Music Streaming Service Churn Prediction

<u>Group No – 220, Monika Pokharna, Manisha, Aditya Sharma</u> Introduction To Data Science

M. Tech Data Science and Engineering – Cluster Batch 4

Objective:

Predict if subscription users of a music streaming service will churn or stay after their current membership expires.

DataSet Details:

The datasets are downloaded from:

https://www.kaggle.com/c/kkbox-churn-prediction-challenge/data

Feature Details:-

1. members v3.csv:-

<u>Size of dataset</u> – 6769472 records, 408MB size on HD Type of Data –

```
<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
RangeIndex: 6769473 entries, 0 to 6769472
Data columns (total 6 columns):
    Column
                             Dtype
--- -----
                             ----
0
                             object
    msno
 1
    city
                             int64
 2
    bd
                             int64
 3
    gender
                             object
                             int64
    registered via
 5
    registration_init_time int64
dtypes: int64(4), object(2)
memory usage: 309.9+ MB
```

2. user_logs_v2.csv:-

Size of dataset – 18396361 records, 1.33GB size on HD

Type of Data –

3. transactions_v2.csv:-

<u>Size of dataset</u> – 1431008 records, 1.61GB size on HD <u>Type of Data</u> –

<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
RangeIndex: 1431009 entries, 0 to 1431008
Data columns (total 9 columns):

#	Column	Non-Null Count	Dtype
0	msno	1431009 non-null	object
1	<pre>payment_method_id</pre>	1431009 non-null	int64
2	<pre>payment_plan_days</pre>	1431009 non-null	int64
3	plan_list_price	1431009 non-null	int64
4	actual_amount_paid	1431009 non-null	int64
5	is_auto_renew	1431009 non-null	int64
6	transaction_date	1431009 non-null	int64
7	<pre>membership_expire_date</pre>	1431009 non-null	int64
8	is_cancel	1431009 non-null	int64

dtypes: int64(8), object(1)
memory usage: 98.3+ MB

4. train_v2.csv:-

<u>Size of dataset</u> – 970960 records, 43.5MB size on HD <u>Type of Data</u> –

```
<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
RangeIndex: 970960 entries, 0 to 970959
Data columns (total 2 columns):
    # Column Non-Null Count Dtype
    ------
0 msno 970960 non-null object
1 is_churn 970960 non-null int64
dtypes: int64(1), object(1)
memory usage: 14.8+ MB
```

Preprocessing Challenges:-

<u>Missing/Invalid data - "gender"</u> and "bd" in "members_v3.csv" had more than 65% of missing/invalid data, hence these attributes are dropped.

<u>Data format - "date"</u> in user_logs_v2.csv, and "transaction_date" & "membership_expire_date" in "transactions_v2.csv" were having dates in integer format. Converted these attributes to Date having "%Y%m%d" format using Panda's datetime utility.

Feature Engineering Techniques:

- 1. **sample_submission_v2.csv** This is the test data set. No missing value & no duplicates. No feature engineering technique applied here.
- 2. **train_v2.csv** This is the train data set. No missing value & no duplicates. No feature engineering technique applied here.
- 3. members_v3.csv
 - a. Feature Creation:
 - i. Hot Encoding:
 - 1. **registered_via attribute**: The attribute signifies the registration method and hence its a categorical one. Thus perform **hot encoding** to create new **binary** features. Each new feature represents if the user has done the registration via that method or not.
 - city: City of the user. Thus it is a categorical feature. Performed hot
 encoding to create new binary feature for each city. Each new feature
 represents if the user belongs to that city or not.

```
# Hot Encoding
# 1. registered_via attribute
encoded_columns = pd.get_dummies(member_df['registered_via'], prefix='registered_via')
member_df = member_df.join(encoded_columns)
# 2. city attribute
encoded_columns = pd.get_dummies(member_df['city'], prefix='city')
member_df = member_df.join(encoded_columns)
member_df.head()
```

b. Feature Removed:

 Drop 'city' & 'egistered_via attribute' as we have created more meaningful attributes out of them.

```
# drop unnecessary columns
member = member_df.drop(['city', 'registered_via'], 1)
member
```

- ii. **Gender** & **bd** columns are dropped in the preprocessing step as 65% of values are missing.
- 4. user_logs_v2.csv
 - a. **Aggregation** There are **multiple entries** for some users. Aggregate them.

```
# Aggregate multiple user enteries

user_logs_df = user_logs_df.groupby('msno', as_index=False).sum()
user_logs_df

msno num_25 num_50 num_75 num_985 num_100 num_unq total_secs

0 +++|ZseRRiQS9aaSkH6cMYU6bGDcxUieAi/tH67sC5s= 86 11 10 5 472 530 117907.425
```

0	+++IZseRRiQS9aaSkH6cMYU6bGDcxUieAi/tH67sC5s=	86	11	10	5	472	530	117907.425
1	+++hVY1rZox/33YtvDgmKA2Frg/2qhkz12B9ylCvh8o=	191	90	75	144	589	885	192527.892
2	+++I/EXNMLTijfLBa8p2TUVVVp2aFGSuUI/h7mLmthw=	43	12	15	12	485	468	115411.260
3	+++ snpr7pmobhLKUgSHTv/mpkqgBT0tQJ0zQj6qKrqc =	207	163	100	64	436	828	149896.558
4	++/9R3sX37CjxbY/AaGvbwr3QkwElKBCtSvVzhCBDOk=	105	24	39	35	479	230	116433.247

b. Feature Extracted:

 Scaling: Convert attributes like 'num_25', 'num_50' etc from absolute number to fraction.

```
user_logs_df('total_songs_played') = user_logs_df('num_25') + user_logs_df('num_50') + user_logs_df('num_75') + user_log
# Scaling - Convert num to fraction

user_logs_df('fraction_25') = user_logs_df('num_25') / user_logs_df('total_songs_played')
user_logs_df('fraction_50') = user_logs_df('num_50') / user_logs_df('total_songs_played')
user_logs_df('fraction_75') = user_logs_df('num_75') / user_logs_df('total_songs_played')
user_logs_df('fraction_95') = user_logs_df('num_985') / user_logs_df('total_songs_played')
user_logs_df('fraction_100') = user_logs_df('num_100') / user_logs_df('total_songs_played')

user_logs_df
```

ii. Binning:

- num_unq: The feature represents the number of unique songs played by the user. Divide the users in categories based on bins like 0-25%, 25-50% etc.
- 2. **total_secs**: The feature represents the total seconds played by the user. Divide the users in categories based on bins like **0-25%**, **25-50%** etc.

```
# Binning

# 1. Perform binning on num_unq attribute
bin labels unq song = ['low unq song', 'lower_middle_unq song', 'upper_middle_unq song', 'high_unq song']
user_logs_df['num_unq_categ'] = pd.qcut(user_logs_df['num_unq'], q=[0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1], labels = bin_labels_unq_son

# 2. Perform binning on total_secs attribute
bin_labels_total_sec = ['low total_sec', 'lower_middle_total_sec', 'upper_middle_total_sec', 'high_total_sec']
user_logs_df['total_sec_categ'] = pd.qcut(user_logs_df['total_secs'], q=[0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1], labels = bin_labels_tc
user_logs_df
```

iii. Hot Encoding: In the previous step, we have created categories for num_unq & total_secs attributes. Perform hot encoding to create new binary attributes representing each category.

```
# Hot Encoding
# 1. num_unq_categ
encoded_columns = pd.get_dummies(user_logs_df['num_unq_categ'])
user_logs_df = user_logs_df.join(encoded_columns)
# 2. total_sec_categ
encoded_columns = pd.get_dummies(user_logs_df['total_sec_categ'])
user_logs_df = user_logs_df.join(encoded_columns)
user_logs_df
```

c. Feature Removed

i. Drop 'num_25', 'num_50', 'num_75', 'num_985', 'num_100', 'num_unq', 'num_unq_categ', 'total_sec_categ', 'total_secs', 'total_songs_played' as we have created more meaningful attributes out of them.

```
# drop unnecessary columns
user_logs = user_logs_df.drop(['num_25', 'num_50','num_75', 'num_985', 'num_100', 'num_unq', 'num_unq_categ', 'total_se
user_logs
```

