	P-Kt2
7 B—Q3	B—Kt2
8 KKt—K2	

Preferable to 8 Kt—B3, which allows an annoying pin by 8 ... B—Kt5.

This is the sort of move which must be made, win or lose. Black must assert his right to a fair share of the center.

Protects the Bishop Pawn, relieving the King Bishop of that task. It is important not to tie pieces down to menial duties.

This is the position, with White to play:



Now there is a threat of 16 P—KKt4, dislodging the protector of the Queen Pawn.

The best way to meet the threat is probably by 15 ... Q—B2. Then if 16 P—QKt4, P—Kt3 leaves Black with a cramped but tenable position.

This remedy though, proves worse than the disease. White simply exchanges Knights, thereby creating a passed Pawn.

It may hurt a bit to let Black have the two Bishops, but otherwise the Knight (ideal blockader of a passed Pawn) settles down on Q3, and stops the Queen Pawn dead in its tracks.

It is true that 18 ... P—K5 is not promising, as White can win a Pawn by 19 Kt—Kt3, B—Q2 20

Kt×P, P—B4 21 Kt—B3, but giving up the center without being compelled to do so, has no justification. Black should batten down the hatches with 18 ... Q—Q3, and prepare for the storm that will assail his K4 square.


An alert move that kills off one of the Bishops.

Clearly, 20 ... P×Kt 21 R×B leaves Black's Pawn position on the King side in ruins, but if Black tries to keep both his Bishops by 20 ... B—R1, then 21 Kt—Kt3, B—Q2 22 B—B4, Q—K2 23 R—K1, Q—Kt2 24 B—Q6, P—B4 25 B×R, Q×R 26 Q×Q, B×Q 27 B×P gives White the advantage, as Leonard Barden points out.

21 Kt×B

 $K \times Kt$

This is the situation:



22 B-B4

White's pieces spring to life, with this and the next few moves. The Bishop comes into active play by the attack on the Queen, and a blockader of the passed Pawn will be driven away. The Pawn, with its lust to expand, is anxious to press on.

Threatens to win the exchange by 24 B—R6ch, and at the same time clears the way for the Queen Rook to come into the game.

Believe it or not, this is a strong attacking move!

The tempting 27 ... Q-K7 would be fatal after 28 Q×Q, R×Q 29 P-KKt4, B-K5 30 R×P, and Black is faced with unavoidable mate.

White does not play 28 P—KKt4 at once, as after 28 ... B×P 29 P×B, Q×Pch, Black has an easy draw by perpetual check. In fact, there are a number of amusing ways in which White could lose if he tried to avoid the draw. For example:

- (1) 30 K—R2, R—K7ch winning the Queen.
- (2) 30 K—R1, R—K7, and White must give up the Queen or be mated.
- (3) 30 Q—Kt2, Q—Q5ch 31 K—R2, Q—R5ch 32 Q—R3, R—B7ch and Black wins the Queen.
- (4) 30 Q—Kt2, Q—Q5ch 31 R— B2, R—K8ch 32 K—R2, Q—R5ch

33 Q—R3, R—R8ch 34 $K \times R$, $Q \times Q$ ch 35 R—R2 (to save the Bishop) Q—B8 mate.

(5) 30 Q—Kt2, Q—Q5ch 31 K— R1, Q—R5ch 32 Q—R2, Q—K5ch 33 K—Kt1, Q—Kt5ch 34 K—B2, R—K7 mate.

The move White does play attacks the Queen Bishop Pawn, and also threatens 29 P—KKt4, B×P 30 Q×KBP, and Black must give up a piece by 30 ... Q—Q5ch 31 Q×Q, P×Q 32 P×B, or be mated.

Things go at a rapid pace from now on. Every one of Fischer's moves is a hammer blow!

30 R—Q1	B—K5
31 P—Q6	

The Pawn takes a giant step.

<i>31</i>	Q—K4
32 B—B4	Q—B6
<i>33</i> P—Q7	R—Q1
34 O_K2	O—B6

There is no hope in 34 ... Q× KRP 35 Q×B, Q×Pch 36 K—B2, Q×R 37 Q—K6ch, K—Kt2 (or 37 ... K—B1 38 B—R6 mate) 38 Q—K7ch, and White wins.

If 36 ... B×R 37 B×R, K—B2 38 B×P, and the Pawn becomes a Queen next move.

国 GAME TEN I

Rook and Pawn Ending

V. Smyslov · S. Reshevsky

Moscow 1948, Ruy Lopez



Vassily Smyslov

All chess players (and that includes you and me) must have a sadistic streak or we would not enjoy seeing a fellow chessplayer being methodically and remorselessly crushed.

In this game Smyslov gets an iron grip on the center almost from the start. He tightens the grip move by move in the style made famous by Tarrasch. Something has to give way, and it turns out

to be a Pawn that weakens and can not avoid being captured.

Once Smyslov is a Pawn ahead he brings the game quickly to an ending. He brings it in fact to one of those Rook and Pawn endings which are so confounded instructive. His treatment is more than worthy of note, as Smyslov plays it beautifully. His skill in that department is now so evident as to find his name

mentioned in the same breath with those of Capablanca and Rubinstein.

There is no higher praise.

1 P—K4	P-K4
2 Kt—KB3	Kt—QB3
3 B—Kt5	P—QR3
4 B-R4	P—Q3
5 P—B3	KKt—K2

This development of the Knight was favored by Steinitz, although he was not particularly successful with it. The idea is to bring the Knight to Kt3, where it exerts pressure on the square K4 and is prepared to seize the outpost B5.

With the transparent threat of 8 Kt—Kt5, attacking the vulnerable Bishop Pawn with two pieces.

This Knight is headed by way of QB4 and K3 for the outpost Q5, where it can make its presence felt.

Nice timing! A mechanical move, such as 9 O—O would allow the reply 9 ... Kt—B5 followed by 10 ... P—KKt4, and Black has seized the initiative.

The Rook move turns out to be inferior to 12 ... P×P 13 Kt×QP, R—K1, played in an earlier round

of the tournament by Keres and Euwe.

Here is the position:



13 P×P!

This deserves an exclamation point! White gets the advantage with this capture, no matter how the opponent recaptures the Pawn—and he has five ways of doing so!

If $13 \dots P \times P$ 14 Kt × Bch, $P \times Kt$ (but not 14 ... $Q \times Kt$ as 15 $Q \times B$ wins a piece for White) 15 $B \times RP$, and White wins a Pawn.

If 13 ... KKt×P 14 Kt×Kt, Kt×Kt 15 P—KB4, Kt—B3 (on 15 ... Kt—Kt5 16 P—KR3 wins a Pawn) 16 Q—B3, with a fine position for White.

The Queen comes into play while vacating a good square for the benefit of the Rook.

Strategically, White's game is superior. His Knight dominates the center, his Rook exerts pressure on the Queen file, and he has two active Bishops.

Tactically, White has threats in 17 Kt—Kt6, winning the exchange by virtue of the discovered attack on the Queen, and in 17 B×P, P×B 18 Kt—B6ch, winning the Queen for Rook and Bishop.

Black is understandably anxious to remove the Knight, even at the cost of increasing the power of the Bishops.

17 R×B

Of course not 17 P×B, as the Pawn would shut off the action of the Bishop and the Rook. The capture with the Pawn would also allow Black counter-play by 17 ... P—K5 18 Q—K2, Kt—R4.

A powerful move! The immediate threat is 19 R—Q7 winning on the spot, as the Rook attacks the Queen and the tender King Bishop Pawn behind the Queen.

Keeps the Rook out. If instead 18... QR—Q1 19 R×R, R×R 20 B×RP (not 20 Q×Kt as 20... R—Q8ch 21 B×R, P×Q is a nasty surprise for White) P×B 21 Q×Ktch, and White wins a couple of Pawns.

This prevents the Bishop from coming in at B5.

Euwe points out the merits of this quiet little move:

- (1) It provides the King with a flight square against threats of mate on the back rank.
- (2) It prevents an unwelcome intrusion at B4 by the Knight.
- (3) It protects the square R4, the importance of which will be evident later on.

A desperate attempt to relieve the pressure on the Queen file by doubling Rooks.

22 R×R

Smyslov doesn't give him time to complete the operation.

This leaves Black with an organic weakness—a backward Pawn on an open file.

A subtle move. The direct threat is 24 B×P winning a Pawn, the indirect threat is 24 R—Q2 followed by 25 Q—Q1, bearing down on the luckless Queen Pawn.

Black would have no picnic after 23 ... K—B1, when the reply 24 B—Kt6 threatens to win by 25 B×Kt, P×B 26 Q—B3ch, K—K1 27 Q—Q3, K—Q2 28 B—B5, and the Queen Pawn cannot be saved.

24 B-Kt6!

Very strong! It prevents 24 ... R—Q1 protecting the Pawn, and also deprives Black of counter-

play beginning with 24 ... Kt-R4.

White's intention now (if undisturbed) is to win the Queen Pawn by doubling his heavy pieces on that file.


An awkward move (since the Rook is shut off) but the Knight wants to get to Q2, to drive the troublesome Bishop away.

Other defenses are no more satisfactory. For instance:

- (1) 24 ... Kt—B4 25 B×Kt, P×B 26 R—Q7, winning a Pawn.
- (2) 24 ... Kt(B3)—Q1 25 R—Q2, P—B3 (to protect the Pawn by 26 ... Kt—KB2) 26 B×Kt!, Kt×B 27 Q—Q1, and the Pawn falls.
- (3) 24 ... R—QB1 25 R—Q2, Kt—Kt1 26 Q—Q1, R—B3 27 B—R7, Kt—Q2 28 B—Q5, R—B2 29 B×Kt, Q×B 30 R×P, and White has won a Pawn.

Capturing with the Queen instead is more expensive, viz: 25 ... Q×B 26 Q×Q, P×Q 27 R×P, and the King Pawn comes off the board next move.

This is the position, with White to play:



Clever play! The idea is to force an exchange which will rid the board of Black's Queen, the only defender of the Oueen Pawn.

Note that this stratagem was made possible by White's triple-threat 21st move P—Kt3.

No better is $26 \dots Q \times Q$ 27 $P \times Q$, and the Pawn is beyond help.

Brutal, but they always say, "Chess is not for the kind-hearted."

There is no way to save the Pawn. On 28 ... Kt—B3 29 B—B7 seals its fate.

It is easy to go wrong, even in a winning position. For instance, if 30 B×P (plausible enough) R—Q1 31 P—B3, P—QKt3, and Black will attack and win the pinned Bishop next move by 32 ... Kt—Kt2.

There is no comfort in 30 ... Kt×P when 31 R×KP wins two King Pawns for one.

31 B-Kt6	KtR5
32 R×P	$Kt \times KtP$
33 R x P	Kt—B5


If 33 ... R×P 34 B—Q4!, R—B8ch 35 K—Kt2, Kt—Q6 36 R—K7, and the rest is easy for White.

34 R-K6	Kt×B
35 R×Kt	$R \times P$
36 R×KtP	RB7
37 P-KR4	

The Queen Rook Pawn could not be saved, but White can put his trust in the Pawn majority on the Kingside.

$$37 \dots R \times RP$$

This is how things stand:



We now have a position of the sort Capablanca had in mind when he said, "Endings of one Rook and Pawns are about the most common sort of endings arising on the chess board. Yet though they do occur so often, few have mastered them thoroughly. They are often of a very difficult nature, and sometimes while apparently very simple they are in reality extremely intricate."

We can appreciate this particular ending if we have an outline of Smyslov's general plan.

White's Rook will assume its best position—at QR7, where it dominates the all-important seventh rank, and at the same time keeps the adverse passed Rook Pawn under constant attack, no matter how far it advances on the file.

White's King will advance under a Pawn shelter to KKt6, where it is in position, assisted by the Rook, to remove Black's King side Pawns. The Pawn shelter is necessary to prevent Black from checking and then Queening his Pawn, this Pawn having reached QR7, with the Rook defending it at QR8.

The Rook not only keeps the dangerous Pawn under surveillance, but ties down the adverse Rook to its defense.

On 41 ... R—R6 (to keep the King from advancing), White builds a shelter by 42 P—B3, and then continues by 43 K—Kt3 and 44 K—B4.

42 K-Kt3	RK7
43 KB3	R-R7
44 KK3	KB1
45 P-B3	RR8
46 K—B4	

Intending (if let alone) to follow with 47 K—B5 and 48 P—B4.

Care is needed every step of the way. The hasty 47 K-K5 would lead to 47 ... R-KB8 48 R×RP (the Pawn was threatening to become a Queen) R×P, and White will have trouble winning.

A King move is about all that

Black has left. If he plays 47 ... K—K1, then 48 K—B5, R—KB8 49 R×P, R×Pch 50 K—K6 (threatens mate) K—Q1 51 R—R8ch, K—B2 52 R—R7ch, and White wins.

48 K—B5! R—KB8 49 R×P R×Pch 50 K—Kt6 K—B1

Or 50 ... R—B2 51 R—R8ch (definitely not 51 P—K6, R—B3

mate!) R—B1 52 R×Rch, K×R 53 P—K6, K—K2 (if 53 ... K—Kt1 54 P—K7 and mate next) 54 K×P and wins.

51 R—R8ch K—K2 52 R—R7ch Resigns

Black loses the King-side Pawns. After 52 ... K—B1 53 R×P, R—B5 54 K×P, White's Pawns can not be stopped.

King in the Center

S. Tartakover · M. Frentz

Paris 1933, English Opening

If once a man delays Castling and his King remains in the center, files will open up against him, Bishops sweep the board, Rooks will dominate the seventh rank, and Pawns turn into Queens. Irving Chernev.

The moment I wrote this I recalled another piece of advice, moral in nature:

If once a man indulges himself in murder, very soon he comes to think little of robbing; and from robbing he comes next to drinking and Sabbath-breaking, and from that to incivility and procrastination. Thomas De Quincey.

1 P—QB4 2 Kt—QB3	KtKB3 PK3
3 PK4	PQ4
4 BP×P 5 P—K5	P×P
2 I —-KJ	PQ5

Black has a good line in 5 ... Kt—K5, sacrificing a Pawn for the sake of the initiative. The continuation could be 6 Kt×Kt, P×Kt 7 Q—R4ch, Kt—B3 8 Q×KP, B—K3 9 B—Kt5, B—Q4 10 Q—KKt4, P—QR3 11 B×Ktch, B×B.

It is almost incredible that each player should have at this early stage a passed Pawn on the seventh rank. Naturally, this remarkable position will last only for a fleeting moment.

8 B×P	$B \times P$
9 Q—B2	Kt—B3
10 Kt—B3	B-Kt5

Black is neglecting the safety of his King. The modest 10... B—Q2, with a view to Queen side Castling offered better chances.

This attacking move is unjustified in view of the dangers facing his exposed King. It is hard to suggest an airtight defense, but Black might have done better with 12... Q—B3 14 R—K1ch, K—B1, and prepare for a long, hard winter.

Good moves are getting scarce: 13 ... K—B1 loses at once by 14 B—Kt4ch, K—Kt1 15 R—Kt1 (threatens 16 R×Kt), while 13 ... Kt—K3 fails after 14 B—Kt5ch, P—B3 15 B×Pch, P×B 16 Q×Pch, K—B1 17 B—Kt4ch, and White wins the Queen.

Just about the only move. The

alternatives are:

- (1) 15 ... K—Q2 16 B—B5 (not 16 B—B3, P—QB4) winning the pinned Knight.
- (2) 15 ... K—K3 16 B—R3ch, P—B4 17 KR—K1ch, K—B2 18 R—K7ch, K—B1 19 R×B dis ch, and White wins two pieces for a Rook.
- (3) 15 ... K-K3 16 B-R3ch, Kt-B4 17 KR-K1ch, K-B3 18 B-B3ch, K-Kt4 (if 18 ... K-Kt3 19 B×Ktch wins a piece) 19 R-Q5, and White wins a piece.

16 B—Kt5ch! P—B3


Here if 16 ... Kt×B 17 KR— K1ch and mate next move.

17 KR-K1ch

Every piece is engaged in the attack!

17 ... Kt—K3 18 B—B4 R—Q1

This is the position, with White to play:



19 B×Kt

White does not hold on to his Bishops. One must know when to give up one advantage for the sake of securing another, and perhaps better one.

19	$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Rch}$
20 K×R	$P \times B$
21 $R \times Pch$	KQ1
22 R—K7!	

Much better than the meek 22 K—B2, protecting the Knight Pawn. The Rook must be aggressive in the endgame.

$$22 \dots B \times P$$


If 22...B—B1 23 R × KtP (guards the Bishop directly) K—B1 24 R×QRP (now indirectly by the threat of 25 R—R8ch) K—Kt1 25 R—R4 (once again directly, having picked up two Pawns in the interval).

23 R×KtP

Attacks the Queen Rook Pawn, and also threatens 24 B—R5ch, discovering an attack on the Bishop.

The Bishop now protects the Pawn at one side of the board and the Rook at the other. So White disturbs the Bishop by a problem-move.

This is the position:



24 B-B5!

A pretty move which renews the threat of winning the Queen Rook Pawn.

24 ... K—B1

Obviously $24 \dots B \times B$ would lose the Rook by 25 R—Kt8ch.

25 R×QRP K—Kt1 26 B×B R—Q1

Black will win the piece back, but White meanwhile gains time—and Pawns.

27 R×P 28 K—K2 29 P—R4 R×Bch R—QR5

"Passed Pawns must be pushed!" as I must have said a thousand times.

29 ... R×Pch 30 K—K3 K—B1

The King hurries back to head off the Pawn. If Black defends by

getting his Rook behind the passed Pawn, this is how the play might run: 30 ... R—R8 31 P—R5, R—R8 32 P—R6, P—B4 33 K—Q3, R—R5 34 P—B4, R×BP 35 R—KKt7, R—KR5 (if 35 ... R—B1 36 P—R7 followed by 37 R—Kt8 wins) 36 P—R7, K—B1 37 R—Kt8ch and White wins.

31 P—R5 K—Q1 32 P—R6 K—K1 33 R—QKt7 K—B1

Or 33 ... R—R8 34 P—R7, R—R8 35 P—R8(Q)ch, R×Q 36 R—Kt8ch, and White wins.

34 P—R7 R—R6ch 35 K—B4 Resigns

An easily understood bright little game.

営 GAME TWELVE ■

The Shifting Attack

S. Reshevsky · M. Najdorf

Dallas 1957, Nimzo-Indian Defense

The attack of a tactician can be troublesome to meet—that of a strategist even more so. Whereas the tactician's threats may be unmistakable, the strategist confuses the issue by keeping things in abeyance. He threatens to threaten!

Take this game for instance: Reshevsky posts a Knight at Q6 to get a grip on the center. Then he establishes a passed Pawn on one wing to occupy his opponent on the Queen side. Finally he stirs up the position on the King-side. What does the poor bewildered opponent do? How can he defend everything at once? Where will the blow fall?

Watch Reshevsky keep Najdorf on the run, as he shifts the attack from side to side!

A quiet-looking but exceedingly strong move.

This is better than 5 ... P—Q4 6 P—QR3, P×QP 7 P×B, P×Kt 8 Kt×P, O—O 9 P×P, Kt×P 10 Kt×Kt, Q×Kt 11 Q×Q, P×Q 12 B—Q2, as Najdorf discovered when he lost with this line to Botvinnik in 1956.

6 P×P	PQ4
7 P—B5	Kt-K5
8 B-021	

Reshevsky cheerfully allows one of his Bishops to be exchanged for a Knight. The old line of play 8 P—QR3, Kt×Kt9Kt×Kt, B×Ktch 10 P×B, P—QKt3 11 P×P, P×P 12 B—Q3, B—R3, is in Black's favor.

8	$Kt \times B$
9 Q×Kt	P—QKt3
10 P-QR3	$B \times Kt$

Forced, since 10 ... B—R4 allows 11 P—QKt4 trapping the Bishop.

This prevents White from supporting his passed Pawn by 13 P—QKt4. It might have been better though to Castle, and get some pieces into active play.

Clearly, 13 ... Kt-Q2 is immediately fatal, as after 14 P-B6

the Knight dares not move away.

If Black tries to prevent 15 P—QKt4 by 14 ... Kt—R3, the advance 15 P—B6 wins a piece, or if 14 ... Kt—B3 (with the same object) 15 Kt×P, (a sacrifice one would make instinctively) P×Kt 16 Q×QP needs no analysis to demonstrate Black's helplessness.

White will now have two connected passed Pawns, whether Black captures or not.


 $\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B}$

This will enable White to anchor a Knight at Q6, but if 15 ... Kt—B3 instead, 16 B×Kt, B×B 17 P—Kt5 is not particularly pleasant for Black.

White gets a tremendous positional advantage with this move. The Knight exerts pressure in every direction, and is not easily dislodged from its fine outpost.

The immediate threat is 18 Kt—Kt7, Q—B2 19 Kt×P, Kt×BP 20 KR—B1!, Kt—K5 21 R×Q, Kt×Q 22 P—B3 (cuts off the Knight's retreat) KR—B1 23 QR—QB1, R×R 24 R×R, Kt—Kt8 25 P—Kt5!, P—R3 26 P—Kt6, R×Kt 27 P—Kt7, R—Kt4 28 R—B8ch and White wins.

This is the position, with White to move:



18 P-B4!

The attack shifts to the King side! The threat is 19 P—B5 followed by 20 P—KB6, disrupting the Pawns guarding Black's King. If Black tries to prevent the advance by 18 ... P—Kt3, this might occur: 19 P—B5!, KP×P (if 19 ... KtP×P 20 Q—Kt5ch, K—R1 21 Q—B6ch, K—Kt1 22 R—B3, and mate follows soon) 20 R×P, P×R 21 Q—Kt5ch, K—R1 22 Q—B6ch, K—Kt1 23 Kt×P(B5), and Black must give up his Queen to prevent mate.


Here if 19 ... RP×P 20 P—KB6 (threatens 21 Q—Kt5, P—Kt3 22 Q—R6 and mate next) P×BP 21 Q—R6 and there is no defense, e.g. if 21 ... P—B4 22 Q—Kt5ch, K—R1 23 Q—B6ch wins, or if 21 ... Q—K2 22 R—B3, K—R1 23 R—R3 is decisive.

If Black defends the Bishop Pawn by 21 ... P—Kt3, the reply is still 22 Kt×P(B5), after which 22 ... P×Kt 23 Q—Kt5ch, K—R1 24 Q—B6ch, K—Kt1 allows White the

luxury of choosing one of several winning moves—25 R—B3, or 25 R—Kt3, or 25 R×P—but not 25 Q×Kt, which would be petty, even if it did win.

In this line, if Black refused the Knight and played 22 ... P—B3 to give his King more room, White could force a quick win by 23 Q×Pch, Q×Q (on 23 ... R—B2 24 Kt—R6ch wins) 24 Kt—K7ch followed by 25 Kt×Q, and the passed Pawns are irresistible.

This is the position on the board:



22 Q—B3!

A master move! The Queen operates on both wings simultaneously! On the King side, the Queen's pin of the Knight Pawn prevents it from moving to Kt3 to protect the Bishop Pawn. On the Queen side, the Queen's defense of the Bishop Pawn makes the advance of the Queen Knight Pawn feasible.

The Knight returns to the theater of action.

Threatens to win a piece (or at

least the exchange) by 26 KR-K1.

Protects the Queen, and thereby frees the Knight—but it's getting late!

This allows White to win the Queen by drawing away the Rook that protects it, but if 26 ... Kt—B1 instead, there follows 27 Q×Q, R×Q (on 27 ... Kt×Q 28 Kt—B7ch wins the exchange) 28 P—Kt5, an advance by the Pawns which will be decisive.

27 R—K8ch!
$$Q \times R$$

Obviously $27 \dots R \times R \ 28 \ Q \times Q$ does not improve matters.

Nicely played! Giving up a Pawn to disorganize Black's pieces is the quickest way to break down resistance.

Or 29 ... $R \times P$ 30 $R \times R$, $Kt \times R$ 31 Q-Q7, R-QKt1 32 P-B6, and White wins easily.

Attacking the Queen by 30 ... R(K1)—Q1 is useless. White simply plays 31 R×Kt, and Black may not capture either Queen or Rook.

Does Najdorf really think that Reshevsky will fall into 33 P—B7, R—Kt8 mate?

国 GAME THIRTEEN I

Every Move a Threat!

M. Porges · E. Lasker

Nuremberg 1896, Ruy Lopez

One of the reasons Lasker was a tough man to beat was that he made use of ideas in his games years before they were discovered by the Hypermoderns. Here for example he demonstrates the Nimzovich concept that a restricted position is not necessarily disadvantageous. What counts is the amount of pent-up energy in the position, and the possibility of this energy exploding.

I think you will enjoy the way Lasker lets loose with ten moves in a row, each of them containing a threat!

Lasker preferred this move, which develops a piece, to the popular $3 \dots P$ —QR3.

Regarding the capture of a Pawn early in the game, Lasker gives this advice: "When you are conscious not to have violated the rules laid down, you should accept the sacrifice of an important Pawn, as the King Pawn, Queen Pawn, or one of the Bishop Pawns. If you do not, as a rule, the Pawn which you have rejected will become very trouble-

some to you. Do not accept the sacrifice, however, with the idea of maintaining your material advantage at the expense of development. Such a policy never pays in the end. By far the better plan is to give the Pawn up after your opponent has made some exertions to gain it."

This is stronger than $6 \text{ P} \times \text{P}$, which lets Black free his game by $6 \dots \text{P}$ —Q4 7 P×P e.p., Kt×QP.

6	Kt-Q3
7 B×Kt	KtP×B
8 P×P	Kt-Kt2

The Knight retreats, but after it makes its way back to K3, by way of B4, it will exert a great deal of influence on the center squares.

9 P--QKt3

The fianchettoed Bishop is not particularly well placed, as it exerts no pressure on Black's position. The natural 9 Kt—B3 is stronger, or perhaps 9 Kt—Q4 to prevent Black from playing 9 ... P—Q4.

Once he gets ... P—Q4 in, Black can equalize in nearly all King Pawn openings.

11
$$P \times P$$
 e.p.

This does away with Black's center Pawn, but in return it enables him to dissolve his doubled Pawn.

With indirect threats against the Queen. It is interesting to see how quickly Black acquires a decisive advantage.

13 KR-K1

Restrains the Bishop for the time being. If instead 13 Q—Q3, Kt—B4 forces the Queen back to the King file, since 14 Q—B4 loses the exchange after 14 ... B—R3, and 14 Q—B3 is dangerous after 14 ... B—B3 15 Kt—Q4, Q—Kt3, and Black threatens 16 ... Kt—K3.

Protects the Rook (a necessary step, as we shall see) while developing a piece.


An attempt to be aggressive, but it turns out to be a waste of time. The modest 14 Q—B1 was safer, even though it seems to be an admission of helplessness.

Once again Black establishes a Pawn in the center—and with gain of time!

15 QKt—Q2

The Knight is forced to return to the square it came from. If instead 15 Kt—Kt3, the reply 15 ... B—QKt5 wins the exchange for Black, while 15 Kt—B3 loses a whole piece by 15 ... B—QR6 16 Q—R6, B×B.

This is the position, with Black to play:



The only move, since 16 Q—R6 loses a piece by 16 ... B×B 17 QR—Kt1, Kt—B4.

This was forced, but is White wriggling out of his troubles? The Queen is now attacking two pieces.

This is better than 18 Q×Kt, P—K5 19 Q—R6 (on 19 Kt—Q4, B—Kt7 wins the exchange) B—Kt7 20 QR—Kt1, B—B3, and White's unfortunate Knight, having no flight square, is lost.

Black has attained his objective. All his pieces are admirably placed for a King side attack, while White's pieces are disorganized and ineffective.

20 P—QB3 R—KB1 31 P—B3

If 21 R—KBI (to protect the Bishop Pawn) Q—Kt4 (attacks the Knight) 22 Q—B1, B—R6, and White must give up the exchange by 23 P—Kt3 to prevent mate.

21 ... Q—Kt4!

"One attacking move after another! Lasker plays this very strongly," says Tarrasch, who was usually rather chary of praise.

22 Q-B1


The threatened Knight cannot move because of 22 cdots P-B4 followed by 23 cdots P imes P and wins; or if 22 imes QR-Q1, P-B4 23 Kt-K2, P imes P, and White is overwhelmed with threats, chief of which are 24 cdots Q imes P mate, 24 cdots P-B7ch and 24 cdots P imes Kt.

22 ... Kt—B4!

The Knight enters with powerful effect. The first threat is 23 ... Kt—Q6 winning the exchange.

23 Kt—B1 Q—Kt3 24 R—K3 Kt—Q6 25 Q—Q1

This is the position, with Black to move:



25 ... Kt—B5!

Threatens mate in one move (26 ... Q×P mate) and the Queen in two (26 ... Kt—R6ch 27 K—R1, Kt—B7ch).

26 Kt—Kt3 P—KR4!

The Knight must be dislodged!

27 Kt(Q4)—K2 Kt \times P!

The sacrifice will bring the King out into the open.

28 K×Kt P×Pch 29 R×P B—R6ch!

Or 30 K—B2, B—Kt5 31 R×Rch, R×Rch 32 K—K3, P—R5 33 Kt—KB1, Q—K5ch 34 K—Q2, R—B7, and White is lost.

30 ... Q—Kt5ch 31 K—Kt2 Q×Rch 32 K—Kt1

Or 32 K—R3, Q—Kt5ch 33 K—Kt2, P—R5, and the poor Knight is pinned.

32 ... P—R5 33 Kt—R1

If 33 Kt-KBI, P-R6 forces mate quickly.

33 ... Q—K6ch 34 Resigns

For 34 K—Kt2 allows a pretty mate by the Rook Pawn.

国 GAME FOURTEEN 置

A Touch of Jujitsu

T. Petrosian · V. Korchnoi

Leningrad 1946, DUTCH DEFENSE

Petrosian must have the spark of genius! How else could he, with a few mysterious moves, cause the quick collapse of so eminent a player as Korchnoi?

By means of subtle strategy Petrosian brings about a position where his opponent's pieces must depend on each other for support. The Queen defends a Knight which defends a Rook which defends a Pawn. With the skill of a jujitsu expert, Petrosian applies pressure to the critical points, and Korchnoi is forced to resign at once.

The Dutch Defense was for a long time a favorite defense of Alekhine and Botvinnik, both of whom won some marvellous games with it. One has only to recall Bogolyubov-Alekhine, Hastings 1922 (in my opinion the most brilliant game ever played), Rabinovich-Botvinnik, Moscow 1927, and Steiner-Botvinnik, Groningen 1946 to realize that the Dutch can be a formidable weapon in the right hands.

Black adopts the Stonewall formation. In this type of counterattack, the Knight will occupy the outpost K5, the Queen (after the King has Castled) will move to KR4, by way of K1, the King Knight Pawn will start the attack rolling by advancing to Kt4, and the King Rook will swing over to KR3 by way of KB3 to add weight to the assault.

One would expect the fianchetto development of the Bishop after 7 P—QKt3, but Petrosian prefers to remove Black's King Bishop, a potentially dangerous attacking piece. Black's other Bishop is less to be feared, hemmed in as it is by Pawns on white squares.

The Knight must not stay on the sidelines! The great master, you will note, does not waste time at every stage looking for a move or a combination that will suddenly win the game in a burst of brilliancy. What he is concerned with, long before he looks for any combinations, is to see that every piece is


placed where it can do some good. Every piece should be centralized (if possible), every piece should have a fair amount of mobility (freedom of movement), and every piece should have some influence on the course of the game.

Only a great master would find this move! Its first effect is to prevent Black from continuing his attack with 11... P—KKt4. (It is as important to prevent the opponent from making good moves as it is to make them yourself.) Its later effect will be the entrance of the Queen at QB7, with startling consequences.

This is the sort of move Nimzovich would recommend. The Knight is headed for K5, to exploit the weakness created on that square by Black's Pawn position in the center. Nimzovich gave it as a principle that "Strategically important points should be overprotected. If the pieces are so engaged, they get their reward in the fact that they will then find themselves well posted in every respect."

Stealing a Pawn would be disastrous: After 14 ... Q×KP 15 P—B3, Kt(K5)—B3 (or 15 ... Kt—Q7) 16 R—K1 follows, and White wins the Queen.

This is the position, with White to play:



16 P-K4!

An offer of a Pawn which Black does not dare accept. For instance: If 16 ... BP×P 17 Kt×Kt, B×Kt 18 Kt—K5, QR—Q1 19 P×KP, R×Rch 20 Q×R (the threats now are 21 Q—B6ch winning a Rook, and 21 Kt×B, R×Kt 22 Q—B8 mate) Q—K1 (if 20 ... Q—R3 21 P—B5 wins the Knight since it must stay put) 21 Q—B6ch, K—Kt1 22 Q×KtPch, K—R1 23 R—KB1, and Black is helpless against the threats (24 P—B5 followed by 25 Kt—B7ch, and 24 Q—B6ch, K—Kt1 25 R—B4).

16	Kt—B2
17 BP×P	$Kt(Q2) \times Kt$ $QBP \times P$
18 P×Kt	
19 P×QP	$P \times P$

Material is even, but White has more freedom of movement. Is that enough to be decisive?

Discovers an attack on the Queen Pawn.

B—K3 21 Kt—B5, QR—B1 22 Q—K3, Q—Kt3 23 QR-Q1, and White wins a Pawn.

Did Petrosian foresee that he could make this tremendous move when he played Q-B1 ten moves earlier?

Black avoids the temptation to drive the Queen off by 21 ... R-Q2. The reply would be 22 Q—B5, when suddenly White has three threats:

- (1) 23 Q—B8 mate.
- (2) 23 P—K6, winning a piece.
- (3) 23 B×P, winning an important Pawn while bringing the Bishop strongly into the game.


With the move he makes, Black hopes at long last to develop his Queen Bishop, and unite his Rooks.

Quite plausible. The Bishop comes into play attacking a piece, and communication is established between the Rooks.

Here too, driving the Queen away

by 22 ... R-Q2 is fatal. White meets the attack by 23 Q-B6, after which he can win as he pleases— 23 ... R-Kt2 24 Q-K8ch, K-Kt2 25 P-K6 being one possibility.

This is the position:



Notice how Black's pieces must depend on each other for protection. His Queen Pawn is defended by the Rook, the Rook by the Knight, and the Knight is defended by the Queen. Something has to give way if pressure is exerted at the right point.

23 Kt—B4! Resigns

The Queen must abandon the Knight, after taking which, White has an easy win.

The King-Side Attack

S. Tarrasch · T. von Scheve

Leipzig 1894, Queen's Gambit Declined

"A plan is made for a few moves only," says Reuben Fine, "not for the whole game."

This may be so, but here is an instance where Tarrasch maps out a course of play leading practically to mate, from so early a stage as the eighth move in the game!

His attack on a Pawn forces an exchange which pries open the Knight file. On this file, leading straight to von Scheve's King, Tarrasch posts both his Rooks. Then he adds to this concentration of power by swinging the Queen over to that area. The way these heavy pieces then crash through the barriers is in itself an object lesson in the art of conducting an assault against the King.


Instead of this meek move, Black should attack the center by 5 ... P—B4.

Prepares a flight square for the Bishop in the event that Black plays 7 ... Kt—R4. Even in his early years Tarrasch knew the value of preserving the two Bishops.

7 ... Kt—K5

Believe it or not, this might be the losing move! This is Tarrasch's own comment on 7 ... Kt—K5: "The decisive error. After the exchange of Knights, Black's capturing Pawn becomes weak and needs protection by ... P-KB4. It is then attacked by P-B3, forcing Black to exchange and open the Knight file for White. Thereupon there ensues a combined attack of White's Queen, both Rooks and the Queen Bishop against the Knight Pawn (the keystone of the Castled position), an attack which is irresistible. I know of no game in all of chess literature in which it is possible to conceive so detailed a plan, leading almost to mate, and in which the remaining 20 moves lead up to a catastrophe."

This is the position, with White to play:



8 Kt×Kt 9 Kt—Q2 P×Kt B—Kt5

Of this move Tarrasch says characteristically, "Had Black fore-seen the consequences of my plan (which could hardly have been expected of him) he would have retained this Bishop for the protection of the King Knight Pawn."

10 P—R3 11 Q×B $\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{K}$ tch

Now White has the advantage of the two Bishops.

11 ... **0**—**0**

There was no hurry to Castle, and let the opponent know the new address of the King. There was more fight in 11 ... Q—K2 followed by 12 ... P—K4, to get some counterplay in the center.

12 Q—B2 P—KB4

If Black protects the Pawn by 12 ... Kt—B3 instead, then 13 B—K5 followed by 14 B×Kt will win the Pawn.

13 B—Q6!

Gets a grip on the black squares, while preventing 13 ... Q—K2 and

14 ... P-K4.

13 ...

R-K1

Better than this was 13 ... R—B2, which defends the vulnerable Knight Pawn. Black would surely have played this move had he visualized the attack contemplated by Tarrasch against this Pawn.

14 O—O—O 15 **B**—K5 Kt—B3 B—Q2

 $P \times P$

16 P-B3!

Threatens to win a Pawn by 17 B×Kt, Q×B 18 P×P, P×P 19 Q×P, and forces Black's reply.

16 ... 17 P×P

Second step of the plan: the Knight file is now open for business.

17 ...

P-QKt4

Black tries to get some sort of counter-play.

18 R-Kt1 R-KB1

Ready to meet 19 Q—Kt2 with 19...R—B2. Of course not 18... R—K2, with the same object in mind, as White simply snips off a piece by 19 B×Kt.

19 R-Q2!

Much more to the point than mechanically developing a piece. A move such as 19 B—Q3 would be a waste of time, as the Bishop can take no part in the attack against the King Knight Pawn.

19 ... R—B2 20 R(Q2)—Kt2 P—QR4

21 Q-B2!

The Queen is on her way to R4 and then R6.

21 ... Kt—K1

This move not only defends the Pawn once more, but prevents White from moving his Queen to R4.

22 R-Kt5!


"Behind the broad back of this Tower, the Queen will manage to get to the square R4," says Tarrasch.

22 ... Q—K2

If 22 ... P—Kt3, White breaks through by 23 P—KR4 and 24 P—R5.

Or if 22 ... P—R3 23 R—Kt6, K—R2 24 Q—Kt3, Q—K2 25 R×RPch!, P×R 26 Q—Kt6 mate.

This is the position:



23 Q-R4

Threatens 24 Q—R6 followed by 25 R—R5. If then 25 ... P—Kt3 26 R×Pch, Kt—Kt2 (or R—Kt2) 27 Q×Pch, and White wins.

23 ... Kt—B3 24 Q—R6

Threatens 25 B×Kt, Q×B 26 Q×Q, R×Q 27 R×Pch, K—R1 28

R×B, and White wins a piece.

24 ... R—R2

Other defenses lose quickly:

(1) 24 ... P—Kt3 25 R×Pch, P×R 26 R×Pch, R—Kt2 27 B×Kt.

(2) 24 ... K—R1 25 R×P, R×R 26 B×Kt.

(3) $24 \dots$ B—K1 25 B×Kt, Q×B 26 Q×Q, R×Q 27 R×Pch, K—B1 28 R×P, and White wins easily.

25 B-Q6!

In a strategically superior position, the combinations come of themselves!

White sacrifices the Bishop to lure away one of the defenders of the Knight Pawn.

25 ... Q×B 26 R×Pch K—B1

Black must walk into a discovered check! If 26 ... K—R1 instead, 27 Q×Pch, Kt×Q 28 R—Kt8 is checkmate.

27 $R \times P$ dis ch

It is necessary to remove this Pawn, as we will see later.

27 ... K—K2 28 R×Rch K×R 29 R—Kt7ch K—K1 30 Q×Kt

The threat is 31 R—Kt8ch and mate next move. If Black defends by 30...Q—B1, then 31 Q—Kt6ch (this move was made possible by removing the Rook Pawn at the 27th move) K—Q1 32 R—Kt8, and White wins the Queen.

30 ... Resigns

A curious feature of this game is that Tarrasch won it without making a single move with his King Bishop. What makes this particularly noteworthy is that Tarrasch once said, "As Rousseau could not compose

without his cat beside him, so I cannot play chess without my King's Bishop. In its absence the game to me is lifeless and void. The vitalizing factor is missing, and I can devise no plan of attack."

Magnificent Outpost

V. Smyslov · I. Rudakovsky

Moscow 1945, Sicilian Defense

"The Knight at QB3," says Nimzovich, "is under obligation, the moment the enemy gives him the chance, of undertaking an invasion of the center by Kt—Q5."

Smyslov has a Knight at QB3, but does not intend to sit by idly and wait for such a chance. The key square is heavily guarded, but Smyslov finds a way to get rid of its defenders. Once they are disposed of, Smyslov plants his Knight firmly at Q5, and stabilizes the center. He then turns his attention to the King side, and breaks through quickly with a brilliant attack.

1 P—K4	P-QB4
2 KtKB3	P—K3
3 PQ4	$P \times P$
4 $Kt \times P$	Kt-KB3
5 Kt—QB3	P03

The Scheveningen Variation, a deceptive line of play. It is particularly effective against a premature King-side attack.

6 B-K2	B-K2
7 O-O	0-0
8 B-K3	Kt-B3
9 PB4	Q-B2
10 Q-K1	\

Clears the square Q1 for the Queen Rook, and prepares to develop the Queen at KKt3.

10 ... Kt×Kt

This exchange is not in keeping with the requirements of the Scheveningen. In this line, Black's Queen Knight aims at occupying the square QB5 after suitable preparation, say by ... P—QR3 and ... P—QKt4. From that square the Knight will be in position either to destroy (by exchange) one of White's powerful Bishops, or simply stay there and exert strong pressure on the center.

The ideal set-up for Black is something like this:

The Queen Rook Pawn at R3, to prepare for ... P—QKt4, and to prevent White from attacking the Queen by Kt—Kt5.

The Queen Knight Pawn at Kt4.

The Bishops at Q2 and K2.

The King Knight at KB3.

The Queen Knight at QB5 (by way of B3 and R4).

The Queen at QB2.

The Queen Rook at QB1.

The King Rook at Q1.

Besides seizing the outpost at QB5, Black aims at control of the Queen Bishop file, and a fair share of the center after an eventual ... P—Q4.

The thing to remember is that the Scheveningen is a fighting defense. White's threats on the King-side


should be met by counter-attack on the Queen-side.

A good alternative is 11 ... B—Q2 followed by 12 ... B—B3, with something to say about the center.

The position is tricky. If $12 \text{ P} \times \text{P}$, $P \times P$ 13 Q—Kt3 (pinning the Pawn) B—B4 is a counter-pin which might induce White to commit hara-kiri by $14 \text{ Q} \times \text{KP}$, $Q \times Q$.

This leads to more exchanges, which may have been what Black wanted. Preferable though was quiet development by 12 ... B—Q2 and 13 ... B—B3.

Here is the position:



13 P-B5!

Gains a tempo for the pursuit of the King-side attack.

There was still time for the Bishop to retreat to Q2. In Black's offer to exchange Bishops he loses a guardian of his Q4 square.

White is happy to oblige!

And this will get rid of another defender of the vital square. Black cannot avoid the exchange of his Knight.

 $Q \times B$

The exclamation point is not for the move itself (since it is selfevident) but for the manner in which White attained his objective control of the center.

"If the defender is forced to give up the center," said Tarrasch (long before this game was played) "then every possible attack follows almost of itself."

The reader will note that the Knight is not only strongly placed, but is almost impossible to dislodge.


Black avoids 17 ... Q×BP, as after 18 R—B2, Q—B3 19 R—QB1, Q—Q2 20 Kt—B7, he loses the exchange.

18 P—B3	PQKt4
19 P—QKt3	QB4ch
20 K—R1	R—QB1
21 R—B3	KR1

Black might have played 21 ... P—B3 here, to prevent the break-up of his King-side position. The subsequent play would probably have gone something like this: 22 R—R3, P—QR4 23 Q—R4, P—R3 24 Q—Kt4 (threatens 25 R×P) K—R1 25 R—KB1, B—K2 26 Q—Kt6, B—B1 27 P—Kt4, and

Black has no defense.

This is the position, with White to play:



22 P-B6!

A fine sacrifice! It breaks up the enemy Pawn position, and opens up lines leading to his King.

$$22 \dots P \times P$$

On 22 ... P—Kt3, White has choice between the simple 23 Q—Q2 (threatening 24 Q—R6) and the combinative 23 R—R3 (threatens 24 R×Pch, K×R 25 Q—R4ch, K—Kt1 26 Q—R6 followed by mate) P—KR4 24 Q—Kt3, R—KKt1 25 Q—Kt5, K—R2 26 R×Pch, P×R 27 Q×RP mate.

Otherwise 24 R—R3 is immediately decisive.

Threatens 25 Q \times P mate.

Initiates two pretty threats:

- (1) 26 Q×Pch, R×Q 27 R—Kt8 mate.
- (2) 26 R×R, K×R 27 Q×Pch, K×Kt 28 R—B1ch, K—K2 29 Q×P mate.

White's reserve piece comes out of the corner. The intention is now $28 \text{ R} \times \text{P}$ followed by $29 \text{ R} \times \text{R}$, $\text{R} \times \text{R}$ 30 R—Q8ch and mate next move.

Hoping to prolong the struggle (if there is any merit in prolonging a lost game). If now 28 R×P, Q—KB1 29R×R, Q×R 30 Q×Qch, K×Q 31 R×KP, P—QR3, and Black can add a few more moves to his score before resigning.

28 R×R!

But White polishes him off neatly by reversing moves!

Now if 28 ... R×R 29 R×P Q—KB1 30 R—Q8, and Black's Queen is pinned.

The Art of Exchanging Pieces

V. Menchik · J. R. Capablanca

Hastings 1931, QUEEN'S INDIAN DEFENSE

Nothing exciting seems to happen in this game, yet it is a joy to play through. In it we see an interesting aspect of Capablanca's wondrous technique—his inimitable flair for extracting an advantage from the most routine of procedures.

Here for example, Miss Menchik tries to force a draw by exchanging as many pieces as possible. Far from avoiding these attempts to simplify the game, Capablanca welcomes the exchanges, and emerges from each of them with a slightly superior position. By the time most of the pieces have been cleared away, his positional advantage is strong enough to yield a Pawn. One extra Pawn is all Capablanca needs, and since he had an incomparable faculty for making all endings look easy, it is a treat to watch him win this one.

Black indicates that he will try to control the square K5 with his Knight and Queen Bishop. This will make it difficult for White to play P—K4, a desirable objective in Queen Pawn openings.

This attack on the Pawn center is always advisable for Black.

