



Chapter 5: The Relational Data Model and Relational Database Constraints

CS-6360 Database Design

Chris Irwin Davis, Ph.D.

Email: chrisirwindavis@utdallas.edu

Phone: (972) 883-3574

Office: ECSS 4.705

Chapter 3 Outline



- 5.1 – The Relational Data Model and Relational Database Constraints
- 5.2 – Relational Model Constraints and Relational Database Schemas
- 5.3 – Update Operations, Transactions, and Dealing with Constraint Violations

3.1 – The Relational Data Model and Relational Database Constraints

The Relational Data Model



■ Relational model


- Based on Relational Algebra
- First commercial implementations available in early 1980s
- Has been implemented in a large number of commercial system

Other Database Models



- Physical Data Models
 - Flat File Model
 - Inverted Index Model
- Logical Data Models
 - Network Model
 - Hierarchical Model
 - Object-oriented Model
- Other Models
 - XML Database

Database Models



Relational Model Concepts



- Represents data as a collection of relations
 - i.e. Relational Algebra “relations” (Set Theory)
- Table of values
 - Row
 - Represents a collection of related data values
 - Fact that typically corresponds to a real-world entity or relationship
 - Tuple
 - Table name and Column names
 - Interpret the meaning of the values in each row attribute

Relational Model Concepts (cont'd.)




Figure 5.1

The attributes and tuples of a relation **STUDENT**.

Relational Model Concepts (cont'd.)




Figure 5.1

The attributes and tuples of a relation STUDENT.

Database Implementation Names

Domains, Attributes, Tuples, and Relations



- Domain D
 - Set of valid atomic values
- **Atomic**
 - Each value indivisible
- Specifying a domain
 - Data type specified for each domain

Examples of Domains



- **Usa_phone_numbers**: The set of ten-digit phone numbers valid in the U.S.
- **Social_security_numbers**: The set of valid nine-digit Social Security numbers.
- **Names**: The set of character strings that represent names of persons.
- **Grade_point_averages**: The set of possible values of computed grade point averages; must be a real number 0 to 4.
- **Employee_ages**: The set of possible ages of employees in a company; e.g. must be an integer between 15 and 80.

Domains, Attributes, Tuples, and Relations (cont'd.)



- Relation schema R
 - Denoted by $R(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$
 - Made up of a relation name R and a list of attributes, A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n
- Attribute A_i
 - Name of a role played by some domain D in the relation schema R
- Degree (or arity) of a relation
 - Number of attributes n of its relation schema

Relation Schema Example



- Relation with *arity* **degree 7**
 - STUDENT(Name, Ssn, Home_phone, Address, Office_phone, Age, Gpa)
- Using Data Types, Relations sometimes written as...
 - STUDENT(Name: string, Ssn: string, Home_phone: string, Address: string, Office_phone: string, Age: integer, Gpa: real)

Domains, Attributes, Tuples, and Relations (cont'd.)



- Relation (or relation state)
 - Set of n -tuples $r = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$
 - Each n -tuple t
 - Ordered list of n values $t = \langle v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \rangle$
 - Each value v_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, is an element of $dom(A_i)$ or is a special NULL value
 - Based on a Relation Schema

Domains, Attributes, Tuples, and Relations (cont'd.)



- Relation (or relation state) $r(R)$
 - Mathematical (i.e. Set Theory) relation of degree n on the domains $dom(A_1)$, $dom(A_2)$, \dots , $dom(A_n)$
 - Subset of the Cartesian product of the domains that define R :
 - $r(R) \subseteq (dom(A_1) \times dom(A_2) \times \dots \times dom(A_n))$

Domains, Attributes, Tuples, and Relations (cont'd.)



■ **Current relation state**

- Relation state at a given time
- Reflects only the valid tuples that represent a particular state of the real world

■ **Attribute names**

- Indicate different roles, or interpretations, for the domain

Characteristics of Relations



- Ordering of tuples in a relation... NOPE!
 - Indices have an order, relations do not
 - Relation defined as a set of tuples
 - Set elements (members) have no order among them
- Ordering of values within a tuple and an alternative definition of a relation
 - Order of attributes and values is not that important
 - As long as correspondence between attributes and values maintained
 - Default attribute order

Characteristics of Relations (cont'd.)



Figure 3.2

The relation STUDENT from Figure 3.1 with a different order of tuples.

STUDENT

Name	Ssn	Home_phone	Address	Office_phone	Age	Gpa
Dick Davidson	422-11-2320	NULL	3452 Elgin Road	(817)749-1253	25	3.53
Barbara Benson	533-69-1238	(817)839-8461	7384 Fontana Lane	NULL	19	3.25
Rohan Panchal	489-22-1100	(817)376-9821	265 Lark Lane	(817)749-6492	28	3.93
Chung-cha Kim	381-62-1245	(817)375-4409	125 Kirby Road	NULL	18	2.89
Benjamin Bayer	305-61-2435	(817)373-1616	2918 Bluebonnet Lane	NULL	19	3.21

Characteristics of Relations (cont'd.)



