Recall from last lecture: suppose we have a map, that is, a set of ordered pairs $\{(k, v)\}$. We want a data structure such that, given a key k, we can quickly access the associated value v. If the keys were integers in a small range, say $0, 1, \ldots, m-1$, then we could just use an array of size m and the keys could indices into the array. The locations k in the array would correspond to the (integer) keys in the map and the slots in the array would hold references to the corresponding values v.

In most situations, the keys are not integers in some small range, but rather they are in a large range, or the keys are not integers at all – they may be strings, or something else. In the more general case, we define a function – called a hash function – that maps the keys to the range $0, 1, \ldots, m-1$. Then, we put the two maps together: the hash function maps from keys to a small range of integer values, and from this small range of integer values to the corresponding values v. Let's now say more about how we make hash functions.

Hash function: hash code followed by compression

Given a space of keys K, a hash function is a mapping:

$$h: K \to \{0, 1, 2, m-1\}$$

where m is some positive integer. That is, for each key $k \in K$, the hash function specifies some integer h(k) between 0 and m-1. The h(k) values in $0, \ldots, m-1$ are called hash values.

It is very common to design hash functions by writing them as a composition of two maps. The first map takes keys K to a large set of integers. The second map takes the large set of integers to a small set of integers $\{0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}$. The first map is called a *hash code*, and the integer chosen for a key k is called the *hash code* for that key. The second mapping is called *compression*. Compression maps the hash codes to *hash values*, namely in $\{0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}$.

A typical compression function is the "mod" function. For example, suppose i is the hash code for some key k. Then, the hash value is i mod m. (Often one takes m to be a prime number, though this is not necessary.) The mod function can be defined for negative numbers, such it returns a value in 0 to m-1. However, the Java mod function doesn't work like that. e.g. In Java, the expression "-4 mod 3" evaluates to -1 (rather than 2, which is what one would expect after learning about "modulo arithmetic"). To define a compression function using mod in Java, we need to be a bit more careful since we want the result to be in $\{0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}$.

The Java hashCode() method returns an int and int values can be either positive or negative, specifically, they are in $\{-2^{31}, ... - 1, 0, 1, ... 2^{31} - 1\}$. (You will learn how this works in COMP 273.) The compression function that is used in Java is $|\text{hashCode}()| \mod m$, i.e. absolute value, which it turn gives a number in $\{0, 1, ..., m-1\}$, as desired.

To summarize, a hash function is typically composed of two functions:

hash function h: compression \circ hash code

where \circ denotes the composition of two functions, and

hash code : keys $K \rightarrow integers$

compression : integers $\rightarrow \{0, ..., m-1\}$

and so

$$h: \text{keys } K \to \{\text{hash values}\},\$$

i.e. the set of hash values is $\{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$. Note that a hash function is itself a map!

Of course, it can happen that two keys k_1 and k_2 have the same hash value. This is called a *collision*. There are two ways a collision can happen. First, two keys might have the same hash code. Second, two keys might have different hash codes, but these two different hash codes might map (compress) to the same hash value. In either case we say that a *collision* has occured. We will deal with collisions next.

Hash table (or Hash map)

Let's return to our original problem, in which we have spaces of keys K and values V and we wish to represent a map M which is set of ordered pairs $\{(k,v)\}$, namely some subset of all possible ordered pairs $K \times V$.

[ASIDE: In case you are getting lost in the terminology, keep in mind there are a few different maps being used here, and so the word "value" is being used in different ways. The values $v \in V$ of the map we are ultimately trying to represent are not the same thing as the "hash values" h(k) which are integers in $0, 1, \ldots, m-1$. Values $v \in V$ might be Employee records, or entries in an telephone book, for example, whereas hash values are indices in $\{0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}$.

To represent the (k, v) pairs in our map, define an array called a *hash table*. Here, the number of slots in the array m is typically slightly bigger than the number of (k, v) pairs in the map.

As we discussed above, we say that a collision occurs when two keys map to the same hash value. For example, if m = 5 then hash codes 34327 and 83322 produce a collision since 34327 mod 5 = 2, and 83322 mod 5 = 2.