This violates the principle that requires each piece be moved only once in the opening. But White is anxious to exchange pieces, even if time is lost thereby.

The result of the first exchange is that Black has two pieces in the field against one of White's.

Once again White moves a piece twice, neglecting the development of those still on the back rank.

A couple of Bishops are off the board. The difference though is that White's Bishop has disappeared

completely, but Black's has been replaced by another piece—the Queen.

Aside from the fact that White has Castled, she has only one piece in play, while Black has three.

This makes the Queen file available to Black's Rooks, but if 13 P—K4 at once, then 13 ... P×P 14 P×P, QR—B1, and White's Knight and Bishop will still have trouble disentangling themselves.

What a lovely view the Rook has!


The Pawn advances so that the Knight is not tied down to its protection. Once the Knight steps out of the way, the Bishop is free to move. After the Bishop is developed, the Queen Rook can get into the game.

Simple and strong! Black prepares to double Rooks on the open file.

A modest little move, but what else is there? If 18 B—K3, Kt×B 19 R×R, Q×R, and Black dominates the Queen file, while 18 B—Kt5 succumbs to 18 ... B×B 19 Kt×B, Kt—B5! (attacks the Queen and

threatens mate) 20 Q—Kt4, $R \times Rch$ 21 $R \times R$, $R \times Rch$ 22 $Q \times R$, $Q \times P$ mate.

This is the position:



18 ...

P-QKt4!

Vacates the square Kt3 for the convenience of the Knight. From there the Knight can leap to B5 or R5, establishing a strong outpost at one of those squares.

Before continuing matters on the Queen side, Black provides a flight square for his King, meanwhile restricting the activity of White's Knight and Bishop.

21 R×R

White keeps on exchanging pieces, since the position looks quiet and devoid of danger.

A powerful move! It wins a Pawn by force. The threat (besides 24 ... Q×B) is 24 ... Q—B5ch followed by 25 ... Q×RP.

24 B-Kt3

If instead 24 B—K3 (or 24 B—Q2) Kt—B5 wins either the King Pawn or the Queen Knight Pawn. Or if 24 B—B1 (to protect the Knight Pawn) Q—B5ch 25 Q—K2, Q×RP 26 Q×P, Q—Kt8, and the pinned Bishop is lost.

24	Q—B5ch
25 Q—K2	$Q \times Qch$
26 K×Q	Kt—R5
27 K—Q2	

Certainly not 27 P—Kt3, Kt×Pch, and Black wins two Pawns instead of one.

White offers to exchange ...

... and Black is happy to oblige!


In Bishop and Pawn endings, the Pawns should not occupy squares of the color on which the Bishop travels. If they do, the range of activity of the Bishop will be restricted.

Notice how the occupation of K5 by a Pawn hampers the free movement of White's Bishop.

31 B-B4

This is not only an attempt to get the Bishop into active play, but it sets a trap for an over-eager opponent.

This is the position, with Black to play:



Capablanca does not even go near the trap! If 31 ... B—Kt4 (to exchange Bishops and bring it to a simple Pawn ending) 32 B×B, P×B 33 K—K3, K—B1 34 K—Q4, K—K2 35 K—B5, P—R3 36 P—QR3, and White regains her Pawn.

<i>32</i> B—K3	K-B1
33 B—Kt6	KK1
34 K—K3	KQ2
35 K—Q4	KB3
36 B—R7	

The only move for the Bishop, since 36 B—R5 allows 36 ... B—B4ch, and Black wins a Pawn.

Tightening the coils so that neither the Bishop nor the King may move. If the Bishop moves (37 B—Kt8) 37 ... B—B4 is mate, or if the King moves (37 K—K3) 37 ... B—B4ch removes the Bishops and brings it to an ending with Pawns only, and "pure Pawn endings are the easiest endings to win," says Reuben Fine. White also has choice of 37 P×P e.p., but then 37 ... B×Pch 38 K—K4, B×P

leaves Black two Pawns ahead.

37 P-QR4

This may look like suicide, but White's moves are running out. Advancing the Pawns on the Kingside would delay the inevitable for only a brief while—a move by King or Bishop, either of which is fatal.

Or 41 P—R3, P—QR5 42 K—K3, P—R6, and the Pawn can not be headed off.

The rest, if White is not convinced, could go like this: 43 K—Q2, K—Q4 44 K—K3, P—QR5 45 K—Q2, P—R6 46 K—B2, K—K5 47 P—R3, P—R7 48 K—Kt2, K—Q6, and the rest is elementary.

The moral is: Play for a win if you want to get a draw!

The Isolated Pawn

E. Lasker · S. Tarrasch

St. Petersburg 1914, Queen's Gambit Declined

An isolated Pawn stands all by itself, away from any fellow Pawns. It looks weak, since it can only be protected by pieces. Despite its seeming frailty the isolated Pawn is not easily susceptible to capture. For with every piece that threatens to remove it, there is a friendly piece ready to come to its rescue. It takes ingenuity to find a means of procedure.

Lasker has that ingenuity, and this is how he proceeds: He begins by a direct attack on the Pawn. If that does not succeed he attacks the pieces protecting the Pawn. If that effort is repulsed he attacks the Pawns that protect the pieces that protect the isolated Pawn. undermining of the defensive structure at the base is bound to cause a fatal weakening and a consequent loss of material. In this case, Lasker wins a Rook for a Knight and two Pawns. It may not seem like a great deal, but in the hands of a fine player it is enough to be decisive. The way Lasker goes about forcing resignation is a lesson in the art of winning a won game.

A favorite defense with Tarrasch,

who says of it, "This I hold to be the best, although I must add that I am almost completely alone in holding that opinion. It is based upon the undeniably correct idea that in the Queen's Gambit ... P—QB4 is the freeing move for Black, and must therefore be made as soon as possible. With this defense Black gets a fine free game for his pieces, at the cost of isolating his Queen's Pawn."

$KP \times P$
Kt—QB3
Kt—B3
B—K2
$\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{P}$

Tarrasch is quite pleased with the situation. "White has no positional equivalent," he says, "for the centralized Pawn." So far as its isolation is concerned, his view is that, "He who fears an isolated Queen's Pawn should give up chess."

The conventional 9 Kt—B3 permits Black to gain a tempo by 9 ... P—Q5. White's idea with the move he does make is to switch the Knight over to QKt3 and then to Q4, where it will blockade the isolated Pawn.

Wasting a move which should have been devoted to furthering his development, say by 9 ... O—O.

Nimzovich's wry comment on this move is, "The isolated Pawn has the choice of becoming weak at Q4 or Q5."

Fixes the Pawn so that it cannot move! Now Lasker threatens to play 12 R—Q1, attacking the Pawn with four pieces.

This exchange removes one of the pieces attacking the Pawn, but it leaves White with the advantage of the two Bishops.

Now Lasker threatens to attack the Queen Pawn by 14 P—K3.

A subtle move, and one that is superior to the obvious development by 14 B—B4.

"An unusually fine move," says Tarrasch himself. "The Pawn is to be advanced to R5 and then R6, where it will undermine the position of the Queen side pieces, especially that of the Knight."

Capturing the King Pawn would

be fatal. After 15 ... Q×P 16 R—K1, Q—R3 17 B—KB1, Black's Queen is caught.


Note that the brash Pawn has the support of the Bishop which was shrewdly developed at Q2.

Attacks the support of the Knight, one of the protectors of the isolated Pawn.

The alternative is 18 ... P—QKt3. Against this Lasker would probably proceed by 19 Q—R4, R—B1 20 QR—Kt1, and the advance by P—QKt4 will drive off the Bishop and win the Queen Pawn.

All of Black's minor pieces now hang in the air, and he must guard against loss of one of them by 20 Kt—R4.

This is the position, with White to play:



20 Kt-R4!

After this move, something will have to give. The immediate threat is $2I \text{ B} \times \text{Kt}$, $Q \times B 22 \text{ R} \times B$, winning a piece for White.

Probably as good as there is. If 20 cdots Kt—Q1 21 cdot Kt—B5, Q—K4 22 cdots Kt, Q×B, 23 cdots Kt—Q6, and White wins the exchange by a pretty Pin and Knight Fork. Or if 20 cdots B—Q3 21 cdots Kt—B5 attacks the Queen which protects the Knight, which protects the Bishop. If then 21 cdots Q—K4 22 cdots Kt, and Black does not dare recapture by 22 cdots Q cdots B.

21 Kt—B5	Q—K4
22 B×Kt	$Q \times B$
23 Kt—Q6	$\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P}$
24 Kt×R	$R \times Kt$

Black has lost a Rook for a Knight and two Pawns, but the isolated Pawn has become a passed Pawn and might prove to be dangerous.

The attempt to save the Knight by other means would have provided a little lesson in tactical themes: If 25 ... Kt—K2 (unpinning) 26 R×Rch, Kt×R 27 Q—R8 (pinning) Q—K1 28 R—B1, Kt—Q3 (unpinning) 29 Q×Qch, Kt×Q 30 R—B8 (pinning) K—B1 31 B—Kt4ch (driving off the protector) K—Kt1 32 R×Kt mate (the vulnerable last rank).


This is stronger than exchanging Queens; it maintains the pressure.

27 B-Q2!

Tarrasch does not relish an exchange of Rooks (which he offers next move) but he is faced with this possibility: 28 R—K1, Q—Q2 29 R—B4 (to double Rooks on the Bishop file) Kt—R4 (or 29 ... Kt—K2 30 R×Kt winning a piece) 30 R—K7, Q×R 31 R×Rch, K—R2 32 B×Kt, B×B 33 Q—B5ch, and White picks up the unfortunate Bishop.

27	Kt—K4
28 R×Rch	$Q \times R$
29 Q—K4	Kt—Q2
30 R-QB1	Q—B1

This is the position, with White to play:



31 B×P!

Lasker is always alert. The exposed position of Black's Knight will cost him a Pawn.

On 31 ... P×B 32 Q—Kt4ch followed by 32 Q×Kt regains the piece and leaves White with a dominating position.

This compels Black to offer to exchange Queens, as otherwise he can not beat off the invaders. White has two threats of winning: 34 R—K1 followed by 35 R—K8, pinning the Queen, and 34 P—QKt4, Kt—Q2 35 R—B8, Q×R 36 Q×P mate.

"A miserable move!" says Tarrasch jokingly, "Much prettier is the protection of the Pawn by 36 R—B2, when Black can reply 36 ... Kt—K8 followed by 37 ... Kt—B6ch, winning the Bishop."

This move and the previous one keep the King from penetrating further and helping the Queen Pawn.

	o Queen i a
38 39 P—R4 40 P—R5 41 K—B1	PR4 KtB4 PQ6 PR5

Tarrasch's idea is to get rid of White's Knight Pawn, by exchange

or otherwise. His King could then move on to B5, B6 and B7. The passed Pawn would then become a real menace.

A little surprise for Tarrasch! It wins a Pawn, and clears a pathway for the Rook Pawn.

The capture by 43 ... P×B would lose instantly by 44 P—R6, as the Pawn could not be headed off. Nor could Black rescue the threatened Pawn by 43 ... B—Q5, as then 44 B×B, K×B 45 R—Kt4ch wins the Knight.

For 47 B—Q4 followed by 48 B×Kt will finally win the Queen Pawn (which has had a charmed life, considering the high mortality rate of isolated Pawns).

Both Alekhine and Tarrasch had high praise for the elegance of Lasker's positional and tactical play in this game.

国 GAME NINETEEN 置

The See-Saw Check, Zugzwang, and Other Tactical Tricks

A. Kupferstich · J. Andreassen

Denmark 1953, VIENNA GAME

Wonderful things go on in this game! There is a series of see-saw checks that is remarkable, an imprisonment of King and Rook that is unique, and a threat of mate requiring at least ten moves to execute, yet so clear-cut a child could carry it out.

Question: Does this game, played so brilliantly come under the heading of "Entertainment" or "Instruction?"

This is preferable to 4 Kt×Kt, P—Q4, when Black gets his piece back and retains the initiative.

Threatens mate, beginning with 7 Kt × Ktch.

Alekhine favors 7 ... P—B4, and if 8 Q—Q5, Q—B3 9 Kt×Pch, K—Q1 10 Kt×R, P—Kt3, and Black has "a very strong and probably irresistible attack."

Threatens mate for the third (but not the last) time.

Obviously aiming at 10 $B \times Kt$, $B \times B$ 11 $Q \times BP$ mate. (What an obsession!)

9	PQ3
10 B×Kt	B-K3
11 Q— B3	$B(K3) \times B$
12 B×B	BR5
13 B —Kt7	R-KKt1
14 B—B6	Q—Q2
15 KtQR3	$Kt \times P$
16 Q-R3	$Q \times Q$
17 Kt×Q	$Kt \times Pch$

Black tries to collect a few Pawns in return for the piece he has lost.


18 Kt×Kt	$B \times Kt$
19 RQB1	B-K5
20 Kt-Kt5	

The attack on the Bishop gains a tempo for White.

20 ... B×P

One would expect White to play 21 R—KKt1, but then Black has time to defend with 21 ... B—B3 22 Kt×RP, K—Q2. White has a more effective continuation.

This is the position:



21 R×P!

White sacrifices one Rook for the sake of seizing the seventh rank with the other.

21 ... B×R 22 Kt×BP

With three of White's pieces so close to the King there are bound to be mate threats. The one now facing the King is $23 \text{ Kt} \times \text{Pch}$, K—B1 24 R—B7 mate.

22 ... B—Q4 23 Kt×Pch K—B1 24 B—Kt5 R—R1

The King must have a flight-square!

25 B—R6ch K—Kt1 26 R—Kt7ch K—B1 27 R—QB7 dis ch

Not the quickest way, but it does not matter. Black is helpless.

 $^{\times}_{-1}$

27 ... K-Kt1
28 Kt-B8 B-B6
29 R-Kt7ch K-B1
30 R × QKtP dis ch

Now he's on the right track!

30 ... K—Kt1

If 30 ... K—K1 31 Kt—Q6ch, K—Q1 32 B—Kt5 mate.

31 R—Kt7ch K—B1 32 R×QRP dis ch

"The see-saw can be the cause of frightful devastation," says Nim-zovich.


32 ... K—Kt1 33 R×R!

An exchange of Rooks when White is behind in material? There is method in his madness though, as we will see.

33 ... B×R 34 Kt—Q6!!

Marvellous! The Knight and Bishop stalemate Black's King and Rook. Black can do nothing now but wait for the blow to fall.

This is the position:



White forces mate by marching his King to K7, and then mating at KB6 with the Knight. The Knight reaches that square by way of K8 or K4, Black's Bishop being unable to guard both these squares.

Play would proceed as follows: 34 ... B—Q4 35 K—Q2, B×P 36 K—B3, B—K3 37 K—Kt4, B—R7 38 K—B5, B—K3 39 K—Kt6, B—R7 40 K—B7, B—K3 41 K—Q8, B—R7 42 K—K7, B—Q4 43 Kt— K8, B—R7 44 Kt—B6 mate.

Black could delay this a bit by sacrificing his Pawns, but since he could not give away his Bishop, there is no hope of drawing by stalemate. Therefore:

34 ...

Resigns

罩 GAME TWENTY I

The Two Bishops

S. Rosenthal · W. Steinitz

Vienna 1873, THREE KNIGHTS' GAME

This is one of the earliest, and still one of the most impressive examples of the superiority of two Bishops to two Knights, or to Knight and Bishop.

Steinitz's Bishops lurk in the back-ground, but their presence is felt. They exert a baleful influence the length of the board, just by being there. His opponent's lone Bishop on the other hand, confined to squares of one color, is sadly circumscribed, while his Knight, struggling to get a foothold in the center, is harried by the adverse Pawns, and driven from the field of battle.

The book move is 3 ... B—Kt5, but Steinitz preferred to throw his opponents on their own resources.

A more aggressive line is 5 Kt—Q5, with this likely sequence: 5 ... B—Kt2 6 B—KKt5, QKt—K2 7 P—K5, P—KR3 8 B×Kt, Kt×B 9 Q×P, Kt×Kt 10 Q×Kt, P—QB3 11 Q—Q6, B—B1 12 Q—Q4, and White retains the initiative.

This is better than 6 Kt—B3, shutting off the Bishop's view.

7 B-QB4

This can not be completely bad, since a piece is developed, but the move is not in consonance with the requirements of the position. Steinitz himself suggested 7 P—KR4, an attack on the fianchettoed formation, and a revolutionary concept in strategy. Nobody in those days relinquished voluntarily the privilege of Castling on the King-side, when King-side Castling was almost automatic.

Another good line is 7 Q—Q2, P—KR3 8 O—O—O, P—Q3 9 B—K2, and White has a fine game.

White's Pawn center looks impressive, but the Pawns interfere with the free movement of the pieces. The Queen Bishop for example, has had its mobility lessened and is an exposed (unprotected) piece.

A fine move, whose object is to get in ... P—Q4, the freeing move in King Pawn openings.

The Bishop should retreat to K2, but White seems to have no idea of what is coming.


Not only does this break up White's Pawn center, but it initiates an attack on the Queen file.

Pushing on by 11 P—K5 would be fatal, as 11 ... P—QB4 12 Kt—B3, P—Q5 wins a piece for Black.

The heavy pieces bear down on the Queen file. Black threatens 14 ... P—QB4 15 P—B4, Q—Q2, winning a piece.

Ready to meet 14 ... P—QB4 with 15 B—K4 followed by 16 Kt—B3. This would rescue the pieces on the Queen file.

This is the position, with Black to move:



Blithely allowing his opponent the two-Bishop racket (as Pollock called it). Rosenthal was apparently unfamiliar with the game won by Paulsen trom Hannah in the 1862 London tournament, in which the advantage of retaining both Bishops was convincingly demonstrated. Did Steinitz know this game, I wonder, or did Steinitz think that he discovered this concept in chess strategy?


Now Black threatens to win a piece by $16 \dots B \times Kt$ $17 B \times B$, $R \times B$.

The beginning of a campaign to make life miserable for the Knight. The Knight is to be driven away from any outpost (such as Q4 or K5, where it has the support of a Pawn) and forced into retreat.

This move evicts the Knight from the square Q4.

Steinitz's Pawn chain on the Queenside greatly limits the scope of White's Bishop. Note that the Bishop is blocked on the other diagonal by White's own Bishop Pawn.

This is the position, with Black to play:



20 ... P—B3

This will dislodge the Knight from another fine outpost.

21 Kt-Kt4

The Knight must retreat: If 21 Kt—B6, KR—QB1 ends its gay career, or if 21 Q—B6, Q×Q 22 Kt×Q, R—Q3 23 Kt—K7ch, K—B2 24 B—B2, R—K1, and the stranded Knight will be captured.

Another thrust by a Pawn, and the Knight must go still further back.

Steinitz prepares to force the Queen off the long diagonal by ... B—Kt2, and seize it for himself.

Plausible, since White needs elbowroom desperately, but the Pawn becomes weak and is soon captured.

Much better than 25 ... Q×RP 26 Q—B7, R×R 28 R×R, B—Q4 29 Q—Q7, and White might become obstreperous.

On 27 Q—R3, P—Kt5 wins the Bishop Pawn, as White must guard aginst the threat of mate.

With the Knight and Bishop sadly out of play, this attempt to counter-attack can have little meaning.

Deplorable, but protecting the Bishop by 31 R—K1 yields to 31 ... R×B 32 R×R, Q—Kt8ch, and Black gets two pieces for a Rook.

A powerful blow! Black seizes the seventh rank and also threatens mate in two moves.

Now the threat is $33 \dots R \times Kt$ $34 R \times R$, $Q \times Bch$, and Black wins two pieces for the Rook.

Variety of Themes

S. Tartakover · R. Domenech

Sitges 1934, Colle System

This game flows along with such grace, charm and wit, it is hard to realize that it offers more than mere entertainment. In the short space of 37 moves it offers an insight into such useful ideas in chess strategy as:

- (1) The Advantage of the Two Bishops,
 - (2) Pressure on the Black Squares,
- (3) Majority of Pawns on the Queen-side,
- (4) Sacrifice of a Piece to Create Passed Pawns, and (to crown it all),
- (5) The Triumphal March of the Passed Pawns.

The Colle system of opening begins quietly enough, but it can easily turn into a whirlwind attack. Its tactical objective is an attack on Black's Castled position on the Kingside. Its strategical objective is to create a majority of Pawns on the Queen-side, which can be decisive in the endgame.

The characteristic formation is as follows:

The Queen Pawn in the center, supported by the Bishop Pawn as an

understudy.

The King Pawn at K3, enabling the development of the King Bishop. Later on, the Pawn advances to K4 to let the Queen Bishop come into the game.

The King Knight develops at KB3, ready to seize the outpost K5, or perhaps to attack by Kt—Kt5.

The Queen Knight develops at Q2 to support the thrust P—K4.

The Queen is best posted at K2, to back up the King Pawn and to permit the Rooks to get in touch with each other.

The Rooks are generally most useful at K1 and Q1, on files which are likely to be opened.

Preparing to advance the King Pawn, which will open up the game and release White's pieces.

This sort of move, according to the annotators, is "a premature attempt to seize the initiative" if Black loses, but "a well-timed counter-attack" if Black wins.

9 P×BP	$\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{P}$
10 P—K4	B-KKt5
11 P×P	$B \times Kt$


There is no reason for this exchange, which lets White have the two Bishops, unless Black thought that fewer pieces on the board would give him more drawing chances.

 $Q \times P$

If $12 \ldots Kt \times P$ 13 Kt-Kt3, B-K2 14 R-Q1, and Black has no defense against the threats of winning the Knight by 15 B-K4, 15 B-QB4 or 15 P-B4.

13 Kt—K4

This is the position, with Black to play:



Even at this early stage Black can easily go wrong. The immediate threat is 14 Kt×Ktch, discovering an attack on his Queen. If he defends against this by 13 ... QR-Q1 (hoping to lure White into 14 Kt×Ktch, P×Kt 15 Q×P, Q×B 16 B-R6, when he averts the threat of mate by 16 ... Q-Kt3) he gets a painful lesson when the continuation 14 Kt×Ktch, P×Kt 15 Q—Kt4ch, K-R1 16 B-K4, Q-Q2 17 B-B5, Q-Q4 18 Q-R5 leaves him helpless.

Kt × Kt

Q---Q2

15 R—Q1	QB2
16 P-QKt4	B-Kt3

Safer than 16 ... B-K2, when this might occur: 17 Q-R3, P-KR3 (if 17 ... P—KKt3 18 R—Q7, Q—B1 19 $B\times Kt$, $Q\times B$ 20 $R\times B$, and White wins a piece) 18 $B \times P$, P×B 19 Q-B5, K-Kt2 (or 19 ... KR-Q1 20 Q-R7ch, K-B1 21 Q-R8 mate) 20 Q-R7ch, K-B3 21 Q×RP mate.

17 P—QR4	P—QR3
18 P—R5	BR2
19 Q—R3	PKK+

But not 19 ... P-R3 20 B×P, P×B 21 R—Q7!, Q—B1 22 Q—B5, and White mates quickly.


With an eye to 22 Q-B6 (control of the black squares) and mate at Kt7.

21	R×Rch
22 R×R	R-01
23 B—Q5	OK2
24 P—R4!	

An advance which provides the King with a flight square in case of need. It also incorporates two threats-25 B-Kt5 winning the exchange, and 25 P-R5 breaking up Black's Pawns on the King-side.

The last two moves have enabled Black to get his Bishop back into active play.

This is how things stand:



The position is tricky. White must not be lured into playing 26 B×Pch, hoping for 26 ... Q×B 27 R×Rch, Kt×R 28 Q×B, and he wins the pinned Knight. The reply to 26 B×Pch would be 26 ... K—R1, after which 27 R×Rch, Q×R 28 Q—Q2, Q×KRP leaves Black with a slight advantage.

This forces an exchange of Queens, after which the rash King Pawn will fall.

On 27 ... R—K1 28 R—K1 wins the King Pawn.


White has the two Bishops, three Pawns to two on the Queen side, and is a Pawn ahead. What more could mortal crave!

The customary procedure. Pawns are to be exchanged by 32 P—Kt5,

P×P 33 P×P, later on by P—R6, P×P P×P, leaving White's passed Pawn only two steps away from the coronation.

The King rushes over to head off the Pawns, but Tartakover's next move cuts the journey short.

This is the position, with White to play:



33 B×QKtP!

"A bolt from the blue!" as the fellows used to say.

If 33 ... Kt×B 34 P×P, B—Kt1 35 P×Kt, K—Q2 36 P—R6, K—B3 37 B—K3 (threatens 38 P—R7) B—K4 38 B—R7, and White wins.

Threatens to win at once by 35 P—R7.

Kt×B 36 B×B, I and White wins.	C—Q2 <i>37</i> P—R7,	Very pretty! The are now all-powerful	he passed Pawns
35 P×P	Kt×B	36	K—Q2
36 P—Kt6!		37 P—R7	Resigns

I GAME TWENTY-TWO ■

Systematic Strangulation

S. Tarrasch · J. Showalter

Vienna 1898, HUNGARIAN DEFENSE

"This is a game in which there are no combinations. Yet, after a modest beginning, White cramps his opponent's game more and more until it is completely crippled—and all this without any noticeable blunder on Black's part. That is the highest triumph of chess strategy."

Tarrasch said this, believed it and lived by it. In this positional masterpiece, Tarrasch does not let Showalter develop his pieces properly, stifles attempts at counterattack, cramps his opponent's game move by move, and finally crushes him to the wall.

There are combinations in the game, despite Tarrasch's assertion, but they are hidden away in the notes. They show what might have happened to Showalter, had he not played as well as he did.

The usual move is 3 ... B—B4, leading into the Giuoco Piano, but Black wants to avoid openings familiar to Tarrasch.

Blocks the King Bishop, but the alternative $4 \ldots P \times P$ surrenders the center.

"White plays to stalemate his opponent," says Tarrasch, "who has himself made a start toward that object by playing 3... B—K2 and 4... P—Q3."

One would now expect Tarrasch to proceed by 6 Kt—B3, developing another piece. This is what a lesser master would do automatically and unthinkingly. Tarrasch is interested though in maintaining his Pawn chain (Pawns at K4 and Q5) which has a restraining effect on Black's game. So he moves a piece twice in the opening!

6 B-Q3!

"The first principle of attack—" says Reuben Fine, "Don't let the opponent develop!"

White's move prevents 6 P—KB4, an attack on the base of the Pawn chain. It also prepares to meet 6 P—QB3 (an attempt to break up the center Pawns) with 7 P—B4. Then if Black plays 7 P×P, the recapture by 8 P×P keeps the Pawn chain intact.

6	Kt-KB3
7 P—B4	0-0
8 P-KR3!	

Another prophylactic move! This one prevents Black from developing his Queen Bishop by 8 ... B-Kt5, and limits it to the square Q2. It also prepares for the advance P-KKt4, against an attempt by Black to free himself with 8 ... Kt-K1 and 9 ... P-KB4.

Black hopes for counter-play by 10 ... Kt-B4 11 B-B2, P-QR4. His Queen Knight would then be strongly posted, and not easily driven away.

Puts an end to that idea, since 10 ... Kt—B4 would allow 11 B \times Kt, P×B 12 Kt×P, and White wins a Pawn.

Black's intention is to free his cramped position by getting in ... P-KB4 after suitable preparation, say by ... P-KKt3 followed by ... Kt-Kt2.

Tarrasch will of course direct all his energies to make this breakthrough impossible.

The Queen backs up the Bishop and King Pawn in bearing down on the key square.

Obviously the proper way to recapture, as 13 KP×P allows


Black prepares to play ... P-B4 either next move, or after the Knight reaches Kt2 and adds its support to the advance.

How does White play to restrain the Bishop Pawn?

14 B-KR6!

This attack on the Rook will force the Knight to interpose at Kt2. The Knight's support of the Bishop Pawn will then be meaningless, as it will be pinned and helpless to move or capture.

This is the position, with White to play:



15 P-KKt4!

Definitely puts an end to Black's hopes of playing 15 ... P-B4.

The next step for Tarrasch is to reap the benefit of his positional advantage, which now consists of his greater command of space.

The desperate 15 ... P-B4 leads to 16 B×Kt, K×B (on 16 ... P× KP 17 B×R wins a whole Rook Black to play 13 ... P-B4 at once. | for White) 17 KtP×P, P×P 18

P×P, and White has won a Pawn.

Tarrasch (always a careful man) places his Rook on the King Knight file, so that he can benefit from the opening of the file, if Black does manage to get ... P—B4 in.

Good moves are getting scarce, and this one does not help, as it weakens Black's QR3, QKt4, and QB3 squares. Tarrasch of course takes this into account, and will exploit the weaknesses of these white squares later on.

In the meantime, Tarrasch would like to cramp his opponent still more on the King-side by playing Kt—K1 followed by P—B4 and P—B5. But if he were to play 20 Kt—K1 at once, the reply 20 ... B—KKt4 would force an exchange of Bishops and free Black's game somewhat.

The plan needs a preparatory move, so Tarrasch plays ...

Now that the square Kt5 is controlled by Queen and Bishop, White's King Knight is free to move away, releasing the Bishop Pawn.

This prevents the advance 23 P—B4, but the cost is high. For one thing, the square KB4 (counting from the Black side) has been weak-

ened, and will surely be seized as an outpost by one of White's Knights. For another, the Pawn placed at Kt4 stands in the way of Black's King Bishop, greatly limiting its activity.

23 Kt-B3

Now that 23 P—B4 is not feasible, the Knight returns to the attack.

This and the previous move weaken Black's white squares more and more, and allow his pieces less and less mobility, but the prospect after 24 ... P×P 25 Kt×RP followed soon by Kt—B5 was not appetizing.

The King prepares to flee, rather than face the possibility of the Rook file being opened against him.


The Knight is headed for KB5, which he will reach by way of B1 and Kt3.

Double attack on White's Knight Pawn—Black's first threat in the game!

This way of protecting the Knight Pawn is superior to the natural move 29 P—B3. The square KB3 must not be blocked by a Pawn, but left open for use by pieces.

29 ... K—B2 30 B—R6 Q—Kt1

This is the position, with White to play:



31 Kt-Kt5!

This attack on the Rook (which has no safe square to run to) forces an exchange of Bishop for Knight.

31 ... B×Kt 32 B×B

The foregoing exchange of pieces highlights certain features in the position:

- (1) The disappearance of Black's Queen Bishop (which travelled on white squares) accentuates the weakness of Black's white squares. Tarrasch can now settle pieces on those squares without fear of their being dislodged.
- (2) Black's remaining Bishop is completely imprisoned by Pawns standing on black squares.
- (3) Black's King cannot flee to safety by way of K1.
- (4) White has two active Bishops against Black's two ineffectual Knights.

32 ... KR—B1 33 P×P RP×P 34 B—B6! Blockade! The Rooks are doubled on the open file, but can make no use of it.

34 ... B—B1 35 Q—B3!

Threatens to capture the King Knight Pawn.

35 ... R×B

A desperate move, but he has no good reply: If 35 ... K—Kt3 (to protect the Knight Pawn) 36 R(Kt2)—R2, Kt—K2 37 R—R6ch, K—B2 32 Q×Pch, K—Kt1 33 R—R8 mate.

Another possibility is 35 ... K—Kt3 36 R(Kt2)—R2, R—Q1 37 R—R8, B—K2 38 R(R1)—R7 (threatens 39 Q—B5ch, Kt × Q 40 KtP × Kt mate) Q—B1 39 R × Ktch, K × R 40 Q—R3, K—B1 41 Q—R6ch, K—B2 42 R—R7 mate.

36 P×R Kt—K3 37 R—R7ch B—Kt2

On 37 ... K—K1 38 Q—B5, Kt—B4 39 B×Kt, KtP×B 40 Q—Q7 is mate.

38 Kt—Kt3 R×P 39 Kt—B5!

Finally the Knight arrives at the ideal square!

39 ... Q—QB1 40 R(Kt2)—R2 Kt—K2

Here too 40 ... K—Kt3 loses by a combination: 41 B×KKtP, P×B (if 41 ... Kt×B 42 R×B mate) 42 Kt—R4ch, K×R 43 Q—B5ch, K—R1 44 Kt—Kt6 dble check and mate (Reinfeld).

Another line (after $40 \dots K$ —Kt3) is $41 \text{ B} \times \text{KKtP}$, $P \times \text{B}$ 42 Kt—K7ch, $\text{Kt} \times \text{Kt}$ 43 R(R2)—R6ch, $\text{B} \times \text{R}$ 44 Q—B7 mate (Chernev).

41 Kt×B Kt×Kt 42 B×KKtP

Threatens 43 Q×Pch and mate next move, as well as 43 B—R6 winning the Knight.

42 ... Q—K3

Showalter made this move and then resigned without waiting for a reply. Tarrasch might have played the simple 43 B—R6, winning a piece, or the more interesting 43 B×P, Q×B 44 R×Ktch, K—K3 (if 44 ... K×R 45 R—R7ch, K—Kt3 46 R—R6ch wins the Queen) 45 R×Ktch!, K R (if 45 ... Q×R 46 Q—B5 if mate) 46 R—R7ch, K—K3 47 Q—Kt3ch, P—Q4 48 Q×P mate.

🗏 GAME TWENTY-THREE 🕱

Good Bishop and Bad Bishop

L. Barden · N. Rossolimo

Hastings 1950, SICILIAN DEFENSE

Some of our modern concepts in chess strategy might have shocked the old-timers. Take this game for instance, where Rossolimo exchanges pieces to rid the board of an enemy Bishop standing quietly at its home square! It turns out though that Barden is left with the bad Bishop (one hemmed in by Pawns) against a powerful Knight of Rossolimo's.

In the hands of a fine player, this is practically the equivalent of being a piece ahead, so Rossolimo quickly works up an attack. In the ensuing complications he wins a Pawn, and then proceeds to cut down all the pieces in sight. The ending, with Pawns only, is no problem at all.

This is pro chess of a high order!

Another way to protect the King Pawn is by 5 P—KB3. Then if 5 ... P—KKt3 6 P—QB4, B—Kt2 7 Kt—B3, and White has the Maroczy Bind—a favorable formation.

A refinement, introduced by Najdorf, in the Boleslavsky line, the

characteristic move of which is ... P—K4.

If at once 5 ... P—K4, then 6 B—Kt5ch, QKt—Q2 7 Kt—B5 is in White's favor.

Now if Black plays 6 ... P—K4, the Knight can return to B3 without obstructing the Bishop Pawn.

A good alternative is 7 B—Q3, with this likely continuation: 7 ... Q—B2 & Q—B3!, Kt—B3 9 B—K3, B—Q2 10 O—O, and White has fine attacking possibilities.

Black's aim (if let alone!) is to control the Queen Bishop file with Queen and Rook, post his Queen Knight at QB5 (supported by a Pawn at QKt4) and bear down on the Queen side of the board. His pressure on that wing can give him the superior ending, provided he can survive the King-side attack that is sure to come in the midgame.

10 P-KKt4

Threatens to push on to Kt5, and drive the Knight back to the first rank.

Nevertheless the move seems a bit impulsive since it initiates a King-side attack against a King that has not yet Castled on that wing.

The exchange makes the square QB3 available to the Bishop. The Bishop in turn will vacate Q2, leaving that as a flight square for the King Knight, if attacked.

The key move in the Boleslavsky System. Black establishes a Pawn in the center. True, he is left with a backward Queen Pawn, but the Pawn is much healthier than it looks.

Pieces must be developed, even if they take only one step.


White hopes to follow this move with 15 P—Kt5, and the Pawnroller looks threatening, but he never gets a chance to carry out his plan.

He might have fared better with 15 P—Kt5, Kt—Q2 16 Kt—Q5, and Black must part with one of his Bishops.

Nips that little scheme in the bud! If White persists in playing 15 P—Kt5, the continuation 15... P×P 16 B×P, Q—Kt3ch 17 K—R1, Q×P

wins a Pawn for Black.

This is the position, with Black to play:



A subtle move! The Pawn will make a fine support for the Knight when it arrives at QB5. Meanwhile, the square Kt2 is made available to the Queen, who will strengthen the attack on White's center.

Increases the pressure on the Queen Bishop file.

Threatens the life of the King Pawn.

Two possibilities are now open to the Knight:

- (1) To attack the King Pawn once more by 19 ... Kt—B4, forcing White to give up his good Bishop for the Knight, and,
- (2) To swing the Knight over to the outpost QB5, by way of Kt3.

Eliminates the first possibility.

19 ... Kt—Kt3

But not the second!

20 B-B1


White avoids the attractive-looking 19 Kt—Q5, as after 19 ... B×Kt 20 P×B, Kt—R5!, the threats of winning the exchange by 21 ... Kt—Kt7, or of dominating the Bishop file by 21 ... R—B6, would be difficult to parry.

20 ... Kt—B5

The Knight attacks nothing, since the Rook Pawn is protected. Its occupation of QB5 is enough by itself though to exercise a paralyzing influence on White's entire Queen side.

21 Kt—Q5 B×Kt 22 P×B

This is the position, with Black to play:



At this point Rossolimo decides to do away with White's good Bishop. Despite the fact that it stands on its original square, and its function is purely defensive, it is a potential danger. Once it is removed, Barden will be left with the impotent King Bishop, hemmed in by Pawns of its own color.

22 ... B—Kt4! 23 KR—K1 Q—K2 24 B—K4 B×B 25 R×B P—KR4!

Clever play! The Rook file will be opened whether White captures or not.

26 Q—KKt3 P×P 27 Q×KtP

Care is needed at all times in the wonderful but sometimes exasperating game of chess! Black's hasty protection of the Knight Pawn by 27 ... K—B1 would evoke 28 P—B6 in immediate reply—and Black could resign!

27 ... Q—B3

This puts an end to the Pawn's ambitions.

28 B—Q3 R—R5 29 Q—Kt3 Kt—Kt3

The Knight prepares to take part in the attack on the King-side.

30 R-K4

If 30 B—K4 (to protect the Queen Pawn) R—QB5 31 Q—Kt2 (on 31 B—B3, Kt×P 32 B×Kt, R(B5)—Kt5 wins the Queen) R(B5)×B 32 R×R, R×R 33 Q×R, Q—Kt4ch, and Black picks up the other Rook and wins.

30 ... R—R4 31 R—Kt4

There is no way to save the Queen Pawn, so White tries to get behind the lines.

31	Kt×P
32 R×P	Kt—B5
33 R-Kt8ch	K—Q2
<i>34</i> R×R	$K \times R$
35 Q-Kt8ch	K-Kt2!

But not 35 ... K—B2, when 36 Q—QR8 not only threatens to harass the King, but also guards White's critical KKt2 square.

Avoids loss of the Queen by 36 ... R—Kt4ch.

Forced, as after 37 $Q \times Pch$, K—Kt3, the Queen could not return

to the defense of the Rook Pawn.

The finish a player dreams about! All the pieces are cleared away, leaving an ending with a Pawn ahead and an easy win!

The finish, if White played on, would be: 39 Q×R, Q×Rch 40 Q—Kt1 (if 40 K—Kt2, Q—Q7ch forces the exchange of Queens) Q×Qch 41 K×Q, K—B3 42 K—B2, K—Q4 43 K—K3, P—B3 44 K—K2, K—Q5 45 K—Q2, P—K5 46 P×P, K×P, and Black wins.

営 GAME TWENTY-FOUR ■

Coup de Grâce

A. Alekhine · F. D. Yates

London 1922, Queen's Gambit Declined



Alexander Alekhine

Even the mighty Alekhine, who played more brilliant games than any other man who ever lived, did not pluck combinations out of thin air. Even he had to abide by Lasker's dictum: "In the beginning of the game ignore the search for combinations, abstain from violent moves, aim for small advantages, accumulate them, and only after having attained these ends search for the combination—and then with all the power of will and intellect, because then the combination must exist,

however deeply hidden."

Note how Alekhine, ever alert for opportunity, anchors pieces on strong squares, seizes open files, doubles Rooks on the seventh rank, and centralizes his King.

Centralizes his King? Good Heavens! Alekhine's King walks up the board practically in the midgame and steals a Rook in broad daylight!

If there are combinations to be found (and Lasker assures us there are) Alekhine is the man who can find them.

1 P—Q4	Kt—KB3
2 P—QB4	PK3
3 Kt—KB3	PQ4
4 Kt—B3	B—K2
5 B—Kt5	0-0
6 P—K3	QKt—Q2

White has a happy time of it in this line of the Queen's Gambit. All he has to do to get a good game is make natural moves.

Black, on the other hand, usually has trouble developing the pieces on the Queen-side. The Queen Knight stands awkwardly at Q2, and shuts in the Bishop. Bringing the Knight out at QB3 though would be far worse. It would block the Bishop Pawn whose job it is to attack the center by ... P—B4, while the plight of the Queen Bishop would not be mitigated—it would still be shut in.

With this move and the next (Capablanca's freeing maneuver) Black has a fair chance of achieving equality. Other lines are less promising, e.g.

(1) 9 ... P—QR3 (in order to get counter-play on the Queen-side by 10 ... P×P 11 B×P, P—QKt4 12 B—Q3, P—B4) 10 P×P!, KP×P (not 10 ... Kt×P 11 B×Pch winning a Pawn) and the position is in White's favor.

(2) 9 ... P—KR3 10 B—B4!, P—R3 11 P×P!, Kt×P 12 Kt×Kt, KP×Kt 13 O—O, Kt—B3 14 P—KR3, and White can play for the minority attack by R—Kt1, P—QKt4, P—QR4 and P—Kt5, with good winning chances.

The theorists, including Alekhine, consider 11 B×B to be White's best move, but Alekhine often plays inferior or little-analyzed moves to throw his opponents on their own resources, or to bring about complications in which they are not quite at home.

This move is not good, since it weakens his black squares, and saddles Black with a backward King Pawn. An enemy Knight can establish itself on his K4 square, without fear of being driven away by Pawns.

"From this point," says Alekhine, "Black's game may be considered strategically lost, which is not to say that the realization of victory will be an easy matter."

A preferable defense was $11 ext{...}$ $B \times B$ 12 $Kt(K4) \times B$, Kt-B1, though Black still faced the prospect of a long, hard winter.

Another strategical error, and again an important black square (QB4) is weakened. White, as we shall see, utilizes this square as a pivot for his pieces—the Knight, the Queen and then one of the Rooks.

White also obtains control of the only open file. It makes a fine avenue of entry into the adverse position.

14 B×Kt!

Very good! Alekhine gets rid of a Knight that is posted strongly in the center, and prepares to operate on the open file.

This must be played at once, as otherwise 16 ... P—R5 keeps the Knight out. The Knight is of course headed for QB5, the magic square.

This forces an exchange of Knights, after which White's other Knight can settle on B5 (or on K5) without fear of being disturbed.

The right way to capture! "Weak points or holes in the opponent's position," says Tarrasch, "must be occupied by pieces, not by Pawns."

White has the advantage in force, space and time.


In force: the Knight, which will be posted unassailably at K5, supported by a Pawn, is stronger than a Bishop—especially this one, which is limited purely to defense.

In space: his Rooks will dominate the only open file.

In time: two of his pieces are in active play, while Black has none.

Black hopes to oppose Rooks on the Queen Bishop file.

This is the position, with White to play:



21 Kt-K5!

The Knight arrives just in time to put a stop to any such fancies! If Black tries to dispute possession of the Bishop file by 21... KR—B1, the continuation would be 22 R×Rch, R×R 23 R×Rch, B×R 24 Kt—B6, and the attack on the Knight Pawn as well as the threat of winning the Bishop by 25 Kt—K7ch would gain a Pawn for White.

Another advantage for White: he can bring his King into active play, while Black's King may not emerge.

Here if 23 ... R—QB1 24 R×Rch, R×R 25 R×Rch, B×R 26 K—B2, K—B1 27 K—K1, K—K2 28 K—Q2, K—Q3 29 K—B3, and Black cannot save his Queen Rook Pawn.

The starting point of mate threats, in which the King himself takes part!

Black still cannot oppose Rooks. After 25 ... R—QB1 26 R×R, R×R 27 R×R, B×R 28 Kt—Q3, B—Q2 29 Kt—B5, and Black loses either his King Pawn or his Queen Rook Pawn.

Black can do nothing but wait and hope.

"The chief advantage of the possession of an open file," says the good book, "is that the Rook may be able to penetrate to the seventh rank."

Intends the following maneuver: 29 R—K7, R—K1 30 R—KB7, R(R1)—Kt1 (to protect the Bishop) 31 R(B5)—B7, and the Rooks are doubled on the seventh rank.

Step by step White approaches his goal. Black must protect his King Pawn, as an attempt to exchange Rooks by 29 ... R—QB1 loses instantly by 30 R×B!, and White wins a piece.

Now that the doubling of his Rooks cannot be prevented, Alekhine takes time to bring his King closer to the center.

Tightens the net around the King (Black's, of course!).


If 32 ... B—K7 instead, White protects the Bishop Pawn by bringing his Knight to KKt6 and then KR4, after which he moves his King to K5, winning the King Pawn as a start.

At last the Rooks are doubled! What remains now is to break through the last barrier—the Knight Pawn that shields the King.

Threatens to win the exchange by 36 Kt—B6ch.

Now comes a brilliant combination, with the characteristic Alekhine sting at the tail-end of it.

This is the position, with White to play:



36 Kt-B6! R(Kt1)-KB1

Of course not 36 ... P×Kt as 37 R—R7 is mate on the spot, but Black's actual move seems to force an exchange of Rooks.

37 R×P!!

This calls for two exclamation marks.

37 ... R×Kt
38 K—K5!

The point of the combination. White wins a whole Rook! If Black moves 38 ... R—KB1 (either Rook!) he is mated in two moves by 39 R—R7ch, K—Kt1 40 R(B7)—Kt7 mate.

38 ... Resigns

□ GAME TWENTY-FIVE ■

The Powerful Passed Pawns

Aganalian · T. Petrosian

Tiflis 1945, OLD INDIAN DEFENSE

The modern master tries to accumulate slight advantages, though they may not promise an immediate reward.

In this game, Petrosian's positional superiority consists in having two Bishops against Knight and Bishop, and in the pressure that his Rooks exert on an open file. It is little enough, but a sudden sacrifice of the exchange transforms these somewhat intangible advantages into one that can be turned quickly into account. Petrosian is left with two connected passed Pawns in the center, facing an enemy Rook. This Rook, strangely enough, is helpless to stop at least one of the Pawns from reaching the Queening square.

1 P-Q4	KtKB3
2 P—QB4	PQ3
3 Kt—QB3	P-K4
4 P×P	$P \times P$
5 O x Och	K×0

Loss of the Castling privilege is no disadvantage once Queens are off the board. The King is in no danger of being mated, and is wellplaced for the endgame.