- Alternative definition of a relation
 - Tuple considered as a set of ($\langle \text{attribute} \rangle$, $\langle \text{value} \rangle$) pairs
 - Each pair gives the value of the mapping from an attribute A_i to a value v_i from $\text{dom}(A_i)$
 - Order is explicitly not important
- However, we use the first definition of relation by convention
 - Attributes and the values within tuples are ordered
 - Simpler notation

Attribute-Value Pairs



Figure 5.3

Two identical tuples when the order of attributes and values is not part of relation definition.

$$t = \langle (\text{Name}, \text{Dick Davidson}), (\text{Ssn}, 422\text{-}11\text{-}2320), (\text{Home_phone}, \text{NULL}), (\text{Address}, 3452 \text{ Elgin Road}), (\text{Office_phone}, (817)749\text{-}1253), (\text{Age}, 25), (\text{Gpa}, 3.53) \rangle$$
$$t = \langle (\text{Address}, 3452 \text{ Elgin Road}), (\text{Name}, \text{Dick Davidson}), (\text{Ssn}, 422\text{-}11\text{-}2320), (\text{Age}, 25), (\text{Office_phone}, (817)749\text{-}1253), (\text{Gpa}, 3.53), (\text{Home_phone}, \text{NULL}) \rangle$$

Characteristics of Relations (cont'd.)



- Each value in a tuple is atomic. This is called...
- **Flat relational model**
 - Composite and multivalued attributes not allowed
 - First normal form assumption
- **Multivalued attributes**
 - Must be represented by separate relations
- **Composite attributes**
 - Represented only by simple component attributes in basic relational model

Characteristics of Relations (cont'd.)



■ NULL values

- Represent the values of attributes that may be unknown or may not apply to a tuple
- Meanings for NULL values
 - Value unknown
 - Value exists but is not available
 - Attribute does not apply to this tuple (also known as value undefined)

Relational Model Notation



- Relation schema R of degree n
 - Denoted by $R(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$
- Uppercase letters Q, R, S
 - Denote relation names
- Lowercase letters q, r, s
 - Denote relation states
- Letters t, u, v
 - Denote tuples

Relational Model Notation



- Name of a relation schema: STUDENT
 - Indicates the current set of tuples in that relation
- Notation: STUDENT(Name, Ssn, ...)
 - Refers only to relation schema
- Attribute A can be qualified with the relation name R to which it belongs
 - Using the dot notation $R.A$
 - e.g. STUDENT.Name, STUDENT.Ssn, etc.

Relational Model Notation



- n -tuple t in a relation $r(R)$
 - Denoted by $t = \langle v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \rangle$
 - v_i is the value corresponding to attribute A_i
- Component values of tuples:
 - $t[A_i]$ and $t.A_i$ refer to the value v_i in n -tuple t for attribute A_i
 - $t[A_u, A_w, \dots, A_z]$ and $t.(A_u, A_w, \dots, A_z)$ refer to the sub-tuple of values $\langle v_u, v_w, \dots, v_z \rangle$ from t corresponding to the attributes specified in the list

3.2 – Relational Model Constraints and Relational Database Schemas

Relational Model Constraints



- What are “constraints”
 - Restrictions on the actual values in a database state
 - Derived from the rules in the mini-world that the database represents

Relational Model Constraints (cont'd.)



- **Inherent model-based** constraints or implicit constraints
 - Inherent in the data model
- **Schema-based** constraints or explicit constraints
 - Can be directly expressed in schemas of the data model by specifying them in the DDL
- **Application-based** or *semantic* constraints or *business rules*
 - Cannot be directly expressed in schemas
 - Expressed and enforced by application program

Domain Constraints



- Typically include (but not limited to):
 - Numeric *data types* for integers and real numbers
 - Characters
 - Booleans
 - Fixed-length strings
 - Variable-length strings
 - Date, time, timestamp
 - Money
 - Other special data types

Key Constraints and Constraints on NULL Values



- No two tuples can have the same combination of values for all their attributes.
- **Superkey**
 - No two distinct tuples in any state r of R can have the same value for SK
 - Each whole tuple is a superkey
- **Key**
 - Superkey of R
 - Removing any attribute A from key K leaves a set of attributes K' that is not a superkey of R any more

Key Constraints and Constraints on NULL Values



■ A Key satisfies two properties:

■ **Uniqueness**

- Two distinct tuples in any state of relation cannot have identical values for (all) attributes in key