5. transactions_v2.csv -

a. **Aggregation**: There are multiple entries for the transactions of users. Therefore, we should aggregate the users based on recent membership_expire_date and other aggregate functions for the rest of the columns to preserve the knowledge represented by different entries.

```
# Aggregate multiple user entries

transactions_df.sort_values('membership_expire_date')

transactions_df['total_order'] = 1

transactions_df_grouped = transactions_df.groupby('msno').agg(
    total_orders=pd.NamedAgg(column='total_order', aggfunc='sum'),
    plan_list_price_total=pd.NamedAgg(column='palm_list_price', aggfunc='sum'),
    payment_plan_days_total=pd.NamedAgg(column='payment_plan_days', aggfunc='sum'),
    actual_amount_paid_total=pd.NamedAgg(column='actual_amount_paid', aggfunc='sum'),
    actual_amount_paid_mean=pd.NamedAgg(column='actual_amount_paid', aggfunc='mean'),
    auto_renew_times=pd.NamedAgg(column='is_auto_renew', aggfunc=lambda x : sum(x==1)),
    cancel_times=pd.NamedAgg(column='is_cancel', aggfunc=lambda x : sum(x==1)),
    membership_expire_date_recent=pd.NamedAgg(column='membership_expire_date', aggfunc='max')
}
```

b. Normalization: Normalize the attributes between 0-1

```
# Normalization
# 1. total_orders
transactions_df_grouped['total_orders'] = (transactions_df_grouped['total_orders'] - transactions_df_grouped['total_ord
# 2. plan_list_price_total
transactions_df_grouped['plan_list_price_total'] = (transactions_df_grouped['plan_list_price_total'] - transactions_df_
# 3. payment_plan_days_total
transactions_df_grouped['payment_plan_days_total'] = (transactions_df_grouped['payment_plan_days_total'] - transactions
# 4. actual_amount_paid_total
transactions_df_grouped['actual_amount_paid_total'] = (transactions_df_grouped['actual_amount_paid_total'] - transactic
# 5. actual_amount_paid_mean
transactions_df_grouped['actual_amount_paid_mean'] = (transactions_df_grouped['actual_amount_paid_mean'] - transactions
# 6. auto_renew_times
transactions_df_grouped['auto_renew_times'] = (transactions_df_grouped['auto_renew_times'] - transactions_df_grouped['a
# 7. cancel_times
transactions_df_grouped['cancel_times'] = (transactions_df_grouped['cancel_times'] - transactions_df_grouped['cancel_times'] - transactions_df_grouped['cancel_times']
```

6. Merge different data frames to generate tran & test data

```
# merge with train & test data
train =pd.merge(train_df, member, how='left', on='msno')
test =pd.merge(sample_submission_df, member, how='left', on='msno')

# merge with train & test data
train =pd.merge(train, user_logs, how='left', on='msno')
test =pd.merge(test, user_logs, how='left', on='msno')

# merge with train & test data
train =pd.merge(train, transactions_df_grouped, how='left', on='msno')
test =pd.merge(test, transactions_df_grouped, how='left', on='msno')
```

7. Due to merging, missing values got introduced in the train & test data. Fore categorical attributes, replace with mode & for numerical attributes fill with mean.