White develops with gain of time:

he threatens 9 Kt \times P.

White's troubles begin with this move, which is intended to prevent pressure being put on his Knight by 9... B—Kt5. His game begins to disintegrate from this point, though his moves have been plausible enough.

Can it be that this last move (which might better have been replaced by 9 P—K3) is enough to lose the game? Or did he envision this possibility: 9 P—K3, B—Kt5 10 B×Kt, Kt×B! 11 Kt×P, B×Kt 12 P×B, Kt—K5 13 Kt—Q3, B—K3, with the better game for Black?


Protects the King Pawn, freeing the Knights of that job, and also cuts down the range of White's Queen Bishop.

Otherwise, 12 ... Kt—KB4 follows, and Black is assured of the two Bishops.

Preparation for 13 ... Kt—B4, which if played at once would be met by 14 P—Kt4, dislodging the Knight immediately.

This involves a threat! It is not the capture of the Knight, for 16... P×Kt is met by 17 P×P followed by 18 P—B5, and White regains his piece. It is a positional threat.

This is the position, with Black to play:



The Knight invades enemy territory with two threats:

- (1) 17 ... Kt × Kt, ruining White's Pawn position on the Queen side after the recapture.
- (2) $17 ext{ ... } extbf{K} t \times extbf{B}$, saddling White with an isolated King Pawn after the reply $18 ext{ P} \times extbf{K} t$.

White fights hard to prevent the exchange of his Bishop. He might better have submitted to fate though, and played 18 R—Kt1, in order to recapture the Knight with his Rook.

A valuable zwischenzug (interposition). The threat of winning a Pawn by 20 ... P×P forces White to capture first, and opens a file for Black's King Rook. The Bishop will not run away, as Nimzovich used to say.

20
$$P \times P$$
 Kt \times B


At one stroke (or so it seems) Black leaves his opponent with an isolated Pawn, and obtains for himself the two Bishops, and an open file for his King Rook!

The Bishop emerges—but only for a moment!

Fixes White's Pawns on the Queenside.

24 RQ2	BQB4
25 R—B2	PB4
26 R-Kt1	QR—R1
27 K-Q2	P-B5
28 P WW+4	

This is the position, with Black to play:



28		$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}!$

A fine sacrifice of the exchange to effect a breakthrough.

$$29 \text{ B} \times \text{R}$$
 R×B

White is ahead in material, but only for a little while.

Black threatens 30 ... $B \times BP$, as well as 30 ... $P \times Pch$ 31 $Kt \times P$, $B \times Ktch$ 32 K - K1, $B \times Rch$ 33 $K \times B$, $B \times BP$, leaving him two Pawns ahead and with an easy win.

30 K—Q3
$$B \times KP$$

31 Kt $\times B$ $R \times Ktch!$

This drives the King back to the second rank, and nets the Bishop Pawn.

32 K—Q2	$B \times BP$
<i>33</i> R—K1	$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$
$34 \text{ K} \times \text{R}$	PK5
35 Resigns	

If White were skeptical and play continued, it would go somewhat like this: 32 R—R2, P—K6 (in Bishop and Pawn endings, the Pawns should occupy squares different in color from those on which the Bishop travels) 33 R—R5, P—B6 34 R—R2, P—B7ch 35 R×P, P×Rch 39 K×P, K—Q3, and the rest plays itself.

Another interesting possibility is 32 R—R2, P—K6 33 R—R3 (to stop 33... P—B6) B—K3 34 R—R5, P—B6 (better than 34... B×P) 35 R—R2, P—B7ch 36 K—B1, B—B5ch, and it's all over.

Bishop and a Half

I. Bondarevsky · V. Smyslov

Moscow 1946, Ruy Lopez

Strictly speaking, this is not a two-Bishop game, although it has most of the attractive features connected with games where two Bishops oppose two Knights, or a Knight and Bishop.

Smyslov takes it out of that category by exchanging one of his Bishops for a Knight. Of course he punishes the Pawn that removed his Bishop by capturing it ten moves later.

In the midgame, Smyslov's Pawns swarm over the board like little black ants, and make things uncomfortable for the opponent, whose pieces can find no resting place on any decent central square.

In the ending, Smyslov's lone Bishop (one is all he needs, apparently) travelling along the white squares, spreads terror among the enemy's Pawns on the Queen side, fixed as they are on white squares.

The whole game is in Smyslov's best style, which is to say that it is a delight to play over.

1 P—K4	P—K4
2 Kt—KB3	Kt-QB3
3 B—Kt5	P—QR3
4 BR4	Kt—B3
5 O—O	BK2
6 B×Kt	

More usual is either 6 R—K1 or 6 Q—K2. In this delayed-exchange

variation, Black has the two Bishops in compensation for his doubled Pawns.

Besides this, White has other good moves in 7 P—Q3, 7 Kt—B3 and the curious-looking but effective 7 Q—K1.

7	KtQ2
8 PQ4	$P \times P$
9 Q×P	0-0
10 B—B4	Kt—B4
<i>11</i> Q×Q	$\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{Q}$
12 Kt—B3	P—B4!

A good move. It will either increase the range of Black's pieces after 13 P×P, B×P, or reduce White's after 13 P—K5, Kt—K3.

Instead of this, White should have played to simplify the position, according to Smyslov, who suggests this possibility: 13 B—Kt5, B×B 14 Kt×B, P—R3 15 P—QKt4, Kt×P 16 KKt×Kt, P×Kt 17 Kt×P, B—B4, with an approximately even position.

13 ...

Kt-K3!

Blockades the passed Pawn. "The passed Pawn," says Nimzovich, "is a criminal, who should be kept under lock and key."

The Knight makes an ideal blockader here, as it complies with the requirements specified by Nimzovich:

- (1) It prevents the approach of enemy pieces, say at Black's Q5 and KKt4 squares.
- (2) It exercises threats from the post where it is stationed.
 - (3) It is elastic in its movements.

The Pawns begin to move! The plan is to dislodge White's pieces from any good squares they now occupy, and deprive them of the possibility of reaching others where they might be effective.


Black's immediate threat is 15 ... P—Kt5, winning the Knight.

Obviously in order to meet 15 ... P—Kt5 with 16 Kt(B3)—Q4, rescuing the beast.

Black takes away that square, and renews the threat against the Knight.

This prepares Q2 as a flight-square for the Knight.

Here is the position, with Black to play:



16 ...

P-Kt4

The advance of the Pawns on both sides of the board is embarrassing to White, whose pieces keep getting in each other's way.

Black's new threat is 17 ... P—QKt5 18 B—Q2, P—Kt5, and again the Knight has no escape.

Black is now ready to centralize his King, and bring his Rooks into play.

There being no squares in the center available to White's minor pieces, this Knight hopes to accomplish some good by occupying KB6.

Practically compelling the Knight's next move, as the retreat to Kt3 would allow 22 ... P—KR4 followed by 23 ... P—R5, driving the Knight still further back.

22 Kt—B6


QR-Q1

White's Knight occupies an advanced post, but the drawback is that its retreat has been cut off!

23 QR—Q1	$R \times R$
24 R×R	R—Q
25 R x R	D v D

The exchange of Rooks has simplified the position, but left White with problems. He must guard against 26 ... Kt—B5, which attacks the Knight Pawn with two pieces, and also threatens 27 ... Kt—K7ch, winning the Bishop.

This meets with a clever refutation. This is the position on the board, with Black to play:



26 ... P—KB5!

This must have come as a shock to White, who hoped to get the Knight into active play.

Abject retreat, but what else is there? If 27 Kt(K3)×P, P—KR4 wins the Knight, whose escape is cut off by enemies (and a few friends). Or if 27 Kt(K3)—Q5, P—B3 follows, and again the poor Knight finds himself surrounded.

Smyslov gives up one of his fine Bishops, for the sake of later winning a Pawn.

Clears the square B3 for the Knight.

But Smyslov deprives the Knight of that square! And, as if that were not enough, he threatens to play $30 \dots B \times BP$, and then capture the Knight, which may not move away and cannot be protected.

30 P—KB3	$B \times QBP$
31 Kt—B2	$P \times P$
<i>32</i> P×P	B-Kt8
33 Kt—K4	$\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{P}$
34 Kt—O2	

This protects the Knight Pawn, and imprisons the Bishop. But all this has taken time, and Black has meanwhile won two Pawns.

Preparation to return one of the Pawns, if necessary, and free his Bishop.

If 36 Kt—K4, Kt×KtP 37 Kt— Kt5 (threatens to win by 38 P—B7!) Kt—Q5 38 Kt—K4, Kt—K3, and White can resign.

The Pawn falls at last.

38 K—Q3	K—K4	three connected passed Pawns-
<i>39</i> K—B2		although, come to think of it,
		Edward Lasker drew against Janow-
On 39 K—B4, P—R5 40 K×P,		sky's three connected passed Pawns
B×P wins easi	ly for Black.	on the seventh rank, at New York in
	•	1924!
<i>39</i>	P—R5	If Bondarevsky played on though,
40 P×P	P—B4	this would be the finish: 43 K—Kt2,
41 PR5	P—B5	P—B6ch 44 K×B, P×Kt 45 P—R7,
42 P-R6	P—Q6ch	P-Q8(Q) 46 P-R8(Q), P-Kt6ch
43 Resigns		47 K-Kt2, Q-B7ch 48 K-R1 (if
J		48 K-R3, Q-R7ch wins the
No man ca	an hold out against	Queen) Q—B8 mate.

Prophetic Strategy

S. Tarrasch · J. Mieses
A. Schottlander · W. Paulsen
C. von Bardeleben · F. Riemann
T. von Scheve · H. von Gottschall

Leipzig 1888, SLAV DEFENSE

It is always exciting to come across an unknown masterpiece, especially when the strategy it features is so far ahead of its time.

I like the way pieces are manipulated, and an open file utilized, to establish a strong outpost at QKt7. I particularly relish the way combination play at one end of the board culminates in the gain of a Pawn at the other. I find the climax amusing, with Black's Knight imprisoned by a Bishop, while his King struggles desperately to be in two places at once.

Amusing—if you are not yourself caught in a like dilemma.

Instead of this, 4 P—K3 is simple and strong. If then 4 ... B—B4, to get the Bishop into play, (the development of this piece always presents a problem in the Queen's Gambit) the continuation 5 P×P, P×P 6 Q—Kt3 forces the return of the Bishop to B1, as occurred in the

game Alekhine-Capablanca at New York in 1924, and as far back as the fifth match game between Zukertort and Steinitz in 1886.

White would then be ahead in development.

Somewhat better was 5... B—K2, to relieve the pin on his Knight.

6 PK3	00
7 B—Q3	QKt—Q2
8 O-O	RK1
9 Q-B2	

Threatens 10 B×Pch, winning a Pawn.

A natural enough attempt to unpin the Knight, but he gets a cramped game after this. Nor is 9... B—K2 a good alternative. The sequel would be 10 B×Kt, Kt×B 11 Kt—K5, followed by 12 P—B4, and White gets a Pillsbury-bind on the position. The safest move to meet the threat was simply 9... Kt—B1.

This is very much in order, now that the Bishop cannot retreat to B2.

Provides a flight-square for the Bishop, against a threat of its exchange by 13... Kt—R4.

Black is quite limited in choice of moves. This is one of only six moves which his pieces can make without incurring loss. White's superiority in mobility is such that he can choose any of thirty-three possible moves for his pieces!


Futile, since the Bishop can run away, but good moves are hard to find.

A good idea: Black rids the board of a long-range Bishop, in return for his that was miserably placed. This accords with the principle that the player with a cramped game should try to free his position by exchanging pieces.

On 17 ... P×P instead, there follows 18 Kt×KtP, B×Kt 19 B×B, Kt—Q2 20 P—R6, and White will obtain a passed Pawn on the Bishop file.

$$18 \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B} \qquad \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{B}$$

This is the position, with White to play:



19 P-R6! P-QKt3

If $19 ext{...} P \times RP 20 P \times BP$, $B \times P$ 21 $R \times P$, and White has a strong passed Pawn.

$$20 \text{ P} \times \text{BP}$$
 $\text{B} \times \text{P}$

On $20 \dots Q \times P$ 21 Kt—K5, Q—B1 22 Kt×B, Kt×Kt (better than $22 \dots Q \times Kt$ 23 B—Kt5, and White wins the exchange) 23 B×Pch, and White wins a Pawn.

Capturing by 21 ... P×P not only provides White with a passed Rook Pawn, but permits him quick material gain by 22 Kt—Kt5, Q—B1 (on 22 ... Q—Q2 23 Kt—K5 wins the Bishop, which is doubly-attacked) 23 Kt—Q6, and White wins the exchange.

But not 22 ... Q—B2, as the reply 23 Kt—Kt5 (as in the previous note) wins the exchange or the Bishop.

"Admittedly, after the text-move," says Tarrasch, "the Queen-side

attack has not resulted in the establishment of a passed Pawn, but it has opened lines of attack. Furthermore, the Queen Rook Pawn is very strong and provides support for White's pieces. White's superiority is very, very great."

Forced, as protecting the Bishop by 23 ... R—B1 leads to 24 Kt×B, R×Kt 25 B—Kt5, and Black loses the exchange.

Notice how combinations appear of themselves once a player has a strategically superior position.


Capturing with the Queen is penalized by 25 B—Kt5 (repetition of a theme) and again the Rook is a victim.

Remarkable! Combinations at one end of the board win a Pawn at the other!

Evades the trapping of the Bishop by 26 ... P—Kt3.

To exchange Knights, and clear away the obstruction on the Knight file.

This is the position, with White to play:



29 R-Kt7!

A fine outpost! The Rook exerts great pressure from this square—pressure which cannot be relieved by an exchange of Rooks. For if 29 ... R×R, there follows 30 P×R, R—Kt1 31 Q×P, and White's threat of 32 Q×R followed by 33 R—R8 is decisive.

White's game is so strong that he has choice of more than one forcing line of play. For instance, $31 \text{ R} \times \text{R}$, and then if:

- (1) 31 ... Q×R 32 R—Kt7, Q—B8ch 33 K—R2, Q—Q7 34 Q—Q7, R—B1 35 Q×BP!, and White wins (mate is threatened, the Knight and Rook are attacked, and Black dares not take the Queen).
- (2) 31...R×R32Q—Kt4(threatens 33 Q—Kt8) R—B1 33 Q—Kt7, R—B2 34 Q—Kt8, Q—QB1 35 Q×Qch, R×Q 36 R—Kt7, and White has a winning advantage.

The Queen is quite safe here. An

attempt to win the Queen by 31...

R—B8ch 32 R×R, R×Rch 33

K—R2, Q×Q succumbs to 34

R—Kt8ch and mate in two.


But not 33 ... $R \times R$ 34 R—Kt7, R—Q2 35 $Q \times Q$ ch, $R \times Q$ 36 $R \times BP$, Kt—Q2 37 B—Kt5, and White wins easily.

The key square in White's combination and position play.

Black is now forced to exchange Rooks, as 35 ... Kt—K1 is met by 36 B—Kt5, and something has to give way.

Transformation! The Pawn which was blocked a moment ago has become a passed Pawn, only a step away from turning into a Queen!

This is the situation, with White to play:



38 P-R4!

With his Knight cornered by the Bishop and unable to emerge, action for Black on the Queen-side is temporarily suspended.

White therefore prepares to create a passed Pawn on the King-side (by means of P—Kt4, followed sooner or later by P—R5) to keep Black's King occupied in that area, and unable to come to the rescue of his Knight. In the meanwhile, White would have all in the time in the world to march his King up the board and capture the Knight.

Driving the Bishop away accomplishes nothing, viz: 39 ... P—R3 40 B—R4, P—R4 41 B—Kt5, P—R5 42 B×P, Kt—R3 43 B—Kt5, and the Knight must return to Kt1.

40 P—B4	K—K2
41 K—B2	K-Q1
42 KK2	K_K2

The King dares not attack the Pawn by 42 ... K—B2, as after 43 P—R5, K×P 44 P—R6, the new passed Pawn could not be headed off.

43 K—Q3	KQ3
44 K—B3	P-B3
45 K-Kt4	PK4
46 QP × Pch	$P \times P$
47 P×Pch	$K \times P$
48 K—B5	KK3
49 P—R5	Resigns

営 GAME TWENTY-EIGHT 置

Problem-like Finale

J. Foltys · H. Golombek

London 1947, SICILIAN DEFENSE

The student of chess strategy will be fascinated by the way certain elements of position play—centralization, weaknesses on the black squares, Knight outpost at K5, Bishop hemmed in by Pawns—are treated here.

The connoisseur of grace and ease of style will find sufficient incentive to play this game over (more than once, I am sure) in Leonard Barden's comment on it in his excellent book A Guide to Chess Openings, "The whole game runs with a smooth logic characteristic of the greatest masterpieces."

.	
1 PK4	PQB4
2 KtKB3	P—Q3
3 P—Q4	$P \times P$
4 Kt \times P	Kt-KB3
5 Kt—QB3	P-KKt3
6 B—K2	B-Kt2
7 B—K3	Kt—B3
8 0-0	0-0

The attempt to simplify by 8 ... Kt—KKt5 lands Black in a trap: 9 B×Kt!, B×B (if 9 ... B×Kt 10 B×QB wins a piece for White) 10 Kt×Kt, B×Q (or 10 ... P×Kt 11 Q×B, and White is a piece ahead) 11 Kt×Q, and White wins a piece.

Black would not have an easy time of it after 9... P—Q4 when the play might run: 10 Kt×Kt, P×Kt 11 P—K5, Kt—Q2 12 P—B4, followed by Kt—R4 and Q—B3 with lasting pressure on the squares Q4 and QB5.


Threatens to shut the door on the Bishop by 12 P—B5.

This capture, which limits the range of Black's Queen Bishop, is inferior to the natural 14 ... B×P, keeping the lines open. Black may have been prompted though by a desire to keep the two Bishops.

This move gets an exclamation mark (to which it is entitled) from Foltys himself. The Knight clears the way, with gain of tempo, for an advance of the Queen Bishop Pawn which proves to have decisive effect.

White's moves are simple and strong. They are hard to meet, because they involve no immediate threats. Later on, when his pieces seize the best squares, there are threats.

This is the position, with White to play:



Very good! White threatens to exchange Pawns, leaving Black with a frail Queen Pawn which could not long survive.

More than that, it leaves no palatable alternative to the opponent.

This limits still further the scope of Black's Queen Bishop, but if instead 19 ... P×P there follows 20 B×P, KR—K1 21 Kt×P, Kt×Kt 22 B×Kt, R×B 23 R×R, Q×R 24 Q×B, and White, a Pawn up, wins the ending.

Of course $20 \dots P \times P$ $21 \times P$ is unthinkable, but now the Queen Bishop is badly hemmed in by the Pawns on white squares.

Guards against 22 Kt—Q6, an attack on Queen and Rook—to say nothing of the Knight Pawn.

Not content with strengthening his position move by move, White seeks to dislodge any adverse pieces which might be well-placed.

The Knight must retreat, since the tempting counter-attack by 24... B—B6 meets this harsh fate: 25 Q×B!, Q×Q 26 P×Kt (threatens to regain the Queen by 27 B—Q4ch) Q—R4 27 P×B, and White, who has three pieces for his Queen and threatens to get an overwhelming position with 28 Kt—K5, should win easily.

White now gets a strong grip on the black squares, now that Black's King Bishop that dominated those squares is off the board.

The first reward: a fine outpost for the Knight, on a black square.

Threatens 30 Kt—Kt6ch, winning the exchange, as well as the brusque 30 Kt×B, removing a piece and then taking one of the Rooks.

Threatens nothing less than mate.


Very effective! It pins the Bishop, which is doubly attacked, and restricts its defense to one move.

The only way to save the Bishop. A move by the Bishop loses the Queen Rook immediately, while defending the Bishop by 32 ... KR—Q1 allows this pretty win: 33 P×P, B—K1 34 Q×Qch, K×Q 35 P—B7!, and the Pawn fork wins a whole Rook.

The Bishop is finally ready to take part in the game, but it's much too late.

Forced, since $36 \dots B$ —B5 loses a Pawn after $37 \text{ Kt} \times B$, $P \times \text{ Kt} 38 \text{ R} \times R$, $R \times R 39 P$ —B7, R—QB1 40 R—B6.

This is the position, with White to play:



38 R×B!

Ends the Bishop's inglorious career, and starts a pretty combination.

Threatens 40 R—KB7—mate on the move.

For if 42 ... R×R 43 Kt—Q7ch, K—K? 44 Kt—Kt8, and the Pawn becomes a Queen next move.

A Pawn Is a Pawn Is a Pawn

I. Kashdan · H. Steiner

Pasadena 1932, Colle System

The mental attitude of a modern master can be summed up in one crisp sentence: Win a Pawn early in the game, and nurse it along to victory!

In the game that follows, Kashdan does this, and does it beautifully. There are intervening difficulties of course, and how he resolves them we shall see as the game unfolds.

1 P—Q4	Kt—KB3
2 Kt—KB3	P—Q4
3 P—K3	P-K3

A line that takes the sting out of the Colle is 3 ... B—B4 4 B—Q3, P—K3 5 B×B, P×B 6 Q—Q3 (attacks the Bishop Pawn, and also threatens 7 Q—Kt5ch) Q—B1 7 P—QKt3, Kt—R3 8 O—O, B—K2 9 P—B4, O—O 10 Kt—B3, P—B3 11 B—Kt2, Kt—K5 12 KR—B1 (but not 12 P×P, Kt—Kt5 13 Q—K2, Kt×Kt 14 B×Kt, Kt×QP, with the better game for Black) as Euwe played against Alekhine in the 17th game of their 1935 match.

4 B—Q3	QKt-Q2
5 QKtQ2	B—K2
6 O_K2	

Prepares for P—K4, the key move of the Colle, which opens the position and liberates White's Queenside pieces.

6	PB4
7 P—B3	00
8 O—O	P—QKt3
9 P—K4	$P \times KP$

This is more or less forced. If $9 \dots P \times QP$ 10 P—K5 (this advance is nearly always favorable for White, as it dislodges the strongest defender of the Castled position) Kt—K1 11 P×P, followed by R—Q1, Kt—B1 and Kt—Kt3 leaves White with the superior position.

With pressure that can be felt the entire length of the file. One threat for example: 12 Kt×Ktch, B×Kt (if 12 ... Kt×Kt 13 P×P wins a Pawn as Black must guard against loss of his Queen by 14 B×Pch) 13 P×P, P×P 14 B—QKt5, B—B1 15 B—K3 (Black is to be denied even the pleasure of keeping his isolated Pawn) B—K2 (if 15 ... Q—B2 16 B×Kt, B×B 16 B×P wins a Pawn) 16 B×Kt, B×B 17 Kt—K5, and the pinned Bishop is lost.

The Queen steps aside nervously.

Not at once 12 ... Kt×Kt, as after 13 B×B, R—K1 14 B—R4, White keeps his long-range Bishops.

First Step: White gets the advantage of three Pawns to two on the Queen-side.

But not $14 P \times P$, $B \times Kt$, and Black wins a piece.

Here too, the attempt to steal a Pawn by $15 \text{ P} \times \text{P}$ would lose a piece, this time by $15 \dots \text{Q--B3}$.

Even in this simplified position, there are tactical threats. Black must guard against 18 P—QKt4, Q—K4 19 Kt—B3, Q—K5 20 R—Q4, and his Knight is lost.

"The smoke has cleared," says Kashdan, "and the battle is to be resumed with diminished forces. What White has played for is an advantage of Pawns on the Queenside. These he will advance, eventually obtaining a passed Pawn, or else isolating one of the remaining Black Pawns, and attempting to win it. Black can similarly advance his King-side Pawns, but there are two difficulties. In the middle game, he would endanger his King. In the endgame, the distance of his

King from the other side might well prove the deciding factor in White's favor. A Pawn majority on the Queen-side is therefore worth obtaining when the opportunity offers."

On 19 ... QR—B1 instead, Kashdan had prepared these pretty combinations:

(1) 20 P—QKt4, Kt—R5 21 Kt—B6, Q—B2 22 R×Rch, R×R (or 22 ... Q×R 23 Kt—K7ch, and the Rook falls) 23 P—Kt5, Kt—Kt7 24 Q—Kt3, and White wins the exchange.

(2) 20 P—QKt4, Kt—R5 21 Kt— B6, R×Rch 22 R×R, Q—B2 23 Kt—K7ch, K—B1 24 Kt×R!, Q×Q 25 R—Q8 mate.

After Black's actual move he threatens 20 ... P—K4, winning the pinned Knight.

Second Step: White advances the Queen-side Pawns at every opportunity.


If Black tries to win the Bishop Pawn by 23 ... P—QR3, then 24 Kt—Q6, R×P 25 Kt—K4 wins the exchange and teaches him a lesson.

Protects the Bishop Pawn and threatens to win a Pawn by $25 R \times R$, $R \times R$ $26 Kt \times P$.



P-QR3

This is the position, with White to play:



R-Kt1

Much as he would like to, Black still must not touch the Bishop Pawn. If 25 ... R×P 26 Kt—K4, R×Q 27 Kt×Qch, P×Kt 28 P×R, and Black has a lost game.

Q—K2 O—B2

28 P-QB4


Third Step: Pressing on to create a passed Pawn.

28 ... R—Q2 29 Q—QB3 P—R3 30 Kt—K4

In order to advance 31 P—B5, and recapture with the Knight in the event that Black plays 31 ... P×P. This would avoid the splitting up of the remaining Pawns.

30 ... R×R 31 R×R R—B1 32 Kt—Q6 R—Q1

This is the position, with White to play:



33 P—B5!

"This had to be carefully analyzed," says Kashdan. "Such an advance, if it does not bear immediate fruit may result in the Pawn becoming a weakness.

If 33 ... P×P 34 Q×P, Q×Q 35 P×Q, R—Q2 (otherwise at once 36 P—B6 and 37 P—B7) 36 P—B6, R—B2 37 R—B2, Kt—Kt3 38 Kt—K8 (to drive off the blockader) R—B1 39 P—B7, Kt—K2 40 Kt— Q6, and White wins."

This is strong, but Kashdan misses the immediately decisive 34 Kt—B5! The threat of 35 Q×P mate as well as the discovered attack on the Rook would result in White's winning the exchange.

We may be grateful though that Kashdan played as he did, for otherwise we would be deprived of a finely played ending.

Strangely enough, the idea of a discovered attack on the unprotected Rook comes up *twice* in the next few moves, as shown in the notes.

34 ... Q×RP

Black does not exchange Queens, as that would lose by the play shown in an earlier note.

Provides a flight-square for the King, and sets a little trap.

Black avoids the poisoned Pawn, as after 36 ... Q×P, the reply 37 Kt—K4! discovers an attack on the Rook and also threatens mate in two by 38 Kt—B6ch, K—R1 39 Q—Kt8 mate.

And now he sidesteps 37 ... Q—R8ch 38 K—R2, Q—K4ch 39 P—B4, Q×QBP, when 40 Kt—K4! endangers the lives of Black's King, Queen and Rook!

Of course not 39 Q×KP, as then 39 ... Q—B5ch wins the unprotected Rook—this time of White's!

Fourth Step: White gains a Pawn.

40	Q—B5ch
41 P-Kt3	Q05
42 Q—K3!	$\hat{Q} \times \hat{Q}$
43 P×Q	Kt—K4
44 K-Kt2	P—OR4
45 R—QB2	~

This follows the rule laid down by Tarrasch: The Rook's place is behind the passed Pawn; behind the enemy Pawn in order to keep it


under attack, behind one's own in order to support its advance.

The ending is not too easy. White's passed Pawn is blockaded, and his King shut out. It will take endgame wizardry (which luckily Kashdan has) to win it.

Fifth Step: Preparing to remove the blockader.

To prevent White from trying to drive off the blockader by 47 Kt—Q4, as that would cost him a Pawn.

This is the position, with White to play:



47 Kt-Q4!

Sixth Step: White returns the extra Pawn in order to further the career of the passed Pawn.

There is not much fight in 47 ... R—QB1 48 Kt×Kt, R×Kt 49 K—B3, K—Kt3 50 K—K4, K—B3 51 K—Q5, and the blockading Rook is easily driven off.

48 P×Kt	$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}$
49 P—B6	R—Q1
50 K—B3!	

Pushing on would be premature, as Kashdan shows: 50 P—B7, R—QB1 51 K—B3, P—R5 52 K—K4, P—R6 53 K—Q5, P—R7, and White must play 54 R×P, allowing a draw.

Black's King cannot help out, for if 50 ... K—Kt3 51 K—K4, K—B3 52 P—B7, R—QB1 53 K—Q5, K—K2 54 K—B6, P—R5 55 R—K2ch, K—B2 56 K—Q7, and White wins.

Threatens to win quickly by 53 P—B7, R—QB1 54 K—Q6 followed by 55 K—Q7.

52	RQ6
53 P—B7	P—R7
54 R×P	R—QB6
55 KQ6	R-Q6ch
56 K—B6	R—B6ch
57 K—Kt7	R-Kt6ch
58 K—R8	R—QB6
59 R—R7	

Builds a bridge for the King, as Nimzovich calls this maneuver. If now 59 ... K—Kt3 60 K—Kt8, R—Kt6ch 61 R—Kt7, and the King is sheltered from any further checks. The passed Pawn would then cost Black his Rook.

59 ... Resigns

置 GAME THIRTY I

Board with Excitement

P. Keres · A. Tarnowski

Helsinki 1952, Ruy Lopez



Paul Keres

From the very start of this magnificent game, Keres does not allow his opponent a moment's breathing spell. He threatens the King-side, he threatens the Queen-side, he threatens the center, and the whole board vibrates with the fury of his attack.

When the decisive combination does materialize (as inevitably it must when a Rook on the seventh rank controls the Queen side, a Knight at KB5 terrorizes the Kingside, and the Queen dominates the

center) it is a pleasing one, and a fitting climax to the impeccable strategy that made it possible.

1 P—K4	P-K4
2 Kt—KB3	Kt-QB3
3 B—Kt5	P-QR3
4 B—R4	Kt-B3
5 O —O	B-K2

The Strong Point variation, the central idea of which is to maintain the Pawn at K4 as a strong point, and as a pivot for future action.

The line is popular now, but not so long ago it was censured by Tarrasch (who considered 5 ... Kt×P the only tenable defense) in these ringing words, "All lines of play which lead to the imprisonment of a Bishop are on principle to be condemned!"

A strong alternative to the usual 6 R—K1. The King Rook is to move to Q1, there to exert lasting pressure on the Queen file.

Castling instead lands Black in a trap: 6... O—O 7 B×Kt, QP×B 8 Kt×P, Q—Q5 9 Kt—KB3, Q×KP 10 Q×Q, Kt×Q 11 R—K1, and White wins a piece.

Keres leaves the books (including his own book on the Ruy Lopez) with this diversion on the flank, the customary move being 10 P—Q4.

The Knight is on its way to KB5, an ideal square for the Knight in this form of the Ruy Lopez.

Watch the peregrinations of this Knight, who is destined to play an important role in the concluding

combination.

An attempt to free his game by $14 \dots P$ —Q4 would cost Black a Pawn after the reply $15 \text{ Kt}(B4) \times P$.

Not at once 16 Kt—B5, as after 16 ... B×Kt 17 P×B, White would have a Pawn instead of a piece occupying the square KB5. Tarrasch says (and I have faith in Tarrasch) "Weak points or holes in the opponent's position must be occupied by pieces, and not by Pawns."

Black avoids another little pitfall: If $16 \dots Kt \times P$ (expecting to win a Pawn after $17 P \times Kt$, $B \times Kt$) White interpolates 17 Kt - Q5, and after $17 \dots Q - Q1$ $18 Kt \times Bch$, $Q \times Kt$, wins a piece by $19 Q \times Kt$, his Knight now being protected.


This is stronger than the immediate 17 Kt(R4)—B5, when Black might free his game by 17 ... B×Kt 18 Kt×B, P—Q4.

The move by the Queen puts further restraint on Black's Queen Pawn.

The threats begin! The one now in

sight is 20 Kt×RPch, $P \times Kt$ 21 $Q \times Kt$, and White wins a Pawn.

This is how the board looks:



The position of the Knight is classic in the Ruy Lopez. The control of this outpost has led to such famous victories as Capablanca-Marshall 1909 (sixth game of the match), Capablanca-Bernstein, San Sebastian 1911, Capablanca-DusChotimirsky, St. Petersburg 1913, Capablanca-Fonaroff, New York 1918, and Teichmann-Schlechter, Carlsbad 1911, among others.

The Bishop switches to another diagonal, where it can be more effective. Its attack on the Bishop Pawn ties Black's Queen down to the protection of the second rank. If for example, 20 ... Q—Q1 21 Kt×Pch, P×Kt (or 21 ... K—R1 22 Kt×Pch and White wins the Queen) 22 Q×Pch, and White mates next move.

This is better than 21 B×B, as after the recapture by 21 ... $P \times B$,

the attacked Knight would be forced to leave.

White is saddled with a doubled Pawn, but in return his Rook exerts pressure on the Queen file, with particular emphasis on the backward Pawn on that file.

Black's compensation lies in the open Queen Knight file, which he hopes to occupy with Queen and Rook.

Keres starts the Pawns rolling on the King-side. His attack on the wing is justified by the fact that it cannot be met by play in the center (the usual recipe in such situations).

Black seems to be getting counterplay on the Queen-side. Keres not only shrugs this off, but manages to drive the heavy pieces from the Queen Knight file and seize it for himself.

"The key to White's deep plan," says Barden. "Black now finds not only that he has no points of attack on the Queen Knight file, but that he can do nothing to prevent White using it to break through himself. With the aid of this extra attacking avenue, the

advance of White's King-side Pawns, by itself not conclusive, becomes decisive."

<i>27</i>	R-KKt3
28 P—B3	P—KR4
29 P-Kt5	P—B3
<i>30</i> K— B 1	$P \times P$
31 P×P	


Black had expected to win a Pawn, but now sees that on 31 ... Kt×P, the reply 32 Kt—R4, driving the Rook away from the Knight's protection, would be painful.

Forces Black to exchange Rooks, in view of White's threat: 33 R×R, Q×R 34 Q—Q5, K—B2 35 P—Kt6ch, K—B3 36 P×Kt, and White gets another Queen next move.

Now that the Queen Knight file is in his possession, White brings his Queen to a vital square in the center.

The Queen is not only centralized, but ready to support an invasion of the seventh rank by the Rook.

This is the position, with White to play:



35 R-Kt7!

The Rook crashes into the game, breaking down all resistance in its path.

Forced, since 35 ... B—K2 36 Kt×Bch, R×Kt 37 R×R costs Black his Rook, the pinned Queen being helpless to recapture.

The King must run into a discovered check, or leave the Rook unprotected.

Interposing the Bishop would be ruinous, as after 37 ... B—K2 38 R×Bch, Q×R 39 Kt×Q, K×Kt 40 Q—Kt7ch follows, and White picks up the Knight hiding behind the King.

38 Kt-Q8!

Fancy stepping by the Knight!

<i>38</i>	$Q \times Kt$
39 Q×Rch	K—R1
40 Q—B7	Resigns

耳 GAME THIRTY-ONE 罩

Elegant Simplification

M. Botvinnik · I. Boleslavsky

Moscow 1941, French Defense



Mikhail Botvinnik

This is one of the great Botvinnik games, notable for the originality of its opening strategy and the brilliance of its midgame combinative play. It is the superb clarity of the endgame phase though that will appeal particularly to the student. It is a Rook and Pawn ending, the study of which is bound to increase anyone's playing strength.

"The crystalline simplicity of the winning process," says Reinfeld,

"has an enchanting logic which is easy to appreciate but hard to explain!"

> 1 P—K4 P—K3 2 P—Q4 P—Q4

3 Kt--Q2

The fashionable move nowadays. It avoids the pin by 3 ... B—Kt5, and leaves the Bishop Pawn free to support the Queen Pawn.

This is probably preferable to 4 ... Q×P, after which White develops quickly by 5 KKt—B3, P×P 6 B—B4, Q—Q1 7 Kt—Kt3, Kt—KB3 8 O—O.

5 B-Kt5ch	Kt—B3
6 KKtB3	B—Q3
7 O—O	KKt-K2
$8 P \times P$	$\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{P}$
9 Kt—Kt3	B—Kt3
10 B—K3!	

A remarkable move! White practically forces an exchange which leaves him with a sickly-looking King Pawn.

On 11 ... $Kt \times B$, the continuation 12 R-K1, P-Q5 13 $Kt(B3) \times P$ wins a Pawn for White.

In return for his isolated Pawn, White exerts pressure on the squares Q4 and QB5, the strategical importance of which will be evident later.

The point of Botvinnik's subtle position play. Black must not be permitted to get in the freeing move ... P—QB4.


Botvinnik also contemplates anchoring his Knights on Q4 and QB5, squares from which they cannot be dislodged by Pawns.

Temporarily prevents 15 Kt—B5, the reply to which would be 15 ... $Q \times P$.

Protects the Knight Pawn, and renews the threat of planting the Knight at B5.

Black's aim is to establish his Knight strongly in the center, where it will have some neutralizing effect on the position.

This is the position, with White to play:



19 P-Kt4

White is now firmly entrenched on the black squares, but that is not enough in itself to assure victory. He must now find a way to open lines for his Rooks without allowing his opponent too much freedom.

19	QR-Q1
20 P—K4!	$\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$
21 R×P	P—QR4
22 PQR3!	$\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$
23 P×P	PB3

This re-enforces the Knight and guards against 24 Q—KKt3—threats against the loose pieces.

24 R(Kt1)-K1 K-R1

Black misses his chance. The way to consolidate his position was to play 24 ... B—R4 (adding protection to the King Rook) followed by 25 ... B—B2, making his K3 square unavailable to an enemy Knight.

25 K-R1

After this, there are all sorts of ways for Black to go wrong. For instance:

- (1) 25 ... R—Q4 26 $R \times B$ wins a piece.
- (2) 25 ... B—B1 26 Kt—R4, Q—R3 27 Q×P, Q×Q 28 Kt×Q, and White wins a Pawn.
- (3) 25 ... B—R4 (recommended by Muller, Czerniak, Coles, Oskam, Belavienetz, Yudovich, Reinfeld and other noted annotators), 26 Kt(Q4)—K6, R—QKt1 27 R×Kt!, P×R 28 Q×P, (threatens mate) R×Kt 29 Kt×R, and Black must give up his Bishop to prevent mate.

25 ... B—Q2 26 Kt×B R×Kt 27 Q×P!

This wins a Pawn, thanks to the vulnerable last rank, and begins a delightful combination.


27 ... Q-Q1

Certainly not 27 ... $Kt \times Q$, when 28 $R \times R$ is checkmate.

28 Kt—B3 R—QB2

After this plausible move, Botvinnik unfolds a startling combination which clears away most of the pieces like magic, and leaves a Rook and Pawn ending, with Botvinnik a Pawn ahead.

This is the position, with White to play:



29 Kt×Kt! P×Kt

If $29 \dots R \times Q$ 30 Kt-B7ch, K-Kt1 $31 \text{ Kt} \times Q$, R \times Kt 32 P-B4, P-B4 33 R-B4, and White wins. Or if $29 \dots R \times \text{Kt}$ $30 \text{ R} \times \text{R}$, P \times R (on $30 \dots R \times Q$ 31 R-K8ch forces mate) 31 Q-K4, and White should win.

 $30 \text{ Q} \times \text{Rch!}$ $Q \times Q$ $31 \text{ R} \times P$ Q - KKt1

Strangely enough, there was no way for Black to avoid returning his Queen for a Rook.

32 R—K8 R×P 33 R×Qch K×R 34 R—QKt1

The Rook belongs behind the passed Pawn. Not only does the Rook support the Pawn, but its range of power increases with every step forward of the Pawn.

<i>34</i>	KB2
35 P-Kt5	KK3
36 P-Kt6	

The passed Pawn will keep Black's King and Rook occupied. In the meantime, White can bring his King into active play, eventually to threaten the abandoned Pawns on the King-side.

Botvinnik shows that 37 P—Kt7 would be premature, the play after that running as follows: 37 ... R—QKt1 38 K—Kt1, K—Q3 39 K—B2, K—B2 40 K—Kt3, R×P 41 R×Rch, K×R 42 K—B4, K—B3 43 K—K5, K—Q2, and White's advantage has been dissipated.

<i>37</i>	R-QKt1
<i>38</i> KR2	K-Q4
39 K-Kt3	KB3
40 K-Kt4	K-Kt2

Blockades the Pawn with his King, and releases the Rook for some sort of counter-play.

Capturing the Pawn would be ruinous, as after 40 ... R×P 41 R×Rch, K×R 42 K—B5, the King gets to the Pawns and removes them.

The Rook shifts over to the King file, where it will assume a more active role, while still watching over the Pawn.

White's immediate threat incidentally is 42 R—K7ch, K×P 43 R×P, followed by capture of the

helpless Rook Pawn.

Here too, 41 ... K×P loses by 42 R—Kt1ch, followed by exchanging Rooks.

The Rook not only defends the passed Pawn from this horizontal position, but is prepared to help out in the attack on Black's Kingside Pawns. Black's King and Rook, on the other hand, are separated and reduced to defensive functions.

Black defends as well as he can. Moving either of the Pawns lets White's King in, i.e. 42 ... P—R3 43 K—R5 followed by 44 K—Kt6, or 42 ... P—Kt3 43 K—Kt5 followed by 44 K—R6.

43 KKt5	K—Kt2
44 P—R4	K—R3
45 P—R5	K-Kt2
46 P-Kt4	KR3
47 K—R4	K-Kt2
48 P-R6!	

This will break up the Pawns!

Or 48 ... P-Kt3 49 R-K7ch, $K \times P$ 50 R \times P, K-B4 51 R-KKt7, R-KR1 52 R \times P, and Black may resign.

If 50 ... R—KB2 51 R—K6, R—Kt2 52 P—Kt5, K—R3 53 K—R6, R—Q2 54 R—K8, K×P 55 R—KR8, and White wins. 51 R-QB6!

If 51 .	K-Kt2 52 R-B7ch,
R×R 53	$P \times R$, $K \times P$ 54 K—R6,
and White	wins easily Black's King

R---K2

and white wins easily, Black's King being too far away to cause trouble.

52 R—B7	R—K4ch
53 P—Kt5	$\mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{P}$
54 R×P	K—B3
55 K—R6	K—Q3
56 P—Kt6	R—K8
57 R—KB7	K-K3
58 R—B2	

Thus far and no further! The King is prevented from approaching the Pawn.

58	R—QR8
59 P-Kt7	R-R8ch
60 K-Kt6	R-Kt8ch

61 K-R7	R-R8ch
62 K-Kt8	KK2
63 R-K2ch	K-Q2

On 63 ... K-B3 64 K-B8 does the trick.

64 R-K4!

Discovered by Lucena in 1497, this magic move is still potent today!

Boleslavsky does not wait to be shown, but this is how the win is completed: 65 ... R-B7ch 66 K-Kt6, R-Kt7ch 67 K-B6, R-B7ch 68 K-Kt5, R-Kt7ch 69 R-Kt4, and the Pawn is assured of becoming a Queen.

Four Endings in One

J. H. Blackburne · M. Weiss

New York 1889, RUY LOPEZ

This game and the next were played between Blackburne and Weiss in the first two rounds of a doubleround tournament.

This game, the first between them, was won by Weiss, who played the Bishop ending beautifully. Blackburne, on the other hand, conducted the ending indifferently, hemming in his own Bishop with Pawns.

In the second round, the game once again came down to a Bishop ending. What happened in the interval I don't know, but this time it was Blackburne who demonstrated the win in classic style. He played it like the man who wrote the book, or at least that chapter in it called, "How to win a Bishop ending with a Pawn ahead."

Incidentally, this Bishop ending was preceded by an ending of two Bishops against Knight and Bishop, followed by a Queen ending, and finally by a Pawn ending. Four endings in one game!

1 PK4	PK4
2 KtKB3	KtQB3
3 B—Kt5	Kt—B3
4 P—Q4	$P \times P$
5 O—O	B-K2
6 RK1	00
7 Kt×P	

Nothing is to be gained from 7 P—K5, Kt—K1 8 Kt×P, Kt×Kt 9 Q×Kt, P—Q4, and Black has equalized.

7		$Kt \times K$
8	$Q \times Kt$	P—Q4
9	$P \times P$	$Kt \times P$
10	B—QB4	

There is no reason to move this piece twice in the opening. White should simply go about the business of continuing his development, say by 10 Kt—B3.

And for this—letting the opponent have the two Bishops—there is simply no excuse!

Obviously, 12 R×B, Q×R 13 Q×B, Q—K8 mate won't do!

12	BK3
13 Q-K4	PQB3
14 B—B4	R-K1
15 QR—Q1	Q—Kt3
16 KtR4	Q—Kt5
17 O×O	

This exchange is bound to help Black, whose Bishops gain in power as the board becomes cleared. Instead of this, Steinitz suggests 17 R—Q4, Q—Kt4 18 B—K5, and if then 18 ... B—Q4, 19 Kt—B3, B×Q 20 Kt×Q, and Black must part with one of his Bishops, to prevent loss of the exchange by 21 Kt—B7.


"Herr Weiss is one of the greatest masters of simplifying positions," says Steinitz, "and he can well rely on his judgment in the ending, which he conducts with exemplary skill."

There are poisonous threats in the air, one of them being 22 ... P—QKt4, winning the Knight.

Not very attractive, but the alternatives are worse:

- (1) 24 R—QB1 (to save the Bishop Pawn) R—K7 25 Kt—Q1, R×RP, and White has lost a Pawn.
- (2) 24 R—Q6, B×P 25 Kt—Q1, B—QKt5 26 R—Q4 (or 26 R×P, R—K8ch winning the Knight) B—B4, and Black wins a whole Rook.

This is the position, with Black to play:



Provides the King with a flight-square, a necessary prelude to the threat of winning a piece by 26 ... B—B7.

Further reducing White's mobility! Now his King is kept from coming closer to the center, his Knight can move but only to retreat, while his Bishop is confined to a zig-zag excursion from K1 to QR1 and back again, in problem-like style.

White should have avoided making this move. It places all his Pawns on black squares, greatly limiting the scope of his Bishop, which travels on black squares. The advance of the Pawn also relinquishes control of the square K4, making it now possible for the adverse King to enter strongly into

the position by way of that square.

<i>32</i>	K—K3
33 KB3	BQ1
34 B—B2	P—R4
35 KtQ1	B—B7
36 Kt-K3	B-Kt6
37 K—K4	P—B4ch
<i>38</i> K—Q3	

Clearly 38 Kt × P would not do, as 38 ... B—B7ch wins the rash creature.