■ **Minimal superkey**

- Cannot remove any attributes and still have uniqueness constraint in above condition hold

Key Constraints and Constraints on NULL Values



- **Candidate key**
 - Relation schema may have more than one key
- **Primary key** of the relation
 - Designated among candidate keys
 - Underline attribute
- Other candidate keys are designated as unique keys (even though keys are unique by definition)

Key Clarifications



- A key uniquely identifies a single record. May be more than one key. Each key is...
- A candidate key. Each candidate key may be a different number of attributes (key arity).
- A superkey also uniquely identifies a single record, but may contain more information than necessary. For example, SSN is enough to identify a person, but a superkey may have gender, as well.
- A minimal superkey is the smallest key (that is, number of fields) that uniquely identifies a record

Key Constraints and Constraints on NULL Values



CAR

<u>License_number</u>	Engine_serial_number	Make	Model	Year
Texas ABC-739	A69352	Ford	Mustang	02
Florida TVP-347	B43696	Oldsmobile	Cutlass	05
New York MPO-22	X83554	Oldsmobile	Delta	01
California 432-TFY	C43742	Mercedes	190-D	99
California RSK-629	Y82935	Toyota	Camry	04
Texas RSK-629	U028365	Jaguar	XJS	04

Figure 3.4

The CAR relation, with two candidate keys: License_number and Engine_serial_number.

Relational Databases and Relational Database



- Relational database schema S
 - Set of relation schemas $S = \{R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m\}$
 - Set of integrity constraints IC
- **Relational database state**
 - Set of relation states $DB = \{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m\}$
 - Each r_i is a state of R_i and such that the r_i relation states satisfy all integrity constraints specified in the set IC

■ Invalid state

- Does not obey all the integrity constraints
- i.e. violates at least one integrity constraint

■ Valid state

- Satisfies all the constraints in the defined set of Integrity Constraints (IC)

Integrity, Referential Integrity,



- **Entity integrity constraint**
 - No primary key value can be NULL

Integrity, Referential Integrity,



- **Entity integrity constraint**

- No primary key value can be NULL

- **Referential integrity constraint**

- Specified between two relations
- Maintains consistency among tuples in two relations

Integrity, Referential Integrity,



- Foreign key rules to maintain referential integrity:
 - The attributes in FK have the same domain(s) as the primary key attributes PK
 - Value of FK in a tuple t_1 of the current state $r_1(R_1)$ either occurs as a value of PK for some tuple t_2 in the current state $r_2(R_2)$ or is NULL

Integrity, Referential Integrity,



- Managing Foreign Keys
 - Diagrammatically display referential integrity constraints
 - Directed arc from each foreign key to the relation it references
 - All integrity constraints should be specified on relational database schema

Other Types of Constraints



■ Semantic integrity constraints

- May have to be specified and enforced on a relational database
- Use triggers and assertions
- “More common to check for these types of constraints within the application programs” – Elmasri
 - Bad Idea™ to generalize – Davis

Other Types of Constraints (cont'd.)



■ **Functional dependency constraint**

- Establishes a functional relationship among two sets of attributes X and Y
- Value of X determines a unique value of Y

Other Types of Constraints (cont'd.)



- **Functional dependency constraint**

- Establishes a functional relationship among two sets of attributes X and Y
- Value of X determines a unique value of Y

- **State constraints**

- Define the constraints that a valid state of the database must satisfy

Other Types of Constraints (cont'd.)



■ **Functional dependency constraint**

- Establishes a functional relationship among two sets of attributes X and Y
- Value of X determines a unique value of Y

■ **State constraints**

- Define the constraints that a valid state of the database must satisfy

■ **Transition constraints**

- Define to deal with state changes in the database

3.3 – Update Operations, Transactions, and Dealing with Constraint Violations

Update Operations, Transactions, and Dealing with Constraint Violations



- Operations of the relational model can be categorized into Retrievals and Modifications
- Retrieval
 - Query
- Basic modification operations that change the states of relations in the database:
 - Insert
 - Delete
 - Update

The Insert Operation



- Provides a list of attribute values for a new tuple t that is to be inserted into a relation R

The Insert Operation



- Provides a list of attribute values for a new tuple t that is to be inserted into a relation R
- Can violate any of the four types of constraints
 - Domain Constraints
 - Key Constraints
 - Entity Integrity
 - Referential Integrity
- If an insertion violates one or more constraints
 - Default option is to reject the insertion
 - Other options?

