

INSOLVENCY AND BANKRUPTCY BOARD OF INDIA

(Disciplinary Committee)

No. IBBI/DC/249/2024

18th October 2024

Order

This Order disposes of the Show Cause Notice (SCN) No. IBBI/C/2022/00668/772/855 dated 02.08.2023 issued to Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta who is an Insolvency Professional (IP) registered with the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India (IBBI) with Registration No. IBBI/IPA-003/IP-N00078/2017-18/10701 and a Professional Member of the Insolvency Professional Agency of Institute of Cost Accountants of India (IPA-ICAI) .

1. Background

- 1.1. The Hon'ble NCLT, New Delhi Bench (AA) *vide* order dated 22.03.2022 admitted the application under section 9 of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016 (Code) filed by M/s Colliers International (India) Property Services Pvt. Ltd. for initiating Corporate Insolvency Resolution Process (CIRP) of the Logix City Developers Private Limited (CD-1) and appointed Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta as Interim Resolution Professional (IRP). However, the admission order of the AA was stayed by the Hon'ble NCLAT *vide* its order dated 02.05.2022 and subsequently Hon'ble NCLAT set aside the admission order by order dated 02.08.2022. Later, the CIRP was initiated afresh by the AA order dated 17.08.2022 in an application filed by Anil Kaushal & others (home buyers of project named "Blossom Zest",) under section 7 of the Code and Mr. Manohar Lal Vij was appointed as IRP.
- 1.2. The AA *vide* order dated 13.02.2019 admitted the application under section 7 of the Code, filed by Sanjeev Memorial Foundation for initiating CIRP of Kamrup Housing Projects Private Limited (CD-2). Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta was appointed as RP replacing Mr. Surendra Khinvasra *vide* AA's order dated 13.06.2019. Later Ms. Ritu Rastogi was appointed as RP by AA *vide* order dated 12.08.2022 who was further replaced by the AA *vide* order dated 03.02.2023 after 100% approval by CoC and Mr. Shyam Arora was appointed as RP.
- 1.3. The IBBI, in exercise of its powers under section 218 of the Code read with regulation 7(1) and 7(2) of the IBBI (Inspection and Investigation) Regulations, 2017 (Investigation Regulations) appointed an Investigating Authority (IA) to conduct the investigation of Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta in the matter of CD-1 and CD-2. In compliance with regulation 8(1) of Investigation Regulations, the investigation notice was issued to Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta on 28.06.2022 and he submitted reply to the SCN on 14.07.2022. Reminders for further clarification was sent on dated 27.09.2022 and 03.10.2022. On 12.10.2022, he submitted his reply which was incomplete as per IA. Thereafter, IA submitted the investigation report to the Board.
- 1.4. Based on the material available on record including the investigation report, the Board issued the SCN to Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta on 02.08.2023 alleging contravention of sections 22(2),

208(2)(a) and (e) of the Code, regulation 18(3) of the IBBI (Insolvency Resolution Process for Corporate Persons) Regulations, 2016 (CIRP Regulations), regulations 8(4) and 8(8) of Investigation Regulations and regulation 7(2)(a) & (h) of IBBI (Insolvency Professionals) Regulations, 2016 (IP Regulations) read with clauses 1, 2, 3, 9, 14, 18 and 19 of the Code of Conduct specified thereunder.

- 1.5. The Board referred the SCN, response of Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta to the SCN to the Disciplinary Committee (DC) for disposal of the SCN in accordance with the Code and Regulations made thereunder. Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta submitted his reply to SCN *vide* email dated 06.09.2023. However, the annexures to the reply to the SCN were incomplete. The complete annexures were received from him on 28.08.2024.
- 1.6. Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta was earlier given opportunity for personal hearing before the DC on 19.10.2023 which was adjourned. . He was given another opportunity on 07.02.2024 which was adjourned on his request. Finally, he availed the opportunity of personal hearing through virtual mode before the DC on 03.09.2024. Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta provided his additional written submissions on 08.09.2024 where he submitted that the Board has not provided list of 496 homebuyers constituting voting share of 35.46%. In the interest of natural justice, the DC provided him the list of homebuyers constituting 35.46% voting share to Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta. He provided his submissions to the list on 03.10.2024.
- 1.7. The DC has considered the SCN, the reply to SCN, submissions of Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta, other material available on record and proceeds to dispose of the SCN.