40 K-Q2

The King is forced to retreat, as 40 K—K3 loses by 40 ... B—Kt3ch 41 K—B3, B—Q4ch 42 K—K2, B×B 43 K×B, B×Kt 44 K×B, K—Q4, followed by the removal of White's Queen-side Pawns, while the alternative 40 K—Q4 allowing 40 ... B—Kt3 mate is even worse.

White's King meanwhile advances steadily into enemy territory.

White intends to get rid of one of the Bishops, but the exchange leaves him with the bad Bishop!

Black has lost one of his fine Bishops, but the dominating position of his King more than makes up for it. Note too that his Bishop is not hampered by Pawns, since all of them occupy white squares, while the Bishop travels on black

squares.


All six of White's Pawns, on the other hand, stand on squares of the color used by the Bishop. Not only does this limit the scope of the Bishop, but it allows White no control of the white squares. His Bishop and all six Pawns attack black squares only.

The only move, as otherwise 44 ... K—B6 followed by 45 ... K—Kt7 wins easily for Black.

If $45 P \times P$, $B \times P$ (threatens $46 \dots B$ —B8) 46 K—Q1, B—K6, and it's all over.

Obviously 46 P×P loses a Pawn at once after the reply 46 ... B—B2.

This is the position, with Black to play:



This forces an exchange of Pawns,

after which Black will have a passed Pawn on the King Bishop file.

48 P×P

On 48 B—K1, Black wins nicely by 48 ... P×P 49 P×P, B—K4, and White is out of moves—in zugzwang! His Bishop must stay where it is to protect the Pawns on either side of it, while any move by the King allows Black further entrance, either by 50 ... K—B6 or 50 ... K—Q6, in each case winning a Pawn.

If 52 K—Q1, K—K6 53 B—Q2ch, K—B7 54 B—Klch, K—Kt7 55 P—R4, P—B7, and Black wins. Or if 52 K—Q2, B—Kt4ch 53 K—Q1, B—K6, followed by 54 ... P—B7 is decisive.

This begins a fifteen-move combination. Despite its length, it is easy enough to visualize and understand it, if we break it down. This is the series of ideas:

- (1) Bishops are exchanged to bring about a Pawn ending.
- (2) A count-up of moves shows that each side will Queen a Pawn, but that Black's Pawn becomes a Queen with check.
- (3) A series of checks will force an exchange of Queens.
- (4) The new Pawn ending will be in Black's favor, his King being near the adverse Pawns.
 - (5) White's Rook Pawn will fall,


leaving Black with a passed Pawn.

(6) The passed Pawn (after a bit of jockeying) will reach the Queening square.

53 K—B1	B×R
54 K×B	K06
55 P—R4	K×P
56 PR5	K-Kt6!

But not 56 ... K-Kt7, as that allows White to win in fine style by 57 P-R6, P-B6 58 P-R7, P-QB7 59 P-R8(Q)ch, K-Kt8 60 Q-R7 (the beginning of a pretty zig-zagging maneuver) K-Kt7 61 Q-Kt7ch, K-Kt8 62 Q-Kt6, K-Kt7 63 Q-B6ch, K-Kt8 64 Q-B5, K-Kt7 65 Q-K5ch, K-Kt8 66 Q-K4, K-Kt7 67 Q-Q4ch, K-Kt8 68 Q-Q3, K-Kt7 69 Q-Q2, K-Kt8 70 P-Kt5!, $P \times P$ (if 70 ... P-B8(Q)ch 71 $Q \times Q$ ch, $K \times Q$ 72 $P \times P$, and White wins) 71 Q-Kt4ch (the Queen reaches this square, thanks to the Pawn sacrifice on the previous move) K-R7 72 K-Q2, P-B7 73 K×P, P-B8(Q) 74 Q-Kt2 mate.

Now back to this position, with White to play:



57 P—R6 58 P—R7 59 K—Q2 P—B6 P—QB7 If 59 P—R8(Q), P—B8(Q)ch 60 K—B2, and 61 ... Q—Kt7ch forces the exchange of Queens.

59 ... P—B7
60 P—R8(Q) P—QB8(Q)ch
61 K×Q P—B8(Q)ch
62 K—Q2 Q—B7ch
63 K—Q3

The only other move is 63 K—Q1, when 63 ... Q—B7ch 64 K—K1, Q—B6ch follows, and the Queens come off the board.

63 ... Q—QB7ch 64 K—K3 Q—B6ch This does the trick.

65 Q×Qch K×Q 66 K—K4 K—Kt6 67 K—Q4 K×P 68 K—B3

If 68 K—B4, K—Kt7 69 K—B5, K—Kt6, and Black wins.

68 ... K—R7 69 K—B2 P—R6 70 K—B1

On 70 K—B3, K—Kt8 followed by 71 ... P—R7 wins for Black.

70 ... K—Kt6
71 Resigns

骂 GAME THIRTY-THREE ■

Bishop and Pawn Ending Deluxe

M. Weiss · J. H. Blackburne

New York 1889, CENTER COUNTER GAME

Blackburne lost his first-round game against Weiss in the great New York Tournament of 1889, but got his revenge when the players met again in the second round. What made the victory particularly sweet was the fact that Blackburne achieved it by using the very weapons with which he was beaten—Bishop and Pawns against Bishop and Pawns.

It is doubtful that even Rubinstein in his palmiest days could have played the ending in more convincing style.

1 PK4	PQ4
$2 P \times P$	Kt-KB3
3 PQ4	$\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P}$
4 KtQB3	Q-QR4
5 KtB3	PB3

A safety measure, to provide a retreat for the Queen.

Sorrowful homecoming, but 7 cdots Q—Kt5 loses the Queen by 8 P—QR3, while 7 cdots Q—R3 does likewise by 8 Kt—Q6ch and 9 B×Q.

Simple development by 9 B—K3 was preferable. The exchanges that now follow will increase the scope of

Black's King Bishop.

	-
9	$P \times P$
10 Kt×P	$Kt \times Kt$
11 Q×Kt	B-Kt2
12 P—KR4	

This may have been meant to discourage King side Castling, but it doesn't disturb Blackburne, who goes about his business.


12	0-0
13 P-R5	Kt—B3
<i>14</i> Q×Q	R×O
15 P×P	$RP \times P$
16 B-Q3	BK3
17 B—Q2	QR—B1

The Rook develops with gain of tempo—the threat of winning a piece by 18 ... B×Kt.

Forces an exchange which favors Black, all of whose pieces are in active play.

A wretched move, but how else can White save his Queen Knight Pawn? If 20 B—B3, R×B 21 P×R, B×Pch 22 K—K2, B×R 23 R×B wins a Pawn for Black, while 20 O—O—O loses at once by 20 ... R×B.

This is the position, with Black to play:



20 ...

B-B5!

A fine move which wins a Pawn.

21 R-R3

If 21 B×B, R×B 22 P—QB3, R×P 23 P×R, B×Pch, and Black wins two Pawns.

21 ... B×B 22 R×B R×R 23 P×R R—B7!

Rook on the seventh—a paralyzing move!

24 R—Kt1

B-Q5

Black's Rook and Bishop work beautifully together, attacking Pawns on both sides of the board.

25 B-Q2

 $R \times P$

Of course not 25 ... B×KtP, as 26 K—Q1 in reply wins the exchange for White.

26 R x R

White has no choice. If he avoids the exchange of Rooks by playing 26 R-R1 (to protect the Rook Pawn) the reply $26 \ldots R \times B$ costs him a piece immediately.

26 ... B×R

"The rest is a matter of technique." Hundreds of annotators have said this about thousands of games where one side was a Pawn ahead in an ending. Now we can see how the process of winning works out in real life.

27 B—K3 P—R3
28 K—Q2 K—B1
29 K—B2 B—K4
30 K—Kt3 K—K1
31 K—B4 K—Q2
32 K—B5 B—B2

Stops further penetration by the King. That in itself is not enough. The King must be driven back, and the Pawns (Black's, of course) carefully advanced.

33 P—B3 P—K3


Notice how careful Blackburne is (in contrast to his play in the previous game) to place his Pawns on squares opposite in color to those on which his Bishop operates.

34 P-R4

Not the best move, since Black would have more difficulty getting a passed Pawn if this Pawn remained on its original square.

<i>34</i>	P—Kt3ch
35 KB4	KB3
36 B—B2	PB3
37 B—K3	BQ3

This is the position, and it is a curious one:



Is the formula for winning placing all the pieces in one row?

<i>38</i> B—Q4	PK4
<i>39</i> B—K3	P-Kt4ch
40 P×Pch	$P \times Pch$

And so, a passed Pawn is brought into being.

If let alone, Black might very well play 44 ... B-B4 next move, once again placing all his pieces in a row.

(I refrain from stressing the obvious.)

48 B-Q2

Black can easily go wrong at this point. If he protects the threatened Pawn instinctively by 48 ... B-K2, then 49 $B \times P$ (this would come in a flash!) B×B 50 K-Kt4 forces a draw.

Offers an exchange which White doesn't dare accept.

49 B-K1	K-B6
50 K-Kt4	$K \times P$
51 K×P	KB6

The last phase: getting the Pawn through without allowing White to sacrifice his Bishop for it.

52 KB4	P-Kt5
53 KQ3	ВВ7
54 B-R5	PKt6
55 B—B7	P-Kt7
56 B-R2	B—Kt3

"The ending is a fine study and is played with masterly skill by Mr. Blackburne," says Steinitz at this point.

There is no way to prevent 58 ... K-R6, followed by Queening the Pawn.

買 GAME THIRTY-FOUR I

Brilliant Career of a Pawn

V. Panov · M. Taimanov

Moscow 1952, Sicilian Defense

The major theme of this game, with suitable sub-heads, could very well be:

THE PASSED PAWN

- (1) Creation of the Pawn.
- (2) Blockade of the Pawn.
- (3) Removal of the Blockade.
- (4) Triumph of the Pawn.

Another phase of this game (a minor theme?) I find even more interesting. Early in the play, instead of the usual struggle for positional advantage as the necessary preliminary to a decisive combination later on, we have here a series of little combinations whose purpose is to acquire ultimately an advantage in position! A good deal of sharp fighting revolves about the efforts of one side to limit, and the other side to increase the attacking range of Black's King Bishop.

This seeming reversal of theory is typical of the play of today's masters, who refuse to let their thinking be bound by convention.

I like this sort of chess, I think it's great, I think it's exciting!

1 P—K4	P—QB4
2 Kt-QB3	Kt-QB3
3 P-KKt3	P—KKt3
4 B—Kt2	B-Kt2

5 P—Q3 P—Q3

Both sides are content to develop quietly, making no effort to tangle with each other.

6 KKt-K2

The Knight develops here (instead of at B3) in order not to block the Bishop Pawn. The Pawn must be free to advance to B4 and B5, in the event that White starts a Kingside attack.

6 ... R—Kt1

The Rook leaves! Not only to get out of the range of White's King Bishop, but to support a counter-attack by 7 ... P—QKt4 and 8 ... P—QKt5.

7 O—O P—QKt4 8 P—B4 P—Kt5 9 Kt—O5

White intends to continue with 10 P—B3 and 11 P—Q4. These last two moves would build up a strong Pawn center, and shorten the range of Black's King Bishop.

9 ... Kt—Q5!

A clever reply which practically forces White to exchange Knights, and renders it difficult for him to play P—B3 for quite a while.

10 Kt×Kt


If White persists, and does try 10 P—B3, then 10 ... Kt×Ktch 11 Q×Kt, P—K3 12 Kt—K3, P×P wins a Pawn for Black.

10	$B \times K$ tch
11 K-R1	PK3
12 Kt—K3	Kt-K2

White's position is a bit uncomfortable, for the moment. He cannot develop his Queen Bishop, as 13 B—Q2, B×P loses a Pawn, nor can he drive off the troublesome Bishop, whose pressure on the long diagonal is annoying.

But he finds a pretty little move, which enables him either to dislodge the Bishop by P—B3, or open a file for his Queen Rook, affording him counter-play.

This is the position, with White to play:



13 P-QR3!

A subtle trap! If 13 ... P×P 14 R×P (threatens 15 P—B3) B×P 15 B×B, R×B 16 Q—R1! (a strange attack on both Rooks!) Q—Kt3 17 Kt—B4, and White wins a whole Rook.

Again with the idea of playing 16 P—B3 followed by 17 P—Q4.

Which Black of course prevents!

Screens off the opposing Queen, and once more prepares to play 17 P—B3.

Apparently forcing the Knight to return. Has White wasted time with his last move?

Not after this move! Suddenly the skies are clear, and the birds begin to sing!

What else is there? If 17 ... P×Kt 18 B×B (threatens 19 B—K5 winning the exchange, as well as 19 R×P) Kt—B3 19 B—B6, and now it's White's Bishop that dominates the long diagonal.

Or if 17... B—KKt2 18 R × Psnips off a Pawn, without compensation for Black. Finally, if 17... Kt—B3 (protects the Bishop as well as the Rook Pawn) 18 P×P, P×P 19 B×P, and White wins a Pawn.

Black's once-powerful King Bishop is off the board, and its absence

leaves a weakness on the black squares, particularly Black's KB3 and KR3 squares. White's next move is an attempt to exploit that weakness.

20 P-Kt4

Intending to follow with 21 P—Kt5, 22 Kt—Kt4 and 23 Kt—B6ch, anchoring his Knight on a strong outpost.

Disposes of that threat, but opens the way for White to secure another sort of advantage—one which could hardly have been foreseen earlier.

21 KtP
$$\times$$
P KP \times P

Preferable to 21 ... KtP×P, which opens a file against Black's King—not a palatable prospect!


The climax of the combination play. White has a passed Pawn, a positional advantage. Black's counter-play in such situations is always tempered by the fact that he must always keep a watchful eye on the Pawn. Its menace grows greater with every step it takes.

This is better than 24 ... R(Q1)—Q3, after which 25 KR—K1 (threatens to win the Queen by 26 Q—K8ch, K—Kt2 27 R—K7ch) is

strong for White, while the alternative $24 \dots Q \times Q$ 25 P×Q, allowing White to have two connected passed Pawns, is unthinkable.

Note how one little Pawn (true enough, it's a passed Pawn) can tie up nearly all of the opposing forces.

This is the position, with White to play:



25 P-B4!

The next step: White plays to establish two connected passed Pawns.

25 ...
$$P \times P e.p.$$

Capturing with the Queen instead leads to this: $25 \dots Q \times P$ $26 R \times P$, R(Q1)— $Q2 27 R \times R$, $R \times R$ 28 P—Q6, and the check by the Bishop will be fatal.

Hoping to develop the Bishop next move. If at once 26 ... B— Kt2 27 R×P wins a Pawn, or if 26 ... B—Q2 27 R×P, Q×R 28 Q×R does likewise, while 26 ... B-R3 loses immediately by $27 \text{ R} \times \text{B}$, since Black dares not recapture the Rook.

B-R3

The passed Pawns are now heavily blockaded—but White finds a way to lift the blockade!

 $R \times R$

29 P-Q6!

Both of White's Pawns are perfectly safe! If 29 ... Q×BP 30 B—Q5ch wins the Queen, while 29 ... Q×QP is drastically punished by 30 B—Q5ch, K—B1 31 Q—R8ch, K—K2 32 Q—Kt7ch, K—K1 33 Q—B7 mate.

White now has three connected passed Pawns—a more than adequate return for his sacrifice of the exchange.

Temporarily restraining all three dangerous Pawns.

32 R—QKt1!

White brings up the reserves!

The King goes into hiding, to avoid the effects of 33 R—Kt7, P—R4 34 R—R7, K—K1 35 B—B6ch, and Black loses a Rook.

Threatens 37 ... R—K6ch followed by 38 ... R×KP, removing one of his tormentors.

Black tries to get the other Rook into active play, with threats of drawing by perpetual check, or even of mating, if White is not careful.

Destroys that hope!

Clearly indicating his intentions—41 R—K8, followed by Queening the Pawn.

The Bishop is indirectly protected: if $40 \dots R \times B + 41 P - Q8(Q)$ wins easily.

This is better than 41 R—K8, the sequel to which could be 41 ... R(Kt6)×B 42 R×R, R×Pch! (but not the tempting 42 ... R×R, after which 43 P—K6, R—Q1 44 K—K2, K—Kt2 45 P—K7 wins for White) and Black should have no trouble drawing the game.

41	R-Kt7ch
42 K—B3	R(Kt1)
	Kt6ch
<i>43</i> K—Q4	R—Q7ch
44 K—B5	PB6
45 R—B7	P—B7
$46 \text{ R} \times \text{BP}$	$R \times R$
47 P-Q8(Q)	

Black could	resign here, but	50 P—K6	RK6
"Hope springs ete	rnal in the human	51 Q-R4ch	K-Kt2
breast," as Alexand	ier Pope remarked.	52 Q—Q4ch	R(B4)K4
47	R—B4	53 Q×Rch	$R \times Q$
48 K-Q6	R—Q6ch	54 K×R	P—R6
49 B—Q5	P—R5	55 P-B5	Resigns

置 GAME THIRTY-FIVE I

Dispatching the King's Musketeers

T. Petrosian · V. Smyslov

Moscow 1961, Queen's Indian Defense

There is nothing prosaic in the way Petrosian handles a King-side attack. He can discover witty ideas in the most well-worn positions.

In this game, he finds an original means of breaking into the opponent's territory. He begins by making three aggressive moves in a row with his Queen. These three moves uproot the three Pawns protecting the King in the Castled position. There still remains one strong defender of the King to be disposed of—the enemy Queen! Petrosian lures the Queen away by an offer of his Bishop, and then storms the bastions.

1 PQB4	Kt-KB3
2 Kt—QB3	PK3
3 KtB3	P-QKt3
4 PQ4	B—Kt2
5 PQR3	PQ4
6 P×P	Kt×P

This is probably preferable to $6 \dots P \times P$, blocking the Bishop's view of the long diagonal.

Interposing the Knight could be fatal: 8 ... Kt—B3 9 Kt—K5, Q—Q3 10 Kt—K4, and the pinned Knight will fall, or 8 ... Kt—Q2 9 Kt×Kt, P×Kt 10 Kt—K5, B—QB1 11 Kt—B6, and the Queen is


smothered.

9 B—Q3 P—QB4 10 Kt×Kt Q×Kt 11 P×P Q×P

Black avoids $II \dots B \times P$, after which I2B—Kt5ch, B—B3 $I3Q \times Q$, $P \times Q$ I4B—Q3, leaves him with an isolated Pawn.

12 B—Q2	Kt-B3
13 R-QB1	QQ3
14 Q-B2	R—OB1
15 O-O	~

This is the position, with Black to play:



At this point, Black seems to have a tremendous move in 15 ... Kt—K4. He does not play it though, as it might lead to disaster, thus:

(1) 15 ... Kt—K4 16 B—Kt5ch, K—B1 17 Q×Rch, B×Q 18 R× Bch, B—Q1 19 B—Kt4, and Black's Queen is pinned.

(2) 15 ... Kt—K4 16 B—Kt5ch, Kt—Q2 17 Q×Rch, B×Q 18 R×Bch, B—Q1 19 B—Kt4, Q—Q4 (the only move for the poor Queen) 20 B—B6, Q—Q6 (if 20 ... Q—KB4 21 R—Q1 wins) 21 Kt—K5, and Black's game is in ruins.

(3) 15 ... Kt—K4 16 B—Kt5ch, K—Q1 17 Kt×Kt, R×Q (17 ... Q×Kt 18 Q—Q3ch, Q—Q3 might save Black) 18 Kt×Pch, K—B2 19 Kt×Q, R×R 20 R×Rch, K×Kt 21 B—Kt4ch, K—K4 22 B×B, and White wins.

Smyslov might have seen these beautiful combinations, or on principle, might have spent little time analyzing the possibilities. The principle is one that Capablanca expressed when he said this about a powerful-looking move that Winter made against him, "My opponent should have considered that a player of my experience and strength could never allow such a move if it were good."

The first in a series of ingenious moves which leads to a devastating King-side attack.


Black prepares to meet 19 Q— KKt4 with 19... B—B1, but White has other ideas.

First threat: 20 Q—R7ch, and mate next move.

The best reply, but now one of the Pawns has been uprooted, and the position weakened.

Second threat: 21 B×P, P×B 22 Q×KtPch, K—B1 23 B—Kt7ch, K—Kt1 24 B×P dis ch, K—R1 25 Q—Kt7 mate.

This is the position, with Black to play:



20 ... P-KR4

Another Pawn is dislodged. Defending the Knight Pawn by 20 ... K—R2 instead leads to some pretty combinations, the logical result of Petrosian's fine position play: 20 ... K—R2 21 B×Pch, P×B 22 Q×KP (threatens 23 Q—B7 mate) R—B1 23 R—Q7 (threatens 24 R×Bch and quick mate) R—QB2 24 Kt—K5! Kt×Kt 25 Q×Kt (the goal is 26 Q—Kt7 mate) R—B2 26 R×R, and Black is helpless.

Even prettier is this line: 20 ... K—R2 21 B×Pch, P×B 22 Q×KP, R—B1 23 R—Q7, QR—K1 24 Kt—Kt5ch!, P×Kt 25 Q—R3ch, K—Kt1 26 Q—R8ch, K—B2 27 Q—B6ch, K—Kt1 28 Q—Kt7 mate.

Third threat: 22 B×P, P×B 23 Q×KPch, K—B1 24 Q×P, and White wins.

A third Pawn is displaced. Here too, a different defense meets with retribution by combinative means: If 21 ... R—Q3 (to protect the King Pawn) 22 P—KKt4, QR—Q1 23 P×P, R×B 24 R×R, R×R 25 P×P, P×P 26 Q—R8ch, K—B2 27 Kt—K5ch!, and Black must give up his Queen, either by 27 ... Q×Kt directly, or by 27 ... Kt×Kt, unguarding the Queen.

Everybody wants to get into the act! The attack is directed against Black's vulnerable Pawn at K3. The immediate threat is 25 P×P, KtP×P 26 Q×BPch, K—K1 (if 26 ... K—Kt1 27 B×P is mate) 27 Q—Kt6ch, K—B1 28 B—Kt7ch, K—Kt1 29 B×P mate.

Can Black force an exchange of Queens, and stifle the attack?

$$26 \text{ P} \times \text{P}$$
 Q×B

What else was there? If $26 \text{ KtP} \times \text{P}$ 27 B×Pch followed by $28 \text{ B} \times \text{R}$ wins, or if 26 ... Q×BP 27 B×Pch wins the Queen. Finally, if 26 ... Q×Q 27 B×Pch, K—B1 28 P×Q, R—Q1 29 P×P, and White is three Pawns ahead, with an easy win.

Black avoids the elegant loss by 27 ... K×P 28 R×Pch, K—B2 29 R×Kt!, and Black may capture the Rook in any of three ways, only to lose his Queen by the Knight fork 30 Kt—K5ch.

If 28 ... K—Q2 29 R—Q1ch, K—B2 30 Q—Kt3ch, P—K4 31 B×Pch, Kt×B 32 Q×Ktch, K—B3 33 Kt—Q4ch, and Black must give up his Queen, or be mated.

There is no hope in 30 ... K—B2 31 B×Pch, Kt×B 32 Q×Ktch, K—B3 33 Kt—Q4ch (reproducing the position in the previous note) K—Q2 34 Kt—B5 dis ch, K—B3 34 Kt×B mate.

Loses a piece, but so does everything else.

32 Kt×Kt Resigns

Masterly attacking play by Petrosian.

買 GAME THIRTY-SIX 置

The Sheltering Pawn

Schlage · R. Réti

Berlin 1928, SICILIAN DEFENSE

Réti's endings are always wonderfully instructive. He is two Pawns down in this one, but the aggressive position of his King, Rook and passed Pawn give him the advantage. For practical purposes Réti is a King ahead!

There are clever touches in this exquisite ending. An appreciation of their fine points will do more to improve your game than learning by heart the quickest way to mate with a Knight and Bishop, especially since an opportunity to do so may never occur in your lifetime!

1 P—K4	P-QB4
2 Kt—KB3	Kt-KB3
3 Kt—B3	PQ4
4 P×P	$Kt \times P$
5 B-Kt5ch	BQ2
$6 \text{ B} \times \text{Bch}$	$Q \times B$
7 $Kt \times Kt$	$Q \times Kt$
8 P-Q4	PK3
9 O-O	KtB3
10 P×P	$Q \times Q$
II R×Q	$\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{P}$

The players skip the midgame and go right into the ending, with the chances about even.

14 B×Kt	P—B3
15 B—B3	KR-Q1
16 B-R5	

To tempt 16 ... P—QKt3 in reply, but Réti wants the third rank free for his Rook.


16	$R \times Rch$
17 R×R	R-QB1
18 PQR4	B —Q3
19 RO2	

White must also be careful about moving his Pawns. If he plays 19 P—QB3 to save his Pawn, then 19 ... P—QKt3 20 B—Kt4, B×B 21 P×B, R—B5 22 P—R5, R×P wins a Pawn for Black.

With an eye to 20 ... R—R3 21 B—B3 (of course not 21 P— QKt4, P—QKt3, trapping the Bishop) R×P, and Black wins a Pawn.

White is careful! The natural move 20 P—QKt3 protects the Rook Pawn, but makes a victim of the Bishop after the reply 20 ... P—QKt3.

This is the position, with Black to play:



20 ...

P---K4

Prepares for this attack: 21 ... R—B5 22 P—R5, B—Kt5 23 B×B, R×B 24 P—QKt3, R—Kt4, and Black wins a Pawn.

Réti does not play 20 ... R—B5 at once, as 21 R—Q4 in reply rescues the Pawn.

21 R—Q3

This defense of the Bishop frees the Knight Pawn from that duty. Now if 21 ... R—B5, 22 P—QKt3 holds everything.

22 R—R3 F 23 R—Kt3 F 24 R—R3 B	
--------------------------------------	--

Induces White to play ...

Bolsters the Rook Pawn, but now the Bishop's position has been weakened as it lacks the support of the Knight Pawn.

The Pawns take on a menacing look. Black's immediate threat is 28 ... P-K5 29 P×P, P×P 30

R—R3, P—Kt5 31 R—Kt3 (the Rook must stay on the third rank to keep in touch with the Bishop) B—Q3 32 R—K3, B—B4, and the pin by the Bishop wins the exchange for Black.

Clearly intending 30 ... P—K5, a discovered attack which wins a piece or the exchange.


30 B-Kt4

White offers a Pawn in the hope of getting counter-play after its capture (30 ... R×P) by 31 R—Q6ch, K—B2 32 R—Q7ch.

A fine sacrifice (of the Rook Pawn and Knight Pawn, as it turns out), which clears the way for the King to come strongly into the game.

31 P×P 32 R—Q6ch 33 R—KKt6 34 R×RP 35 R—R8	R×P K—K4 B—B3 B—Q1 B—B2
35 R—R8 36 P—R4	B—B2

And we have this position, with Black to play:



White is willing to give up a couple of Pawns, if he can thereby obtain a passed Pawn. Naturally, he expects Black to take whatever Pawns he can get, and that the game might take this course: 36...P×P 37 P—Kt5, K×P 38 P—Kt6, K—B6 39 P—Kt7, R—B8ch 40 B—K1, B—R4 41 R—K8, P—R6 42 P—Kt8(Q), P—R7 43 Q—Kt2 mate.

Or, if this is too much to expect, that Black will play the straightforward $36 \ldots K \times P$, Pawns being so valuable in the endgame.

But Réti crosses him up! Instead of capturing the Pawn, the King goes around it! In this way the King advances to a dominating position, sheltered by the opponent's Pawn, which acts as a buffer against annoying Rook checks.

Despite the fact that he is two Pawns behind, Black's position is powerful. His King and passed Pawn are strongly placed in the opponent's territory, and his Rook dominates the seventh rank. In addition to this, he threatens to finish matters off by 39 ... R—B8ch

40 B-K1, B-R4.

Black has everything in his favor.

Prepared to meet 39 ... R—R7 (an attack on the Bishop and a threat to mate by 40 ... R—R8ch) with 40 B—Blch.

But Black can trump this trick, too!

<i>39</i>	B-Q3!
40 P-Kt4	P-R4
41 R—R6	$\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{P}$
42 B×B	$P \times B$

Black now has a passed Pawn on each side of the board. Meanwhile he threatens instant mate!

This is more accurate than 45 ... R—B8ch 46 K—R2, P—Kt8(Q) 47 R×Q, R×R 48 P—Kt7, P—B7 49 P—Kt8(Q), and White still needs subduing.

If White Queens the Pawn, the finishing touch is 48 ... K—B6, discovered check and mate.

The Power of Position Play

J. R. Capablanca (Simultaneous)

· T. Germann · D. Miller

· W. Skillicorn

London 1920, QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED

This is a typical Capablanca game. Which is to say, that its outward appearance of classic simplicity may conceal inner workings of fiendish ingenuity.

Capablanca squeezes out a win from a position where his advantage, acquired in the opening, and carried through the midgame into the ending, is so slight as to be almost imperceptible. It consists in having a King that is situated closer to the center, and a Rook that is more active than his opponent's. This is little enough, but it enables Capablanca to set his sights on a Pawn, surround it and remove it. Once he is a Pawn ahead, and a road cleared for the advance of a passed Pawn, the win is easy for Capablanca.

All this is done smoothly and effortlessly, as though Capablanca were demonstrating a composed ending whose terms were, "White to move and win."

We may not hope ever to play like Capablanca, but we can learn a great deal about the technique of winning by watching him in action.

3 Kt—KB3 4 B—Kt5 5 P—K3 6 Kt—B3	Kt—KB3 QKt—Q2 B—K2 P—QR3

Ready to start the Pawns rolling on the Queen-side by 7 ... P×P 8 B×P, P—Kt4 9 B—Q3, P—B4, with vigorous (but perhaps premature) counter-play. A safer line is 6 ... O—O 7 R—B1, P—B3, which does not reveal his hand so soon.

7 Q—B2 8 R—B1

The Rook moves to a file where it can be useful. Sooner or later an exchange of Pawns will open the Bishop file, and the Rook's presence will be felt.

8	$P \times P$
9 B×P	P-Kt4
10 B—Q3	
11 P-QR4!	BKt2
- 4117;	

This attack weakens Black's Pawn structure on the Queen-side, and will make it difficult for him to get in the freeing move ... P—B4.

11	
II	PKt4
12 B×Kt	Kt×B
13 Kt-K4	K(X D
13 Kt—K4	

This brings about more exchanges, as White threatens either to win a Pawn by 14 Kt×Ktch, B×Kt 15 B×Pch, or to play 14 Kt—B5, getting a powerful grip on the position.


Once again compulsory, as the alternatives are:

(1) 14 ... R—B1 15 B—Kt7, and White wins a Pawn.

(2) 14 ... R—R2 15 Kt—K5, Kt×B 16 Kt—B6, Q—R1 (what else is there, with everything en prise?) 17 Kt×Bch, K—R1 18 Kt—B6, and White wins the exchange.

Win or lose, Black must advance the Bishop Pawn, or be left with a strategically lost position. Any delay will allow White to play 16 Q—B6 with intolerable pressure.

This is the position, with White to play:



17 P-QKt3!

Simple and strong! Black will have to lose time capturing the Bishop

Pawn, and in consequence his pieces will be somewhat awkwardly placed.

An attempt to hold on to the passed Pawn though, leads to complications which are not clear: If 17 P—B6, Q×P 18 O—O, KR—B1 19 R—B4, R—B2 20 KR—B1, QR—B1 21 Kt—Q4, and White has no definite advantage.

This is not the beginning of a King-side attack, even though White does threaten 19 Q×P mate. The object of this, and the next move, is to force a loosening of Black's Pawn structure on the King-side.

This is better than the plausible attempt to break up Black's Kingside by 20 P—Kt4 followed by 21 P×P. Black meets 20 P—Kt4 with 20 ... B—K2 (pinning the Knight) and after 21 P—B4, B×Kt deprives White of a valuable piece.

Guards against loss of a Pawn by $21 \dots B \times P$ $22 R \times Rch$, $R \times R$, and White may not capture the Bishop.

The triple attack on the Bishop will force an exchange of Queens, simplifying the position to White's advantage.

There are always threats against exposed pieces in the most innocent-

looking positions. The unprotected Bishop in this case is a likely candidate for abduction. One possibility is: 24 Kt—Q6, R—Q1 (to control one of the open files) 25 Kt—Kt7 (attacks Rook and Bishop) R—Q4 26 P—K4 (attacks the defender of the Bishop) R—K4 27 P—B4, R×Pch 28 K—B3, and White wins a piece.


Abandons the Bishop file, but exchanging Rooks instead is not very attractive: 25 ... R×R 26 Kt×R, K—B1 27 R—Q1, K—K2 28 P—B4 (if 28 Kt—K5, K—K1 defends against the threats of winning a Pawn by 29 Kt—B6ch or by 29 R—Q7ch) and White has the edge.

Naturally, Capablanca does not try to win a Pawn by 27 R×P, when 27 ... P—B4 (but not 27 ... B×R 28 Kt—B6ch, regaining the Rook) winning a piece for Black is the penalty.

Here though, if $27 \dots QR$ —Q1 $28 R \times R$, $R \times R 29 R \times P$, and White wins a Pawn, as $29 \dots P$ —B4 is met by 30 R—Kt8ch.

If Black defends the Pawn by 30 ... R—R4, the reply 31 Kt—Q2 followed by 32 Kt—B4, drives the Rook away and wins the Pawn.

This is the position, with White to play:



White has a target in the Queen Rook Pawn. He can attack it by moving his Rook behind the Pawn, and posting his Knight at QB4. Black can defend the Pawn with Rook and Bishop, but the Rook can be driven off, and the Pawn then captured.

The Rook must leave the fourth rank, and the defense of the Pawn. If it tries to remain, and moves to KKt4, then 34 P—Kt3 threatens Black with loss of a whole Rook by 35 P—B4, R—Kt5 36 K—B3, followed by 37 P—R3.

34 P—B3	R-Q2
35 R—R6	KB3
36 Kt×P	B×Kt

Black exchanges to bring about a Rook ending, which may be difficult to win even with an extra Pawn.

Protecting the Knight Pawn by 37 ... R-Kt2 succumbs to 38 R-QKt5, R×R 39 P×R, K-K4

40 K—Q3, followed by 41 K—B4, and another Pawn bites the dust.

On 38 ... K—K2 instead, 39 K—K3 evicts the Rook again—this time from the fifth rank.

Or $40 \dots R$ —Q2 41 R—Kt6ch, K—K2 42 R—Kt7, K—Q1 43 R×Rch, and the Pawn cannot be stopped.

There is no hope in 41 ... R—Q1, since 42 R—Kt8 in reply forces the Pawn through. So Black moves his Rook behind the Pawn to stop its mad rush.

Capablanca has a pretty answer to that move!

This unknown game of Capablanca's is as beautifully precise as his familiar masterpieces.

That Old Black Magic

O. S. Bernstein · J. Mieses

Coburg 1904, SICILIAN DEFENSE

This is the finest game I know of to reveal the mysteries of the black squares.

In this game, White gets a strangle-hold on the position through his control of the black squares. His opponent's Pawns are held in a grip of steel, and are unable to move without loss. The consequence is that the pieces behind the Pawns are helpless to come into the game. White's pieces, on the other hand, are unrestrained, and free to roam all over the board.

The exploitation of White's superiority is quite entertaining, the King himself giving a remarkable display of his powers in the ending.

1 PK4	D OD4
	PQB4
2 KtQB3	PK3
3 KtB3	KtQB3

This cannot be bad, since a piece is developed. More to the point though, is the vigorous 3... P—Q4, to establish a Pawn firmly in the center.

4 P-Q4!	$P \times P$
$5 \text{ Kt} \times P$	Kt—B3
6 Kt×Kt	$KtP \times Kt$
7 P—K5!	

Excellent! This evicts the Knight from its fine post, and strengthens White's grip on the square Q6.

This is the first step in White's campaign for control of the black squares.

Intensifies the pressure on Q6—reason enough for moving a piece twice in the opening.

If 8 ... Q—B2 9 P—B4 (but not 9 Kt—Q6ch, B×Kt 10 P×B, Q×P 11 P—QB4, when Black escapes from the pin by 11 ... Q—K4ch).

The exchange of pieces has left Black with the bad Bishop, one which is ineffective because its pathway is cluttered up by Pawns. A Bishop can have little mobility if the squares to which it is limited are occupied by Pawns.

Black must also cope with the fact that his King may not Castle, and his Queen Pawn is blockaded.

The Queen must be driven off, or Black will choke for lack of air.

There is no relief in $11 \dots Q-K2$ 12B-KB4, $Q\times Q$ $13B\times Q$, Kt-K5

14 B—R3!, and White still bears down with a heavy hand.

Very strong! If the Queen cannot establish permanent residence at Q6, this square is the next best thing. At QKt4 (odd place though it is) the Queen attacks the Knight, controls a diagonal which makes Castling impossible for Black, and in a third direction prevents Black's Queen Rook from seizing the open file.

Quite plausible, since it seems to bring about equality. The Knight is protected, and Black intends to continue with 15 ... Q—Q3, enabling him to Castle.

An ideal move, as a piece is developed with a threat—16 B×Kt, P×B 17 Q×P, and White wins a Pawn.

White is happy to simplify. He will still enjoy the advantages accruing from two powerful Bishops, and an enduring grip on the black squares.

Black is content with his part of the bargain: his Rooks have open files on which to operate, and the cluster of Pawns in the center should limit the scope of the opposing Bishops.

17 P-KB4!

This prevents 17 ... P—K4, and the release of Black's Bishop.


It was about moves of this sort that the great annotator Marco said, "An eye for the microscopic betokens the master."

Obviously preparing to bring the Bishop into play on the starboard side.

18 B-K3!

An excellent move! It prevents the advance of Black's Queen Bishop Pawn, while two more black squares (Q4 and QB5) come under White's domination.

This is the position, with White to play:



19 K-Q2!

The King is a strong piece and should be used aggressively in the ending. As the number of pieces on the board diminishes, so is the danger lessened of the King being exposed to a mating attack, and its own power as a fighting piece magnified. In the ending, the King is

unexcelled as a means of causing damage by getting in among the enemy Pawns.

Black's plan becomes manifest: he wants to force an exchange of Knight for Bishop. This would leave Bishops of opposite colors on the board, a circumstance generally leading to a draw.

Black is also playing for a swindle: if 20 K—K2 (hoping for 20 ... Kt×P, when 21 B×B, R×B 22 QR—QKt1, Kt—B5 23 R—Kt8ch followed by 24 R×R wins for White), Black crosses him up by the simple 20 ... Kt×B, getting rid of the Bishop that is holding back his Pawns.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 20 & B \times Kt & & B \times B \\ 21 & P - QR4! & & \end{array}$$

Blockade! The Rook Pawn is stopped dead in its tracks. It is now a fixed target, always in danger of being attacked by B—Kt6. Black must watch over the Pawn constantly with his Queen Rook, as loss of the Pawn allows White a passed Pawn on the Rook file. Black is thereby deprived of the active services of his Queen Rook.

The King comes to the center for the endgame.

The Rooks are now united, while the King heads for Q3 to support the Bishop Pawn, whose advance would help free his game.

The only flight square open to the unhappy Bishop!

23 B-Kt6!

And now an attack on the Pawn!

Which can only be met by further retreat of the Bishop!

Continues the trek to Q4, K5, and as we shall see, points north!

White is ready to meet 24 ... K—Q3 with 25 K—Q4, after which Black's center Pawns are held tight.

Dominates every important square on the board!

Black's King cannot reach Q3, his Rooks cannot seize any open files, his Bishop has little scope (one square as against eight by White's) and his Pawn center is paralyzed.

White has a won game, strategically. What remains is the matter of winning it, a technique the masters take for granted, but one not always easily demonstrated. It is done here in magnificent style.

Tightens the noose! The strengthening of White's grip on the black squares prevents the opponent's center Pawns from moving, and this in turn keeps the pieces behind the Pawns from taking an active part in the game.

The King's last move also clears the way for the entrance of the King Rook, who will make good use of the King-file.

26 ...

B---O2

Black will try to maneuver the Bishop over to the King-side, say to KKt3.

His King Rook seems to have a great deal of scope, but what does it avail him? If it moves to QKt1 (as good a file as there is) at what point can it penetrate? There is no useful square on that file for the Rook.

27 KR-K1

Much stronger than the immediate occupation of K5 by the King. White intends to use this key square as a transfer point for his Rook on its way to the King Knightfile. After the Rook gets there, White will settle his King at K5, and tighten his grip on the black squares.

27 ... P—R4 28 R—K5

Second stop on the way to Kt5.

28 ... P—Kt3 29 R—Kt5

Attacks the Knight Pawn, and simultaneously makes room for further entry by the King.

29 ... R—KKt1

The Pawn must be protected, and this is of course preferable to 29 ... R—R3, and the Rook has no mobility to speak of.

30 K-K5

Further penetration along the convenient black squares. The threat is now 31 K—B6 (double attack on the Knight Pawn) B—K1 32 R—K1 (stronger than 32 K×P) followed by

33 R × KP.

30 ...

B-K1

Black abandons the King Pawn, as he cannot hope to save all his Pawns. There is a slight chance, if White takes the Pawn at once, of putting up some resistance by 31 ... B—Q2ch 32 K—B6, B—B4.

Black's poor Bishop is sadly shut in by the five Pawns standing on the same color as the Bishop.

31 R-K1


Before committing himself to decisive action, White applies more pressure. Notice how a master player puts every piece to work before he strikes a blow.

31 ... R--QR1

To get back into the game, this Rook has to return home!

There was no satisfactory defense in 31 ... K—Q2, as the reply 32 K—B6 uncovers the Rook's attack on the King Pawn.

This is the position, with White to play:



32 K—B6!

This completes the concept of encirclement. Notice the effects of

the arrangement of Black's Pawns at K3, Q4 and QB3. Black's own pieces are kept under restraint, while White's can utilize the weakened black squares QB5, Q4, K5 and KB6 to effect an entrance into the vitals of the enemy position. Notice also that these black squares are "holes" (as Steinitz called them), squares from which pieces can not be dislodged by the opponent's Pawns.

White does not resort to brutal attack or to intricate combination to accomplish his purpose, but puts his trust in the dynamic power inherent in a crushing positional superiority.

Hoping to lure White into playing 33 R×KtP, when 33 ... R×Rch 34 K×R, R—Kt1ch 35 K×P, R×P, turns Black suddenly into the aggressor.

Further blockade of the King Pawn, making it almost impossible for Black to free himself. This is stronger than capturing the Knight Pawn, since that Pawn is doomed anyway.

Four of Black's Pawns are now stopped dead in their tracks, while the remaining two can advance but only to be captured.

"While there's life ..."

White keeps on gaining ground.

Now he invades the seventh rank.

Simplest, hence the scientific way to force the win. In endings where one side has a material advantage, the prescribed strategy is to exchange pieces, not Pawns, and bring it to a position with Pawns only.

Endings with Pawns only on the board are the easiest endings to win.

With every reduction of pieces from the board, the power of the King increases. Now the King threatens the Rook and helps attack the Rook Pawn.

Does White settle the issue now by taking the Rook Pawn?

No, no, a thousand times no! If 39 R×RP, B—K1ch, and Black wins a whole Rook and the game. How easy it is to go wrong in a simple ending!

White's actual move banishes the Rook from the premises.

Look at that King!

A well-trained Rook settles down on the seventh rank instinctively.

This leads to an exchange of Rooks,

but otherwise the continuation 42 K—B6 dis ch, B—Q2 43 P—KKt4, wins easily for White, as the Pawn has a clear road ahead.

A flicker of hope! The Bishop rushes to get at White's Queen-side Pawns.

The Pawns on the Queen's wing cannot be rescued, so White starts the Pawns on the King-side rolling.

The King hastens to stop the Pawns. If instead 46 ... B×P 47 P—B5, B—B7 48 K—Kt6, K—Q2 49 P—R5, and the Rook Pawn

reaches the last square. Or if 46 ... B×P 47 P—B5, K—Q2 48 P—B6, K—K1 49 K—Kt7, and the Bishop Pawn will Queen.

Desperation, but there is no promising defense. If 47 ... K—K1 48 P—Kt6, B—B7 49 P—R5, B—B4 50 K—Kt7, and White wins.

Or 48 ... B—B7 49 K—Kt6 followed by 50 P—R5.

There is no answer to this, as after 49 ... K—K1 50 K—K7 sees the Pawn through.

The whole game is played with beautiful consistency by Bernstein.

The Singular Strategy of Steinitz

W. Steinitz · A. G. Sellman

Baltimore 1885, FRENCH DEFENSE

"Place the contents of the chessbox in your hat," said Bird, "shake them up vigorously, pour them on the board at a height of two feet, and you get the style of Steinitz."

Bird may have been joking, but to players brought up on the straightforward attacking and combination play of Anderssen and Morphy, the weird-looking, timewasting maneuvers of Steinitz to obtain a trivial advantage in position seemed far removed from the gallant "when Knights were bold" spirit of chess.

And yet it is from Steinitz and his queer moves that we learn so much about game-winning strategy. It is from Steinitz, whose play might have horrified La Bourdonnais and Morphy, that we discover the fundamentals of position play.

In the early part of the following game, we may be amused by the unconventionality of Steinitz's play—the delayed Castling, the absurd-looking moves, the quixotic journey of a Knight over five squares to reach a remote outpost at the side of the board. But by the time we get to the end of the game, we will have learned a great deal about modern chess strategy.

1 P—K4	PK3
2 P—Q4	PQ4
3 Kt—QB3	KtKB3
4 P—K5	KKtQ2
5 P—B4	KKtQ2

Steinitz improves on the play of the time, which was to keep the Pawn chain intact by 5 QKt—K2, P—QB4 6 P—QB3. Later researches showed that Black could break the chain and get the better game by continuing 6 ... P×P 7 P×P, P—B3 8 P—B4, P×P 9 BP×P, Q—R5ch 10 Kt—Kt3, B—Kt5ch 11 K—B2, O—Och.

Steinitz's idea (with 5 P—B4) is to support the King Pawn (which cramps Black) without compromising his own position.

Steinitz gives up the center voluntarily, with the hope of later centralizing a Knight at Q4.

This provides a flight square for the King Bishop. In the event of 8 Kt—QR4, the reply is 8 ... B—R2, and the Bishop remains on the fine long diagonal.




Obviously to exchange Knight for Bishop, and assure himself of the two Bishops.

