

Exercise 5.8: Solutions of Questions on Page Number: 186

Q1: Verify Rolle's Theorem for the function $f(x) = x^2 + 2x - 8$, $x \in [-4, 2]$

Answer:

The given function, $f(x) = x^2 + 2x - 8$, being a polynomial function, is continuous in [- 4, 2] and is differentiable in (- 4, 2).

$$f(-4) = (-4)^2 + 2 \times (-4) - 8 = 16 - 8 - 8 = 0$$

$$f(2) = (2)^2 + 2 \times 2 - 8 = 4 + 4 - 8 = 0$$

$$f(-4) = f(2) = 0$$

 \Rightarrow The value of f(x) at - 4 and 2 coincides.

Rolle's Theorem states that there is a point $c \in (-4, 2)$ such that f'(c) = 0

$$f(x) = x^2 + 2x - 8$$

$$\Rightarrow f'(x) = 2x + 2$$

$$\therefore f'(c) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 2c + 2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow c = -1$$
, where $c = -1 \in (-4, 2)$

Hence, Rolle's Theorem is verified for the given function.

Answer needs Correction? Click Here

Q2: Examine if Rolle's Theorem is applicable to any of the following functions. Can you say some thing about the converse of Rolle's Theorem from these examples?

(i)
$$f(x) = [x]$$
 for $x \in [5, 9]$

(ii)
$$f(x) = [x]$$
 for $x \in [-2, 2]$

(iii)
$$f(x) = x^2 - 1$$
 for $x \in [1, 2]$

Answer :

By Rolle's Theorem, for a function $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, if

- (a) f is continuous on [a, b]
- (b) f is differentiable on (a, b)

(c)
$$f(a) = f(b)$$

then, there exists some $c \in (a, b)$ such that f'(c) = 0

Therefore, Rolle's Theorem is not applicable to those functions that do not satisfy any of the three conditions of the hypothesis.

(i)
$$f(x) = [x]$$
 for $x \in [5, 9]$

It is evident that the given function f(x) is not continuous at every integral point.

In particular, f(x) is not continuous at x = 5 and x = 9

 $\Rightarrow f(x)$ is not continuous in [5, 9].

Also,
$$f(5) = [5] = 5$$
 and $f(9) = [9] = 9$

$$\therefore f(5) \neq f(9)$$

The differentiability of f in (5, 9) is checked as follows.

Let n be an integer such that $n \in (5, 9)$.

The left hand limit of f at x = n is.

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(n+h) - f(n)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{[n+h] - [n]}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{n-1-n}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{-1}{h} = \infty$$

The right hand limit of f at x = n is,

$$\lim_{h \to 0^{\circ}} \frac{f(n+h) - f(n)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^{\circ}} \frac{[n+h] - [n]}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^{\circ}} \frac{n-n}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^{\circ}} 0 = 0$$

Since the left and right hand limits of f at x = n are not equal, f is not differentiable at x = n

 $\therefore f$ is not differentiable in (5, 9).

It is observed that f does not satisfy all the conditions of the hypothesis of Rolle's Theorem.

Hence, Rolle's Theorem is not applicable for f(x) = [x] for $x \in [5, 9]$.

(ii)
$$f(x) = [x]$$
 for $x \in [-2, 2]$

It is evident that the given function f(x) is not continuous at every integral point.

In particular, f(x) is not continuous at x = -2 and x = 2

 $\Rightarrow f(x)$ is not continuous in [- 2, 2].

Also,
$$f(-2) = [-2] = -2$$
 and $f(2) = [2] = 2$
 $\therefore f(-2) \neq f(2)$

The differentiability of f in (- 2, 2) is checked as follows.

Let n be an integer such that $n \in (-2, 2)$.