2. Alleged Contraventions, Submissions, Analysis and Findings.

The contravention alleged in the SCN and Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta's written and oral submissions thereof are summarized as follows.

In the matter of Logix City Developers Private Limited (CD-I)

2.1 Preliminary objections.

Objection-I

- 2.1.1. Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta submitted that he has not been furnished with a copy of investigation report nor afforded the opportunity to provide any explanation or response in relation to the contents of the same. The issuance of the SCN is intrinsically linked to the investigation report dated 02.08.2023.

Observations of the DC.

- 2.1.2. The DC notes that regulation 10 of the Investigation Regulation provides as follows:

"10. Investigation Report.

- (1) The Investigating Authority shall submit the investigation report to the Board.*
- (2) The Board shall examine the investigation report as to whether investigation is complete and satisfactory or requires further investigation and advise the Investigating Authority accordingly within 15 days of receipt of the investigation report.*
- (3) After taking into account advice of the Board, the Investigating Authority shall prepare the investigation report and submit it to the Board.”*

A bare perusal of above provision shows that there is no statutory obligation for sharing investigation report with a service provider who is insolvency professional in this case. The investigation report was shared with Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta along with the SCN in compliance of principles of natural justice.

Objection-II

- 2.1.3. Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta submitted that regulation 12(2)(a) of the Investigation Regulations specifies that for the purpose of taking any action in Regulation 12(1)(e), the Board was required to take into the accounts of nature and seriousness of the alleged contraventions, including whether it was deliberate, reckless or negligent on the part of the petitioner. Further, the Board was also required to quantify the consequences and impact of the alleged contravention, including unfair advantage gained by the petitioner, loss caused, or likely to be caused, to stakeholders or any other person and the conduct of the petitioner after the occurrence of the alleged contravention, and prior to the alleged contraventions. However, in the instant case, neither any adverse consequence has occurred due to alleged actions nor have the respondents been able to establish any adverse impact or loss caused to any stakeholder due to the alleged contraventions. There is no justification to the alleged contraventions which have had no effective consequence or impact to the detriment of the CD.

Observations of the DC.

- 2.1.4. Reading of the regulation 12(2)(a) shows that the nature of the alleged contraventions need to be factored while proposing the action which may be taken against an IP under Regulation 12(1)(e) of the Investigation Regulation. Subsequent use of words such as deliberate, reckless or negligent shows the degree of contravention. It is not that the action can be proposed only for deliberate contravention. However, in this case, the SCN states that by not conducting voting on the resolution for replacement despite being asked by the CoC and persisting with voting on agenda for confirmation reflects that Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta did not act as per the provisions of the Code and Regulations thereunder. It is also stated in the SCN that he acted with *malafide* intention of espousing his own cause which was confirmation as RP. The DC observes that following up with agenda even when the opposition against it was vociferous has been brought out in the SCN. The seriousness of the offence is apparent on account of being deliberate in forcing the agenda which entails financial benefit to Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta. The attitude of Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta in ignoring the substantial voice of financial creditors in a class represented by the AR was also unfair to them. Thus,

the DC finds that the SCN was in line with the above provision in bringing out the nature and seriousness of the alleged contravention.

2.1.5. Similarly, reading of the regulation 12(2)(b) shows that the impact of the alleged contraventions need to be factored while proposing the action which may be taken against an IP under 12(1)(e) of the Investigation Regulations, i.e., the benefit being gained by IP and loss being caused to others etc. However, it's not that every element should be explicitly mentioned before proposing any action. However, in this case, it is quite apparent that by postponing the decision he is delaying of decision of being removed from the role of RP of the CD-1 which entails financial benefit to Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta.

Objection-III

2.1.6. With regards to the CD-2, Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta submitted that a complaint was filed by some disgruntled/ frustrated Association of Homebuyers against Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta with the IBBI and on 30.09.2021, the IBBI communicated the extracts of the said complaint to the undersigned. First of all, there is an issue of limitation of filing the complaint. As per Regulation 4 of the IBBI (Grievance and Complaint Handling Procedure) Regulations, 2017 (Complaint Regulations), a complaint or a grievance shall be filed within forty-five days of the occurrence of the cause of action for the grievance or complaint. In the instant case, the so called complainant came into existence after about 24 months of the passing of the resolution plan.