A better line was 12 ... P—Kt5, followed by 13 ... P—QR4, to prepare for the development of the Queen Bishop at QR3.

Black's actual move is plausible enough, since it increases the pressure on the diagonal, but it meets with a sharp reply.

This is the position, with White to play:



13 P-QKt4!

Bayonet attack, à la Alekhine!

13 ...

B—K2

The Bishop must retreat! If instead 13...B—Q5 14 R—QKt1, Q—R2 (creates a flight-square for the Bishop, but it's too late!) 15 Kt×B, and White wins a piece in a curious way. For if Black recaptures by 15...Q×Kt, the reply 16 R—K3 traps the Queen in the center of the board!

This move is a strategic error. It renders the King Pawn backward, so that a piece is always tied down to its defense.

In addition, the fixing of so many Pawns on white squares greatly circumscribes the activity of the Queen Bishop.

This move, seizing control of the black squares, marks the beginning of White's positional attack.


White plans to win the game by taking possession of the most important squares in sight, eventually leaving his opponent without a single playable move.

The Knight leaps to the center, gaining a move by the attack on the King Pawn.

This move, intended to prevent the opening of an attack by 19 P—Kt4, is a weakening of Black's Pawn structure.

It also accentuates the weakness of his black squares, on which White's pieces can settle with impunity, since no Pawns can drive them away. The sole guardian of the black squares in fact is the King Bishop—and Steinitz intends to do away with that piece!

This is the position, with White to play:



19 Kt-QB3!

The beginning of a remarkable Knight's Tour. The Knight is headed for QR5, an important black square located at the edge of the board! From there the Knight will be in position to dispatch the King Bishop—the one that guards the black squares.

19 ...

K-B2

Defends the King Pawn with the King, so that the Knight can get back into the game.

20 Kt-Kt1! P-Kt3

A necessary precaution before the Knight can emerge. If at once 20 ... Kt—Q2, White sacrifices the Knight (temporarily) by 21 Kt×BP, and after 21 ... P×Kt, plays 22 P—K6ch recovering the piece with advantage (if 22 ... K×P, the reply 23 B—Kt6 dis ch is terribly painful).

After Black's actual move, all seven of his Pawns stand on white squares. They not only are helpless to prevent an intrusion on the black squares, but they confine the Queen Bishop, who is lost in a forest of Pawns!

21 Kt-Q2

Kt-Q2

Black might have tried 21... P—R4, to free his Queen Bishop, and to prevent White's Knight from settling down at QR5. Steinitz was ready to refute this though, with this interesting line of play: 22 Kt×KtP, P×P 23 P×P, B×P 24 Kt—Q6ch, B×Kt 25 P×B, Q×P 26 B—Q4, KR—Kt1 27 Kt—B3 followed by 28 Kt—Kt5ch and B—B5, and White regains the Pawn with a winning attack.

22 Kt(Q2)—Kt3 QR—B1 23 Kt—R5!

The Knight has taken five moves to get to R5, a square at the edge of the board, but there is method to Steinitz's madness. The Knight is bound for QB6, to kill off the King Bishop.

(I have explained the purpose of the remarkable Knight maneuver earlier, but it is worth repeating.)

23 ...

B—R1 Q×R

24 R×R 25 R—B1

The exchange of Rooks enables White to attack the Queen with his remaining Rook, drive her off (there is no room for gallantry in chess), and seize control of the file.

25 ... 26 Q—QB2!

Q-QKt1

This move gives White undisputed possession of the only open file.

26 . . .

B--Q1

To prevent further invasion by 27 Q—B7, but Steinitz (like love) will find a way.

27 Kt(R5)—B6!

If Black should now play 27 ... B×Kt (to rid himself of the bad Bishop), this would follow: 28 Q×B, Kt—B1 29 Kt×KP!, Kt×Kt 30 Q—Q7ch, B—K2 31 R—B6, Kt—B1 32 R—B6ch, K—Kt2 (if 32 ... K—Kt1 33 Q×QPch, K—R2 34 Q—B7ch wins easily) 33 Q×Bch, K—R3 34 R—B7, Kt—R2 35 Q—Kt5ch, Kt×Q 36 P×Kt mate.

White removes the Bishop—guardian of the black squares, and in particular the square QB7 (from White's side of the board).

Now it will be possible to establish one of White's heavy pieces (Queen or Rook) on that square, with a view to dominating the seventh rank.

Black tries to avoid an exchange of Queens, as "a Rook on the seventh rank is even more unpleasant than a Queen."


This Bishop has been idle for quite a while, but is now ready to go to work on the black squares. Its first threat is 31 B—R4, attacking the Rook which protects the Knight. This would win the exchange at least.

Prevents 31 B—R4 for the moment, as $31 \dots Q \times K$ tch would be the penalty.

This forces the exchange of Queens,

as evading it by 31 ... Q—Kt1 loses quickly after 32 B—R4.

This is how things look:



White controls the seventh rank with his Rook, his Knight will have the glorious square KKt5 as a base for operations, and his Bishop has black squares all over the board at its disposal.

Let's look at Black's situation:

The Knight must not move—it's pinned.

The Rook must not move—it guards the Knight.

The Bishop must not move—it will be captured if it does.

Meanwhile, Black must meet the threat of 33 B—R4.

The King moves over to protect the Knight, as otherwise 33 B—R4 drives away the Knight's present defender.

Threatens nothing less than 35 R—K7, mate on the move!

34 ...

Kt-Q2

The other defenses are:

- (1) 34 ... R—Q2 35 R—B8ch, R—Q1 36 R×Rch, and White wins the Knight.
- (2) 34 ... R—Kt1 35 R—K7ch, K—Q1 36 Kt—B7ch, K—B1 37 R—K8ch, and again the Knight is lost.

35 B-Q6!

Places Black in Zugzwang (the compulsion to move). And if one hasn't a decent move left, zugzwang can be quite embarrassing.

Let us look at the choice open to Black:

- (1) The King may not move.
- (2) The Bishop may move, only at the risk of instant capture.

- (3) The Rook may move to Kt1, when 36 R×Kt, K×R 37 B×R wins a piece for White.
- (4) The Knight may move to Kt3 (not to B1, as 36 R—K7ch is mate) when 36 R—K7ch, K—B1 37 Kt—R7ch, K—Kt1 38 Kt—B6ch, K—B1 39 R—Q7 is discovered check and mate.
- (5) Capitulation—upon which Black decides.

35 ... Resigns

This is one of the earliest, and still one of the finest games to show how weaknesses on the black squares can be exploited properly.

It is a masterpiece—a genuine Steinitz.

買 GAME FORTY ■

Odyssey of an Isolated Pawn

A. Burn · Znosko-Borovsky

Ostend 1906, Queen's Gambit Declined

An isolated Pawn looks anemic, and generally is a weakling. Tartakover used to say, "An isolated Pawn spreads gloom all over the chessboard." And this only confirmed what Philidor had said, many years before Tartakover was born, "A Pawn, when separated from his fellows, will seldom or never make a fortune."

That an isolated Pawn can become dangerous though (especially if it becomes a passed Pawn) is the theme of this fascinating game. As the number of pieces on the board diminishes, the power of the Pawn increases, and with every step it takes, its menace becomes greater. The entire army of the enemy may be tied up trying to halt its progress.

Znosko-Borovsky's masterly treatment of his isolated Pawn in the game that follows elicited Lasker's admiring comment, "It is a game of classic simplicity and beauty."

Highly recommended by Dr. Tarrasch, who says, "This I hold to be the best, although I must add that I am almost completely alone in holding that opinion. The defense is based upon the undeniably correct idea that in the Queen's Gambit ... P—QB4 is the freeing move for Black, and must therefore be made as soon as possible. By this defense Black gets an isolated Pawn, but a fine free game for his pieces."

4 P×QP	$KP \times P$
5 Kt—B3	Kt-QB3
6 B-Kt5	

This is not as strong as 6... P—KKt3, followed by 7 B—Kt2, the Rubinstein-Schlechter attack. The purpose of this fianchetto development is to exert pressure on the Queen Pawn, which eventually becomes an isolated Pawn.

On 8 P×P, the play might go:

8 ... P—Q5 9 Kt—K4, O—O 10 P—K3, Q—Q4 11 Kt—Kt3, P×P 12 Q×Q, Kt×Q 13 B—B4, and the game is fairly even.

Black seizes the initiative with this move. He attacks the Knight Pawn, and also threatens to give White an isolated Pawn by $10 \dots Kt \times Kt$.

Parries both threats, but the Knight's retreat loses time for White—time which Black utilizes to speed his development.

Black, the second player in the opening, has four pieces in the field against two of White's. This would seem to indicate that Burn has not made the most of the opening.

An aggressive move, which is completely unjustified. An attack should be initiated only after one has acquired a superiority in position. Otherwise it will be repulsed with severe loss of time.

A safer alternative was 13 Kt—K2, to strengthen the King-side.

This weakens the King Pawn, but good moves were getting scarce. If

instead 16 Kt(R4)—B5, P—QKt3
17 Kt×B, P×Kt, and Black's
Pawn structure has been strengthened.

White's last move prompted Lasker to say ironically, "Attack at all cost!"

Obviously, not $17 \dots B \times P$ 18 $B \times B$, $Kt \times B$ 19 P—Kt4, and White wins a piece.


"Doing the work of protection twice and certainly overdoing it," says Lasker. "The Pawn at B5 is safe enough. The move would be strong if the aggressive intent, P—Kt5, could be realized, but on black points Black holds the sway."

Black prepares for the advance of his Queen Pawn, the key to his strategy.

Threatens to advance the Knight Pawn.

Ruins that prospect at once!

This is the position, with Black to play:



23 ... 24 P—K4 P---Q5!

Exchanging Pawns would lead to this: $24 \text{ P} \times \text{P}$, $Kt \times \text{P}$ 25 $Kt \times Kt$, $R \times Kt$, and the doubled Rooks bear down heavily on the open file.

After White's actual move, the fragile isolated Pawn is suddenly transformed into a passed Pawn. And a passed Pawn is always potentially dangerous.

A better way to protect the Bishop (since retreating it would permit the Pawn to advance) was by 25 Kt—B1, in order to recapture with the Knight on 25 ... Kt×B. The Knight makes a better blockader of a passed Pawn than one of the heavy pieces (Queen or Rook) as it is not so easily driven away.

25	Kt(K2)-B3
26 Kt-Q2	$Kt \times B$
27 R×Kt	Kt-K4

The blockading Rook is easily driven away (as we see here) by a minor piece, after which the Pawn

can take another step forward.

28 R—KKt3 P—Q6! 29 Q—Kt2 R—QB3

A new advantage for Black! The Rook controls the Bishop file, and will penetrate into White's position by way of the seventh rank or the eighth.

30 Kt—B3 Kt×Kt
31 Q×Kt R—B8
32 R(Kt3)—Kt1 R×R
33 R×R Q—Q7

An excellent move! It clears the way for the Queen Pawn.

Protects the Knight Pawn. Was there anything better? These are the alternatives:

- (1) 34 R—B2, $R \times R$ 35 $Q \times R$, P—Q7 and wins.
- (2) 34 Q—B2, $Q \times Q$ 35 $R \times Q$, P—Q7 and wins.
- (3) 34 R—Q1, Q×P 35 R×P, Q—Kt8ch, and Black wins a Rook.
- (4) 34 Q—Kt2, Q—B7 (threatens 35 ... P—Q7) 35 Q×Q, P×Q, and Black's next move 36 ... R—Q8 forces the Pawn through.

Clearly, 35 $Q \times Q$ loses after 35 ... $P \times Q$ followed by 36 ... R—Q8.


35 ... Q—K6 36 R—Q1 P—Q7 37 P—KR3 Q—K8ch 38 Q—Kt1 Q—K7! Capturing the King Pawn would be petty, in view of White's helpless position.

39 P-K5

White has nothing left but Pawn moves! If 39 Q—B1, Q×Qch 40 R×Q, P—Q8(Q) wins, or if 39 R—KB1, Q×R 40 Q×Q, P—Q8(Q) does likewise.

39 ... R—Q6! 40 Resigns

This is the final position:



Rarely has the care and treatment of an isolated Pawn been shown in more elegant style.

買 GAME FORTY-ONE I

Zugzwang, the Invincible Weapon

F. J. Marshall · E. Lasker

New York 1907, RUY LOPEZ

"The best way to learn endings, as well as openings," says Capablanca in *Chess Fundamentals*, "is from the games of the masters."

Here is a game that bears out the wisdom of this advice. It flares up, almost from the beginning, with exciting combination play—the kind that inspires you to exercise your own imagination in the opening. Then it plunges suddenly past the midgame right into the ending, one of the most remarkable ever seen on a chessboard. It is played with the care, thought and finesse that is characteristic of Lasker at his best.

And with Lasker at his best, we can always add to our understanding of the endgame.

1	P—K4	P—K4
2	KtKB3	Kt-QB3
3	B—Kt5	Kt—B3

A favorite defense with Lasker for many years, if only because it complies with the old rule, "Sortez les pièces!" (Get the pieces out!).

This is no improvement on 4 O—O, the standard move, but Marshall wanted a wide-open game.

Preferable to 4 ... Kt×QP 5

Kt \times Kt, P \times Kt 6 Q \times P, and White's Queen is strongly placed in the center.

5 O—O	B-K2
6 P—K5	Kt—K5
$7 \text{ Kt} \times P$	0-0
8 Kt	P04!

Of course not 8 ... Kt×KP 9 Q—Q5, and one of the impetuous Knights is lost.

Black's actual move establishes a Pawn in the center, and clears the way for the debut of his Queen Bishop.

9 B×Kt

A more promising line is 9 Kt×Bch, Kt×Kt 10 P—KB3, Kt—B4 11 P—QKt4, B—Q2 (on 11 ... Kt—K3, 12 P—KB4 is in White's favor) 12 B—K2, Kt—R5, and the game is about even.

$$9 \dots P \times B$$
 $10 \text{ Kt} \times Bch Q \times Kt$


Whereas now, after ten moves of one of the most formidable openings, White does not have a single piece in active play!

This indirect protection of the King Pawn (11 ... Q×P 12 P—KB3, winning a piece) is practically forced, since 11 P—KB3 instead

succumbs to 11 ... Q—B4ch 12 K—R1, Kt—B7ch, winning the exchange, while 11 B—B4 can be answered strongly by 11 ... P—B3 or 11 ... P—Kt4.

This sort of move is annoying to someone like Marshall, who likes to attack, and is uncomfortable on the defense.

This is the position, with Black to play:



$$13 \ldots P \times P!$$

Sacrifices a piece for the attack. As immediate return on the investment Lasker has an open file for his King Rook, and the prospect of maintaining a troublesome Pawn at Q5.

14 P×Kt

On 14 P—KKt3 instead, 14 ... Kt×P 15 P×Kt, Q×Pch 16 K—R1, R×P, with (says Tartakover) ineluctable threats.

After this move, White can find various ways to lose. For example:

- (1) 15 B—B1, Q—B7ch 16 K—R1, B—Kt5 17 Q—Q2, Q—B8ch 18 R×Q, R×R mate.
- (2) 15 B—Q2 (additional protection for the Rook) B—Kt5 16 Q—B1, R—B7 (threatens 17 ... B—B6) 17 B—Kt5, R×Pch! 18 K×R, B—R6ch 19 K—R1 (if 19 K—B3, Q—Kt5ch 20 K—B2, Q—Kt7 mate) Q—B7 20 Q—Q2, B—Kt7 mate.


15 P-KKt3

White should give up the Bishop at once by 15 Q—K2, after which 15 ... P×B 16 Q×P leaves a fairly even position.

Marshall decides to return the piece, fearing that he might otherwise lose by something like this: 16 B—Q2, Q—B7ch 17 K—R1, B—R6 18 R—Kt1, P—KR4! (threatens 19 ... B—Kt5 followed by 20 ... B—B6ch) 19 Q×P, Q×Rch 20 K×Q, R—B8 mate.

Strangely enough, Marshall still had drawing chances, with this variation suggested by Tarrasch (after the game of course): 16 R—B1, Q×Rch 17 Q×Q, R×Qch 18 K×R, B—R3ch (better than 18... P×B 19 K—K2) 19 K—B2, R—B1ch 20 B—B4, P×B 21 Kt—Q2!, P×Pch 22 K×P, R—Kt1 23 Kt—Kt3, B—B5 24 R—Q1, B×Kt 25 RP×B, P—B4 26 R—QR1.

This is one move too late, as Marshall discovers to his sorrow.



At this point, 99 out of 100 players (and this explains why there are so few Laskers) would "gain a tempo" by 19... B—R6ch or by 19... B—R3ch followed by 20... R—KB1. Lasker keeps the Bishop at home (where it still exerts force in two directions) and plays to weaken White's Pawn structure.

Foreseeing the possibility of White's Knight taking up a strong post at QKt3 (getting there by way of Q2) Lasker moves ...

to force ...

and the Knight is deprived of a fine square!

Another subtle move! The Rook can swing over easily to either side

of the board, and is thus in position to attack any of White's Pawns.

21 P---B4

This allows Black a passed Queen Pawn, but the alternative is hardly any better: If 21 Kt—Q2, R—QB4 22 R—B1 (or 22 Kt—B4, B—R3, and Black wins a Pawn) B—R3ch 23 K—K1, R—B6, and the King Pawn is not long for this world, the threat against it being 24 ... R—K6ch 25 K—Q1, B—K7ch 26 K—K1, B—Q6 dis ch 27 K—Q1, B×KP.

This little move accomplishes a great deal:

- (1) It strengthens the Queen Pawn, transforming it into a protected passed Pawn.
- (2) It clears the third rank completely, for the benefit of the Rook.
- (3) It permits more scope to the Bishop, now that most of the Pawns stand on black squares.

Otherwise the King, who is headed for the center, will be cut off by 24 R—KB1.

"Never miss a check!" is not always good advice. Here it wastes a move and helps Black, the enemy. The careful reader will note that Lasker had two plausible checks at his disposal at his 19th move, but wisely refrained from giving either one.

The Rook prepares to switch over to the Queen-side, to terrorize the Pawns in that area.

26 P-KR4

The attempt to stir up some counter-play by 26 P—QKt4 fails after 26 ... R—R3 27 P×P, R×P 28 Kt—B3, B—R6, and Black wins the exchange, as 29 R—B2 allows 29 ... R—R8ch and quick mate.

A strong move, which fixes White's King-side.

To release the Rook from guard duty. If instead 29 Kt—B3, B×Kt 30 K×B, K—K4, and the threat of 31 R—B3ch wins a Pawn.

The advance of the Pawn clears the square Q5. Now the King can penetrate with great effect into White's position.

Marshall does not even try for the swindle 33 Kt—B3ch, K×P (on 33 ... K—K6 34 R—K1 is mate!) 34 R—K1ch, K—B4 35 R—K5ch, K—Kt3 36 R—Kt5ch, followed by 37 R×B. The refutation (after 33 Kt—B3ch) would be simple: 33 ... $B \times Ktch$ 34 $R \times B$, P—Q7, and it's all over.

Lasker is playing to exhaust White's Pawn moves. After that, a move by White's King will permit further inroad by ... K—K6, while a move by the Knight loses the King Pawn.

35 P—QR5	P-QR3
36 Kt-B1	$\mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{P}$
<i>37</i> K—K1	BK7
38 KtQ2ch	K-K6
39 Kt-Kt1	PB4

Not at once 39 ... K—B6 40 Kt—B3, K×P 41 Kt—K4ch, and White still needs subduing.

After Black's actual move, the Knight cannot get to K4.

Not of course 40 Kt—B3, P—Q7 mate.

40	P-R4
41 Kt-Kt1	K-B6
42 Kt—B3	$K \times P$
43 Kt—R4	P-B5
44 Kt×P	PB6
45 Kt-K4ch	K-B5
46 Kt-Q6	P-B4

Ready to meet 47 Kt—Kt7 with 47 ... K—K6 and mate with either Pawn.

50 Kt—K3 P—Kt7	"One of the most remarkable endgame combinations in the history of chess!" say Reinfeld and Fine of the latter part of this game.
----------------	---

□ GAME FORTY-TWO ■

Symphony of Combinations

E. Eliskases · E. Grunfeld

Mahrisch-Ostrau 1933, GIUOCO PIANO

What is it in a game that qualifies it to win a prize for brilliancy?

Is it the unexpected sacrifice of material? If so, this game features an offer of a Knight, followed later by the sacrifice of two Pawns on the seventh rank, just a step away from the Queening square.

Is it originality of ideas? Here, to give one instance, we see that a piece attacked only once may be in deadly danger, though protected by King, Queen and both Rooks.

Is it a finely-played ending? This one, with a magic Morphy move in it, is elegance itself.

Whatever it is that makes a game such as this worthy of the brilliancy prize, it holds us spellbound with its wealth of imaginative ideas.

1 P—K4	PK4
2 Kt—KB3	Kt—QB3
3 B—B4	B—B4
4 P—B3	B-Kt3

Black wants to maintain a strong point at K4. On 4 ... P—Q3 instead, 5 P—Q4 in reply forces an exchange of Pawns (if 5 ... B—Kt3 6 P×P, P×P 7 Q×Qch wins a Pawn for White) and the elimination of the strong point.

Black supports the King Pawn with another piece, as he does not want to yield the center by $5 \dots P \times P$ $6 P \times P$.

Practically forced, since 7 ... Kt—Q1 keeps the Knight out of play for a long time, while 7 ... Kt—QR4 is even worse. White would reply 8 B—Q3, threatening to win the Knight by 9 P—QKt4. If Black then tries to save the stranded Knight by 8 ... P—B4, the continuation 9 P—QKt4, P×P 10 P×P, Q×P 11 B—R3 suddenly springs a trap.

With an eye to 10 Kt—B4 and 11 Kt×B, depriving Black of a stalwart Bishop.

Gives the Bishop a flight-square, but 9 ... P—B3 serves the purpose better, as it strikes a blow at the center as well.

Disputing the center at this point by 11 ... P—B3 would be doubtful

strategy, as after $12 \text{ P} \times \text{P}$, $P \times P$ 13 B—B2, the Queen Pawn is rather weak.

12 P-QKt4

And now if Black plays 12 ... P—B3, there is this possibility: 13 P×P, P×P 14 P—Kt5, BP×P 15 P×P, P×P 16 Kt—Kt6, and White wins the exchange.

Prepares for 13 ... P—KB4, striking at the base of the Pawn chain, strategy recommended by Nimzovich.

A further attempt to get in the freeing move 14 ... P—KB4, as well as making a square available to the Knight.

Both sides concentrate their strength on the critical square KB5—White, to prevent the break by ... P—KB4, Black, to enforce it.

White has three pieces and two Pawns bearing down on the key square KB5. This would seem to put a definite stop to the threat of ... P—KB4, the vital move for the freeing of Black's pieces.

Black decides to remove this Knight at once, in view of White's evident intention to open a file against his King by 20 Kt—B5, P×Kt 21 KtP×P. The continuation then could be 21 ... K—R1 (to unpin the Knight) 22 R—Kt2, Kt—K1 23 QR—KKt1, and the threat of 24 R—Kt8 mate assures the return of the piece to White, and leaves him with a decisive advantage.

20 P×B!

The doubled Pawns are quite strong; they allow no point of entry to Black's pieces.

This is better than 22 P—B4, which permits 22 ... P×P 23 BP×P (if 23 KP×P, the pressure on KB5 is lessened, and Black might get in the thrust ... P—B4) R—B1, and Black controls an important open file.

If Black becomes impatient and tries to break through by 24 ... B×B 25 P×B, P—B4, then 26 B×Kt, K×B (26 ... R×B 27 P×P does not help matters) 27 P×P wins a Pawn for White (27 ... R×P 28 Q×R is of course unthinkable).

25 Kt-R4


The Knight is bound for B5, there to give up its life. Its capture will open the Knight file, uncovering attacks along the length of it.

25 ... B×B 26 P×B R—B2

If the King moves out of the line of fire by 26 ... K—R1, the play would go 27 Kt—B5, P×Kt 28 P×P, and the Knight must submit to capture, since 28 ... Kt—K1 allows mate on the spot.

Black's actual move strengthens the square KKt2, so that it is guarded four times—but it's not enough!

This is the position, with White to play:



27 Kt-B5!

A sacrifice which Black must accept, or lose the exchange (after the Queen moves away) by 28 Kt \times Kt, R \times Kt 29 B \times R.

27 ... P×Kt

The capture by 27 ... $Kt \times Kt$ is less favorable: 28 $P \times Kt$, P - KKt4 (to keep the file closed), and White can continue the attack by 29 P - R4, or crash into the position immediately with 29 $B \times P$, $P \times B$ 30 $R \times Pch$, either of which lines should lead to victory.

28 P×P

Now threatening 29 B×Kt, R×B 30 R×Rch, Q×R 31 R×Qch, and White has a decisive advantage in material.

28	OK1
29 Q-KKt2	0-02
30 R×Ktch	R×R
31 B×R	O×B
32 QQB2	V =

A pin which forces Black to return some of his ill-gotten gains.

32 ... Kt—Kt3 33 P×Kt P—R3

The consequence of White's fine combination is that he has an extra Pawn and the better position. However, he must find a new way to break through, as the Knight file is closed once more.

34 Q-B5

Threatens to win the Queen Pawn by 35 Q—K6ch.

34 ... Q—B1

If 34 ... R×P 35 Q—K6ch, K—B1 (on 35 ... K—R1 36 Q—K8ch, Q—Kt1 37 P—Kt7ch, K—R2 38 Q—Kt6 mates neatly) 36 R—KB1, Q—K2 37 P—Kt7ch, Q×P 38 R×Pch, and White wins the Queen and the game.

35 P—B4! K—Kt2

Here too, capturing the Pawn is fatal. After 35 ... R×P 36 Q—K6ch, K—Kt2 (or 36 ... K—R1

37 P—Kt7ch winning the Queen) 37 Q—Q7ch, K—Kt1 38 Q—R7 is mate.

To support the advance 37 P—B5. If then $37 \dots \text{P} \times \text{P}$ $38 \text{ P} \times \text{P}$, $R \times P$, White wins nicely by 39 Q—Q7ch, $K \times P$ 40 R—Kt1ch, K—R4 41 Q—R3 mate.

This is meant to prevent Black from freeing himself by ... P—B4, in the event that White's Queen moves away.

Besides this move, Black must consider these alternatives:

(1) 39 ... P—KR4 (to prevent White from protecting his passed Pawn by 40 P—KR5) 40 Q—KB5, K—R3 41 R—KKt1 (threatens 42 P—Kt7) Q—Kt2 42 R—Kt5!, P×R 43 P×P mate.

(2) 39 ... K×P 40 R—Kt1ch, K—B2 41 Q—B5 (threatens 42 Q—R7ch, K—K1 43 R—Kt8ch, K—Q2 44 Q×Qch, and the next check wins the Rook) K—B1 42 Q—B8ch, K—B2 43 Q—Kt8 mate.

Against a waiting move, say 40 ... Q—B1, the breakthrough would come like this: 41 P×P, P×P 42 P—B5, P×P 43 Q×P, Q×Q 44 R×Q, R—R2 45 P—Q6, and Black


is helpless.

41 R-QKt1	P—Kt6
42 R×P	Q—Q2
43 Q—B5	QK2

If $43 \dots Q \times P$ 44 R—KB3 is decisive.

White now forces the game by clever combination play. He begins with an exchange of Queens, throwing in his passed Pawn as largesse.

This is the position:



45 Q—B7ch! $Q \times Q$

Black has no choice, as 45 ... K—R1 allows 46 Q—B8—mate on the move.

On 46 ... $K \times P$ 47 P—R5 leads to an easy win.

This begins an amazing clearance of Pawns. Nearly all of them disappear in the next few moves!

47	$R \times RP$
48 R×P	$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}$
40 D v D!	

A little endgame trick, originally perpetrated by Morphy on Harrwitz in the third game of their match in 1858.

49	KB1
50 P—Q6	$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}$
51 P-Q7	R—Q5

Now comes a beautiful conclusion! White gives up his splendid passed Pawns, for the sake of promoting an unlikely candidate—the innocent-looking Rook Pawn.

$$52 R \times P! K \times P$$

Or $52 \dots R \times P$ 53 R - R8ch, $K \times P$ 54 R - R7ch, K - K3 $55 R \times R$, $K \times R$ 56 P - R6, and the Pawn cannot be headed off.

For after $53 \dots R \times P 54 R$ —R7ch, K—K3 $55 R \times R$, K×R 56 P—R6, and the Pawn moves on to the coronation.

A delightful ending to a game beautifully played by Eliskases.

宮 GAME FORTY-THREE **国**

Escorting the Potential Queen

C. Schlechter · J. Mason

Monte Carlo 1903, PHILIDOR DEFENSE

"The winning of a Pawn among good players of even strength," says Capablanca, "often means the winning of the game."

The extra Pawn can be turned into a Queen, as the good player knows, and with a Queen ahead he can beat anybody in the world.

The technique of transforming a Pawn into a Queen is shown more simply, clearly and concisely in the following game than in any other game I know. Watch particularly how Schlechter makes use of his King to escort the passed Pawn up the board. Note how the King zig-zags alongside the Pawn, protecting it from attack, while himself evading checks by the Rook.

The method once learned is not easily forgotten.

1 PK4	P-K4
2 Kt—KB3	P-Q3
3 PQ4	$P \times P$

Black should not give up the center, but play to maintain a strong point at K4, somewhat as follows: 3... Kt—Q2 4 B—QB4, P—QB3 5 Kt—B3, B—K2 6 O—O, KKt—B3 7 P—QR4, O—O 8 Q—K2, P—KR3 9 B—Kt3, Q—B2, with a cramped but defensible game.

4 Kt×P	Kt—KB3
5 KtQB3	BQ2
6 B-K2	Kt—B3
7 O—O	BK2

The drawback to Black's unenterprising system of defense is that his pieces tend to get in each other's way. The Pawn position in the center (White Pawn at K4 against Black's at Q3) indicates White's pieces will have greater freedom of movement.

8 P-B4

Further restraint on Black's game! White's King Pawn and King Bishop Pawn prevent Black from occupying the center with his pieces. And without a say in the center, it will be difficult for Black to equalize.

This attempt to get some freedom by exchanging pieces only helps White, whose Queen assumes a dominating position in the center.

A good move! It helps White's development (his Bishop will come into the game at Kt2) and interferes with Black's (his Bishop will be

driven back by P-Kt5).

10 ... O—O 11 B—Kt2 Kt—K1

Normal development will not do, as 11 ... Q—Q2 cuts off the Bishop's retreat, and would cost the life of that piece after 12 P—Kt5.

Black therefore plays to get in the freeing move ... P—B4.

12 P—Kt5 B—Q2

The interposition of 12 ... B—B3 (to drive the Queen off) would be a mistake, as the continuation 13 P—K5, P×P 14 Q×Q, R×Q 15 P×B wins a piece for White.

13 Kt—Q5 P—KB4 14 B—Q3 P—B3

The menacing Knight must be evicted, even though the Queen Pawn is weakened thereby.

15 P×QBP P×BP
16 Kt×Bch Q×Kt
17 QR—K1!

A strong developing move! The Rook comes into the game with a threat— $18 \text{ P} \times \text{P}$, winning a Pawn.

17 ... **P**×**P**

This capture must be made, if the Pawn is to be saved, even though it increases the range of White's pieces.

18 R×P Q—B3


Just about the only move. On 18... Q—Q1 19 R×Kt, B×R 20 Q×KtP is mate, while if 18... Q—B2, there follows 19 KR—K1 (threatening 20 R—K7) Kt—B3 20

R-K7, Q-R4 (on $20 \dots Q-Q4$ 21 B-B4 wins the Queen) 21 R × B, and White wins a piece.

19 Q-B4ch Q-B2

Again the only move. On 19 ... P—Q4 instead, White wins in problem-like style by 20 B×Q, P×Q 21 B×BPch, K—R1 22 B—K7, R—B4 23 R—Q1, B—B1 24 P—Kt4, R—QR4 25 B—Q8, and the threats (26 R×Kt mate, as well as 26 B×R) are overwhelming.

This is the position, with White to play:



20 R-K7!

And the Rook comes in still further! Its control of the seventh rank will net at least a Pawn for White, while retaining the superior position. What more could anyone ask?

20 ... Q×Q 21 B×Qch P—Q4 22 B×Pch P×B 23 R×B

White has gained a Pawn in the mêlée. Now he must utilize his superiority in material by promoting the extra Pawn to a Queen.

23	R—B1
24 R—B2	RB5
25 $R \times QP$	$R(B5) \times KBP$
26 R×R	$R \times R$
27 R—Q8	KB1
28 B-R3ch	K—B2
29 R-Q7ch	KKt3


Of course not 29 ... K—K3 30 R—K7ch, and the Knight goes.

Saves the Bishop Pawn, but can he do the same for the Rook Pawn?

Answer: He does not even try! White is willing to return one of the extra Pawns if he can thereby bring about another exchange of pieces.

One Pawn ahead, in a simple Rook and Pawn ending, is all he wants!

Here is the position:



35 K-B2

The King starts out for the Queen-

side, to give the Bishop Pawn protection as it moves up the board.

Black's King can take little or no part in the proceedings, as it is cut off from the Queen-side.

If Black plays to exchange Rooks, the win (for White) becomes elementary. This is how it could go: 35... R—R4 36 K—K1, R—K4 37 R×R, K×R 38 K—Q2, K—Q5 39 P—B3ch, K—B5 40 K—B2, K—B4 41 K—Q3, K—Q4 42 P—B4ch, K—B4 43 K—B3, K—B3 44 K—Q4, K—Q3 45 P—B5ch, K—B3 46 K—B4, K—B2 47 K—Q5, K—Q2 48 P—B6ch, K—B2 49 K—K6, and wins.

The idea, in this and similar cases, is simple: the passed Pawn keeps Black occupied, and allows White time to go after the deserted Pawns.

Of course not 36 K—K3, as it shuts off the action of the Rook and permits Black's King to cross over to the Queen-side.

<i>36</i>	P—Kt4
37 K—Q2	K-B4
38 KO3	RR1

Black hopes to make matters difficult for White's rather exposed King, who must stay near the passed Pawn, while evading checks by the Rook.

Here too, an exchange of Rooks loses quickly: 38 ... K—B5 39 P—B4, R×R 40 K×R, K—K5 41 P—B5 (forces Black to go after the Pawn, and gives White two

spare moves) K—Q4 42 K—K3, K×P 43 K—K4, and White captures the helpless Pawns.

39 P—B4 R—Q1ch 40 K—B3 R—QB1

The Rook stops the advance of the Pawn, and is prepared to check the King whenever it emerges from behind the Pawn.

41 K-Kt4 R-Kt1ch

This puts up the most resistance, as a single wasted move simplifies the win for White. For instance, if 41 ... K—B5 42 P—B5 (once the Pawn reaches B5, there are no problems) R—Kt1ch 43 K—R5, R—QB1 44 K—Kt6, R—Kt1ch 45 K—B7, R—KR1 46 P—B6, R—R2ch 47 K—Kt8, R—R1ch 48 K—Kt7, and it's all over.

42 K-R5

Note how the King zig-zags. It is the key to the win in this type of ending.

42 ... R—QB1

If 42 ... R—R1ch 43 K—Kt6, R—Kt1ch (if 43 ... R—QB1 44 P—B5) 44 K—B7, and White's next move is 45 P—B5.

43 K—Kt5 R—Kt1ch 44 K—R6 R—QB1 45 R—QB2!

Threatens to advance the Pawn. Black's King can now approach, but it's too late.

45 ... K—K4

Rook moves are met as follows:

(1) 45 ... R—R1ch 46 K—Kt7, followed by 47 P—B5.

(2) 45 ... R—B2 46 K—Kt6, R—B1 47 P—B5.

(3) 45 ... R—B4 46 K—Kt6, R—B1 47 P—B5.

46 K—Kt7 R—B4 47 K—Kt6 Resigns

For if 47 ... R—B1 (not 47 ... K—Q3 48 R—Q3ch, and White wins the Rook) 48 P—B5, K—K3 49 P—B6, K—Q3 50 P—B7, K—Q2 51 R—Q2ch, K—K2 52 K—Kt7, and White wins.

The strategy may be relatively simple, but Schlechter's clear and concise play makes the game a fine piece of instruction.

The Pillsbury Bind

V. Chekhover · I. Rudakovsky

Moscow 1945, Queen's Gambit Declined

"The scheme of a game," says Réti, "is played on positional lines, the decision of it, is as a rule, effected by combinations."

The following game, one of the unknown masterpieces of chess, illustrates this principle of strategy beautifully.

Early in the play, White gets a grip on the Queen-side (known as the Pillsbury Bind) which keeps his opponent busy on that wing. Then he conjures up threats of mate to harass him on the other. Rendered desperate by trying to prevent the collapse of his Queen-side, while at the same time warding off checkmate on the King-side, Black falls victim to the inevitable combination—in this case a pretty one.

1 P—Q4	P-Q4
2 P—QB4	P-K3
3 Kt—KB3	Kt—KB3
4 B—Kt5	B-K2
5 P—K3	0-0
6 Kt—B3	QKt-Q2
7 Q—B2	

Quite strong, though 7 R—B1, played several times in the Capablanca-Alekhine Championship Match, is more popular.

Black should get in the freeing move 7 ... P—B4 instead, before White plays his Rook to Q1.

8 B-Q3

More to the point is 8 R—Q1, to make it difficult for Black to advance his Queen Bishop Pawn. A plausible continuation would be: 8 ... P—QR3 9 P—QR3, R—K1 10 B—Q3, P×P 11 B×P, P—Kt4 12 B—R2, B—Kt2, and the freeing of this Bishop is still not assured.

Anxious to get some elbow-room, Black offers an exchange of Bishops.

This looks promising, since Black seems to gain time for the development of his Bishop. It creates a weakness though at his QB4 square, that will cost him dear.

A safer line of play, even though it hurts to give up the centralized Knight, would be 11 ... Kt×Kt 12 P×Kt, P—QKt3, followed by 13 ... B-Kt2.

12 B-K2

P---QR3

Protects the Knight Pawn, so that he can get in the advance 13 ... P-OB4.

But never a chance does White give him to make that freeing move!


13 Kt-K4

Uncovers an attack on the Bishop Pawn, and a threat to control the key square QB5.

13 ...

B-Kt2

This is the position, with White to play:



14 Kt-K5!

Good strategy! Before seizing the outpost QB5 with his Knight, White plays to remove one of the pieces guarding that square—Black's Knight at Q2.

Playing 14 Kt—B5 would be premature at this point, as after 14... Kt×Kt 15 Q×Kt, Q×Q 16 P×Q, the square QB5 would be occupied by a Pawn (which has no mobility) instead of a piece (which does have freedom of movement, and exerts pressure on the surrounding

area).

14 ...

QR-B1

Necessary, as the Bishop Pawn was attacked by Knight and Queen. There was no relief in 14... Kt×Kt, as after 15 P×Kt White obtains a fine outpost at Q6 for his remaining Knight.

15 Kt×Kt

Q×Kt

At one stroke White disposes of two pieces that guarded the vital QB5 square!

16 Kt-B5!

Another powerful stroke! The Knight dominates a great deal of the board from this outpost, and makes it difficult for Black's pieces to move about freely. The fact that the Knight cannot be driven off by Pawns must add to Black's frustration.

The paralyzing power of the Knight at the outpost QB5 was first realized by Pillsbury, who used it to great effect in some famous games, notably Pillsbury-Tarrasch, Vienna 1898 (see Chess Strategy and Tactics by Reinfeld and Chernev).

16 ... 17 KR-Q1

Q—B2

18 QR—B1

QR-Q1

B-B1

Strategically, to intensify the pressure on the Bishop file.

Tactically, to win a Pawn by 19 Kt×B, Q×Kt 20 Q×P. But this is incidental, as the position is worth more than a Pawn.

18 ...

19 Q-K4!

Just in time to stop $19 cdots P ext{--}K4!$ This would free the Bishop, and also open a file for the King Rook after 20 cdots P imes P $21 ext{ P} imes P$.

Black tries to get some counterplay on the Queen-side. The advance 20 ... P—K4 instead would be risky, as White's 21 Q—Kt3 in reply pins, and then probably wins the impetuous Pawn.

Just to keep the Queen from getting closer.


By which the Pawn position on the Queen-side is stabilized.

The advance of the King Pawn is still premature as after 23... P—K4 24 Kt×Kt, B×Kt 25 R—B5 wins the Pawn for White.

Doubles the pressure on the file—and on the opponent.

An effective move! Black's Knight Pawn is isolated, and his Queen driven back to the second rank.

This is the position, with White to play:



27 B-Q3!

Black's Queen-side is fixed, so White turns his attention to the Kingside.

The threat is 28 Q×P mate, a threat which is easily parried by moving one of the Pawns near the King. Any Pawn move though, creates a permanent weakness in the Pawn structure, one that can be exploited, in one way or another.

For instance, if Black replies 27 ... P—R3, then the continuation 28 Q—K4, P—Kt3 29 R—R5, K—Kt2 30 Q—K5ch, K—R2 31 Q—KKt5 is decisive.

The Queen plants herself securely in one of the holes created by Black's last move. A hole is a square (such as KB6 or KR6 in the present position) brought into being by the advance of a nearby Pawn. It is a weak square, because it is no longer under the surveillance of a Pawn, and is vulnerable to invasion by an enemy piece. Such a piece can settle itself comfortably in one of these holes, secure in the knowledge that no enemy Pawn can disturb it.

White's plan is classical in its simplicity. He will advance the King Rook Pawn to R4, R5 and R6, and then play Q—Kt7 mate. If the Pawn is captured en route, say when it reaches R5, mate by the Rook is the instant penalty.

Black vacates the square Q1 for his Queen, the only piece that can hope to dislodge White's Queen. Now if 29 P—R4, the intended defense is 29 ... Q—R1 30 P—R5, Q—Q1, and the threat of mate is parried.

An attack on the exposed Rook, so that Black will have his hands full warding off the accumulating threats. Black has three problems, each on a different part of the board:

- (1) On the King-side, he must guard against being mated.
- (2) On the Queen-side, he must try to break loose from White's stranglehold.
- (3) In the middle, he must rescue any pieces that are exposed.

Or 29 ... Q—Kt1 30 B—K4, R—B1 31 P—R4, Q—B2 32 Q— B6, Q—Q1 33 Q×Q, R(either one) ×Q 34 B×BP or 34 R(B1)—B4, with an easy, routine win.

The Queen must be evicted from the premises! Black does not play 30 ... R(Q3)—Q2, as he wants the first rank and the square Q1 available to his own Queen.

Only by retreat can Black's Queen rush to the rescue!

Not at once 32 P—R5, on account of 32 ... Q—Q1 in reply.

After the text (which incidentally prevents 32 ... R—Q4), if Black plays 32 ... Q—Q1, White exchanges Queens, captures the Bishop Pawn, and wins easily if prosaically.

Each step the Pawn makes increases the danger to Black's King. The Pawn is headed for R6, where it will settle itself firmly in the other hole in Black's position.

The capture 33 B×BP would be premature, as 33 ... B×B 34 R×B, R×R 35 R×R, R×P regains the Pawn and allows Black fighting chances.

The Rook withdraws, so that the Bishop Pawn may have the added protection of the Queen.

The fact that Black is kept busy warding off threats on both sides of the board is the clue to the next move, which presents Black with an insoluble problem (the hardest kind to face).

34 B×BP!

One of the beauties of chess is the fact that moves are often made which look irrational at first glance.


The Bishop Pawn is apparently adequately protected. As will be seen though, one of its defenders

is overworked. The Queen not only has to guard this point (QB3) and the Rook at Q1, but must keep an eye out for mate threats against the King.

A Zwischenzug, an in-between move instead of the expected recapture. This one, which threatens mate, requires instant attention.

On the alternative defense 35 ... Q—B1, the win is forced by 36 R×B (threatens 37 R×R, R×R 38 R—B8, Q×R 39 Q—Kt7 mate) Q×P 37 R×R, R×R 38 R—B8ch, and Black must give up his Queen.

This is the position, with White to play:



36 R×B

White regains his piece, is a Pawn ahead, and threatens $37 R \times R$, $R \times R$ 38 R - B8, $Q \times R$ 39 Q-R8ch, winning the Queen.

$$36 \ldots R \times R$$

There is not much choice. If Black plays 36 ... Q—Q2 (to reply

to 37 R×R with 37 ... Q×R), there follows 37 R—B7, Q—K1 38 Q—Kt7 mate.

37 R×R

White gets his Rook back and prepares to seize the seventh rank by 38 R—B7. This would keep the King from escaping, and again threaten him with mate by the Queen.

There is no relief in 37 ... Q×R, White forcing a winning ending by 38 Q×Rch, Q—K1 39 Q—Q6ch, K—Kt1 40 Q×RP, Q—K2 (otherwise 41 Q—Kt7 wins at once) 41 Q—Kt6, and the passed Pawn cannot be stopped.

38 R-B8!

Attacks the Queen with his unprotected Rook. A pretty enough conclusion, but precisians and pedants may point out that White missed a brilliancy in 38 Q-Kt7ch, K—K2 39 $R \times K$ Pch!, $K \times R$ (39 ... K—Q1 40 $R \times Qch$ is of course hopeless) 40 Q-K5 mate. Many a player has had quicker wins or more artistic ones than actually occurred pointed out to him by lesser lights, who revel in the fact that they found something overlooked by the master. The reason the master didn't see the shorter line is that he was not looking for it in the first place! The move with which he wins is the one whose effects he saw earlier analyzed thoroughly before starting his final combination. Once the series of forcing moves clicks, there is no reason at all for him to waste time looking for other moves

that might win. It takes time to analyze combinations, and the shorter way, ventured on hurriedly, might turn out to have a hole in it.

The moral is: Play the move that forces the win in the simplest way. Leave the brilliancies to Alekhine, Keres and Tal.

Naturally, 38 R—Q1 39 $R \times R$ does not help matters.

40 Q-R8ch

White wins the Queen and the game.

Magnificent play by White, who never once relaxed his iron control of the game. A remarkable circumstance is that none of Black's pieces or Pawns, with the exception of the brave little Pawn at Kt5, ever crossed the fourth rank—Black's side of the board!

The Galloping Knight

S. Tarrasch · S. Vogel

Nuremberg 1910, RUY LOPEZ

One piece wins this game practically single-handed! Tarrasch's Queen Knight makes 13 of the 37 moves in the game, holds the enemy Rooks at bay, captures Pawns here and there, and as a final touch, clears the way for a passed Pawn to become a Queen.