The left hand limit of f at x = n is,

$$\lim_{h \to 0^-} \frac{f(n+h) - f(n)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^-} \frac{[n+h] - [n]}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^-} \frac{n-1-n}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^-} \frac{-1}{h} = \infty$$

The right hand limit of f at x = n is,

$$\lim_{h\to 0^+} \frac{f\left(n+h\right)-f\left(n\right)}{h} = \lim_{h\to 0^+} \frac{\left[n+h\right]-\left[n\right]}{h} = \lim_{h\to 0^+} \frac{n-n}{h} = \lim_{h\to 0^+} 0 = 0$$

Since the left and right hand limits of f at x = n are not equal, f is not differentiable at x = n

∴ f is not differentiable in (- 2, 2).

It is observed that f does not satisfy all the conditions of the hypothesis of Rolle's Theorem.

Hence, Rolle's Theorem is not applicable for f(x) = [x] for $x \in [-2, 2]$.

(iii)
$$f(x) = x^2 - 1$$
 for $x \in [1, 2]$

It is evident that f, being a polynomial function, is continuous in [1, 2] and is differentiable in (1, 2).

$$f(1) = (1)^2 - 1 = 0$$

$$f(2)=(2)^2-1=3$$

$$\therefore f(1) \neq f(2)$$

It is observed that f does not satisfy a condition of the hypothesis of Rolle's Theorem.

Hence, Rolle's Theorem is not applicable for $f(x) = x^2 - 1$ for $x \in [1, 2]$.

Answer needs Correction? Click Here

Q3: If $f:[-5,5] \to \mathbb{R}$ is a differentiable function and if f'(x) does not vanish anywhere, then prove that $f(-5) \neq f(5)$.

Answer:

It is given that $f:[-5,5] \to \mathbf{R}$ is a differentiable function.

Since every differentiable function is a continuous function, we obtain

- (a) f is continuous on [5, 5].
- (b) f is differentiable on (5, 5).

Therefore, by the Mean Value Theorem, there exists $c \in (-5, 5)$ such that

$$f'(c) = \frac{f(5) - f(-5)}{5 - (-5)}$$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 10 $f'(c) = f(5) - f(-5)$

It is also given that f'(x) does not vanish anywhere.

$$\therefore f'(c) \neq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 10 f'(c) \neq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow f(5) - f(-5) \neq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow f(5) \neq f(-5)$$

Hence, proved.

Answer needs Correction? Click Here

Q4: Verify Mean Value Theorem, if $f(x) = x^2 - 4x - 3$ in the interval [a, b], where a = 1 and b = 4.

Answer:

The given function is $f(x) = x^2 - 4x - 3$

f, being a polynomial function, is continuous in [1, 4] and is differentiable in (1, 4) whose derivative

$$f(1) = 1^2 - 4 \times 1 - 3 = -6$$
, $f(4) = 4^2 - 4 \times 4 - 3 = -3$
 $f(b) - f(a)$ $f(4) - f(1)$ $-3 - (-6)$ 3

$$\therefore \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a} = \frac{f(4) - f(1)}{4 - 1} = \frac{-3 - (-6)}{3} = \frac{3}{3} = 1$$

Mean Value Theorem states that there is a point $c \in (1, 4)$ such that f'(c) = 1

$$f'(c) = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow 2c - 4 = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow c = \frac{5}{2}, \text{ where } c = \frac{5}{2} \in (1, 4)$$

Hence, Mean Value Theorem is verified for the given function.

Answer needs Correction? Click Here

Answer:

The given function f is $f(x) = x^3 - 5x^2 - 3x$

f, being a polynomial function, is continuous in [1, 3] and is differentiable in (1, 3) whose derivative is $3x^2 - 10x - 3$.

$$f(1) = 1^{3} - 5 \times 1^{2} - 3 \times 1 = -7, \ f(3) = 3^{3} - 5 \times 3^{2} - 3 \times 3 = -27$$

$$\therefore \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a} = \frac{f(3) - f(1)}{3 - 1} = \frac{-27 - (-7)}{3 - 1} = -10$$