The Delete Operation



- Can violate only Referential Integrity
- If tuple being deleted is referenced by foreign keys from other tuples
- Options
 - **Restrict** – Reject the deletion
 - **Cascade** – Propagate the deletion by deleting tuples that reference the tuple that is being deleted
 - **Set null** or **set default** – Modify the referencing attribute values that cause the violation

The Update Operation



- Necessary to specify a condition on attributes of relation
 - Select the tuple (or tuples) to be modified
- If attribute not part of a primary key nor of a foreign key
 - Usually causes no problems
- Updating a primary/foreign key
 - Similar issues as with Insert/Delete

Example: COMPANY schema



EMPLOYEE

Fname	Minit	Lname	<u>Ssn</u>	Bdate	Address	Sex	Salary	Super_ssn	Dno
-------	-------	-------	------------	-------	---------	-----	--------	-----------	-----

DEPARTMENT

Dname	<u>Dnumber</u>	Mgr_ssn	Mgr_start_date
-------	----------------	---------	----------------

DEPT_LOCATIONS

<u>Dnumber</u>	<u>Dlocation</u>
----------------	------------------

PROJECT

Pname	<u>Pnumber</u>	Plocation	Dnum
-------	----------------	-----------	------

WORKS_ON

<u>Essn</u>	<u>Pno</u>	Hours
-------------	------------	-------

DEPENDENT

<u>Essn</u>	<u>Dependent_name</u>	Sex	Bdate	Relationship
-------------	-----------------------	-----	-------	--------------

Figure 3.5

Schema diagram for the COMPANY relational database schema.

Example: COMPANY Referential Constraints




Figure 3.7
Referential integrity constraints displayed
on the COMPANY relational database
schema.

Example: COMPANY Relations



Figure 3.6

One possible database state for the COMPANY relational database schema.

EMPLOYEE

Fname	Minit	Lname	<u>Ssn</u>	Bdate	Address	Sex	Salary	Super_ssn	Dno
John	B	Smith	123456789	1965-01-09	731 Fondren, Houston, TX	M	30000	333445555	5
Franklin	T	Wong	333445555	1955-12-08	638 Voss, Houston, TX	M	40000	888665555	5
Alicia	J	Zelaya	999887777	1968-01-19	3321 Castle, Spring, TX	F	25000	987654321	4
Jennifer	S	Wallace	987654321	1941-06-20	291 Berry, Bellaire, TX	F	43000	888665555	4
Ramesh	K	Narayan	666884444	1962-09-15	975 Fire Oak, Humble, TX	M	38000	333445555	5
Joyce	A	English	453453453	1972-07-31	5631 Rice, Houston, TX	F	25000	333445555	5
Ahmad	V	Jabbar	987987987	1969-03-29	980 Dallas, Houston, TX	M	25000	987654321	4
James	E	Borg	888665555	1937-11-10	450 Stone, Houston, TX	M	55000	NULL	1

DEPARTMENT

Dname	<u>Dnumber</u>	Mgr_ssn	Mgr_start_date
Research	5	333445555	1988-05-22
Administration	4	987654321	1995-01-01
Headquarters	1	888665555	1981-06-19

DEPT_LOCATIONS

<u>Dnumber</u>	<u>Dlocation</u>
1	Houston
4	Stafford
5	Bellaire
5	Sugarland
5	Houston

Example: COMPANY Relations (cont'd)



WORKS_ON

<u>Essn</u>	<u>Pno</u>	Hours
123456789	1	32.5
123456789	2	7.5
666884444	3	40.0
453453453	1	20.0
453453453	2	20.0
333445555	2	10.0
333445555	3	10.0
333445555	10	10.0
333445555	20	10.0
999887777	30	30.0
999887777	10	10.0
987987987	10	35.0
987987987	30	5.0
987654321	30	20.0
987654321	20	15.0
888665555	20	NULL

PROJECT

<u>Pname</u>	<u>Pnumber</u>	Plocation	Dnum
ProductX	1	Bellaire	5
ProductY	2	Sugarland	5
ProductZ	3	Houston	5
Computerization	10	Stafford	4
Reorganization	20	Houston	1
Newbenefits	30	Stafford	4

DEPENDENT

<u>Essn</u>	<u>Dependent_name</u>	Sex	Bdate	Relationship
333445555	Alice	F	1986-04-05	Daughter
333445555	Theodore	M	1983-10-25	Son
333445555	Joy	F	1958-05-03	Spouse
987654321	Abner	M	1942-02-28	Spouse
123456789	Michael	M	1988-01-04	Son
123456789	Alice	F	1988-12-30	Daughter
123456789	Elizabeth	F	1967-05-05	Spouse

Chapter 3 Summary



- Characteristics differentiate relations from ordinary tables or files
- Classify database constraints into:
 - Inherent model-based constraints,
 - Explicit schema-based constraints, and
 - Application-based constraints
- Modification operations on the relational model:
 - Insert, Delete, and Update