From the technical standpoint, the game offers a valuable lesson in the art of getting the most out of a minute advantage. Especially interesting is the way the Knight provokes a weakness which enables it to gain complete possession of Q6—a square the Knight visits three times!

1 P—K4	P—K4
2 Kt—KB3	Kt-QB3
3 B-Kt5	P-Q3

The authorities do not recommend this line, the Steinitz defense, since it leads to a cramped game for Black, with little opportunity for counterplay.

Any defense though, which has been favored by World Champions Steinitz, Lasker and Capablanca can not be all bad.

4 PQ4	BQ2
5 Kt—B3	Kt-B3
6 O—O	B—K2
7 R—K1	$P \times P$

Black must give up the center, and

that is the chief drawback to the Steinitz defense.

Delay may lead him in the following trap, discovered by Tarrasch: 7 ... O—O (the most natural move on the board, but it loses) 8 B×Kt, B×B 9 P×P, P×P 10 Q×Q, QR×Q 11 Kt×P, B×P (if 11 ... Kt×P 12 Kt×B wins a piece for White) 12 Kt×B, Kt×Kt 13 Kt—Q3 (not 13 R×Kt, R—K8ch, and mate next), P—KB4 14 P—KB3, B—B4ch 15 Kt×B, Kt×Kt 16 B—Kt5, R—Q4 (on 16 ... QR—K1 17 B—K7 wins the exchange) 17 P—QB4, R—Q2 18 B—K7, and White wins the exchange.

A strong move, but Capablanca found a better one, which led to a brilliant finish against Fonaroff. Capa played 11 Q—B3, and the game continued as follows: 11... P—B3 12 Kt—Q4, Kt—Q2 13 Kt—B5, B—B3 14 Q—KKt3, Kt—K4 15 B—B4, Q—B2 16 QR—Q1, QR—Q1 17 R×P!, R×R 18 B×Kt, R—Q8 (if 18... B×B 19 Q×B regains the Rook by the threat of mate, leaving White a Pawn ahead) 19 R×R, B×B 20 Kt—R6ch!,

K-R1 21 $Q \times B!$, $Q \times Q$ 22 Kt × Pch, and the Knight fork wins a piece nicely.

Black aims to simplify the position by exchanging pieces whenever he can do so.


This will strengthen the position of the Knight when it eventually reaches Q5.

Now the threat of $15 \dots Q \times Pch$ forces White to exchange Queens.

15
$$Q \times Q$$
 $P \times Q$

On 15 ... Kt×Q instead (to centralize the Knight), White protects the Bishop Pawn by 16 P—QKt3, and then plays 17 P—B4, driving the Knight back and out of play.

This is the position, with White to play:



16 Kt-Q5!

Simple and strong! The chief threat is not 17 Kt×P, as the reply

17...QR—B1 regains the Pawn for Black, but 17 P—KR3, to which the retreat 17...Kt—R3 renders the Knight hors de combat, while the alternative 17...Kt—B3 allows an exchange by 18 Kt×Ktch, which breaks up Black's Pawn position.

Plausible, but not the best way to evict the Knight from its fine outpost. Black should play 16 ... Kt—B3, after which 17 Kt×Ktch, P×Kt 18 QR—Q1, QR—Q1 left White with only a minimal advantage.

After his actual move, Black's Q3 square is slightly weakened. It is remarkable that this imperceptible weakness is enough to cost him the game.

Now White must guard against 18 ... P—KKt3, which might leave his Knight stranded.

There is only one open file, so both sides dispute its possession.

Driven out from the outpost Q5, the Knight establishes a more effective one at Q6.

The immediate threat is 21 Kt × Pch, winning the exchange.


Protecting the Knight Pawn (which also was attacked) by 20 ... R—QKt1 would be inferior, as that sur-

renders the Queen file to White.

21 P-B5!

Very strong! The advance of the Pawn supports the outpost, provides a useful square (QB4) for the convenience of the Knight, and fixes Black's Pawns on the Queen-side.

This is the position, with Black to play:



21 ...

K-Ktl

Doubling Rooks by $21 \dots R(B1)$ —Q1 would be penalized by 22 Kt × Pch, and White wins the exchange.

22 Kt-B4!

Discovers an attack on the Rook, and also threatens to win the King Pawn by driving off its defender with 23 P—B3.

22 ...

KR-Q1

Black decides to give up the King Pawn for the sake of establishing a Rook on the seventh rank.

Protecting the Pawn by 22 ... R—K2 would leave him with the inferior game after 23 R—Q6 followed by 24 KR—Q1.

Finally this move comes in, and with great effect. The Knight is forced to retreat.

Black gives up the Pawn at once, in return for which his Rook will be enabled to seize the seventh rank.

The alternative 24 ... Kt—B3 leads to this: 25 R—K2, R—K2 26 R—Q2, R—Q2 (necessary, to prevent an invasion by the White Rook) 27 R×R, Kt×R 28 P—QKt4, and White threatens to win the Knight Pawn by 29 Kt—R5.

25 Kt×P

There is no time to keep the enemy Rook out by 25 R—K2, as Black then saves his Pawn by 25 ... P—B3.

<i>25</i>	R—Q7
26 Kt—B4	R—QB7
27 P—QKt3	$R \times RP$
28 R—O1!	

Black has regained his Pawn, but now White has had time to improve his position. His Rook commands the all-important Queen-file, and can not be hindered from getting behind the black Pawns.

Hoping to undermine White's Queen-side position by 29 ... P—R5.

29 R-Q8ch	K-Kt2
30 R—QR8	PR5
31 R×P	$R \times R$
32 P×R	Kt-Ktl

The Knight is home again, "the sad result," says Tarrasch, "of the expedition to Kt5."

33 Kt—Q6

K---B3

The King and Knight must hurry over to head off the potential passed Pawn.

34 Kt×KtP

K-K4 Kt-K2

35 P-R5

Prepares to meet 36 P—R6 with

halted-for the time being.

But White prevents the Knight move with a little Knight move of his own.

For the third time, the Knight returns to this key square!

36 ... 37 P-R6 K-Q5

Resigns

Superb position play by a master 36 ... Kt—B1, and the Pawn is in that domain.

The Roving Rook

H. N. Pillsbury · J. W. Showalter

Brooklyn 1897, QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED

One of the most beautiful Rook endings ever played (and that qualifies it to be instructive as well as entertaining) is from a little-known game of Pillsbury's.

It is characterized by fierce energy, the sort with which Pillsbury so often demolished his opponent's position "root and branch" in the midgame. Despite its typical vigor, the ending is not lacking in finesse, and a study of its fine points will add polish to anyone's play.

1 PQ4	PQ4
2 P—QB4	P-K3
3 Kt—Q B 3	Kt—KB3
4 B-Kt5	

Pillsbury was the first master to realize the strength of this opening, which he once described as "a Ruy Lopez on the King-side."

A strong line of play. The Rook bears down on the Queen Bishop file, which will be opened, sooner or later, by an exchange of Pawns.

7 ... P--QR3

Clearly in order to meet 8 B—Q3 with 8 ... P×P 9 B×P, P—QKt4 10 B—Q3, B—Kt2, followed by 11 ... P—B4. By this means, the Queen Bishop would be developed, generally a problem in this opening.

Better than 9... P—Kt4 10 O—O, B—Kt2, and the Bishop has very little scope, the diagonal being blocked by one of Black's own Pawns.

Superficially attractive, this attempt to free the position is not as good as it looks. More to the point is 11 ... Kt—B1, followed by ... B—KKt5 and ... Kt—K3 in due course.

Opposing Bishops by $12 ext{...}$ B—Q3 loses a Pawn by $13 ext{ B} \times ext{Kt}$, B×B (if $13 ext{...} ext{P} \times ext{B} 14 ext{B} \times ext{B}$, P×Kt $15 ext{ Q} \times ext{P}$ wins a Pawn), $14 ext{ B} \times ext{Pch}$, K×B $15 ext{ P} \times ext{B}$.

White's pieces are strongly placed,

in a formation favored by Pillsbury.

<i>13</i>	BKB4
14 P—B3	$Kt \times Kt$
15 P×Kt	$\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B}$
16 R×B	R—R2

An awkward move, but how else protect the Knight Pawn? If 16 ... R—Kt1 17 Kt×QBP wins the exchange for White, while 16 ... Q—B1 is not an attractive move to make, the Queen being relegated to the defense of a Pawn.

Black is anxious to drive off the annoying Knight, but finds (after White's next move) that the Knight is not ready to leave. A better try might have been 17 ... Kt—Q2 instead.


If Black captures the Knight, there is some pretty play, viz: 18 ... B×Kt 19 P×B, R×P 20 Q—Q4 (attacks both Rooks) Q—Kt1 (protects both Rooks) 21 B—B4, and White wins the exchange.

Desperate measures, but White was threatening to increase the pressure by 20 Q—B5, followed by 21 P—Kt4 and 22 P—Kt5.

Threatens mate in two by 22 Q×BPch, and mate by the Knight.

A natural move, but it meets with a surprising refutation.

This is the position, with White to play:



22 Kt-Kt4!

This pretty move wins a Pawn against any defense. For instance, if 22 ... Q—K3 23 B×B, Q×B 24 P—KB4, and White threatens 25 P×P, winning a Pawn. If then:

- (1) 24 ... P—B3 25 Q—Kt6ch, Kt—Kt2 26 Kt×RPch, and White either mates next move or wins the Queen.
- (2) 24 ... Kt—Kt2 25 Kt—B6ch, K—B1 26 Kt×R, and White wins the exchange.
- (3) 24 ... Q—K2 25 Kt×Pch, K—Kt2 26 Q×KtPch, Q×Q 27 P×Q, and Black is threatened with 28 R×Pch as well as loss of the Knight by 29 P—Kt4.

22	$Q \times Q$
23 Kt×Pch	K—R2
24 Kt×Q	$\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B}$
25 P×B	P—Kt4
26 K—B2!	

A fine move! This guarding of the Pawns at Kt3 and K3 frees the

Knight for active duty. It also makes it possible for one of the Rooks to utilize the King Rook file for attack.

This is better than defending the Knight by 27 P—Kt4.

White is willing to exchange pieces, being a Pawn ahead.

On 27 ... Kt—Kt2, White forces mate in problem-like style by 28 R—R6ch, K×Kt 29 P—Kt4 mate—a pure mate!

Taking the King Pawn now would be fatal for Black, as after 29 ... R×P, there follows 30 P—Kt4ch, K—B5 31 P—Kt3 mate.

A subtle move, which shortly brings about an exchange of Rooks.

<i>30</i>	P—R4
31 P-Kt4ch	K—K3
<i>32</i> P—B4	$P \times P$
33 $P \times P$ dis ch	KQ2
$34 \text{ R} \times \text{Rch}$	$K \times R$

35 R-R7ch

Seizes the seventh rank, the road to happiness for a Rook.


Forced, as 36 ... R—K3 loses the Pawn after 37 P—B5.

"Notice the scope of White's Rook," says the Reverend Cunnington, "moving freely along Black's second rank and attacking the Pawns, while White's King (strongly placed) debars Black's Rook from his K6, K7 and K8; and if the Rook went to K5, P—Kt3 defends the Bishop Pawn."

On 37 ... P—R5 instead, White wins a Pawn by 38 P—B5ch, K—Q3 39 R—KB7.

Black defends well. Using his Rook actively offers the best chance of saving the game.

A brilliant move! It deserves a diagram:



Black must consider these possibilities:

(1) $41 \dots R \times BPch 42 R-B3$, $R \times Rch 43 K \times R$, $P \times P 44 K-Kt4$,

P—B4 45 K×P!, P×P (45 ... P—B5 46 K—B4 offers Black no chance) 46 K—B4, K—B4 47 K—B3!, K—B5 48 K—K2, K—B6 49 K—Q1, and White wins.

(2) 41 ... R×BPch 42 R—B3, P×P 43 R×R, P×R 44 P—R4!, P—B4 45 K—B3, P×P 46 K×P, K—B4 47 K—B3!, K—Kt5 48 K—K2! (but not the natural 48 P—Kt4, as then Black does not take the Pawn, but plays 48 ... K—B6 and wins!), K—B6 49 K—Q1, and White wins.

(3) 41 ... R×QP 42 P—Kt6, R×Pch 43 R—B3, R—K5 (if 43 ... R—KKt5 44 R—KKt3 wins, or if 43 ... R×Rch 44 K×R, K— K2 45 P—R4, and Black cannot stop both passed Pawns) 44 P—Kt7, R—K1 45 R×Pch, K—K2 46 R— B8, R×Rch 47 P×R(Q)ch, K×Q 48 P—R4, and again Black is helpless against the passed Pawns.

(Note to the reader: If you have skipped the variations above, go back to the diagram and play them over. They are not difficult, and they are interesting, even if they do look at first glance like some more of those confounded instructive things.)

Cuts the King off from the Kingside. Black will have to depend on his Rook to do all the work.

There was no point in attacking the Pawn by 43 ... R—KKt5, as 44 R—KKt3 forces the Rook back, after which the Pawn takes another step forward.

44 P-Kt6	R-QR5
45 PR3	PQ5
46 R-KKt3	RR1

The Rook must return before it's too late.

This prevents the King from coming down the board to escort his Pawns. If Black should play 48 ... K—B3 (to get to Kt4 and B5) the continuation would be: 49 P—R4!, P—B5 50 K—K2, P—B6 51 K—Q3, K—Kt3 52 P—R5ch, K—R3 53 K×P, P—B7 54 R—QB5, R×P 55 R×P, and White wins, as Black's King is cut off.

48	PB5
49 K-K2	KK3
50 P—R4	KB3
51 R-Kt3	KB4

Taking the Pawn loses on the spot, e.g. $51 \dots R \times P$ $52 R \times R$, $K \times R$ 53 P - R5, and the Pawn cannot be headed off, while Black's Pawns present no danger.

This puts up more of a fight than 53 ... K—Kt5, after which 54 R—B7 separates Black's King from his Pawns.

54 R—B7	P-Q6ch
55 KQ2	KQ5
56 R-Q7ch	KB4
57 P—R6	R-K1

An attempt at counter-attack. Against less active play, White advances P—R7, then moves his Rook to KB7 and KB8, which

assures his Rook Pawn of	Queening.			K-	-Q5	
58 P—R7 K— 59 R—Kt7ch K— 60 R—Kt8 R— 61 K—Q1 P—1 62 R—B8ch	B4 K7ch	"A Revere	R—B4ch splendid end Cunnin can also Pawn.	ngton, bu	ofco	urse
But not 62 P—R8(Q), 63 K—B1, R—K8ch 64 P—B8(Q)ch, and Black	K—Kt2,	64 65	 P—R8(Q) R×P K×R	P— R—	-K6 ·B7ch -K8ch signs	

□ GAME FORTY-SEVEN 単

Web of Black Squares

K. Schlechter · W. John

Barmen 1905, QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED

Certain squares on the chessboard seem to be vital nerve centers. Control of them gives a player a tremendous positional advantage—nearly always a decisive one.

In the following game, Schlechter's over-all strategy is impressive, but never more so than in the way he utilizes the key squares K5, KB6 and KR6. He occupies the latter two with his pieces, and gets a powerful grip on the King-side. As for K5, not only does Schlechter secure possession of that square, but he makes use of it as a convenient jumping-off place for his pieces. It is occupied in turn by a Knight, the Queen, a Pawn, and then the other Knight. When the King himself threatens to assume command from there, it is an indication to the opponent that it's time to resign.

The game is a large-scale masterpiece, with the action taking place over the full range of the board the King-side, the center, and the Queen-side. It is undoubtedly the greatest game Schlechter ever played, and for that matter, one of the greatest games anybody ever played.

Black's arrangement of Pawns is known as the Stonewall Formation. Its purpose is to create a strong Pawn support for a Knight at K5, and with the center made secure, to play for an attack on the King-side.

There are two drawbacks to the Stonewall. One is that the square K4 is weakened: an enemy piece posted there could not be driven away by Pawns. The second is the weakening of Black's Queen Bishop, whose range of action is restricted by the Pawns standing on white squares.

This is stronger than the immediate exchange of Bishops. After $6 \text{ B} \times \text{B}$, $Q \times B$, Black continues by ... Kt— Q2 and ... P—K4, freeing his Queen Bishop.

Black in turn does not care to exchange Bishops, as after $6 \ldots B \times B 7 P \times B$, White's grip on K5 could not be shaken off.

Hopes, with his threat of winning a Pawn by $8 \dots B \times B 9 P \times B$,

 $Q \times P$, to induce White to exchange Bishops.

8 P--KKt3!

White is not to be tempted. Instead, he re-enforces the position of his Bishop.

A fine outpost for the Knight, if the beast can manage to stay there. But White has P—B3 in reserve, whenever he chooses to dislodge the Knight.

The Queen develops with a threat: 11 P×P, KP×P 12 B×Kt, BP×B 13 Kt×KP, and White wins a Pawn, the Knight being immune to capture.

Rendered impatient by the menacing aspect of the position (one threat being $12 \text{ P} \times \text{P}$, $KP \times P$ $13 \text{ Kt} \times P$), Black decides to clear away a couple of pieces.

12 KP×B

The result though, is that Black is saddled with a backward King Pawn on an open file (and the mortality rate on backward Pawns is high).

This Knight, which cannot be driven away by Pawns, is powerfully placed at K5—one of the vital nerve centers of the chessboard.

Black's position is the inferior

one at this point, for two reasons:

- (1) His black squares are weak, greatly due to the absence of the Bishop controlling those squares.
- (2) His Queen Bishop has little freedom of movement, since it is hemmed in by Pawns occupying white squares—the color on which the Bishop travels.

At this point, one would expect White to play something like 14 Q—B2 (not at once 14 P—B3, as 14 ... Kt—Q7 wins the exchange) followed by 15 P—B3, evicting the Knight from its outpost, but Schlechter has a more effective continuation.

14 B×Kt!

Surprising—he closes the King file!

But this move will not only pry it open, but rid White of his doubled Pawn as well!

Otherwise Black loses a Pawn after 16 P×KP, P×KP 17 Kt×KP.

This is stronger than recapturing the Pawn. Black must lose a move now to avoid the threat of 17 P×P, BP×P 18 Kt×QP, P×Kt 19 Kt—Kt6ch, and White wins the Queen by discovered attack.

Another restraining move. If Black replies 17 ... Kt—Q2, 18

Q-K7 is practically fatal.

<i>17</i>	K—Kt1
18 R×P	Kt—R3
19 P—Kt3	Q-Q1
20 P—QB5	

Increases the pressure on the black squares. The square Q6 (from White's side) is now inaccessible to Black's pieces.

20	KtB2
21 Q-Kt2	B-Q2
22 Q-QB2	Q-K2
23 R(K1)—KB1	QR-K1
24 P-KKt4	B—B1
25 RR3	

Threatens mate on the move, and forces a loosening of the Pawn position around Black's King.


The only move, since 25 ... P—KR3 loses the exchange after the reply 26 Kt—Kt6. But the advance of the Knight Pawn irreparably weakens Black's KB3 and KR3 squares. Eventually, White will plant pieces firmly on those squares.

"It is surprising" says Réti, "that White suddenly begins to attack on the Queen-side. But that is the epic of Schlechter's game. He carries out operations apparently not concerted on different parts of the board, so that one has the impression that a game with no clear preconceived objective is in progress. And it is only at the end that one perceives for the first time the connection of things seemingly disconnected, with the result that the game is rounded off into one great

homogeneous whole."

Black defends patiently. An attempt to open the position would be disastrous. For example: If 27 ... P—KKt4 28 P×P, Q×P 29 R×Rch, R×R 30 R×Rch, K×R 31 Q—B2ch, K—Kt2 (or 31 ... K—K2 32 Q—B7ch, K—Q1 33 Q—B8ch, Kt—K1 34 Kt—B7ch, and Black loses his Queen) 32 Q—B7ch, K—R3 (here if 32 ... K—R1 33 Q—B8ch, Q—Kt1 34 Kt—B7 is mate) 33 Q—B8ch, Q—Kt2 34 P—Kt5ch, and Black must abandon his Queen.

This is the position, with White to play:




The next few moves need a bit of explanation. Schlechter wants to post his Queen Knight at KR6, supported by a Pawn at Kt5. If he plays 29 P—KKt5 at once, the reply would be 29 ... Q—B4. To prevent even this much counter-play, Schlechter will swing the Queen Knight over to K3 (by way of Q1), then play 29 P—KKt5, and follow that with 30 Kt—Kt4 and 31 Kt—R6ch.

If 30 ... P—R3 instead (to discourage 31 P—KKt5) White plays 31 P—KKt5 anyway, and after 31 ... P×P continues by 32 Kt(K3)—Kt4, Q—K2 (if 32 ... Q—B4 33 Kt—R6ch wins the Queen) 33 Kt—R6ch, K—R1 34 R—KR3, and there is no defense to the threats 35 Kt(R6)—B7 dble ch, K—Kt1 36 R—R8 mate, and 35 Kt—B5 dis ch, winning the Queen, the only try 34 ... R—R2 being refuted by 35 Kt×Pch, an attack on King, Queen and Rook.

31 P-KKt5

The encirclement continues, leaving Black with less and less moves.

This is the position:



Black is confined to the first two ranks, and tries to get some freedom by exchanging Queens.

Against passive play, White could

prepare a mating combination by 38 R—R4, 39 R—B3, 40 R(B3)—R3, 41 Kt—B7ch, R×Kt 42 R×Pch, K—Kt1 43 R—R8 mate.

38 Kt-B6!

White is now in full control of the key squares.

Black must go through with this exchange, as avoiding it by 38 ... Q—Q1 succumbs to 39 Kt×RP (threatens 40 Kt×R) K×Kt 40 Kt—B7 dis ch, and Black loses his Queen.

39 BP×Q

And now White has an open Bishop file on which to double his Rooks.

Practically forced, in view of the various threats, one for example being 41 Kt×B, R×R 42 R×R, R×Kt 43 R—B8ch, K—Kt2 44 R—Kt8 mate.

The proper way to take the Rook. Now the key square K5 is available to the Knight, and finally the King.

Forced, to save the Bishop and to prevent loss of the Rook by 45 P—B7ch.

45 K-Kt2

The King is to be brought closer to the center before White breaks through decisively.

On 46 ... B—B1 47 P—KR5, P×P 48 R—KR1 lets the Rook become more active.

The maneuvering was all on the King-side of the board, but the

breakthrough comes on the Queen-side!

The continuation could be 50 ... RP×P 51 P×P, B—K1 52 P×P, B×P (if 52 ... P×P 53 R—Kt7 wins instantly) 53 Kt×B, P×Kt 54 K—K5 (the King finally occupies the key square) R—K1 55 R—Kt7, and Black can cease his struggles.

A strategical masterpiece! Outwardly the game may offer little glamour or excitement, but to the connoisseur it is a complete delight.

Endgame Arithmetic

J. Mieses · S. Reshevsky

Margate 1935, CARO-KANN DEFENSE

In an innocent-looking position, Reshevsky, alert as a cat, pounces on a Pawn (something he has done a thousand times before).

As suddenly as he won the Pawn, Reshevsky hastens to return it, and bring the position to an ending where Pawns are even. The rest (though a master might consider it just a matter of counting up moves) is a bit of endgame artistry.

1 P—K4	P—QB3
2 P—Q4	PQ4
3 Kt—QB3	$P \times P$
4 Kt×P	Kt—B3
5 Kt—Kt3	

The more aggressive line is 5 Kt×Ktch, after which 5 ... KtP×Kt in reply weakens Black's Pawn position on the King-side, while 5 ... KP×Kt lets White have four Pawns to three on the Queen-side.

Disputes the center at once! Another way of doing so (in order to get rid of the Queen Pawn) is 5 ... P—B4 6 Kt—B3, P—K3 7 B—Q3, Kt—B3 8 P×P, B×P 9 P—QR3, O—O 10 O—O, P—QKt3 11 P—QKt4, B—K2 12 B—Kt2, B—Kt2, and Black has equalized.

6 B-K3

Clearly, if $6 \text{ P} \times \text{P}$, $Q \times Q \text{ch}$ 7 $K \times Q$, Kt—Kt5, and Black regains his Pawn.

Somewhat stronger than Mieses's 6 B—K3 move is 6 Kt—B3 (Knights before Bishops!) a line of play with which Alekhine beat Tartakover brilliantly at Kecskemet in 1927 (but then Alekhine always beat Tartakover brilliantly!).

6	$P \times P$
7 Q×P	QR4ch
8 OO2	

A better interposition is 8 B—Q2, after which 8 ... Q—Q4 9 Q×Q, Kt×Q 10 B—QB4, leaves White with a slightly superior game.

Forces 9 P—QB3, and the resultant weakening of White's Q3 square.

9 P—QB3	B—K2
10 BQ3	0-0
11 KKt-K2	P—B4

This permits the Queen Knight to develop at B3, the ideal square.

12 Kt—B5

This looks attractive, since it assures White of the two Bishops, but ...

- (1) He cannot keep the Bishops very long, and,
- (2) It allows Black time to get his Queen-side pieces into play quickly.

An attack on the Queen which compels White to lose a move.

After this, White must either allow the exchange of the Bishop, or move it to R3, where it is awkwardly placed.

The retreat to Q3 is no better, as 16 ... Kt—K4 attacks the Bishop again.

The Rook develops with gain of time. The threat is 18 ... B—Kt4 19 Q—B3, B×B 20 P×B, P—B4, and White has to fend off an invasion by 21 ... R—Q7, as well as an attack on the isolated Pawn by 21 ... R—Q6.

Very good, since it brings pressure to bear on the square Q6, which was weakened by White's ninth move.

There is now the possibility of posting a piece at Q6, where it has the strong support of the Bishop Pawn.

19 P-QKt4

An impatient move, which weakens the Bishop Pawn. The position is not a happy one, but 19 Kt—Q4 might have put up more fight.

Pins the Knight, which was on its way to Q6.

Intending 22 ... Kt—B6ch 23 P×Kt, B×B, and White's Pawn weaknesses may turn out to be fatal.

22 Kt--Q4

Instead of this, Mieses could have lost gloriously by 22 B—Kt3, Kt—Q6 23 B×B, R×B 24 Kt—B1 (to get rid of the unwelcome Knight) Kt—K8 25 Q—R4, P—QKt4! 26 Q×KtP, R×R! 27 Q×Rch, K—Kt2 28 Q—K2, Kt—B6 dble ch and mate!

This is usually a bad formation in Castled positions, since the white squares are weakened by the Pawn's advance. This weakening contributes to White's downfall, although it is difficult to see at this stage how Black can exploit his advantages.

The Bishop prepares to retreat to Kt2, where it commands the long diagonal, and has a target in the Bishop Pawn.


This only helps Black, who now gets his Queen into more active play.

Indicating that he intends to double Rooks on the Queen-file. To prevent this, White must exchange Rooks.

$$28 \text{ R} \times \text{R}$$
 Q×R

Now the Knight is attacked, and there is a threat of invading the position by 29 ... R—Q1, followed by 30 ... Q—Q6.

This is the position, with White to play:



29 Kt--Q4

This loses a Pawn, but the alternatives are not satisfactory:

(1) 29 Kt—Q2, R—K7 30 R—Q1, B—R3, and Black wins a piece (if 31 P—B4, Q—Kt7 is mate).

(2) 29 K—Kt2, R—Q1 30 R— K1, Q—Q6 31 Q×Q, P×Q 32 P—B4, R—QB1 33 R—Q1, R×P 34 R×P, R×P, and the extra Pawn should win for Black.

Mieses must have counted on Black to continue with 30 ... Q×QP, whereupon 31 Q×BP, R—K8ch 32 K—Kt2!, gets him out of the woods.

But Reshevsky has a little zwischenzug up his sleeve!

Attacks the Queen Pawn twice, and cleverly prevents the capture of his Bishop Pawn.

Now if $31 \text{ Q} \times P$, the reply $31 \dots$ R—K8ch forces $32 \text{ R} \times R$, and White loses his Queen.

On 34 K—K1 instead, there follows 34 ... K—K2 35 Q—K2ch, K—Q3, and the threat of exchanging Queens, or of advancing further with the King, assures an easy win for Black.

After White's actual move, it looks as though we were in for a long, dreary ending, with Black's King facing countless checks as

soon as he comes into the open, but Reshevsky finds an artistic way to simplify matters and finish off his opponent.

He forces an exchange of Queens, and throws in his precious passed Pawn as a bonus. Such is the power of a superior position!


White can win a Pawn by 35 Q×Q, P×Q 36 K—K1, K—K2 37 K—Q2, K—Q3 38 K×P, but after 38 ... K—B4 by Black, his Queenside Pawns are doomed.

The point! The Pawn must be captured, and Black gains time to pounce on the helpless Queen-side Pawns.

Simpler than trying to gain two Pawns by 40 ... P—QR3. After 41 K—Q3, K—Kt5 42 K—Q4, K×P 43 K—B5, it would require all of Black's skill to avoid losing!

One Pawn ahead (preferably an outside passed Pawn) is enough to assure the win!

If White chose to play on, the next few moves could be 42 K—Kt4, K—B3 43 K—B4, P—Kt4ch 44 K—Kt4, giving us this position:



Black has two methods of winning, both of which should be familiar to the student:

- (1) He advances King and passed Pawn, forcing White to retreat. When his own King is far enough in enemy territory, he abandons the passed Pawn, and goes after the unprotected Pawns of his opponent. The moves would be: 44 ... K—Kt3 45 K—Kt3, K—B4 46 K—B3, P—Kt5ch 47 K—Kt3, K—Kt4 48 K—Kt2, K—B5 49 K—B2, P—Kt6ch 50 K—Kt2, K—Q6, and wins.
- (2) He can count moves—the master method! From the position on the diagram, simply count how many moves it would take Black to capture all the Pawns. Since the total is seven moves, see what White can do in seven moves. He would capture the passed Pawn, then the Bishop and Rook Pawns. White's King would then be behind the King Knight Pawn, which could freely go on to become a Queen.

The procedure would be: 44 ... K—Q4 45 K×P, K—K5 46 K—B6, K—B6 47 K—Q7, K×P 48 K—K7, K—Kt7 49 K×P, K×P 50 K—Kt7, K×P 51 K×P, P—Kt4, and Black wins.

In the Grand Manner

D. Janowsky · J. R. Capablanca

New York 1916, QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED

In the book of the New York 1924 Tournament, Alekhine says of the game between Capablanca and Yates, "Capablanca's planning of the game is so full of that freshness of his genius for position play that every hypermodern player can only envy him."

In the game that follows, Capablanca shows his consummate mastery of all styles of play!

The subtle strategy initiated by his 10th move could have been a profound concept of Lasker's; powerful restraining moves by the Pawns are worthy of a Philidor; the switch attack from one side of the board to the other is reminiscent of a Bogolyubov attack; the sacrifice of a Pawn on the Queen-side in order to win a piece on the Kingside is in the style of Spielmann, while the mate threat by the two Rooks on an open board might have been the inspiration for the finish of the Nimzovich-Bernstein game at Carlsbad in 1923.

The whole game might have been a breathtaking brilliancy of Alekhine's—except that it was played by Capablanca!

The purpose of this, the Slav Defense, is to support the center Pawn without locking in the Queen Bishop, as occurs after $3 \dots P$ —K3.

The drawback to this line is that an early development of the Bishop weakens the Queen-side.

A stronger continuation is 5 P×P, P×P 6 Q—Kt3, Q—Kt3 7 Kt×P, Kt×Kt 8 Q×Kt, P—K3 9 Q—Kt3, Q×Q 10 P×Q, B—B7 11 B—Q2, B×P 12 P—K4, as in the classical 23-mover won by Torre from Gotthilf in 1925.

5	Q—Kt3
6 Q×Q	$P \times Q$
$7 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$	$\mathbf{K}\mathbf{t} \times \mathbf{P}$
8 Kt×Kt	$P \times Kt$

Black's Queen-side Pawns are weak, but in return for this two open files are available to his Rooks.

One of the most profound moves ever played—easily surpassing the highly-praised 19 QR—Q1 in the celebrated Anderssen—Dufresne game, and equalling in subtlety the 36 R—Q5 move in the Alekhine—

Tartakover masterpiece, played at Vienna in 1922.

Not only does Capablanca undevelop the Bishop, but he locks it in next move by 11 ... P—K3!

Capablanca intends to continue by ... Kt—R4, ... P—QKt4 (the Pawn being protected by the Bishop) and ... Kt—B5. The Knight would then occupy an important outpost and be strongly supported by Pawns. It is true that White could capture the Knight, but the recapture would not only undouble Black's Knight Pawns, but leave him with the advantage of the two Bishops. In the consequent play, Black could bring a great deal of pressure to bear on his opponent's Queen-side Pawns.

Capablanca prepares for the ending (even at this early stage!) by bringing his King to the center, instead of Castling.

This frees White's Queen Rook from the defense of the Rook Pawn, but it creates a hole at QKt3, an organic weakness which is irremediable. The energetic 15 Kt—K5 was preferable.


Black proceeds with his plan of posting the Knight at QB5. White can prevent this by playing 16

B×Kt, but the recapture by 16 ... P×B straightens out Black's Pawns, and leaves him with the two Bishops.

Guards against loss of the exchange by 16 ... Kt—Kt6, and also prepares for 17 P—K4, an advance in the center which offers hope of counter-play.

"Not through the Iron Duke!" as the bridge players say.

This is the position, with Black to play:



"Black's first plan is completed," says Capablanca. "White will now have to take the Knight, and Black's only weakness, the doubled Queen Knight Pawn, will become a source of great strength at QB5. Now for two or three moves Black will devote his time to improving the general strategic position of his pieces before evolving a new plan,

this time a plan of attack against White's position."

Janowsky would rather capture with the Knight, but after 19 ... KtP×Kt in reply, his Bishops would have very little scope.

Clears a good square for the Bishop, in the event of an attack on it by 21 P—K5.

This move, and White's next, are anti-positional, if only for the fact that placing Pawns on black squares reduces the mobility of his Bishop—that can travel on black squares only!

A far better plan (since we must be constructive) was 21 P×QP, P×P 22 P—B4 followed by 23 Kt—B3 and 24 Kt—K5. The Knight would then be strongly placed, while its removal would cost Black one of his Bishops, and leave White with a protected passed Pawn.

The threat of breaking through, after suitable preparation, by ... P—Kt5 will fix White's pieces on the Queen-side. Black could then switch suddenly to the King-side, break up that wing by ... P—KKt4, and attack on the open Knight file with his Rooks.

23 K-B2

If White plays 23 B—Kt4 instead (to prevent the potential break-through by ... P—Kt5) the con-

tinuation 23 ... $B \times B$ 24 $P \times B$, R-R5 25 $R \times R$, $P \times R$, followed by 26 ... R-QKt1 wins a Pawn for Black.

Threatens to win a piece by 25 ... P—Kt5.

More tenacious resistance might have been offered by 28 Kt—Kt2, in order to recapture with the Knight on 28 ... P×Pch.

"Black is now ready to reap the reward for his well developed plan," says Capablanca. "All that is now needed to incline the balance in his favor is to bring the Bishop at Q2 to bear pressure against White's position."


32 B-K1

Janowsky fights hard to escape from the pin.

He plans to rescue the Knight from the attack threatened on it by Black's Rook Pawn, with this line of play: 32 ... P—R4 33 B—B2, P—R5 34 P—R3, R(Kt5)—Kt2 35 Kt—K3, and he can breathe again.

But Capablanca crosses him up by switching the action to the Queen-side!

This is the position, with Black to play:



32 ...

P-Kt5!

A brilliant sacrifice! It clears a diagonal for the Queen Bishop, whose next few moves practically decide the game. This Bishop has had to wait in the wings since its memorable 10th move.

33 P×P

The alternative is 33 B×P, B×B 34 P×B, and Black can pursue the attack by 34 ... P—R4 or 34 ... R—QKt1, either of which should be good enough to win.

B—QR5!

The Bishop is on its way to B7 (gaining time there by attacking the Rook) and then to K5, where it will strike at the Knight behind the King.

34 R-QR1

If White plays 34 R—B1, to prevent the Bishop from coming in, the reply 34 ... R×Pch! wins for Black.

Capablanca's games are studded with these little tactical finesses.

B—**B**7

35 B-Kt3

This saves the Knight from the effects of 35... B—K5ch, by cutting off the pressure of the Rooks on the file. But the pin is still effective, as Capablanca quickly demonstrates.

B—K5ch P—R4!

Threatens to win a piece by $37 \dots$ P-R5 38 Kt×P, B×Kt 39 B×B, R×B.

37 R-R7

White tries to work up some kind of attack, since 37 Kt—K3 offers no hope after 37 ... P—R5 38 Kt×R, P×Kt, and Black wins two pieces for a Rook.

<i>37</i>	$\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{K} \mathbf{t}$
38 R×B	P—R5
39 B×P	$R \times Rch$
40 K—B3	$R \times RP$
41 B×B	

On 41 R×Bch instead, Black wins by 41... K—B1 42 B—B6, R(Kt1)— R1! (the threat of mate on the move cleverly forces a reduction of pieces) 43 B×R, K×R, and the rest is child's play.

There isn't a discovered check on the board that can hurt Black; every one of his pieces and Pawns stands on a white square!

Threatens 46 ... R-R6 mate.

46 R×Pch

A spite check. Janowsky must realize there isn't one chance in a million that Capablanca will move 46 ... K—R4, and allow himself to be mated.

46 ... K—R2 47 Resigns

Janowsky's checks run out. If 47 R—K7ch, K—Kt1 ends them, or if 47 R—R6ch, K—Kt2 48 K—Kt3, R—R6ch 49 K—R4, R—KR7 is checkmate.

宮 GAME FIFTY 置

March of the Little Pawns

H. N. Pillsbury · I. Gunsberg

Hastings 1895, QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED

Almost every tournament brings its share of exciting moments, but none I venture to say contributed more than the one played at Hastings in 1895.

To begin with, it brought together the strongest field since the institution of International Chess Tournaments in 1851. Add to this the fact that the world's leading masters had not met previously in tournament play, and you will have an idea of the interest stirred up by this occasion. Neither Lasker, Champion of the World, nor Steinitz, the Grandmaster who was previous holder of the title, had met each other in tournament play. Nor had either of them ever encountered the mighty Dr. Tarrasch, winner of four International Tournaments in succession. There were other powerful entries too, such as Tschigorin, who had recently drawn a bitterly-fought match with Tarrasch, young Schlechter, whose reputation as a formidable antagonist had preceded him, and the rising stars Janowsky and Mieses, who were known and feared for the vigor of their attacking play. There was the contingent from England, headed by Blackburne and Teichmann, both dangerous obstacles to

any aspiring master. Should these be cleared, there were others— Schiffers, Bardeleben, Walbrodt, Gunsberg, Marco and Burn.

By no stretch of the imagination could the chess-playing public picture the unknown Pillsbury as a possible winner of the highest honors. Imagine then the keen interest that arose when this youngster proceeded to win game after game with astonishing ease and accuracy. Imagine if you can the excitement of the spectators when the final round began with Pillsbury leading the field. Three players were in the running for first prize-Pillsbury with $15\frac{1}{2}$ points, Tschigorin with 15, and Lasker with $14\frac{1}{2}$.

Lasker, as befitted a World's Champion, made short work of his opponent, disposing of Burn in 20 moves. Tschigorin had some trouble subduing Schlechter, but eventually won a long game.

Pillsbury, meanwhile, thinking that a draw would be sufficient to win the tournament, played the opening of his game against Gunsberg tamely, allowing most of the pieces to be exchanged. Suddenly aware of the danger of being overtaken, Pillsbury began to play with the energy and brilliance with which he had previously mowed down Tarrasch, Steinitz, Janowsky, Pollock and Burn. His admirable handling of the endgame has been well described by Reinfeld:

"Suddenly things began to happen at Pillsbury's board: the colorless King and Pawn ending came to life. Pillsbury sacrificed a precious Pawnor did he lose it? He allowed Gunsberg menacing passed Pawns on both sides, rushing down to Queen. It seemed impossible that Pillsbury's King could hold back the Pawns on both wings. The excitement in the tournament room mounted unbearably as the realization of Pillsbury's predicament became clear to the spectators. Only one man was calm—perhaps deceptively calm: Pillsbury. He had calculated everything down to the most delicate detail. With the white-hot inspiration of unique genius, he had intuitively sensed the possibilities of a seemingly sterile position; with inexorable accuracy he had worked out the subtly timed win. In a few moves, the ending wound up as Pillsbury had foreseen: Gunsberg resigned."

So beautifully did Pillsbury conduct this ending as to render it a classic in the field of Knight endings as well as in King and Pawn endings.

Lasker says of this, "Black chooses a peculiar, but not altogether sound

manner of development. The objection to this mode of bringing the Bishop out is that it costs two moves, brings the Bishop on a line that is blocked, and allows the first player possibilities of a King-side attack beginning with P—KR4."

Tartakover, on the other hand, approves of this formation, saying, "A profound conception, a combination of the Queen's Gambit and the King's Fianchetto."

5 Kt—B3	KtB3
6 B — Q 3	00
7 KtK5	$\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$
$8 \text{ B} \times \text{BP}$	Kt—Q4
9 PB4	B—K3

Whenever a piece lacks Pawn protection, it is in danger. Here, for example, White's King Bishop is threatened with capture by 10 ... B×Kt 11 BP×B, Kt×Kt (attacks the Queen) 12 P×Kt, B×B, and Black has won a piece.

Lasker recommends 10 Q—B3 instead, to be followed soon by P—KR4.

White must exchange, as the retreat 11 B—Q3 loses a Pawn by 11 ... Kt×KP or 11 ... Kt×BP.

The Knight would like to settle

down at QB5.

Kt--Q2

But Black will have none of that!

KR-B1

The position looks drawish, as the open Bishop file foreshadows an early exchange of all the Rooks.

White might be said to have a trifle the better of it, his King being nearer the center for the endgame.

<i>16</i>	PK3
17 KRQB1	BB1
18 R × R	$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$
19 R—QB1	$R \times R$
20 B×R	B-Q3

This is rather lifeless. The energetic 20 ... P—Kt5, followed by 21 ... P—QR4 would have made things more difficult for Pillsbury.

21 B—Q2	KB1
22 B—Kt4	KK2
23 B—B5!	POR3

A far better move was 23 ... P—QR4, if only to prevent White from giving his strongly-placed Bishop additional support by 24 P—QKt4.

The play, if White persisted, could go as follows: 24 P—QKt4, P×P 25 B×P, B×B 26 Kt×B, K—Q3 27 P—Kt4, P—B3, and after 28 ... P—K4 the position is perfectly even.

Gunsberg becomes impatient, probably considering the position an easy draw. But the recapture of the Bishop gives White a passed Pawn, always a dangerous weapon in an ending.


26 KtP×B

Kt-Kt1

Black hopes to consolidate his position by posting his Knight at B3, but the timorous defense gives Pillsbury an opportunity to effect one of his characteristic breakthroughs.

A more spirited line is this, suggested by Lasker: 26... P—QR4 27 P—B5, P—Kt4 28 P—B6, Kt—Kt3 29 Kt—B5, P×P 30 P×P, K—Q3 31 Kt—Kt7ch, K×P 32 Kt×Pch, K—B2, and Black has, if anything, the better chance, as he threatens ... Kt—B5 and ... Kt—Q3.

This is the position on the board, before lightning struck it:



27 P-B5!

The first surprise, and more will follow! White's threat is $28 \text{ P} \times \text{KP}$, $K \times P$ 29 Kt—B4ch, and the vital Queen Pawn falls.

If Black accepts the offer of a Pawn by 27 ... $KtP \times P$ 28 $P \times P$, $P \times P$, then 29 Kt-B4 wins the

Queen Pawn and leaves White with the great advantage of having two connected passed Pawns in the center. Or if Black tries 27 ... KP×P, there follows 28 P×P, and 28 ... P—Kt4 is met by 29 Kt—Kt4, and again White wins the Queen Pawn, and acquires two connected passed Pawns.

This keeps the Knight from moving to KB4.

28 Kt-Kt4!


The Knight leaps in, and threatens to remove most of the Pawns by 29 P×P, K×P 30 P—B6, K—Q3 31 P—B7, K×P 32 Kt×Pch, K—Q3 33 Kt×P, P—R3 34 Kt—Kt8.

Another surprise! If the Knight is taken, 30 P—B7 wins at once.

And still another! Now if 30 ... P×Kt, there follows 31 P—K7, K×KP 32 P—B7, and the Pawn will become a Queen.

"Alles hochst pikant!" says the Deutsche Schachzeitung admiringly.

Now look at the position!



Has Pillsbury overplayed his hand? Will he lose the King Pawn that seems beyond help? Or will he find a magical saving move?

32 P-K4!

Beautiful! This brilliant move (and the next!) will assure White of obtaining two connected passed Pawns.

Obviously the impudent Pawn may not be captured.

Or 34 ... P—B4 35 P×P, P—QKt5 36 P—B6, P—R5 37 P—B7, K—K2 38 P—Q6ch, K—B1 39 P—Q7, K—K2 P—B8(Q)ch, and White wins easily.

This loses supinely, whereas 36 ... K-K2 instead would have led

to this exciting finish: 37 K—B4, P—Kt6 38 P×P, P—R6 39 K—B3, P—B4 (to create a passed Pawn on the King-side) 40 P×P, P—R4 41 P—Kt4!, P—R7 42 K—Kt2, P—R8(Q)ch (forces the King to the last rank, where he will be subject to check upon the Queening of another Pawn) 43 K×Q, P—Kt5 44 P—Kt5, P—R5 45 P—Kt6, P—Kt6 46 P×P, P×P 47 P—Q6ch!, K×P 48 P—Kt7, K—B2 49 P—K7, P—Kt7 50 P—Kt8(Q)ch, K×Q 51 P—K8(Q)ch, and White wins by one move!

P-R6

38 K-B4

Of course not the hasty 38 P—R6, when Black makes a last-minute escape by 38 ... P—Kt6 39 P—R7 (if 37 K—B3, P×P wins for Black) P×P, and Black draws by virtue of Queening with check.

<i>38</i>	PB4
<i>39</i> P—R6	PB5
40 PR7	Resigns

Exquisite endgame play by Pillsbury, and a fitting climax to a great tournament victory.

宮 GAME FIFTY-ONE 置

Irresistible Pawn-Roller

F. J. Marshall · J. R. Capablanca

New York 1909, QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED

Capablanca demonstrates the process of winning, in his usual effortless style. Though Marshall makes no conspicuous errors, Capablanca manages to obtain a Queen-side majority of Pawns in only fifteen moves. This slight positional advantage is enough, in the hands of Capablanca, to be decisive.