8. **Create new feature** from datetime columns 'registration_init_time' & 'membership_expire_date_recent'. The delta of these attributes represents that how old is a user (no. of days). This information can be useful for prediction. Therefore, extract new feature & drop these columns. Normalize this newly created attribute.

```
# Extract features from date & drop datetime columns
# 1. Feature extraction

train['days'] = (train['membership_expire_date_recent'] - train['registration_init_time']).dt.days
test['days'] = (test['membership_expire_date_recent'] - test['registration_init_time']).dt.days
# 1.1 Normalize

train['days'] = (train['days'] - train['days'].min()) / (train['days'].max() - train['days'].min())
test['days'] = (test['days'] - test['days'].min()) / (test['days'].max() - test['days'].min())
# 2. Drop unnecessary columns

train = train.drop(['membership_expire_date_recent', 'registration_init_time'], 1)
test = test.drop(['membership_expire_date_recent', 'registration_init_time'], 1)
```

9. Extract top 10 features

- a. Calculate the correlation of each attribute with the target variable.
- b. Select top 10 attributes with max correlation (in absolute terms)

```
train.corr()['is_churn'].sort_values(ascending=False).head(11)
is churn
                             1.000000
actual_amount_paid_mean
                             0.354868
payment_plan_days_total 0.352293
cancel_times
                             0.342849
plan_list_price_total
                            0.328600
actual_amount_paid_total 0.322323
total orders
                             0.123815
registered_via_4 0.118185
registered_via_3 0.100962
registered_via_9 0.073004
auto_renew_times 0.045047
Name: is churn, dtype: float64
train.corr()['is_churn'].sort_values(ascending=True).head(10)
registered_via_7
                          -0.182445
city_1
                          -0.112445
upper_middle_unq_song -0.016976
upper_middle_total_sec -0.015618
high total sec
                          -0.015262
                         -0.013463
high_unq_song
fraction 100
                         -0.007530
Name: is_churn, dtype: float64
```

c. The top 10 features are:

- i. actual_amount_paid_mean
- ii. payment_plan_days_total
- iii. cancel_times
- iv. plan_list_price_total
- v. actual amount paid total
- vi. registered_via_7
- vii. total_orders
- viii. registered_via_4
- ix. city_1
- x. registered_via_3

Model Building-

We have implemented Logistic regression and Decision tree using the top 10 features we have engineered and to compared the performance of those two models

For Logistic regression-

Subsetting the data based on top features and converting all the objects into int values in order to apply Logistic regression.

```
In [274]: #subseting the datat based on top features
train_data= train[['is_churn', 'actual_amount_paid_mean', 'payment_plan_days_total', 'cancel_times', 'plan_list_price_total', 'payment_paid_mean', 'pay
```

```
In [204]: #converting object into integar
           colname=[]
           for x in train_data.columns:
              if train_data[x].dtype=='object':
                  colname.append(x)
Out[204]: []
In [205]: colname=[]
    for x in train_data.columns:
              if train_data[x].dtype=='object':
                  colname.append(x)
           colname
           from sklearn.preprocessing import LabelEncoder
           le=LabelEncoder()
           for x in colname:
               train_data[x]=le.fit_transform(train[x])
               le_name_mapping = dict(zip(le.classes_, le.transform(le.classes_)))
               print('Feature', x)
print('mapping', le_name_mapping)
```

Post that we have **separated our independent and dependent variables(Y)**, splitted the data into test and train and apply the Logistic Regression model on data.

Based on the Logistic Regression model, we have calculated the **confusion_matrix**, **accuracy_score**, **classification_report**

```
In [211]: from sklearn.metrics import confusion matrix, accuracy score, classification report
          cfm=confusion_matrix(Y_test,Y_pred)
          print(cfm)
          print("Classification report: ")
          print(classification_report(Y_test,Y_pred))
          #Checking train Accuracy
          acc=accuracy_score(Y_test, Y_pred)
          print("Accuracy of the model: ",acc*100)
          [[262284 2684]
           [ 18234 8086]]
          Classification report:
                       precision
                                  recall f1-score
                                                       support
                                     0.99
                    0
                            0.93
                                                0.96
                                                        264968
                            0.75
                                      0.31
                                               0.44
                                                        26320
                                                0.93
                                                        291288
             accuracy
             macro avg
                           0.84
                                      0.65
                                                0.70
                                                        291288
          weighted avg
                           0.92
                                      0.93
                                                0.91
                                                        291288
          Accuracy of the model: 92.81879102469034
```