Observations of the DC.

2.1.7. The DC observes that provision as contained in section 218(1) of the Code as quoted below is unambiguously clear:

*“Where the Board, on receipt of a complaint under section 217 or has reasonable grounds to believe that any insolvency professional agency or insolvency professional or an information utility has contravened any of the provisions of the Code or the rules or regulations made or directions issued by the Board thereunder, it may, **at any time** by an order in writing, direct any person or persons to act as an investigating authority to conduct an inspection or investigation of the insolvency professional agency or insolvency professional or an information utility.”*

A conjoint reading of the provisions of the Code and the Investigation Regulation provides that the Board can initiate investigation at any time on a complaint received.

2.2 Contravention-I

Non-placement of agenda for replacement of RP in CoC.

2.2.1. The Board noted that Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta included an agenda item No. 19 in the first meeting of the Committee of Creditors (CoC) held on 21.04.2022 to appoint himself as

RP. During discussion on this agenda, he informed CoC that after circulation of notice of first CoC meeting, he received many mails regarding his replacement with new RP and name of proposed new RP was also informed to you in those mails. The minutes further states as under:

“On the request of Financial Creditors in Class the Authorised representative of the class of creditors presented the concerns of the homebuyers to replace the existing IRP with the new RP Mr. Manohar Lal Vij (IBBI Registration No.: IBBI/IBA-001/IP-P-01480/2018-19/12269). ...Now for the purpose of determination whether to put this agenda on the voting or not, it was discussed in detail that the agenda be put to vote. However, the agenda for the new RP will not be taken up due to the reason that the stand of the buyers is not independent and not clear...”

2.2.2. Section 22(2) of the Code provides that the CoC, may, in the first meeting, by a majority vote of not less than 66% of the voting share of the financial creditors, either resolve to appoint the IRP as a RP or to replace the IRP by another RP. Further, regulation 18(3) of the CIRP Regulations provide that a RP may place a proposal received from members of the committee in a meeting, if he considers it necessary and shall place the proposal if the same is made by members of the committee representing at least 33% of the voting rights.

2.2.3. It is evident from the minutes of first CoC that Authorised Representative (AR) representing the homebuyers, financial creditors in a class, informed Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta about their decision to replace him with the new RP. Further, he admitted in the CoC meeting of having received many mails seeking to place an agenda for your replacement. It is also observed that CoC sought to put the agenda for your replacement to vote. However, he decided not to conduct voting on the resolution for his replacement and instead went ahead with the voting on his confirmation as RP. His contention in the CoC that the agenda for the new RP would not be taken up due the reason that the stand of homebuyers was not independent and clear, is without any legal basis in view of the fact that the AR clearly informed him about the decision of financial creditors in a class constituting 82.61% voting share to replace him with new RP.

2.2.4. Not conducting voting on the resolution for your replacement despite CoC asking him to do so and to persist with voting on agenda for your confirmation reflects that he did not act as per the provisions of the Code and Regulations thereunder but acted with mala fide intention of espousing your own cause which was his confirmation as RP. In view of the above, the Board held the *prima facie* view that Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta has contravened sections 22(2), 208(2)(a) & (e) of the Code, regulation 18(3) of the CIRP Regulations, regulation 7(2)(a) & (h) of the IP Regulations read with Clauses 1, 2, 3, 9 and 14 of the Code of Conduct.

2.3 Submissions by Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta.

2.3.1. Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta submitted that being IRP of CD- I, he had circulated the notice of first CoC meeting with the members of committee on 14.04.2022 scheduled to be held on 21.04.2022. Following the circulation of the notice of CoC meeting, a series of emails were

received, purportedly from individuals identifying themselves as home buyers. Upon examination of the recipients' names, he found that certain emails, bearing identical content, were traced back to individuals who were not the CoC members. Evidently, these emails bore the hallmark of a deliberate endeavour, orchestrated by vested interests, with the primary objective of unseating him and replacing with a more favourable IP. He submitted that these emails manifestly exhibit a calculated and concerted effort, undertaken with the explicit intent of substituting him with a congenial IP, thereby undermining the integrity and impartiality of the independent CIRP.