The Pawns advance at every opportunity, and their steady progress eventually compels Marshall to give up a piece to prevent one of them from Queening. The continuation from that point on is unusually interesting. In only fourteen more moves, Capablanca who has won a Bishop for two Pawns, weaves an air-tight mating net around Marshall's King.

Commenting on the game, Lasker (who was not given to uninhibited praise of his peers) said, "Capablanca's play is an example of how slight advantages should be utilized."

1 PQ4	P-Q4
2 P—QB4	PK3
3 Kt—OB3	P—OB4

Impressed by the way Mieses beat Rubinstein in the second game of their match, Capablanca adopts the former's defense.

4 BP×P	$\mathbf{KP} \times \mathbf{P}$
5 Kt B 3	Kt-QB3
6 P-KKt3	BK3

Criticizing Capablanca may be lèse-majesté, but I would suggest the development of the King-side by 6 ... Kt—B3 7 B—Kt2, B—K2 8 O—O, O—O, as preferable.

The stronger line, discovered years later, is 9 P×P, B×P 10 Kt—QR4, B—K2 11 B—K3, O—O 12 Kt—Q4, and White's position is superior.

A good move, which frees Black's game.

$$10 \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B} \qquad \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{B}$$

"Would anyone defending against the Queen's Gambit want a better position than this after ten moves?" says Tarrasch proudly in justification of his pet line, the Tarrasch Defense.

11 Kt—K5

But not 11 P×P, $Kt \times Kt$ 12 P×Kt, Q×P, and White's Queen-

side is broken up.

In the Rubinstein-Mieses game, White's 11 R—B1 at this point led to play which enabled Mieses to exploit his positional advantages—pressure on the open file, and a Pawn majority on the Queen-side. I give the continuation here, not only because it is interesting, but because it is rare that Rubinstein the Giant-killer is beaten so quickly and effectively.

The game went on (after 11 R-B1) as follows: $11 \dots Kt \times Kt$ 12R×Kt, P-B5 13 Kt-K5, O-O 14 P-Kt3, Q-Kt5! 15 Q-Q2, QR-B1 16 R-Q1, P-QKt4 17 P-B4, Kt×Kt 18 BP×Kt, P-QR4 19 $P \times P$, $R \times P$! 20 R—Kt3 (if 20 $R \times R$, $Q \times Q$ 21 $R \times Q$, $QP \times R$, and Black will soon have two connected passed Pawns) Q-R5 21 P-K3, KR-B1! 22 B-B1, R-B7 (the almighty seventh rank!) 23 Q-K1, P-Kt5 24 B-Q3, $Q\times P!$, and White resigned in view of what might follow: 25 B×R, R×B 26 R-Kt1 (either Rook) R-Kt7ch 27 K-B1, B-R6 (or simply 27 ... R×RP), and White is helpless to ward off the threats of mate.

This is better than trying to break up White's Pawns by 11 ... Kt × Kt(B6) 12 P × Kt, Kt × Kt 13 P × Kt, Q—Q2 14 P—KB4, O—O 15 Q—B2, and White has a respectable game.

But not 13 B×P, B—R6, and suddenly three of White's pieces play:

are in danger.

13	KtB6ch
14 Kt×Kt	P×Kt
15 Q×P	0-0
16 KR—B1	0 0

Marshall avoids 16 Q×P, as after 16 ... Q×Q 17 B×Q, QR—Kt1 18 B—K4, R×P, Black has a passed Pawn, and a Rook on the seventh, but the move he selects is no great improvement, since his Rook "bites on granite."


Marshall should have started the Pawns rolling on the King-side, where he has the preponderance of Pawns, say by 16 P—K4, followed by Q—K3, P—B4 and P—B5. He would then have the makings of a King-side attack.

Capablanca's comment on Marshall's move is illuminating from the psychological standpoint: "White's inactivity on his stronger wing took away all the chances he had of drawing the game."

There is no mention of a possibility that Marshall might win the game!

Threatening 18 B—R3, which would either bring about an exchange of Bishops, or induce a weakening of Black's Pawn structure by 18 ... P—B4.

This is the position, with Black to play:



18 ..

P-QKt4!

Capablanca starts playing out his trumps—the Queen-side Pawn majority. From now on the Pawns will push on every chance they get.

On 20 P—Kt3 instead, 20 ... Q—R4 attacks the Rook, and also threatens 21 ... P×P. This practically compels the reply 21 P—QKt4 which leaves Black with a powerful passed Pawn.

Capablanca never misses a trick! He seizes the only open file.

It is not the purpose of this move to threaten 24... B—Q4 25 Q—Kt4, P—KR4, winning a piece, as the critics (Tarrasch, Schlechter, Panov, Golombek, Reinfeld, Goetz and others) suggest, since White could now confound all the critics by playing 26 Q—B4, and if 26... Q×Q, capture by 27 KP×Q, thereby

protecting his Bishop.

The purpose of Black's move is to provide an outlet for the King, and free the Rook from guarding the last rank. It is the prelude to an invasion (by Black's Rook) of the seventh rank.

Note please that White cannot now prevent the Rook's coming in by playing 24 R—B2, as 24 ... B—B4 in reply would cost him a whole Rook.

This is better than 24 ... Q×Q 25 B×Q, as then Black's Knight Pawn is attacked.

An important move which prevents White from bringing his King to the center.

The key to Black's strategy: he will advance the Queen-side Pawns, and also institute an attack on White's Queen Knight Pawn—a weak point.

If White tries to get the King into play by 30 K—B3, he might fall into this embarrassing loss: 30 ... P—Kt6 31 R—K2, B—R6!, and suddenly White's Rook is surrounded, and threatened with capture by 32 ... B—B8.


Marshall defends stubbornly. Not only does he threaten the Bishop Pawn, but he is prepared to punish precipitous play. On 31 ... P—B6, he rescues his game by 32 P×P, P—Kt6 33 R—Q2, P—Kt7 34 B—Q3, and the Pawn will fall.

If 32 R—B3, $R \times P$ 33 $B \times P$, R—B7, and Black wins a piece.

With the powerful threat of 33 ... R—B7. White prevents this, but must still sacrifice a piece to halt the Pawns.

Clearly, not 35 B—B2, as Black wins instantly by 35 ... $R \times B!$

This is the position, with Black to play:



From now on, Capablanca shows how to make the most out of a slight advantage in material. He brings the game to a conclusion with simple, vigorous moves. For the student it is a marvellous lesson, as so many games are given up as lost, at a stage when to all except the expert, there seems to be a good deal of fight left.

Rooks belong behind passed Pawns—which is why Capablanca drives this one away from where it is!

And to add insult to injury, Capablanca moves his Rook behind the dangerous Pawn.

Marshall's Rook has little mobility, being tied down to the defense of a Pawn.

Forces the King to the side of the board, as 39 P—B3 loses the King Bishop Pawn after the reply 39 ... R—B7ch.

This initiates a threat of mate which wins a Pawn immediately. White must guard against 41 ... B—B4ch 42 K—R4, R×Pch 43 K—Kt5, K—Kt2 44 R—Kt7, R—R6 45 P—Kt4, P×P, and Black mates quickly.

41 P—Kt4	P×Pch	48 R—Q7	B-Kt7
42 K×P	$R \times RP$	49 R-Q6ch	K-Kt2
43 R—Kt4 44 K—Kt3	P—B4ch	Now if 50 R-followed by 51	-Q7 ch, KR3
Or 44 K—Kt5, I can be delayed for	K—Kt2, and mate one move only.	if 50 K—Kt5, R—I R—Kt5 mate.	
44 45 R—B4	R—K7 R×Pch		elf considers this
46 K—R4 47 R—B7ch	K—Kt2 K—B3	game one of the rever played.	nost accurate he

Quiet, Like a Tiger

M. Botvinnik · I. Kann

Moscow 1931, DUTCH DEFENSE

One of America's leading players once said to me that he liked games where nothing happens. This one of Botvinnik's, I am sure, would please him. There are little combinations in the game, but they appear only in the notes.

Botvinnik wins the game purely by strength of position. Control of the Queen file, with emphasis on the key square Q5, enables his pieces to penetrate the adverse position. The exchanges which take place about the 30th move clear off some of the heavy pieces, and leave Botvinnik a Pawn ahead in a Rook and Pawn ending.

He wins it in a breeze.

If Black embarks on the Dutch Defense with $l \dots P$ —KB4, he must be prepared to face the perils of the Staunton Gambit. In this, White sacrifices a Pawn for the sake of rapid development, and the possibility of working up an attack. Two typical lines are:

(1) P—Q4, P—KB4 2 P—K4, P×P 3 Kt—QB3, Kt—KB3 4 B—KKt5, P—KKt3 5 P—KR4, B—Kt2 6 P—R5, Kt×P 7 R×Kt, P×R 8 Q×Pch, K—B1 9 Kt—Q5, Kt—B3 10 B—QB4, and White has good winning chances.

(2) I P—Q4, P—KB4 2 P—K4, P×P 3 Kt—QB3 Kt—KB3 4 B—KKt5, P—KKt3 5 P—B3, P×P 6 Kt×P, P—Q4 7 Kt—K5, B—Kt2 8 Q—Q2, O—O 9 O—O—O, and White has the initiative (9 ... Kt— Kt5 10 Kt×QP!). Black has an extra Pawn as consolation, and as Steinitz once mentioned, "A Pawn ahead is worth a little trouble."

2 P—QB4	P—KB4
3 P—KKt3	Kt—KB3
4 B—Kt2	BK2
5 Kt—KB3	P-Q3
6 O O	0-0
7 P—Kt3!	

Apparently the Queen Bishop is to be posted at Kt2, where it will bear down on the long diagonal, and make it difficult for Black to get in the freeing move ... P—K4.

But Botvinnik's plans for the Bishop are more subtle than the indicated fianchetto. The Bishop is to be developed at QR3, where its influence will be stronger than at Kt2.

This arrangement will also permit the posting of the Queen Knight at B3, a more aggressive square than Q2 for this piece.

This prevents Black from even starting the counter-attack characteristic of the Dutch—9... Kt—K5, followed by ... P—KKt4, ... R—B3 and ... R—R3.

White is ready to meet $10 \dots P$ —K4 with $11 P \times P$, $P \times P 12 B \times B$, Kt×B $13 \text{ Kt} \times P$, and he nets a Pawn.

Instead of this, Botvinnik suggests 10 ... P—R4 followed by 11 ... Kt—QKt5. The Knight then obstructs the Bishop, and deprives it of any influence on the diagonal.

11 P-Q5!

Of course not 11 P—K4, tempting though it looks, as after 11 ... P×P 12 Kt×P, Kt×Kt 13 Q×Kt, P—Q4 is a simultaneous attack on Queen and Bishop, which wins a piece for Black.

Practically forced, as 11 ... Kt— K4 loses a piece by 12 Kt×Kt, P×Kt 13 B×B.

12 Kt—K5

"Attracted by the superiority of two Bishops over Knight and Bishop," says Botvinnik, "White allows his opponent breathing space. He should have continued 12 QR— Q1, increasing the pressure."

12	$P \times Kt$
13 B×B	RB2
14 B—QR3	$P \times P$

This move and Black's next, clear a path for his Bishop.

Things begin to look brighter! Black has visions of playing 16 ... B—R6 followed by 17 ... Kt—Kt5.

This move, seizing control of the Queen-file, is the first step in the process of centralization.

Botvinnik is as familiar with the benefits that accrue from controlling the center as Nimzovich, whether or not he has read that famous strategist's formula governing situations of this sort, "An attack on a wing should be met by play in the center."

This exchange does not help matters for Black. The recapture will bring another of White's pieces to the center. A preferable line of play was 16... B—R6 17 Kt×Ktch, R×Kt 18 B—B3, Q—B2 19 KR—K1.

Stronger than the likely 18 Q—K4, the move Black probably expected. The reply to that would be 18 ... P—B3, forcing an exchange to Black's advantage, as after 19 B×B, Kt×B, his Knight becomes active again.

After White's actual move, the response 18 ... P-B3 would be


fatal, as then 19 B—B3 attacks the Queen, and also threatens the life of the King by 20 Q×Ktch, R×Q 21 R×Rch, and mate next move.

There was little choice, as 19 B—B3 was still in the air.

Far superior to 19 P×B, which shuts off the action of White's Queen and Rook on the Queen file. It would also permit Black counter-play, by 19 ... R—B3, followed either by 20 ... R—R3, or by the entrance of the Knight into the game (20 ... Kt—B2 and 21 ... Kt—Kt4).

After 19 Q×B on the other hand, White dominates the Queen file, his Queen is centralized, his Bishop casts a death-ray along the diagonal leading to B8, and he still threatens mate by 20 Q×Kt! What more could a man want?

This is the position, and it requires a bit of consideration:



The Knight guards the square Q1, protects the King Pawn, and stands

ready to meet the invasion 20 Q—K6 with 20 ... Kt—Q5.

Clearly, this troublesome Knight must be driven off if White is to make further progress. But how? If 20 P-QKt4 at once, the Bishop is blocked, and Black has time to get in the move 20 ... R-Q1. If after that, White persists in being aggressive, and plays 21 Q-K6, he meets with disaster as follows: 21 ... Kt-Q5 22 P-Kt4, Q-Kt4 23 P-R4, Q×RP 24 Q×P, Q× KtPch 25 K-R2, R-B4 26 Q×R, Q×Q 27 P-K3 (hoping to win the pinned Knight) Kt-B6ch 28 K-Kt2, Q-Kt5ch 29 K-R1, Q-R6, and White is mated.


20 B-B5!

This is the star move, and one that was not easy to discover, according to Botvinnik. The Bishop keeps up the pressure without obstructing the Knight Pawn. This Pawn is bound for Kt5, where it will attempt to dislodge the Knight from its fine post.

Sets the stage for a brilliant finale. Black should have played 21 ... P—KR3 (to give the King some air) and then if 22 P—Kt5, Kt—Q1 still holds the fort.

Not a happy spot for the Knight, but the alternative 23 ... Kt—Q1 was worse, as then White simply snaps up the luckless piece with his Queen.

This is the position, with White to play:



A spectacular entrance into enemy territory! This move, and the following invasion of the seventh rank by the Rook, are reminiscent of a similar maneuver in the masterpiece that Rubinstein won from Maroczy in 1920 (the score of which with complete notes appears in my Logical Chess Move by Move).

24	RR1
25 R—Q7	Q—Kt3
26 Q—Q5	

Once again White threatens mate on the last rank! Black can avert this, but only at the cost of a Pawn.

"Our life is frittered away by detail," says Thoreau, "Simplify! Simplify!"

Botvinnik intends to exchange Bishop for Knight, obtaining a passed Pawn thereby. This Pawn will advance at every available opportunity.

It may seem strange to have Black offer an exchange of Queens, but how else can he free his pinned Rook, and the pieces tied down to its defense?

The alternatives are easily disposed of: If 32 ... R×P 33 R—B8ch wins a Rook for White. Or if 32 ... R×R 33 P×R, R—B3 (on 33 ... R—K1, 34 R—Kt8 wins) 34 R—Kt8ch, and the Pawn becomes a Queen next move.

33 R×R	$K \times R$
34 P-Kt7	R—QKt1
35 P×P	$P \times P$
36 K-Kt2	K-K3
37 K—B3	P—Kt4
38 K-K4	Resigns

Black is in zugzwang; he must make a move, and any move he makes loses quickly.

If his King goes to the King-side, White attacks on the Queen-side, thus: 38 ... K—B3 39 K—Q5, K—K2 40 K—B6, K—Q1 41 R—Q1ch, K—K2 42 K—B7, and White wins.

If his King goes to the Queenside, White attacks on the Kingside, thus: 38 ... K—Q3 39 K—B5, K—B2 40 K—Kt6, R—KR1 41

P—Kt8(Q)ch, $R \times Q$ 42 $R \times R$, and White gathers up the King-side Pawns.

If his King retreats, White maintains the opposition and forces Black to step to one side or the other, thus: 38 ... K—K2 39 K—K5, K—K1 40 K—K6, K—Q1 (if 40 ... K—B1 41 K—Q7 followed

by 42 K—B7 wins) 41 K—Q6, K—K1 42 K—B7, and White wins.

Moves by the Pawns are meaningless and quickly exhausted, White waiting them out by simply moving his Rook up and down the Knight file.

Botvinnik's play throughout has been simple, clear and logical.

買 GAME FIFTY-THREE ■

Cornucopia of Ideas

E. Zagoryansky · P. Romanovsky

Moscow 1943, Nimzo-Indian Defense

This is one of those unknown masterpieces that bubbles over with good things. Among the strategic ideas you will see is a position held in complete restraint by virtue of control of the white squares, permitting the King to wander casually over to the Queen-side as a prelude to the decisive combination. After a tactical interlude, involving a sacrifice of the exchange, there follows a vivid demonstration of the power of a Rook on the seventh rank. And, since chess is cruel, there is a massacre of the innocents—the hapless Pawns being the victims.

<i>1</i> P—Q4	Kt-KB3
2 P—QB4	PK3
3 Kt—OB3	B-Kt5

Restraint is the essence of the Nimzo-Indian—a sound, fighting defense to the Queen Pawn Opening. Black plays to prevent P—K4 by White, first by pinning the Queen Knight, and second by bearing down on K5 with his Queen Bishop, from the square QKt2.

Black also retains the possibility of saddling his opponent with a doubled Pawn by ... B×Kt, thus providing him with a good object of attack.

4 PK3	P—QKt3
5 B—K2	B—Kt2
6 RR3	

A strategic error, since the exchange of Bishops is to Black's advantage. He can now play to gain control of K5 and QB5, squares which have lost the protection of the white-squared Bishop.

The beginning of Black's longrange plan to get a grip on K5 and QB5. He intends to remove both of White's Knights, and leave him with a Bishop hampered by Pawns standing on black squares.

Somewhat better was $10 \text{ Q} \times \text{B}$, even though it allows Black to gain time with $10 \dots \text{Kt--K5}$.

The Knight's ultimate aim is to occupy the square QB5. For the moment its threat is to win a Pawn by 11 ... Kt—QR4.

Another developing move with gain of time! The tactical threat is 13... Kt×QP 14 Q×Q, Kt×Ktch 15 P×Kt, Kt×Q, winning a Pawn. The strategic threat is 13... Kt—QR4, in order to seize the outpost QB5.

Blissfully unaware of any danger, White makes a normal developing move. It turns out to be the decisive mistake, since it enables Black to carry out his object (which I have indicated several times before) of getting a grip on QB5, one of the weakened white squares.

Threatens to steal the Bishop by 17 ... P—QR4.

A strange move, the purpose of which will become evident in a move or two.

Now we see what the Rook is up to—restraint! White is not to be allowed to free his game by means of P—QB4 or P—K4.

One may ask why the power of a Rook is to be spent on preventing a Pawn from moving. The answer is that the Rook is doing this only temporarily. The Knight will work its way over to Q3, and relieve the Rook of that duty.

21 B-Kt2

White would rather keep the Bishop at R3, in order to capture the Knight on its arrival at Q3, but the Bishop must retreat. On 21 R—B2 instead (to protect the Bishop Pawn), 21 ... R—B5 in reply attacks the Rook Pawn, and forces the Bishop to retreat anyway if White is to save the Pawn.

21	RK1
22 K—B1	Kt—B3
23 R—B2	Kt-K5
24 K—K2	Kt-Q3
25 K—O3	P—B4

Tightens the grip on the squares K5 and QB5. On the former, Black bears down with Rook, Knight and two Pawns, on the latter with Rook, Knight and Pawn.

Attacks the King Pawn once more, and ties White's pieces down to its defense.

<i>27</i> B—B1	R(B3)—K3
28 R—K2	KB2
29 R—K1	PB3
30 R-QKt1	R(K1)—K2!


This makes it possible for the King to move behind the Rook on its journey to QR3. Once the King reaches that square, the plan is to advance ... P—QKt4, and after P×P, P×P, to create a passed Pawn on the Queen Rook file.

31 R—K2	K-K1
32 PR3	PR4
33 RKt3	KQ2
34 R—K1	K—B2
35 P—Kt3	K-Kt2

This is intended to hinder Black from advancing his Queen Knight Pawn. That Black can do so though, with favorable chances for himself, may be seen from this analysis: 37 ... P—QKt4 38 P×P, P×P 39 B—B1 (on 39 R×P, R×Pch! 40 B×R, R×Bch 41 K—B2, Kt—R6ch 42 K—Q2, Kt×Rch 43 R×Kt, R×KBP, and Black should win) R×Pch! 40 B×R, R×Bch 41 K—B2, P—QR5 42 R—Kt4, R×KBP, and Black has the better of it.

There is a combination in the position though—an effective one. And Black finds it!

This is the position, with Black to play:



Clearly, 40 K—Q3, R—Q7 mate is unthinkable, while if 40 K—B1, R—Q8ch 41 K—B2, R×R 42 R×R (on 42 K×R, Kt—Q7ch regains the Rook), Kt—R6ch followed by 43 ... Kt×R leads to a pure Pawn ending with Black a Pawn ahead—and that's the easiest

kind of ending to win.

40 ... R—QR7

This leaves White curiously helpless. If he tries 41 R—B1 (to dislodge Black's Rook from the seventh rank), Black simply plays 41 ... R×P. If then 42 R—B2, Kt—K6ch wins the exchange, or if 42 K—K2, R—R7ch compels the King to return to the first rank.


A pleasant alternative was 43 ... R—R7.

44 K-K2

This results in loss of the exchange, but other moves permit 44 ... R—R7 followed by 45 ... R—KR7 and 46 ... R×P, securing Black a passed Pawn on each side of the board.

This is not a blunder, as everything else is hopeless. White's only chance lies in getting over to the King-side, to acquire a passed Pawn of his own.

This is the position, with Black to play:



45	Kt-Q7ch	54 R—QR1	P—R7
A Committee allowed	la antida da Carro	55 P—R5	P—Kt4
	k, with so few	56 P—R6	$P \times Pch$
pieces on the board	1!	57 K×P	R-R7ch
46 K-Kt4	$Kt \times R(Kt6)$	58 K-Kt5	R-Kt7ch
47 R×Kt	PR5	59 K—B6	P-Kt5
48 R-Kt1	RQB7	60 P-Kt7	PKt6
49 K×P	$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}$	61 K—B7	$R \times Pch$
The removal of	this Pawn secures	62 K×R	KKt4
Black two connect	ed passed Pawns,	Not the hasty	62 P—Kt7,
with one ready to	protect the other.	when $63 \text{ R} \times \text{Pch}$,	K-Kt4 64 R×
50 P—Kt6 51 K—Kt4	P—R6	Pch might result up chess!	in Black's giving
52 PR4	R—Q6 R×Pch	63 K—B6	P—Kt7
52 FK4 53 KKt5			r—K(/
22 KK12	R—Q7	64 Resigns	

Endgame Duel— Knight against Rook

M. Botvinnik · M. Vidmar

Groningen 1946, CATALAN SYSTEM

This is a glorious game of Botvinnik's, worthy to rank with his famous victory over Capablanca at Avro in 1938.

The game is fascinating throughout its length, from the opening that Botvinnik conducts in original style, through the midgame where the sacrifice of the exchange permits his Rook to dominate the board, to the ending where his agile Knight dances rings around the opposing Rook.

A great deal may be learned from this game, but more than that, it is a game that one plays over and over again with renewed pleasure each time, as one takes delight in reading over and over again a fantastic tale of John Collier's or a priceless pastiche by Perelman.

1 PQ4	P—Q4
2 KtKB3	Kt-KB3
3 PQB4	P-K3
4 P-KKt3	$P \times P$
5 Q-R4ch	QQ2
6 Q×BP	Q—B3

Black insists on exchanging Queens! White must comply, his Queen Bishop being unprotected.

$$8 \text{ Kt} \times Q$$
 B—Kt5ch

Black wants to exchange Bishops too, but a preferable alternative was $\delta \ldots B$ —K2 (simple development) or $\delta \ldots P$ —B4 (attack on the center).

Clever play! The long diagonal is cleared for the Bishop, and there are prospects of setting up a strong Pawn center with P—K4.

Simple and strong! The King meets the threat of 12 ... Kt—B7ch by coming to the center. This is perfectly safe now that Queens are off the board, and there is little danger of running into a mating attack.

The Bishop is blocked temporarily, for the sake of building up a Pawn center.

14	Kt—Q2
15 P—QR3	Kt—Q4
16 P—K4	Kt(Q4)—Kt3
17 KtR5	

Threatens to ruin Black's Pawn position by playing 18 Kt×B.

The Knight Pawn is now protected, and Black prepares to drive off the annoying Knight at R5 by 19 ... Kt—Kt1 and 20 ... Kt—B3.

19 KR-QB1!

Far superior to the natural 19 QR—B1. The action will be on the Queen-side, and the Queen Rook is needed where it is, to support an advance of the Queen Rook Pawn. This Pawn has an important role to play in the plan to disorganize Black's forces.

A prudent retreat. Vidmar is aware of the danger inherent in a cramped position, and would very much like to free his game by bringing about an exchange of pieces. But if he plays 20 ... Kt—B3, there follows 21 P—QR4, Kt×Kt 22 P×B, and his Knight at R4 is doomed.


At this point, the dilettante, who is always on the lookout for brilliancies, might say to himself, "Wouldn't it be nice if I could play 21 Kt(Q2)—B4 and then 22 Kt—Q6 mate?"

The master player, I assure you, gives this possibility little more than

a passing thought. What concerns him, at this and other stages, is that all his pieces be in active play. If they are not effectively placed, he moves them (by retreat if necessary) to squares where they can exert some influence. He gives more thought to this, which is the substance of position play, than to the planning of intricate combinations.

Botvinnik's next move brings the Bishop into more active play, though all it seems to do is keep a long diagonal under observation.

This is the position, with White to play:



23 P--QR4!

Initiates the threat 24 P—R5, Kt—R1 25 P—R6, followed by 26 P×Pch. This would split up Black's Pawns, making them vulnerable to attack, and at the same time open a file for White's Queen Rook.

An unhappy retreat, but the Knight guards the Bishop Pawn from the corner. On 24 ... Kt—Q2 in-

stead, the sequel, according to Botvinnik, would be 25 P—R6, P—QKt3 26 R—B3, K—Kt1 27 QR—B1, R—QB1 28 Kt—B4 (threatens 29 Kt—Q6) R—Q1 29 Kt—R3, R—QB1 30 Kt—Kt5, and White wins.

Black's pieces are now all on the first rank, and while the position might have pleased Steinitz, it would take the patience and defensive skill of a Steinitz to keep it intact.

Black prepares to advance the Bishop Pawn so that the Knight can get back into the game. If at once 26 ... P—QB3, there follows 27 B—Kt5, K—B2 (blocks the Knight, but how else save the Pawn?) 28 R—R3, R—Q3 29 QR—B3, and after 30 P—K5 the Pawn will fall.

A preventive measure against 29 Kt—B4 followed by 30 Kt—K5.


The attempt to mobilize his own Knight would be premature, as after 28 ... Kt—B2 29 P—Kt5, Kt×KtP 30 B×Kt, P×B 31 R—B7, B—Q2 32 R—Kt7ch, K—R1 33 R(B1)—B7 establishes both of White's Rooks on the seventh rank, with a winning position.

Intending to break in by 31 P—Kt5, P×P 32 B×P, B×B 33 Kt×B, with unremitting pressure.

Black could avoid this by playing 30 ... P—QKt4, but then comes 31 Kt—Kt1, Kt—B2 32 R—R3, followed by a tour of the Knight to Q2, Kt3, and B5. Once the Knight is established on this strong outpost, the position would be definitely won for White.

"It is worth giving up a Pawn," says Fine, "to get a Rook on the seventh rank."

This is the position, with Black to play:



The situation is critical, and could lead to checkmate for Black. For example, if 33 ... P—Kt5 34 R—Kt7ch, K—R1 35 R(B1)—B7 (threatens 36 R×Pch, K—Kt1 37 R(B7)—Kt7ch, K—B1 38 R—R8 mate) B—B1 36 R×Pch, K—Kt1 37 Kt—Kt5, and Black must give up a piece to prevent 38 R(B7)—Kt7ch, B×R 39 R×Bch, K—B1 40 Kt—R7 mate.

Black misses his chance! Instead of this, 33 ... B—B1 34 Kt×P, R—Q2! 35 R×R, B×R 36 Kt×P, K×Kt 37 R—B7ch, K×P 38 R×B, R—QB1! probably draws, since he dominates the open file, and has a dangerous passed Pawn.

Fine play! Botvinnik sacrifices the exchange for the sake of maintaining a Rook on the seventh rank.

The only move. If Black tries to save his King-side Pawns by 36 ... R—KKt1, there follows 37 R×Pch, K—Kt1 38 R—Kt7ch, K—B1 (on 38 ... K—R1, 39 Kt—B7ch regains the exchange, with a winning position for White) 39 Kt—Q6ch, K—Q1 40 P—R7, R—QR8 41 R—Kt8ch, K—B2 (if 41 ... K—Q2 or 41 ... K—K2 42 R×R, R×P 43 R×Pch wins the other Rook) 42 R×R, R×P 43 Kt—Kt5ch, and White removes the second Rook and wins.

After Black's actual move, both of his Rooks are tied down to the Bishop file.

This gains time on the clock, and also demonstrates the opponent's helplessness to him—a favorite device of Tarrasch's.

Preparing to fix Black's Rook Pawn by P—R4 and R5.

A desperate move, since it affords White the opportunity to get a passed Pawn, but Black wants the square QB4 for one of his Rooks.


He intends either to drive the Knight away, or force an exchange of Rooks.

43 P—Q5	R(B8)—B4
44 R-R7ch	K-Kt1
45 R-Kt7ch	KR1
46 R×P	R-QKt1

This will end the white Rook's career.

Vidmar puts up a hard fight. If instead 48 ... K-R1 49 P-O6, R-B1 (forced, as getting behind the Pawn by 49 ... R-B8 loses at once by 50 P-Q7, R-Q8 51 Kt-B7ch, $K \times P$ 52 Kt—Q5, and the Rook is cut off from the Pawn) 50 K-Q3!, K-Kt2 (on 50 ... R-B8, 51 Kt-B3 wins instantly) 51 Kt-B7, K×P 52 K-B4, K-Kt3 53 K-Q5, and wins, a plausible continuation being 53 ... R-Q1 54 Kt-K6, R-Q2 (other Rook moves permit 55 P-Q7 and Q8) 55 Kt-B5, R-Q1 56 P-Q7, K-B2 57 Kt-K6ch, etc.

This is the position, with White to play:



49 Kt-Q6ch!

This is much better than 49 P—Q6, R—B1 50 K—Q3, R—B8 51 Kt—B3, R—QR8, and Black still needs subduing.

49	$\mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{P}$
50 Kt—K8	K—Kt3
51 Kt×P	R—B6ch
52 K—B2	R—B2

To guard against loss of the King Pawn by 53 Kt—Q7ch, or of the Rook Pawn by 53 Kt—Kt8.

53 P—R4	RB2
54 Kt-R5	KB2
55 P—Kt5!	$\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$
56 P×P	R—R2

On 57 ... R—B2 (of course not 57 ... R—Kt2 58 Kt—K8ch winning the Rook) 58 P—B4, P×P 59 P—K5 wins quickly.

Hoping to get behind the Pawn, but White puts an end to that aspiration.

59 K-Kt2!

Domination! The Rook has only one decent square open to it on the Rook file.

The last chance to stop the Pawn. On 59 ... R—R8 instead, the continuation 60 P—Kt6, R—R1 61 P—Kt7, K—Q3 62 P—Kt8(Q) forces Black to give up his Rook.

For if 60 ... R—R3 61 P—Kt7, R—Kt3ch 62 K—B2, R×P 63 Kt—K8ch and White wins the Rook neatly by a Knight fork.

🗏 GAME FIFTY-FIVE 🖺

Perennial Favorite

E. Bogolyubov · R. Réti

Mahrisch-Ostrau 1923, FRENCH DEFENSE

Back in 1933, when Fred Reinfeld and I were enthusiastically analyzing thousands of master games to find *The Fifty Greatest Chess Games Ever Played*, one of the undisputed choices on any of the lists (and we made many of them) was the magnificent game won by Réti from Bogolyubov in 1923.

In our introduction to the game, we had this to say of it:

"It is well known that an attack undertaken without adequate means must result in loss of the initiative, if parried properly. This is demonstrated most convincingly in the present game—the chief interest of which lies in the harmonious simplicity of Réti's beautifully-timed play. The unusual movements of the Knight add a certain piquancy to Black's artistic conduct of the game.

Réti's play is versatile: he performs many tasks here, and performs them well. He thrusts back a premature attack, he demonstrates the power of a compact center, he steadily cuts down his opponent's mobility, he maneuvers his Knights with exquisite skill, he obtains and exploits a passed Pawn according to plan."

Today, having played through and analyzed thousands of games in the

thirty-year interval, the game between Bogolyubov and Réti is still, in my opinion, one of the most beautiful and instructive ever played.

One would expect Bogolyubov to adopt the Alekhine attack, with which he has won some brilliant games: 4 B—Kt5, B—K2 5 P—K5, KKt—Q2 6 P—KR4, B×B 7 P×B, Q×P 8 Kt—R3, Q—K2 9 Q—Kt4, P—KKt3 10 Kt—B4, P—QR3 11 O—O—O, being the start of one of them.

Black *must* attack the center in this line of the French Defense.

The immediate threat is $6 \dots P \times P \ 7 \ Q \times QP$, Kt—QB3, winning the King Pawn.

6 Kt-Kt5

In his anxiety to attack, Bogolyubov violates opening principles. Having developed the Queen prematurely, he now moves the Knight twice in the opening.

$$6 \dots P \times P!$$

Now if 7 Kt—Q6ch, $B \times Kt \ \delta$ $Q \times KtP$, $B \times P$ protects the Rook, and wins a piece for Black.

Repulsing the attack

Saves the Rook, forces an exchange of Queens, and puts an end to the demonstration.

White must devote a few moves now to regaining the Pawn he lost.

Building up a powerful center

Threatens to hold on to the extra Pawn by 15 ... P—K4.

The four black Pawns now constitute a compact and powerful center, the Rooks have open files on which to operate, and the King is well posted for the ending—all a consequence of White's faulty strategy in embarking on an attack without sufficient means.

Réti's play from this point on is as delightful as it is convincing.

Centralizing the King for the ending

With Queens off the board, the King need not seek safety in Castling, as there is little danger of his being mated. At B2, the King supports the center Pawns, and is ready to take active part in the ending.

The Bishop is to be developed on the Queen-side. An attempt to bring it into play on the King-side would be rebuffed with loss of time, viz:

- (1) 19 B—Q2, Kt—B5, and the Bishop must return.
- (2) 19 B—K3, P—Q5, and the Bishop is driven off.
- (3) 19 B—B4, P—K4, and the Bishop must retreat.


The Pawns begin to look formidable.

Cutting down the scope of the Bishop

Placing the Pawns on black squares limits the action of White's Bishop, while it increases the mobility of Black's (which travels on white squares).

The Knight is bound for Q3, to get some counter-play by the attack on the Bishop Pawn.

This is the position, with Black to play:



22 ...

Kt-B4

The Knight gains time by its threat of winning the exchange with $23 \dots \text{Kt}$ —K6.

The Knight's move also unpins the Queen Bishop Pawn, making the advance ... P—B5 now possible.

23 R-B2

Establishing an outpost at K6

23 ... Kt—K6

A fine spot for the Knight. It can either settle down at this advanced outpost, or use it as a jumping-off place for further invasion into enemy territory.

24 R-K1 P-B5!

A powerful move! The immediate threat is 25 ... P×P 26 BP×P, Kt—B7, and the attack on Rook and Bishop will force White to give up the exchange.


25 P--QKt4

If 25 P×P instead, 25 ... R×P 26 R(K1)—K2, KR—QB1, and Black wins the Bishop Pawn.

25 ... B—R5! 26 R(K1)—K2

White cannot save the Pawn by 26 P—B3, as then 26 ... Kt—B7 wins the exchange.

This is the position, with Black to play:



The galloping Knight

26 ... Kt—Q8!

The beginning of some fancy stepping by the Knight.

27 R—B1 Kt—B6 28 R(K2)—B2 Kt—Kt8!

Réti notes that the Knight took ten moves to pay a visit to the home of White's Knight.

29 B--Kt2

The Pawn chain

29 ... P—B6!

A thrust at the Bishop, to relegate it to the back rank.

30 Kt-Kt3

The alternative is not appetizing: 30 B—R1, Kt—Q7 31 R—K1, B×P, and Black is not only a Pawn ahead, but has two wicked-looking connected passed Pawns.

If 31 R×Kt, B×RP, 32 R—R1, P×B, and Black wins, or if 31 BP×B, P—B7 32 B—B1, P—Q6, and there is no defense to the threat of 33 ... P—Q7 34 B×P, Kt×B 35 R×Kt, P—B8(Q), and White must give up his Rook for the newly-crowned Queen.

Control of the vital files

Breakthrough to obtain a passed Pawn

If now 34 B×Kt, P×B 35 R×QP, P×P 36 R×R, R×R, and White can do nothing to prevent 37 ... R—Q8, forcing the promotion of the passed Pawn.

If now 36 R×R, P×R 37 R—Q1, R—B8, and the passed Pawn will become a Queen.

Ready to meet 37 $R \times P$ with 37 ... $R \times R$ 38 $K \times R$, P—B7,

and White must give up his Rook for the Pawn.

With the hope of being allowed to play 38 R×R, P×R 39 R—Q1, R—B8 40 K—K2, with good drawing chances.

But this rudely dispels any fond hopes!

The power of the King in the ending

Drives back White's King, so that his own King may advance.

The aggressive position of Black's King is decisive!

If White removes the dangerous Pawn, he loses quickly, e.g. 42 R×P, R×Rch 43 K×R, K—K6 44 K—B3, K—B7, and White's King-side Pawns are doomed.

Simplifying to a won ending

The Knock-out punch! If 42 K—K2, K—B6, followed by 43 ... R—Q1 and 44 ... K—Kt7, when White must give up the Rook for the Pawn.

Or if 43 K×P, R—B6ch 44 K—

Q2, R×R 45 K×R, and now, so strong is the position of Black's King, that he can win on either side of the board!

Black can move to B6, capture

both Knight Pawns, and win as he pleases, or he can move to K6 and B7, and capture the King-side Pawns to win.

43 Resigns

🖺 GAME FIFTY-SIX 🗵

Command of the Board

A. Rubinstein · K. Schlechter

San Sebastian 1912, QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED

Rubinstein's games flow along so smoothly and easily, and are so pleasant to play over, that one is apt to forget that they also offer valuable instruction.

The importance of centralizing the pieces, for instance, is something the chess writers keep on stressing in their chapters on strategy. That centralization should of itself confer enough advantage to be decisive seems almost incredible, and yet Rubinstein asks for nothing more. In the midgame, with his King and Bishop close to the center, his Knight standing on K5, and a Rook dominating the seventh rank, Rubinstein is complete master of the situation. Given such superiority in the midgame, it is no wonder he plays the ending in exquisite style!

The whole game, including as bonne-bouche a journey by the King to the stronghold of the enemy, is a treat. It is one of Rubinstein's finest efforts.

This is the Semi-Tarrasch Defense. It offers more freedom for Black's pieces than the Orthodox

Defense, but it requires careful handling to achieve equality.

$5 \text{ BP} \times \text{P}$	$Kt \times P$
6 P—K4	$Kt \times Kt$
$7 P \times Kt$	$\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$
$8 P \times P$	B-Kt5ch

Black has a good alternative in 8 ... B—K2 the sequel to which could be 9 B—K2, O—O 10 O—O, P—QKt3 11 Q—Q2, B—Kt2 12 Q—K3, Kt—Q2 13 B—Kt2, Kt—B3 14 B—Q3, R—QB1 15 QR—B1, R×R 16 R×R, Q—R1, as in the game Lilienthal-Flohr, Moscow, 1935.

This is where Black goes wrong. The exchanges which now follow bring White's King closer to the center, where it is well placed for the ending. The subsequent pressure of White's Rooks on the open files will also make it difficult for Black to develop his Queen-side normally.

A safer continuation was 9 ... B×Bch 10 Q×B, O—O 11 B—B4, Kt—B3 12 O—O, P—QKt3 13 KR—Q1, Kt—R4 14 B—Q3, B—Kt2, with an even game.

10 R-QKt1! B×Bch

Black avoids the plausible 10 ...

Kt—B3, which loses by $11 \text{ R} \times \text{B}$, Kt×R 12 Q—Kt3, Q×P $13 \text{ Q} \times \text{Q}$, Kt×Q 14 B—B4, and the Knight is trapped.

Black has an opportunity to go wrong—and grasps it! The right move is 12 ... K—K2, centralizing the King. With Queens off the board, and the game approaching the endgame stage, the King belongs near the center, where he can take part in the action.

13 B-Kt5!

A powerful move, whose object is two-fold: to impede Black's development, and to provoke a weakness in his position.

This weakens Black's QKt3 square, but what else is there? If

- (1) $13 \dots B$ —Q2 $14 B \times B$, $Kt \times B$ $15 R \times P$, and White wins a Pawn.
- (2) $13 \dots \text{Kt}$ —Q2 $14 \text{ B} \times \text{Kt}$, $\text{B} \times \text{B}$ $15 \text{ R} \times \text{P}$ wins a Pawn.
- (3) 13 ... Kt—B3 14 B×Kt, P×B 15 KR—QB1, B—Q2 16 Kt—K5, and White wins a Pawn.
- (4) 13 ... P—QKt3 14 KR—QB1, B—Kt2 15 K—K3, R—B1 16 R×Rch, B×R 17 R—QB1, B—Q2 (if 17 ... B—Kt2 18 R—B7 should win) 18 B×B, Kt×B 19 R—B7, Kt—B3 20 Kt—K5, and White has a winning position.


On 15 ... Kt—B3 16 K—K3 leaves Black without a reasonable continuation.

16 R-B7!

The Rook's domination of the seventh rank should be enough by itself to win the game.

16	Kt—Q2
17 K—K3	Kt—B3
18 Kt—K5	BQ2

This is the position, with White to play:



White commands a good deal of the board. The next step is to make things difficult for Black, say by dislodging his Knight from its present strong post.

Obviously threatening to win a piece by 20 P—Kt5, Kt—K1 21 R×B. If Black meets this threat by 19 ... B—K1, there follows 20 P—Kt5, Kt—R4 (on 20 ... Kt—Q2 21 Kt—B6, KR—B1 22 Kt—K7ch wins a Rook) 21 QR—QB1, and Black's prospects are dreary.

<i>19</i>	P—R3
20 P—B4	BK1
21 P-Kt5	$P \times P$
22 P×P	Kt—R2

The least of the evils, since 22 ... Kt—Q2 loses in a trice (if not sooner) by 23 Kt—B6.

At this point in his notes, Capablanca comments admiringly on the precision with which Rubinstein conducts this game.

23 P-KR4	KR—B1
24 QR—QB1	$R \times R$
25 R x R	R01


Black might have put up more resistance with 25 ... P—B3 at this point, instead of a move later.

<i>26</i> R—R7	PB3
27 P×P	$P \times P$
28 Kt-Kt4	BR4
29 Kt-R6ch	K-R1
30 B-K2!	

Profiting by the fact that 30 ... B×B would cost Black the exchange by 31 Kt—B7ch.

<i>30</i>	BK1
31 R×P	K—Kt2
32 Kt-Kt4	P—B4

This is the position, with White to play:



33 R-R7ch!

Forces the King to retreat, to prevent loss of a piece, or even mate itself. For instance, if 33 ...

K—Kt3 34 P—R5ch, K—Kt4 35 R—Kt7ch, K×P 36 Kt—B6 dble ch, K—R3 37 R×Ktch, K—Kt3 38 P—K5, and White has won a piece.

Or if 33 ... K—Kt3 34 P—R5ch, K—Kt4 35 R—Kt7ch, K—R5 36 P×P, P×P 37 Kt—R6!, Kt—B1 38 Kt×Pch, K—R6 39 B—B1ch, K—R7 40 R—Kt2ch, K—R6 (if 40 ... K—R8 41 Kt—Kt3 mate) 41 K—B2, and there is no escape from 42 R—Kt3 dble ch and 43 R—R3 mate.

<i>33</i>	K-R1
34 Kt-K5	$P \times P$
35 B×P!	K t— B 3

Here too, Black cannot afford 35 ... B×B, on account of 36 Kt—B7ch in reply, winning the Rook.

<i>36</i> B×B	$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{B}$
37 K—B4	K-Kt1
38 K-Kt5	R-KB1

There is no hope in 38 ... Kt—R2ch 39 K—R6, and the threats (40 R—Kt7ch, followed by mate with the Knight, or 40 Kt—Kt6, and mate by the Rook at Kt7) are too much to cope with.

Threatens this problem-like finish: 40 R—Kt7ch, K—R1 41 Kt—B7ch, R×Kt 42 R×R, Kt—Kt1 43 R—R7 mate!

If 39 ... Kt—K1 40 Kt—B7!, with the threat of 41 Kt—R6ch, K—R1 42 R—R7 mate, should resolve any lingering doubts.

□ GAME FIFTY-SEVEN ■

The King Takes a Walk

M. Botvinnik · I. Kann

Sverdlovsk 1943, Sicilian Defense

In a midgame position with all the pieces still on the board, Botvinnik makes a few deft moves—and magically the scene is transformed! The midgame has suddenly become an endgame, with two of Botvinnik's Pawns close to the Queening square. Some pretty play follows in which the King takes an important part, when once again there is a sudden change. The passed Pawns disappear—both of them given away to simplify the position—and Botvinnik can win in any way he pleases.

1 P—K4	P—QB4
2 Kt—KB3	PK3
3 P—Q4	$\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$
4 Kt×P	Kt-KB3
5 Kt—QB3	PQ3

The Sicilian is a fighting defense, and in the opinion of most masters, the best way to meet 1 P—K4. It is especially effective against an impetuous opponent, who may be tempted into attacking prematurely.

The Scheveningen Variation, in which Black tries to set up this sort of formation:

Pawns at QR3, QKt4, Q3 and K3. Queen at QB2. Bishops at QKt2 and K2.

King Knight at KB3.

Queen Knight at QB3 or Q2. Queen Rook at QB1.

King Rook (after Castling) at Q1.

Black plays to control the Queen
Bishop file, and to occupy the outpost QB5 with his Queen Knight.
Once the Knight reaches that square,
it dominates a good deal of the board
from there, or forces White to give
up a Bishop (that could be troublesome) for the Knight.

This prevents Black from getting in the thrust 7 ... P—QKt4, one of the objectives in the ideal formation.