Here we see that the Accuracy of the model: 92.81879102469034

Tuning the Model

We have further tuned our model to get the best possible Accuracy, and while doing that we see that running the threshold is between 0.4 to 0.6

```
In [276]: #Tuning the LR
In [254]: #getting the probability of Y_pred
         Y_pred_prob=classifier.predict_proba(X_test)
        print(Y pred prob)
         [[0.9620753 0.0379247
          [0.53774819 0.46225181]
          [0.97571913 0.02428087]
          [0.97571913 0.02428087]
          [0.9620753 0.0379247
          [0.97571913 0.02428087]]
In [255]: #running the for loop for threshold between 0.4 to 0.6 and getting the accuracy and error corresponding to each value
         for a in np.arange(0.4,0.61,0.01):
            predict_mine = np.where(Y_pred_prob[:,1] > a, 1, 0)
cfm=confusion_matrix(Y_test, predict_mine)
            Errors at threshold 0.4: 19889 , type 2 error: 15972 , type 1 error: 3917
                                                    , type 2 error : 15980
         Errors at threshold 0.4100000000000003 : 19883
                                                                         , type 1 error: 3903
         Errors at threshold 0.42000000000000000 : 20914
                                                      type 2 error: 17018 , type 1 error: 3896
                                                                         , type 1 error: 3544
         Errors at threshold 0.430000000000000005
                                             : 21437
                                                     , type 2 error :
                                                                         , type 1 error: 3385
         Errors at threshold
                           0.440000000000000006 : 21372
                                                       type 2 error : 17987
        Errors at threshold 0.4900000000000001:20860 , type 2 error :18120 , type 1 error: 2740
```

We have chosen the threshold value to 0.41 based on above result

```
In [279]: #getting the accuracy based on tuned model
         cfm=confusion_matrix(Y_test,Y_pred_class)
         print(cfm)
         print("Classification report: ")
         print(classification report(Y test,Y pred class))
         acc=accuracy_score(Y_test, Y_pred_class)
         print("Accuracy of the model: ",acc*100)
         [[261065 3903]
          [ 15980 10340]]
         Classification report:
                      precision recall f1-score support
                   0
                          0.94
                                  0.99
                                             0.96
                                                    264968
                         0.73 0.39
                                            0.51
                                                    26320
                   1
                                             0.93
                                                   291288
             accuracy
                         0.83
                                    0.69
                                             0.74
                                                    291288
            macro avg
         weighted avg
                          0.92
                                    0.93
                                             0.92
                                                     291288
         Accuracy of the model: 93.17410947241218
```

Post tuning Accuracy of the model: 93.17410947241218

For Decision Tree-

Imported decision tree from Escalon library

```
In [285]: # Decision Tree
In [229]: #fitting DecisionTree on data
model = tree.DecisionTreeClassifier()

In [277]: model_DecisionTree=DecisionTreeClassifier(criterion="gini",random_state=10)
```

To train our model, we have called fit here and called our input and target variable

Now our model is ready, so we are going to predict the Accuracy score and confusion Matrix-

```
In [260]: from sklearn.metrics import confusion_matrix, accuracy_score,classification_report
In [284]: #Accuracy score and Classifiaction Report
         print(accuracy_score(Y_test,Y_pred_DT))
         print(classification_report(Y_test,Y_pred_DT))
         0.9699026393122957
                      precision recall f1-score support
                   0
                         0.98 0.98 0.98 264968
                         0.84 0.82 0.83 26320
                   1
                                             0.97 291288
             accuracy
            macro avg 0.91 0.90 0.91 291288 ighted avg 0.97 0.97 0.97 291288
         weighted avg
In [283]: #confusion Matrix
         print(confusion_matrix(Y_test,Y_pred_DT))
          [[260972 3996]
          [ 4771 21549]]
```

You can see Accuracy score of Decision Tree is 96.99026393122957

We are able to produce more accurate results using Decision Tree in the given problem statement.