2.3.2. Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta submitted that being the first CoC meeting, it was incumbent upon him to give five days' notice in writing to all the participants for the CoC meetings in terms of regulation 19 of the CIRP Regulations. Accordingly, the notice for the first CoC meeting to be held on 21.04.2022 was sent on 14.04.2022 in compliance of regulation 19(1). In terms of regulation 21(3) of CIRP Regulations, the notice of the meeting must contain the following:

- a list of the matters to be discussed at the meeting;
- a list of the issues to be voted upon at the meeting; and copies of all documents relevant to the matters to be discussed and the issues to be voted upon at the meeting.

The aforementioned context elucidates that any notice pertaining to CoC meeting must comprehensively encompass the proposed agendas, accompanied by the requisite documents to be discussed or voted at the meeting. A cohesive examination of regulation 19 in conjunction with regulation 21 yields a discernible inference that no other agenda or item can be added in the notice for CoC meeting once the same has been issued.

2.3.3. He submitted that he had started receiving emails from several persons claiming to be homebuyers with the same text and language after the issue of notice for the first CoC meeting. These emails primarily were on the issue of adding an agenda item in the CoC meeting had been scheduled on 21.04.2022. Having already issued the notice along with agenda on 14.04.2022 for first CoC meeting on 21.04.2022, it was not legally tenable to add any further agenda.

2.3.4. He submitted that he also received emails from approximately 70 homebuyers who appreciated his efforts and having been satisfied with his functioning wanted him to be appointed as RP. He was required to place the proposal received from the members of the committee representing not less than 33% percent of the voting rights in terms of regulation 18(3) of the CIRP Regulations prior to issuance of the notice along with agenda for the first CoC meeting. He submitted that he started receiving emails from the homebuyers after issue of the notice for first CoC meeting. The received emails had to undergo scrutiny and examination for their genuineness, as they were being sent by individuals who had not filed any claim with IRP. Till 19.04.2022, a total of 350 emails were received from the homebuyers who had filed their claim him. The said emails represented 24.993% of the total voting rights.

- 2.3.5. That proviso to regulation 19 (2) of the CIRP Regulation states that the CoC may shorten the notice period from five days to a different duration provided it is not less than forty-eight hours in case there is an authorized representative. He submitted that for the sake of argument, if the CoC meeting was convened within the reduced 48-hour period the requests received until 3 PM on 19.04.2022 (48 hours prior to the scheduled time of the CoC meeting) could serve as the basis for including it as an agenda item, provided it meets the threshold percentage of 33%. It is relevant to note that until 3 PM on 19.04.2022, the valid emails received by him for consideration as an agenda item in the upcoming CoC meeting constituted only 24.99% as opposed to the minimum requirement of 33%. Moreover, it takes time to find out the authenticity of the emails coming from the large number of buyers running into thousands. Therefore, he was not obligated to include the agenda item in the CoC meeting, as the legal provisions outlined in the Code and the associated Regulations were satisfied.
- 2.3.6. He submitted that he has received emails requesting a replacement of the IRP. These emails appear to be manipulative, as an alleged home buyers' association has attempted to manipulate and influence those homebuyers who are not familiar with the CIRP and are guided by herd mentality. The manipulation becomes apparent from the fact that the emails contain a forwarded message directing the homebuyers to send a particular email without applying critical thinking. The innocent homebuyers merely forwarded such emails without examining such emails as a person of ordinary prudence. The home buyers' association ran a mail drive to send the manufactured mail to him being IRP of CD-I and Authorized Representative for change of IRP which clearly reflects that the association has tried to mislead the innocent homebuyers by putting forward their decision without communicating the reason for the change of undersigned as IRP. The Association has circulated that all agenda items in the meeting should be dissented and no resolution shall be passed.
- 2.3.7. That under section 22(2) of the Code, the RP is to be appointed in the first CoC meeting and to meet the said compliance, Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta has proposed his name as RP. He was duty bound to present the agenda item for appointment of IRP as RP under above provision.
- 2.3.8. Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta submitted that had not only acted in a fair and transparent manner but in accordance with the legal provisions by disclosing the factual position of receiving the request for additional agenda item and not meeting the threshold limit as provided in regulation 18(2) of the CIRP Regulations. The minutes of the first CoC meeting bear testimony to the aforesaid factual position under Agenda item 19 wherein he has mentioned about the emails received from the homebuyers.
- 2.3.9. He submitted that the members of the committee had put up a proposal with a voting right of 24.99% only instead of 33%. Therefore, in view of the requirements under Regulation 18(3) of the CIRP Regulations, the proposal for change in RP as proposed by the members of the committee was not placed. In the CoC meeting, the issue was discussed in detail about the proposal for change in RP and it was discussed that the agenda couldn't be taken up due

to insufficient request from CoC members representing voting rights of at least 33%. Inadvertently, it was not mentioned in the minutes although it was discussed in detail in the CoC meeting.