The observant reader will note that the master chess player is as much concerned with the prevention of his opponent's development as he is with the completion of his own.

7	Q—B2
8 P— B4	QKt—Q2
9 0-0	P—QKt3
10 B—B3	

Ready to dispute control of the long diagonal.

An alert move, which offers Black a choice of evils.

This weakens the square Q4, and makes a backward Pawn of the Queen Pawn, but the alternative 11... P×P 12 P×P, opening the King-file against his King, was not more palatable.

Black would like to exchange pieces and ease his defense, but if 13 ... Kt×Kt, 14 P×Kt opens the Queen Bishop file to White's advantage.

This prevents an annoying pin by 15 B—Kt5, as well as a troublesome attack by 15 P—Kt4 and 16 P—Kt5.

The Bishop was doing little at Q2, moving there only because it was deprived of the opportunity to develop aggressively at KKt5. The retreat to K1 will enable it to swing over to Kt3 or R4, where it can take a more active role.

The Queen is bound for QR1, to add weight to the pressure on White's King Pawn—which then would be attacked by four pieces.

With the hope that the four-fold attack on the Pawn will induce White to exchange Knights.

White falls in with this idea, since the exchange turns out to his advantage—no matter how the Knight is recaptured!

$$18 \ldots QP \times Kt$$

No better is 18 ... KtP×Kt, to which the reply is 19 B—R4 followed by 20 B×Kt. The removal of this Knight would allow White to occupy the outpost Q5 permanently with a piece.


The Knight leaps in of course!

All of a sudden White has a passed Pawn, his Queen has come to life, and he threatens to win a Pawn!

This is probably best, and if let alone, Black will play 23 ... P—B3, and make a breakthrough difficult.

The alternatives are: 22...B—B3
23 P—Q6, B×B 24 Q×B, with a winning position for White, and
22...P—B3 23 P—Q6, B×B (if
23...B×P 24 B×B wins a piece)
24 P—Q7ch followed by 25 Q×B, again with a probable win for White.

This is the position, with White to play:



23 P-B6!


P-Kt3

This is better than 23 ... P×P, when White can blockade the position by 24 B—K4, and then regain his Pawn with advantage by 25 B—R4 and 26 R—KB1.

This wins an important Pawn. Black cannot afford to capture the Bishop, the sequel to 24 ... B×B being 25 P—Q6, K—Q1 26 Q×B, R—K1 27 Q—K7ch!, R×Q 28 QP×Rch, K—B2 (if 28 ... K—K1 29 B×B wins nicely) 29 R—Q8, and White wins a piece and the game.

K-Q1 $Q \times B$

This is the position, with White to play:



26 Q—K7ch!

This must have-come as a shock to Kann!

 $Q \times Q$ K - Q2

Unfortunately, Black may not take the Pawn, as then 28 P—Q6ch uncovers an attack on his Bishop.

And now White has two formidable connected passed Pawns, one of them only a step away from Queening.

28	$\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B}$
29 P×B	RQB1
30 K—Kt2	P-KKt4
31 R-Q5!	

The Rook is unprotected, but in no danger, as an attack on it by 31 ... K—K3 or 31 ... K—B3 loses instantly by 32 P—Q7.

The Rook is tied down to the first rank, an attack on the Queen Pawn being meaningless: 31 ... R—B3 32 K—Kt3, R×P 33 P—K8(Q)ch, and Black loses his Rook.

To prevent further inroad by 34 K—B5 and 35 K×BP—but White has two strings to his bow, as the early novelists used to say.

The King wanders nonchalantly up the board, to pick up a Pawn or two before forcing the decisive simplification.

It might not be inappropriate to stop the play for a while, and listen to the advice of the great masters on the role of the King in the endings.

Steinitz: In the ending the King is a powerful piece for assisting his own Pawns, or stopping the adverse Pawns.

Tarrasch: It cannot be too greatly emphasized that the most important role in Pawn endings is played by the King.


Capablanca: The King, which during the opening and middle-game stage is often a burden because it has to be defended, becomes in the end game a very important and aggressive piece, and the beginner should realize this, and utilize his King as much as possible.

Nimzovich: The great mobility of the King forms one of the chief characteristics of all endgame strategy. In the middle game the King is a mere "super," in the endgame on the other hand—one of the "principals." We must therefore develop him, bring him nearer the fighting line.

Znosko-Borovsky: The King plays a most important part in the endgame, and gains in power and activity as the number of pieces on the board diminishes. Acting in eight different directions, he becomes, instead of the weakest piece, one of the most formidable units.

Fine: The King is a strong piece; use it!

Now back to the game. This is the position:



White threatens to capture the Rook Pawn. The Pawn cannot be saved by 34 ... R—KR1, as White simply replies 35 K—Kt6, followed by 36 K—Kt7, winning easily.

Threatens mate—a momentary thrill for Black.

Definitely not 38 K—B5, R—B5 mate!

The Rook must hurry back, as otherwise 39 P-Q7 wins for White.

39 P-KB4	$P \times Pch$
40 K×P	KK3
41 P07!	

This effects the denouement. Botvinnik gives away the beautiful passed Pawns—but he remains with an easily-won ending.

On 44 ... K—K2, White can win by 45 P—R4 (the outside passed Pawn is usually a trump in endings with even Pawns) K—B2 46 P—R5, K—Kt2 47 P—R6ch, K×P 48 K×P, and Black's remaining Pawns are helpless.

White can also win neatly, as

Botvinnik points out, by 45 K—Kt6!,

K—K3 46 P—R4, P—B4 47 K—

Kt5!, K—K4 48 P—R5, P—KB5 49

P—R6, P—B6 50 P—R7, P—B7 51

P—R8(Q)ch!

営 GAME FIFTY-EIGHT ■

Surprise! Surprise!

T. Petrosian · L. Pachman

Bled 1961, SICILIAN DEFENSE

More than 400 years ago, the great player Ruy Lopez (after whom the strongest King-side opening was named) recommended as good tactics placing the board so that the sun shone in the opponent's eyes!

The chess masters of today have no need of such devices. There are subtler ways to distract an opponent.

Here, the wily Petrosian conjures up various threats against a Pawn on the Queen-side of the board. Pachman is kept busy defending that area, when suddenly there comes a blazing Queen sacrifice on the King-side which catches Pachman completely off-balance. This startling move is followed by a quiet move, and out of nowhere there appears a threat of mate—a threat which cannot be staved off.

When so eminent a theoretician as Pachman can be caught by surprise almost in the opening, there is reason to believe that the rest of us can also learn something from Petrosian's ideas in this game.

1 KtKB3	P—QB4
2 P—KKt3	Kt—QB3
3 B—Kt2	P—KKt3
4 O—O	B-Kt2
5 P—O3	PK3

This leads to an almost imper-

ceptible weakening of the black squares, but it is enough for the profound strategist Petrosian, who likes nothing better than working on almost imperceptible weaknesses.

Now, by a transposition of moves, we have a Sicilian Defense.

Strangely enough, this natural move might be the decisive mistake! Instead of this, Black should play 7 ... P—Q4, and fight for a share of the center.

A fine move! It cramps Black's game, and at the same time makes the square K4 available to White's pieces.

An understandable attempt to eliminate White's annoying King Pawn.

White gains time by developing with a threat—11 Kt—K4, an attack on the Queen and the Queen Bishop Pawn.


There are some pretty combinations in the air. If Black counterattacks by 10 ... Kt—Q5, the sequel could be 11 Kt—K4, Q—B2 12 B—B4, P—K4 13 Kt×KP!, B×Kt 14 Kt—B6ch, K—Kt2 15 B×B, Q—Q1 16 Kt—Q5ch, P—B3 (if 16 ... K—Kt1 17 Kt×Ktch, Q×Kt 18 B×Kt, and White wins a piece) 17 B—B7, Q—Q2 18 R×Ktch, and White wins the Queen.

Or (after 10 ... Kt—Q5) 11 Kt—K4, Q—B2 12 B—B4, Kt× Ktch 13 Q×Kt, Q—Kt3 14 B—Q6, R—K1 15 B×P, Q×P 16 Kt—Q6 (attacks the Rook, and also threatens 17 Q×Pch) R—B1 17 Kt×B, Kt×Kt 18 B×R, and White has won the exchange.

Indicating that he proposes to consolidate his position by 11 ... P—K4, if let alone.

Plausible enough, but Black might have put up a better defense with 11 ... P—Kt3, and if 12 B—B4, Q—Q1.

This is the position, with White to play:



12 B-B4

Chess players dream of making this sort of move—developing a piece with gain of time!

This is preferable to 12 ... Kt×Ktch 13 Q×Kt, Q—Kt3 14 B—K3, B—Q5 15 B×B, P×B 16 Q—KB6, Kt—B3 17 B×Kt, and the Queen Pawn falls.

With this pretty threat: 14 Kt—B4, Q—Kt4 (not 14 ... Q—Kt5, when 15 P—QB3 wins a piece for White) 15 P—QR4, and the Queen must abandon the Bishop Pawn, or lose her own life by refusal to do so (15 ... Q—Kt5 16 B—Q2).

An important interpolation.

The opening of the file furnishes White with new means of attack. The threat is now 16 R—R5, an attack on the Queen, and the Pawn behind the Queen.

A cunning move! If at once 16 B—B7, Kt—B3 guards the Rook Pawn. After the actual move, the Knight is pinned, and protecting it by 16 ... R—K1 sets the Rook up as a target. White then plays 17 B—B7, and if Black tries to save the Rook Pawn by 17 ... Kt—B3, 18 Kt—Q6 is a decisive Knight fork.

The subtlety of Petrosian's strategy can be seen in the way he has reduced his opponent to a state of passivity in a mere 17 moves!

Let's compare the two positions:


Black's Queen Rook and Queen Bishop are undeveloped, while his Queen is tied down to the defense of two weak Pawns. On the Kingside, Black's Knight is pinned, his Bishop must guard the Knight, and the King must guard the Bishop which guards the Knight.

White, on the other hand, bears down on two semi-open files with his Rooks, has two strongly-centralized pieces in his Knight and Bishop, and dominates the long diagonal with his Queen and King Bishop.

This is position play par excellence.

Black does not suspect anything! He could have held out longer (if there is any particular merit in prolonging a lost game) by 18 ... Kt—B4, giving up the exchange.

This is how things stood before the blow fell:



19 Q×Bch!

A brilliant sacrifice, which must have jolted Pachman out of his chair.

On 20 ... K—B4 instead, there is a mate in three by 21 R—B4ch, K—Kt4 22 B—B6ch, K—R3 23 R—R4 mate.

A quiet little move!

21 ... Resigns

If 21 ... P—K4 22 P—R4ch, K—R4 (or 22 ... K—B4 23 B— R3 mate) 23 B—B3ch, B—Kt5 24 B×B mate. Or if 21 ... Kt—B4 22 P—B4ch, K—Kt5 23 Kt—K5ch, K—R4 24 B—B3 mate.

Bolt from the Blue

D. Andric · Daja

Belgrade 1949, QUEEN'S INDIAN DEFENSE

For the connoisseur of combination play, this game is a sheer delight. From the lightning-like sacrifice which initiates a threat of mate, and the further sacrifice which leads to the imprisonment of Black's Royal Family, and finally to the triumphant march of a passed Pawn, it sizzles with brilliant ideas.

For the player who is anxious to increase his strength, this game is enlightening. The principles of chess strategy come to life in the course of the game, and make its outcome almost inevitable.

In the opening, White acquires a clear advantage in position before striking the first blow. In the midgame, superiority in position enables White's lesser forces to hold the enemy in complete restraint. In the ending, White's clever simplification sweeps away all the pieces, leaving a position with Pawns only on the board—the easiest sort of ending to win.

The whole of this is effected with the consummate artistry of a master player.

The Bishops view each other at long range, prepared to fight for control of the long diagonal.

White now threatens to dominate the center by 8 Q—B2 followed by 9 P—K4, and this practically forces Black's next move.

At this point, White might think that his opponent has fallen into the Monticelli trap, and play the tempting-looking 9 Kt—Kt5, which threatens 10 Q×P mate as well as 10 B×B followed by 11 B×R. He would quickly be disillusioned though by the reply 9 ... Kt×Pch 10 Q×Kt (if 10 K—R1, B×Bch wins) B×B, which wins for Black, as O'Sullivan found out when he lost it this way against Rossolimo at Hilversum in 1947.

The Monticelli trap, which has claimed some notable victims, goes like this: I P—Q4, Kt—KB3 2 P—QB4, P—K3 3 Kt—KB3, P—QKt3 4 P—KKt3, B—Kt2 5 B—Kt2, B—Kt5ch 6 B—Q2, B×Bch 7 Q×B, O—O 8 Kt—B3, Kt—K5 9 Q—B2, Kt×Kt 10 Kt—Kt5, and

White wins (or should!). So mighty a player as Capablanca fell into the Monticelli trap against Euwe in the eighth game of their match in 1931. Capablanca lost the exchange, but drew the game. Then, as though to show his contempt for the trap, or perhaps to demonstrate that the King of Chess could not fall into a trap unwittingly, Capablanca deliberately made the same opening moves in the tenth game of the match, allowed Euwe to win the exchange, and again drew the game!

9 P×Kt

White now has a strategic threat in 10 P—K4, control of the center, and a tactical threat in 10 Kt—Kt5, gain of the exchange.

This move requires a bit of explanation. If at once 10 P—K4, the reply 10 ... P—QB4 followed by 11 ... Kt—B3 and 12 ... Kt—R4 offers Black good counter-play. After White's actual move (which threatens further expansion by 11 P—R5) Black is intimidated into making a response that shuts off the square QR4 from his Knight.

Clears the way for 13 P—B4, the customary advance in this type of position.

Black tries to get a grip on the black squares, as a means of securing a fair share of the center.

Develops a piece with a threat—to win a Pawn by $14 \dots P \times QP$ 15 $P \times P$, $Kt \times P$.


Black hopes to induce 14 P—Q5, to which he would reply 14 ... Kt—Kt1, followed by 15 ... Kt—Q2 and 16 ... Kt—B4. The Knight would then stand like a rock on this square, safe from any attack by a Pawn.

Black does manage to induce P—Q5, but not at a time when the consequences are favorable for him.

Another piece attacks the Queen Pawn, provoking its advance.

Black sticks to his plan. A better defense was 16 ... Kt—K2, but it was difficult to see that his King was in danger, and that a combination was in the air.

This is the position, with White to play:



A glance at the board shows that Black has only one piece in the neighborhood of his King. This one protector must be destroyed!

18 R×B! P×R 19 Q—Q1

This is much stronger than the inviting 19 B—K7, which allows Black counter-play after 19 ... R—K1 20 B×P, by 20 ... Q—Kt5 followed by 21 ... Kt—Q2.

White now has a terrible threat in 20 Q—R5, followed by 21 B—R3 and 22 B—KB5.

19 ... P—QB4

The only move. This cuts off the action of the Bishop, and enables Black's Queen to reach KB1, to help defend the King.

20 Q—R5 R—Q3 21 B—R3 Q—B1 22 B—B5

Threatens mate on the move.

22 ... Q—Kt2 23 Kt—B3 Kt—Q2

Obviously, Black hopes to consolidate his position with 24 ... Kt—B1 and 25 ... Kt—Kt3, but he isn't given time for this.

24 B-B1

Menaces the life of the Queen by 25 B—R6. The Queen could not then retreat to R1, as mate in two would be the consequence.

24 ... K—R1


On 24 ... Kt—B1, the continuation 25 B—R6, Q—R1 26 Q—Kt4ch, Kt—Kt3 27 P—R4 followed by 28 P—R5, 29 K—Kt2 and 30 R—R1 wins for White.

25 B—R6 Q—Kt1

White must act quickly, before Black has time to regroup his forces by 26 ... Kt—B1 and 27 ... Kt—Kt3.

Bearing in mind Pillsbury's injunction, "So set up your attacks that when the fire is out, it isn't out!" Andric strikes once more.

This is the position, with White to play:



26 B×Kt! R×B 27 Kt×P!

The point! White gives up a Knight, and the sacrifice must be accepted. The alternatives are:

- (1) 27 ... R—B2 28 P—Q6!, and Black must lose a Rook, or allow the ruinous 29 Kt×Pch.
- (2) 27 ... R—K2 28 Q—R4!, and 29 Q×Pch will be fatal.
- (3) 27 ... QR—Q1 28 Kt×R, R×Kt 29 Q—B5, R—Q3 (If 29 ... Q—Q1 30 Q—Kt4, Q—KKt1 31 Q × R wins) 30 P—K5!, P×P 31 Q×KPch, P—B3 32 Q×R, and White wins.

27 ... P×Kt 28 Q×KPch P—B3 29 Q×Pch R—Kt2 White is a Rook down, and hasty play (say 30 P—K5 or 30 P—Q6) would allow Black time to drive the Queen off by 30 ... R—KB1 or 30 ... Q—B2.

Black's only chance lies in capturing all the material he can.

Begins a liquidation which clears

away all the pieces, leaving an ending which White wins by just one move!

For after 37 ... P—Kt4 38 P×P, P—R5 39 P—Kt6, P—R6 40 P—Kt7, and White will Queen his Pawn with check!

A brilliant game with a sparkling finish.

耳 GAME SIXTY I

Lured into Zugzwang

F. J. Marshall · J. R. Capablanca

New York 1918, QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED

It would be an exaggeration to say that the master strategist is always more than a match for the attacking player. But how the latter can be made to look helpless!

For example, out of all the tournament and match games, 69 in total, played by Capablanca against such great masters of attack as Janowsky, Marshall, Yates, Colle, Bogolyubov, Mieses and Tartakover, he lost only two games!

Here is the complete box score:

Opponent	Capa's wins	Draws	Capa's losses
Janowsky	9	1	1
Marshall	10	13	1
Yates	8	1	0
Colle	4	0	0
Bogolyubov	5	2	0
Mieses	2	0	0
Tartakover	5	7	0
		_	
Totals	43	24	2

In the game that follows, Capablanca sacrifices a Pawn in the early middle game to secure an advantage in position. In a short while (such is the efficacy of this strategy) Marshall's pieces are completely tied up. A Knight that is under attack must stay where it is, while neither of the two Rooks protecting the Knight dares move away from

the file it occupies. The King—well, the King by himself can do very little. All that is left to Marshall are some feeble moves by his Pawns. When these die out, Marshall tries a swindle or two. The swindles come to nothing, and Marshall must turn down his King in surrender.

Capablanca's conduct of the game is truly impressive. It is as fine an illustration of *The Power of Position Play* as you will ever see.

1 P—Q4	P-Q4
2 KtKB3	KtKB3
<i>3</i> P—B4	PK3
4 KtB3	QKt-Q2
5 B—Kt5	B—K2
6 P—K3	0-0
7 R—B1	P—B3
8 Q—B2	$P \times P$
9 B×P	Kt-Q4

A move made popular by Capablanca. It brings about some exchanges, which free Black's crowded position.

10 B×B	$Q \times B$
<i>11</i> OO	$Kt \times Kt$
12 O×Kt	P—OKt3

"This is the key," says Capablanca, "to this system of defense. Having simplified the game considerably by a series of exchanges, Black will now develop his Queen

Bishop along the long diagonal without having created any apparent weakness. The proper development of the Queen Bishop is Black's greatest problem in the Queen's Gambit."

The plan of mobilization (if undisturbed) is for Black to develop his Bishop at Kt2, his Rooks at Q1 and QB1, and his Knight at KB3. Then at the proper time, he attacks the center by ... P—QB4.

Marshall proceeds to attack. The immediate threat is $16 \text{ P} \times \text{KP}$, $P \times P$, and Black is saddled with an isolated Pawn.

This is an improvement on the passive 15 ... Kt—B1, which Capablanca had played against Kostic in an earlier round of the tournament. Black now threatens either to win a Pawn by 16 ... BP×P 17 P×P, B×P, or to destroy White's Pawn center by 16 ... Kt×P 17 R×Kt, BP×P 18 R—Kt4, P—B4.

Capablanca was under the impression that White had to lose a move protecting his Queen Rook Pawn, whereupon he could play 18... P—QB4 (releasing his Bishop) with a very fine game.

But Marshall disregards the attack on his Pawn, as he has a little surprise prepared!

This sets a problem for Capablanca. If $18 \dots Q \times RP$ 19 R—R1 wins the Queen instantly. Or if $18 \dots Q$ —K2 19 Kt×P wins a Pawn. Finally, if $18 \dots Q$ —Q2 (to protect the Queen Bishop Pawn) 19 Kt—B5, P—B3 20 Q—KKt3 (threatens 21 QR—Q1, Q—KB2 22 Kt—R6ch, winning the Queen) K—R1 21 QR—Q1, Q—KB2 22 P—KR4, with a powerful game for White.

Rather than undergo a Marshall attack (which can be a distressing experience) Capablanca makes this brilliant move, which gives up a Pawn. What he will get in return for the Pawn is not evident at first sight, as Queens will come off the board, and little material will remain with which to work up an attack.

But it was evident to Capablanca, who must have looked deeply into the position!

The Rook dominates the seventh rank, as part payment for the Pawn.

Marshall should now play for a draw, according to Capablanca, by 21 Kt—K7ch, K—B1 22 R—B7, R—K1 23 R×B (best—not 23 Kt—Kt6ch, BP×Kt 24 R×B, R×KP) R×Kt 24 R—Kt8ch, R—K1 25 R×Rch, K×R, and White should be able to draw (even though he is a Pawn ahead). Black has adequate compensation in the powerful position of his Rook.

21 R-Kt1


A surprisingly passive move, coming from such an aggressive player as Marshall. At this stage, Rooks are supposed to be out in the open, picking up stray Pawns—or at least terrorizing them.

This Rook attacks the center, and will soon switch to an attack on the King.

22 P-K5

This is better than defending the Pawn by 22 P—B3, the reply to which would be 22 ... P—B4. If then 23 P×P, R(K1)—K7 doubles Rooks on the seventh rank with devastating effect.

This is how things stand, with Black to play:



22 ... P—KKt4!

Masterly play! At one stroke, Capablanca prevents White from supporting his King Pawn by 23 P—B4, provides a flight square for his King against threats of mate on the last rank, and threatens to win a Pawn by 23 ... B×Kt 24 R×B, R×KP.

23 P-KR4

Marshall is perfectly willing to return the extra Pawn, if he can thereby disrupt the adverse Pawn position.

Now he decides to get the Rook back into active play, even if it means losing his Queen-side Pawns.

Had Marshall played 24 P—B4 instead, the sequel would probably have been 24 ... P—R6 (better than 24 ... R—K3 25 R(Kt1)—QB1 followed by 26 P—B5) 25 P—KKt3 (or 25 P×P, K—R1!) P—R7ch 26 K—R1, R—QB1 27 R(Kt1)—QB1, K—B1 28 P—B5, K—K1 29 P—K6 (to prevent 29 ... K—Q2, attacking the Knight with three pieces) P×P 30 P×P, R—Q3, and Black wins the helpless piece.

Black does not waste time capturing Pawns. This attack on the Knight forces White to defend it with both Rooks.

25 R(K1)—QB1


The Knight must not budge! For example, if 25 Kt×P, R—Kt3 26 P—KKt3, P—R6 (threatens mate in three by 27 ... P—R7ch 28 K×P, R—R3ch 29 K—Kt1, R—R8 mate) 27 K—R2, R×Pch 28 K×P, B—Q4! 29 P—KKt4, R—Kt7 30 K—R4, R(Kt3)×Pch 31 K—R3, B—K3, and mate follows quickly.

A necessary preparation for ... R—Kt3, which played at once,

allows 26 Kt—K7ch winning the exchange.

To prevent 27 P—Kt5, which would defend the Knight and free White's Rooks for active duty.

This is the position, with White to play:



Marshall is running out of moves! For example, if he plays 28 Kt×P, R×Pch 29 K—B1, R(KKt7)×Pch wins quickly. Or if he plays 28 R—B5 (the only move by either Rook which does not lose the Knight!), the reply 28 ... P—R6, striking again at the Knight Pawn, is decisive. Finally, if 28 P—B3 (to cut off the action of the Bishop) R(Kt3)×Pch leads to quick mate.

Marshall can prolong, but not save the game, by 28 P—K6, upon which 28 ... R×KP diverts the Rook for a while from the Knight file.

The isolated doubled Pawn, usually a weakling, suddenly becomes ferocious!

A waiting move. White is all tied up, and any move he makes loses something.

After this, White still may not move his Knight. For instance, if 32 Kt—Q8 (or Kt—Q4) there is a mate in three by 32 ... P—R7ch 33 K×P, R—R3ch 34 K—Kt1, R—R8 mate.

On 33 P—Kt5 instead, there is some pretty play. The continuation would be 33 ... P—R7ch 34 K—R1 (if 34 K—Kt2, P—R8(Q)ch 35 R×Q, B×Ktch 36 R×B, R×R(B3), and Black is a Rook ahead) R×Kt 35 R×R, R×BP 36 P—R4 (trying for stalemate) P×P 37 P—Kt5, P×P 38 P—Kt6, RP×P 39 R(B1)—B2, R—B3, and it's all over.

This is manifestly stronger than 33 ... P—R7ch.

A dream position—doubled Rooks on the seventh rank!

Hope springs eternal in Marshall's breast. If Black replies 35 ... K—B1 carelessly, then 36 R—B8ch forces mate.

Even the King takes a hand. The Knight must be driven off, for the Rook to check at Kt7.

37 Kt-B5

R-Kt7ch

38 K-B1

If 38 K—R1, R—R7ch and mate in two more moves.

38 ...

P-R7

39 P-B4ch

Last chance! If 39 ... K—B3 in reply, 40 R—B6ch, B×R 41 R×B is mate.

39 ...

 $K \times BP$

40 Resigns

"An ending worth very careful study," says Capablanca.

The Flash of a Mighty Surprise

F. Olafsson · R. Fischer

Portoroz 1958, QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED

One of the beauties of chess is that it never lacks surprises. Even the greatest masters are caught unawares at times.

Take this game for instance, where Bobby Fischer plays to win a Rook for his Knight. Picture his astonishment to discover that he has been lured into a deeplyhidden trap. In order to win the exchange, Fischer has had to weaken his King-side ever so slightly, and this weakness is exploited by Olafsson through a series of clever moves. For a while it looks as though Fischer will equalize, but Olafsson plays it in fine style, and his position gains quietly in strength. With the creation of two connected passed Pawns, its power becomes irresistible.

Olafsson's play is elegant throughout, and this game of his is a jewel in the treasury of modern chess.

1 P—QB4	Kt—KB3
2 KtQB3	P-K3
3 Kt—B3	P—Q4
4 P—Q4	B—Kt5
5 P×P	$\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$

Recapturing with the Queen is recommended by the opening theorists.

6 B—Kt5	PKR3
7 B—R4	P—B4!

This attack on White's center is essential in almost every form of Queen's Pawn openings.

A strong alternative is 8 R—B1, with which Stahlberg won a fine game against Filip at Helsinki in 1952. The next few moves were: 8 ... P—B5 9 Kt—Q2! (threatens 10 B×Kt) B—K3 10 P—K3, O—O 11 B—K2, Kt—B3 12 O—O, P—R3 13 P—KB4, Kt—K2 14 P—KKt4!, and a vigorous King-side attack decided the issue.

An adventurous line is 8 ... P—KKt4 9 B—Kt3, Q—R4 10 Q—B2, Kt—K5 11 R—B1, Q×P. Whether it is worthwhile breaking up the King-side to chase after a Pawn is less a matter of exact analysis than a course to be decided on by a player's style and temperament.

9 R—B1 P—B5

This releases the tension in the center, but Black had to do something about threats against his Queen Pawn by 10 P×P.


This unpins the Knight, and makes it possible to reply to 13 P—B4 with 13 ... Kt—Q2.

The key to the position! The idea is to break up Black's Pawns and open lines of attack, even at the cost of the exchange.

This move is necessary, if Black is to go after the Rook. If at once 13 ... B—QR6, then 14 B×Kt, Q×B 15 R—Kt1, B—KB4 16 Kt×QP is favorable to White.

It seems to me that Black might have won the exchange to better advantage with 15 ... P×P 16 Kt×KtP (if 16 P×P, Kt—QKt5 corners the Rook) B—KB4 17 R—Q2 (or 17 B—Q3, B×B 18 Q×B, Kt—QKt5 with an attack on Queen and Rook) B—QKt5 18 Q—R1, B×Kt 19 Q×B, Kt—K5, and the King Knight does the trick this time.

This is the position, with White to play:



18 Kt-Kt5!

A clever interpolation, far superior to the immediate recapture of the Pawn.

An attack on the Knight, the purpose of which is to keep White's Queen tied down to its defense.

On 18 ... B—K2 instead, the continuation would be 19 Kt—B7, R—B1 20 Kt×B, P×Kt 21 Q—Kt6ch, and White starts collecting Pawns.

The move on which Black depended.

20 Kt×B!

But not 20 Kt×R, B—R4 21 Q—R4, Kt—K5 22 B—K5, Kt—B6 23 Q—B2, Kt×Bch 24 Q×Kt, Q×Kt, and Black has won a piece.

White's actual move creates weak spots in Black's position on the King-side.


Beautiful play, and probably a surprise to Fischer! He must have expected 21 Q×B, whereupon 21 ... P—Kt4 gave him an approximately even game.

Black has no time to rescue the Bishop, as after 21 ... B—R4 22 Q—Kt6ch, K—R1 23 Q×Pch, Kt—R2 (if 23 ... K—Kt1 24 B×Pch, R—B2 25 Q—Kt6ch wins for White) 24 B—Q3, R—B2 25 B—K5ch, K—Kt1 26 B×Ktch, R×B 27 Q—Kt6ch, K—B1 28 Q×R, White has an easy win.

In order to get rid of one of the troublesome Bishops.

To guard against 25 Q—Kt3, winning a Pawn. Apparently Black has equalized, since he has a Rook for a Bishop and Pawn, but appearances are deceptive. For the time being, Black is restricted to defense, his isolated King Pawn especially requiring tender care.

This is the position, with White to play:



A fine spot for the Queen, who dominates the board from the center.

But not the plausible 25 ... Q—B3, as then 26 P—Q5! would be another unpleasant surprise.

With this powerful threat: 28 P—Q5, R—K1 (if 28 ... P×P 29 R×P, and Black is threatened with a deadly discovered check) 29 P×P, Q—K2 30 R—Q7, and Black must give up his Queen or be mated.

Anticipating 28 P—Q5, P—K4 29 P—Q6 dis ch, K—Kt2, and Black is out of the woods (30 B—R4, P—Kt4).

A new menace on the scene! The Pawn threatens to push on to B5, striking another blow at the pinned King Pawn.

Hoping to ease his difficulties either by forcing an exchange of Queens, or by driving off White's Queen.

30 P-Kt4!

Once again Black gets a rude jolt! He can exchange Queens, but the subsequent position is greatly in White's favor.

<i>30</i>	$Q \times Q$
31 QP \times Q	R—B2
32 P—B5	R—B2

Of course not 32 ... P×P, as 33 R—Q7 in reply is immediately decisive.

33 R-Q6!

Far better than 33 B×Pch, after which Black might sacrifice the exchange to give his opponent a feeble column of Pawns on the King file.

33	R—B4
$34 \text{ B} \times \text{Pch}$	KB1
35 B—Kt3	$R(B4) \times P$
36 R×P	$R \times KP$

Black's only hope is to counterattack. An attempt to save his Knight Pawn instead by 36 ... R(K1)—K2 loses quickly by either of these two pretty continuations:

(1) 37 R—R8ch, K—Kt2 38 R— Kt8ch, K—R3 (if 38 ... K—B3 39 R—Kt6 is an epaulette mate) 39 P—B6, R—K1 40 P—B7, and the Pawn will become a Queen.

(2) 37 R—R5, R—Kt2 38 P—B6, R—Kt1 (if 38 ... R—KB2 39 R—R8 is mate) 39 R—R7, R—QKt4 40 R—B7ch, K—K1 41 R—K7ch, K—B1 42 R—K8ch, K×R 43 P—B7ch, and White gets a Queen and wins.

37 R-KKt6!

Better than 37 P—B6, R(K1)—K3, and Black still needs subduing.

After the move in the text, White obtains two connected passed Pawns—a tangible superiority.

<i>37</i>	R(K1)K5
38 R×P	R-Kt6
39 R-Kt8ch	KK2
40 P-Kt5	R-K7
41 B—Q5	

Everything is under control. The King Knight Pawn is protected, and the Pawn roller can advance.

The coup de grâce! If Black replies 44 ... K—B2 45 B—R5 mates neatly, while any other move allows 45 P—B7 followed by Queening the Pawn.

44 ... Resigns

Symphony of Heavenly Length

L. Evans · Opsahl

Dubrovnik 1950, Queen's Gambit Declined

To call this game a masterpiece is to do it insufficient justice. It is more than that. It is a symphony played over a chessboard with an orchestra of pieces and Pawns.

It consists of four movements, whose style and tempo may be described as follows:

- (1) The Minority Attack—lively and with vigor, (this is the dominant theme of the movement, and determines the play of the entire composition).
- (2) The Knight's Tour-lightly and gracefully.
- (3) The Rook's Maneuvers-with energy and spirit.
- (4) The Pawn Finale-simply and precisely.

You may get the idea from the foregoing that I am wild about this game, and that I wish it lasted more than the 81 moves it does. If you do, then I have conveyed the right impression.

The Exchange Variation, a line of play which has long been a Attack. The Rook supports the

favorite with masters of disparate styles-Marshall, Keres, Reshevsky and Botvinnik. Apparently it has something to offer the tactician as well as the strategist.

The exchange of the center Pawns seems to free Black's game, but certain weaknesses remain in his Oueen side Pawn structure. are susceptible to the so-called Minority Attack. This is a remarkable concept as it involves assault on three Pawns by only two Pawns! Its purpose is to split up Black's Pawn majority and leave him with an isolated Pawn that is difficult to defend.

Black supports the center Pawn, and provides an outlet for his Queen.

The Rook moves toward the center, where it will exert pressure on the half-open file. Meanwhile the square KB1 is made available to the Queen Knight, which will help defend the King-side.

The beginning of the Minority

Queen Knight Pawn, which will advance to Kt4 and Kt5, with the idea of breaking up Black's Queenside Pawns.

An attempt to stop the Minority Attack by 11... P—QR4 would only be a temporary deterrent, since White could pursue his plan by 12 P—QR3 followed by 13 P—QKt4.

Of course not 12 Kt \times Kt, P \times Kt 13 B \times B, Q \times B, and Black wins a piece by the Pawn fork.

Ready to meet an eventual P— Kt5 with ... RP×P, opening a file for his Queen Rook.

With the transparent threat of 17...B—R6, winning the exchange.

Black plays for a King-side attack, not only because his chances lie in that area, but to divert White from carrying out his designs on the other wing.


White is not to be dissuaded! He intends to force exchanges which will leave Black with a permanently weak Pawn position on the Queenside.

Now we see one of the likely consequences of the breakthrough. If Black were to play 19 ... P×P, the recapture would leave him with two weak isolated Pawns—the Queen Knight Pawn and the Queen Pawn. These would make fine objects of attack for White.

This weakens the white squares and creates holes in the position, but as Nimzovich once said, "We cannot always be happy."

The alternative, 20 B—B1, would be dangerous, if not fatal, after 20 ... B×P 21 B×B, R—Kt3.

This is the position, with White to play:



White has accomplished what he set out to do with the Minority Attack. He has saddled Black with a backward Pawn on an open file—a Pawn which is vulnerable to attack, as it dare not advance, and can be defended only with pieces.

Against this weakling, White will direct his fire.

22 B-B1!

Excellent! White sees to it that his King is properly protected before starting an attack on the weak Pawn. Black must now withdraw his Bishop from its strongly-placed position, or allow its exchange—either of which will be to White's advantage.

Had White been hasty and played 22 R—Kt6 instead, the consequences would have been painful, the continuation being 22 ... R×P! 23 P×R, Q×KPch 24 K—R1, Q—B7 (threatens mate on the move) 25 R—KKt1, R—K8!, and White is faced with four threats of mate on the move!

The exchange of pieces has noticeably strengthened White's position. The Bishops are off the board, but Black's (a troublesome attacking piece) has disappeared, while White's has been replaced by another piece—the Knight.

The Knight, from its modest post at KB1, securely guards the Knight Pawn and the King Pawn, the two vulnerable points in White's King-side position. Any hopes Black had of breaking through by a sacrifice at K6, are now shattered.

Another consideration in White's favor is that any further exchanges of pieces will accentuate the weakness of the unfortunate Queen Bishop Pawn.

All points are safeguarded, but

Black is restricted to defensive measures, while his opponent can trouble him with all manner of threats.

Primarily, this is to provide the King with a flight square against threats of mate on the back rank, but Black also has visions of starting an attack by 25 ... P—R5 and 26 ... P×P.

White is of course anxious to bring it to an endgame by exchanging as many pieces as possible.

The disappearance of the Queens puts an end to any possibility of Black's conjuring up a King-side attack, and brings matters to an ending, where White has all the winning chances.

The Knight heads for QB5, where it can occupy a fine outpost, and blockade the backward Pawn.

Black also seeks to improve his position, by maneuvering the Rook over to an open file.

The Kings too move toward the

center, where they can take an active part in the endgame.

32 R-R1

An attempt to seize control of the seventh rank ...

... which is promptly repulsed.

This offers better chances than the passive 34 ... R—B2.

Evans wastes a few moves here before hitting on the decisive combination, but he may have been in time pressure.


R-Kt8

Finally getting behind the Pawn. Black is now held in a tight grip, his King and Knight being unable to move without loss of material.

K-K3

But not 40 ... R-R7 (to prevent 41 P-B3 followed by 42 P-K4) as then comes 41 Kt—Kt4, R-Kt7 42 $Kt \times BP$, R-B7 (the pin) 43 Kt×Kt! (breaks the pin neatly) R×R 44 Kt×Pch, and White regains the Rook, winning a piece and the game.

This is the position, with White to play:



41 Kt-B5ch!

The beginning of a remarkable Knight's tour (reminiscent of the famous Capablanca maneuver against Yates in 1924) which results in White's winning a valuable Pawn.

K-B3

Clearly, not 41 ... K-Q3 42 R—Q7 mate.

Here if 42 ... K-Kt2 43 Kt-K5, K-B1 44 Kt×QBP, R-QB8 45 R×Kt, R×Kt 46 R-Q7 wins two Pawns.

K-B3

The King has no choice. If 43 ... K--Q3 44 R-Q7 is mate.

44 Kt-R7ch

K---K3

On 44 ... K-Kt2 45 R×Kt, K×Kt 46 R×Pch picks up a Pawn and wins.

Black avoids 45 ... K-B3, the reply to which might be 46 P-B3 followed by 47 P-K4 and 48 P-K5ch, with dangerous consequences.

46 R-Kt7

P-B3

Black misses his chance! He should play 46 ... P—KB4 instead, risky though it looks. If then 47 Kt—R7 (threatens 48 Kt—B8 and 49 R—Q7 mate) K—K3 48 Kt—B8ch, K—B3 (but not 48 ... K—B2 when 49 Kt×P, K×Kt 50 R×Kt wins a Pawn) and Black holds on to his Pawns for the time being.

47 Kt-R7

A new attack on the Pawn, to which the reply 47 ... P—KB4 would be fatal. There would follow 48 Kt—B8 (threatens mate on the move) P—B4 (forced) 49 P×Pch, K×P 50 R×Kt, and White has won a piece.

Return tour for the Knight!

The only move to avoid mate or loss of the Knight.

49 Kt×P

Finally winning a Pawn!

The position still needs to be won, as Rook and Pawn endings often lead to a draw even with an extra Pawn.

This one is unusually instructive.

50	KB4
51 R—QB7	R—QB8
52 R—B8	K-Kt3
53 K—Kt3	R—B7
54 P—R4	K—B4
55 R—KR8	K-Kt3
56 P-B5ch	

Forces an exchange favorable to White. The doubled Pawn is dissolved, and he acquires a passed Pawn on the Rook file.

56	$\mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{P}$
57 R×Pch	K-Kt3
58 R—R8	KB4
59 R—KKt8	

Cuts off the King from the passed Pawn.

The Rook tries to get behind the dangerous Pawn.

Foiled in the attempt to get behind the Pawn, the Rook rushes over to head off its advance.

While this Rook, complying with Tarrasch's precept, prepares to support the Pawn from the rear.


Allows Black to capture the Pawn, since the ensuing ending would be hopeless for him, viz: 64 ... R×P 65 R×Rch, K×R 66 K—B4, K—Kt3 67 K—Kt4, P—B4ch 68 K—B4, K—B3 69 P—B3 (the spare move that assures the win) K—Kt3 70 K—K5, K—Kt4 71 K—Q6 K—R5 72 K×P, K—Kt 6 73 P—B 4, and the rest is elementary.

64	R—R3
65 R—R1	K—B4
66 K-Kt3	K-Kt4

Black fights hard. There is no hope in 66 ... K—K5 67 K—Kt4, P—B4ch 68 K—Kt5, R—R1 69 R—R4ch, K—B6 70 R—B4ch followed by 71 P—R6.

67 R—R4 K—B4 68 R—B4ch K—Kt4

Time for a diagram!



69 R-Kt4ch!

Star move to win! Black must now either capture the Pawn, or allow White's King to reach R4.

The alternative is $69 ... K \times P$ 70 R—R4ch, K—Kt4 71 R×R, K×R 72 K—B4, K—Kt3 73 K—Kt4, and White wins, as shown in an earlier note.

On 70 ... K—K3 instead, 71 R—Kt6 forces the blockader to retreat.

Threatens 72 R—QB7, winning another Pawn.

The Rook tries once more to get

behind the passed Pawn. Defending the Bishop Pawn instead by 71 ... R—QB1 succumbs to 72 P—R6, K—K5 73 P—R7, R—KR1 (otherwise 74 R—Kt8 wins) 74 K—R5, P—KB4 75 K—Kt6, and White wins.

72 P—R6 R—R8 73 R—Kt3 R—R8ch 74 R—R3 R—KKt8

Here too, Black must not exchange Rooks: 74 ... R×Rch 75 K×R, K—Kt3 76 K—Kt4, K×P 77 K—B5, K—Kt2 78 K—K6, and his Queen-side Pawns will fall.

It is White's turn to move, and if he is hasty, he can ruin everything. If he plays 75 P—R7, which looks as though it wins on the spot, Black rescues himself by 75 ... R—Kt5ch 76 K—R5, R—Kt4ch 77 K—R6, R—Kt3ch 78 K—R5, R—Kt4ch, and forces a draw by perpetual check.

Many masters, making an error of this sort, have killed themselves, or (even worse) given up chess.

This allows the exchange of Rooks, but on 75 ... K—K3 instead, there follows 76 P—R7, R—R8ch 77 R—R3, and White wins.

76 R—Kt3ch R×R 77 K×R K×P 78 K—Kt4 K—Kt3 79 K—B4 K—Kt2

If 79 ... P—B4 80 K—K5, K—Kt4 81 P—B3 K—Kt3 82 P—B4, and Black must abandon his Pawn.

80 K—B5 K—B2 81 P—B3

Black must now give way, and allow White's King to enter either at K6 or Kt6, with decisive effect.

If 81 ... K—Kt2, White plays 82 K—K6 and then gathers up the Queen-side Pawns. Or if 81 ...

K—K2, there follows 82 K—Kt6, K—K3 83 P—B4, K—K2 (on 83 ... P—B4 84 K—Kt5 wins the Pawn) 84 P—B5, and White wins the Pawn and the game.

81 ... Resigns

A fine game, and one of which Evans can justifiably be proud.

INDEX OF OPENINGS

(The numbers refer to Games)

Alekhine Defense 9
Caro-Kann Defense 48
Catalan System 54
Center Counter Game 33
Colle System 21, 29
Dutch Defense 1, 14, 52
English Opening 11
French Defense 4, 31, 39, 55
Giuoco Piano 42
Hungarian Defense 22
Nimzo-Indian Defense 6, 12, 53
Old Indian Defense 25

Philidor Defense 43
Queen's Gambit Declined 15, 18, 24, 37, 40, 44, 46, 47, 49, 50, 51, 56, 60, 61, 62
Queen's Indian Defense 17, 35, 59
Queen's Pawn Game 5, 8
Ruy Lopez 10, 13, 26, 30, 32, 41, 45
Sicilian Defense 2, 3, 7, 16, 23, 28, 34, 36, 38, 57, 58
Slav Defense 27
Three Knights' Game 20
Vienna Game 19

INDEX OF PLAYERS

(The numbers refer to Games)

Aganalian 25 Menchik 17 Alekhine 24 Mieses 27, 38, 48 Andreassen 19 Miller 37 Andric 59 Najdorf 12 Bardeleben 27 Nimzovich 6 Barden 23 Olafsson 61 Berliner 9 Opsahl 62 Bernstein 38 Pachman 58 Blackburne 32, 33 Panov 34 Bogolyubov 55 Paulsen 27 Boleslavsky 3, 31 Petrosian 8, 14, 25, 35, 58 Bondarevsky 26 Pillsbury 46, 50 Botvinnik 31, 52, 54, 57 Porges 13 Burn 40 Reshevsky 10, 12, 48 Capablanca 1, 17, 37, 49, 51, 60 Réti 36, 55 Chekhover 44 Riemann 27 Daja 59 Romanovsky 53 Domenech 7, 21 Rosenthal 20 Duras 5 Rossolimo 23 Eliskases 42 Rubinstein 5, 56 Evans 62 Rudakovsky 16, 44 Fischer 9, 61 Scheve (von) 15, 27 Flohr 7 Schlage 36 Foltys 28 Schlechter 43, 47, 56 Frentz 11 Schottlander 27 Germann 37 Sellman 39 Golombek 28 Showalter 22, 46 Gottschall (von) 27 Skillicorn 37 Grunfeld 42 Smyslov 10, 16, 26, 35 Gunsberg 50 Steiner 29 Janowsky 49 Steinitz 20, 39 John 47 Taimanov 34 Kann 52, 57 Tal 2 Kashdan 29 Tarnowski 30 Keres 30 Tarrasch 4, 15, 18, 22, 27, 45

 Kozali 8
 Thorold 4

 Kupferstich 19
 Vidmar 54

 Lasker 13, 18, 41
 Vogel 45

 Lissitzin 2, 3
 Weiss 32, 33

 Marshall 41, 51, 60
 Yates 24

 Mason 43
 Zagorvansky

Korchnoi 14

Mason 43 Zagoryansky 53
Mattison 6 Znosko-Borovsky 40

Tartakover 1, 11, 21