Mean Value Theorem states that there exist a point $c \in$ (1, 3) such that f'(c) = -10

$$f'(c) = -10$$

$$\Rightarrow 3c^{2} - 10c - 3 = 10$$

$$\Rightarrow 3c^{2} - 10c + 7 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 3c^{2} - 3c - 7c + 7 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 3c(c - 1) - 7(c - 1) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (c - 1)(3c - 7) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow c = 1, \frac{7}{3}, \text{ where } c = \frac{7}{3} \in (1, 3)$$

Hence, Mean Value Theorem is verified for the given function and $c = \frac{7}{3} \in (1, 3)$ is the only point for which f'(c) = 0

Answer needs Correction? Click Here

Q6: Examine the applicability of Mean Value Theorem for all three functions given in the above exercise 2.

Answer:

Mean Value Theorem states that for a function $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, if

- (a) f is continuous on [a, b]
- (b) f is differentiable on (a, b)

then, there exists some $c \in (a, b)$ such that $f'(c) = \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a}$

Therefore, Mean Value Theorem is not applicable to those functions that do not satisfy any of the two conditions of the hypothesis.

(i)
$$f(x) = [x]$$
 for $x \in [5, 9]$

It is evident that the given function f(x) is not continuous at every integral point.

In particular, f(x) is not continuous at x = 5 and x = 9

 $\Rightarrow f(x)$ is not continuous in [5, 9].

The differentiability of f in (5, 9) is checked as follows.

Let n be an integer such that $n \in (5, 9)$.

The left hand limit of
$$f$$
 at $x = n$ is,
$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(n+h) - f(n)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{[n+h] - [n]}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{n-1-n}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{-1}{h} = \infty$$
The right hand limit of f at $x = n$ is,
$$\lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{f(n+h) - f(n)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{[n+h] - [n]}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{n-n}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^+} 0 = 0$$

Since the left and right hand limits of f at x = n are not equal, f is not differentiable at x = n

∴ f is not differentiable in (5, 9).

It is observed that f does not satisfy all the conditions of the hypothesis of Mean Value Theorem.

Hence, Mean Value Theorem is not applicable for f(x) = [x] for $x \in [5, 9]$.

(ii)
$$f(x) = [x]$$
 for $x \in [-2, 2]$

It is evident that the given function f(x) is not continuous at every integral point.

In particular, f(x) is not continuous at x = -2 and x = 2

 \Rightarrow f(x) is not continuous in [- 2, 2].

The differentiability of f in (- 2, 2) is checked as follows.

Let n be an integer such that $n \in (-2, 2)$.

The left hand limit of
$$f$$
 at $x = n$ is,
$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(n+h) - f(n)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{[n+h] - [n]}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{n-1-n}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{-1}{h} = \infty$$
The right hand limit of f at $x = n$ is,
$$\lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{f(n+h) - f(n)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{[n+h] - [n]}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{n-n}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^+} 0 = 0$$

Since the left and right hand limits of f at x = n are not equal, f is not differentiable at x = n

 $\therefore f$ is not differentiable in (- 2, 2).

It is observed that f does not satisfy all the conditions of the hypothesis of Mean Value Theorem.

Hence, Mean Value Theorem is not applicable for f(x) = [x] for $x \in [-2, 2]$.

(iii)
$$f(x) = x^2 - 1$$
 for $x \in [1, 2]$

It is evident that f. being a polynomial function. is continuous in [1, 2] and is differentiable in (1, 2).

It is observed that f satisfies all the conditions of the hypothesis of Mean Value Theorem.

Hence, Mean Value Theorem is applicable for $f(x) = x^2 - 1$ for $x \in [1, 2]$.

It can be proved as follows.

$$f(1) = 1^{2} - 1 = 0, \ f(2) = 2^{2} - 1 = 3$$

$$\therefore \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a} = \frac{f(2) - f(1)}{2 - 1} = \frac{3 - 0}{1} = 3$$

$$f''(x) = 2x$$

$$\therefore f'(c) = 3$$

$$\Rightarrow 2c = 3$$

$$\Rightarrow c = \frac{3}{2} = 1.5, \text{ where } 1.5 \in [1, 2]$$

******* END *******