To allow for collisions, we use a linked list of pairs (k, v) at each slot of our hash table array. These linked lists are called buckets.¹ Note that we need to store a linked list of pairs (k, v), not just values. The reason is that when use a key k to try to access a value v, we need to know which of the v's stored in a bucket corresponds to which k. We use the hash function to map the key k to a location (bucket) in the hash table. We then try to find the corresponding value v in the list. We examine each pair (k, v) and check if the search key k equals the key k. For example, with social insurance numbers (keys) and employee records (values), there may be multiple employee records stored in each bucket and we need to be able to check the keys of each one to see which, if any, corresponds to the given key (social insurance number).

Good vs. bad hash functions

Linked lists are used to deal with collisions. We don't want collisions to happen, but they do happen sometimes. A good hash function will distribute the key/value pairs over the buckets such, if possible, there is at most one pair per bucket. Of course, this is only possible if the number of entries in the hash table is no greater than the number of buckets.

We define the *load factor* of a hash table to be the number of entries (k, v) in the table divided by the number of slots in the table (m). A load factor that is slightly less than one is recommended for good performance.

¹ Storing a linked list of (k, v) pairs in each hash bucket is called *chaining*.

Having a load factor less than one does not guarentee good performance, however. In the worst case that all they keys in the collection hash to the same bucket, then we have one linked list only and access is O(n) where n is the number of entries. This is undesirable obviously. To avoid having such long lists, we want to choose a hash function so that there are few, if any, collisions.

The word hash means to "chop/mix up".² We are free to choose whatever hash function we want and we are free to choose the size m of the array. So, it isn't be difficult to choose a hash function that performs well in practice. In this sense, we say that hash tables give O(1) access. To prove that the performance of hash tables really is this good, one needs to do more work and this is beyond COMP 250.

As an example of a good versus bad hash function, consider McGill student ID's which are 9 digits. Many start with the digits 2606 or 2607. If we were to have a hash table of size say m=10,000, then it would be bad to use the first four digits as the hash function since most students IDs would map to one of those two buckets. Instead, using the last four digits of the ID would be better.

Java HashMap and HashSet

In Java, the ${\tt HashMap}{\tt K,V}$ class implements the sort of hash map that we have been describing. The ${\tt hashCode}()$ method for the key class K is composed with the "mod m" (and absolute value) compression function where m is the capacity of an underlying array, and it is an array of linked lists. The linked lists hold (K,V) pairs. Have a look at the Java API to see some of the methods and their signatures: ${\tt put}$, ${\tt get}$, ${\tt remove}$, ${\tt size}$, ${\tt containsKey}$, ${\tt containsValue}$, and think of how these might be implemented. (Welcome to Assignment 4.)

In Java, the default maximum load factor for the hash table is than 0.75 and there is a default array capacity as well. The HashMap constructor allows you specify the initial capacity of the array, and you can also specify the maximum load factor. If you try to add a new entry – using the put() method – to a hash table that would make the load factor go above 0.75 (or the value you specify), then a new hash table is generated, namely there is larger number m of slots and the (key,value) pairs are remapped to the new underlying hash table. This happens "under the hood", similar to what happens with ArrayList when the underlying array fills up and so the elements needs to be remapped to a larger underlying array.

Java also has a HashSet class. This is similar to a HashMap except that it only holds keys, not key/value pairs. Why would this be useful? Sometimes you want to keep track of a set of elements and you just want to ask questions such as, "does some element e belong to my set, or not?" You can add elements to a set, remove elements from a set, compute intersections of sets or unions of sets." What is nice about the HashSet class is that it give you quick access to elements. Unlike a list, which requires O(n) operations to check if an element is present, a hash set allows you to check in time O(1) – assuming a good hash function of course.

Cryptographic hashing (no notes, sorry)

At the end of the lecture, I briefly discussed how hashing is used in password authentication. See the lecture recording if you are interested.

² It should not be confused with the # symbol which is often the "hash" symbol i.e. hash tag on Twitter, or with other meanings of the word hash that you might have in mind.