2.3.10. He submitted that it is mentioned in the investigation report that as per the information complied by the homebuyers association, 496 homebuyers having vote share of 35.46% sent emails to the IRP as well as to the AR for placing agenda for replacing the IRP in the first CoC meeting. It is also mentioned in the SCN that the AR informed the notice about the decision of financial creditors in a class constituting 82.61% voting share to replace him. He submitted that the complaint was considered without verifying the truth in the statement made by the Homebuyers Association that 496 homebuyers constituting voting share of 35.46%. No such list of the complaints has ever been provided to him by the Board along with the complaint. These mails campaign was instituted by the Homebuyers association as otherwise is not possible to collect the data of the so called 496 numbers. Moreover, these mails couldn't be verified whether these are genuine as per the list of homebuyers as veracity of these mails, i.e., their email address, flat no, signatures etc. need to be checked.

2.3.11. He submitted that the Association has asked the homebuyers to send these mails by miscommunicating on the various issues without realizing whether it is in their interest or not. It is against the spirit of the Code for allowing replacement of the IRP on the basis of such mails. Further, the AR has never intimated about the decision of the financial creditors in a class constituting 82.61% share to replace me with another RP before the CoC meeting. This information is basically shared after the voting process on the agenda item of "appointment of IRP as Resolution Professional". He referred to the clause 9 under regulation 16A of CIRP regulations as under: 16A. Clause (9).

"The authorised representative shall circulate the agenda to creditors in a class, and may seek their preliminary views on any item in the agenda to enable him to effectively participate in the meeting of the committee:

Provided that creditors shall have a time window of at least twelve hours to submit their preliminary views, and the said window opens at least twenty-four hours after the authorised representative seeks preliminary views:

Provided further that such preliminary views shall not be considered as voting instructions by the creditors."

In view of the above regulation, it is the duty of the AR to bring any resolution for the replacement on the basis of the above requirements in the regulation. No such preliminary views or suggestions have been shared by the creditors with the AR. There is no communication from the AR for any agenda item other than the already circulated agenda items for consideration in the CoC meeting. In fact, it is the duty of the AR and not of the IRP to include any fresh agenda item.

2.3.12. On specific query for providing details of email received till first CoC meeting dated 21.04.2022. Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta submitted that an email account was created by him for the said case immediately after commencement of CIRP. The said email seems to be inactive as it has not been used for quite a long time. He tried to open the emails but it was not accessible and as such he could not find the details of the emails asked herein. In view of the same, he was unable to provide the details of emails received till CoC meeting dated 21.04.2022.

2.3.13. On being provided with list of homebuyers constituting 35.46% voting share, Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta submitted that the said list which was shared with him does not contain the crucial information relating to the date and time of submission of these mails with him. In absence of the said information, he submitted that it is not clear who has forwarded this list to the Board. He further submitted that it is not clear that the Board has got the confirmation from the complainant that these homebuyers, as per the list shared, have sent the mails to him or the Board has got the copy of the all the mails sent by the homebuyers included in the list. Further, from the list shared, it is not possible to verify the authenticity of these mails as to whether these mails pertain to the genuine homebuyers whose claims have been admitted the IRP or from some bogus individuals. For that purpose he needs to verify all the minute details like flat no. claim amount, signature, e-mail etc. The list is silent on the date and timing of sending these mails to the IRP.

2.4 Analysis and Findings.

2.4.1. The DC notes that the agenda for first CoC meeting was circulated on 14.04.2022 for the meetings scheduled to happen on 21.04.2022. Thereafter, he started receiving emails to include agenda for replacement of Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta with new RP Mr. Manohar Lal Vij.

2.4.2. The DC notes that regulation 18(3) of the CIRP Regulations provide as follows:

“A resolution professional may place a proposal received from members of the committee in a meeting, if he considers it necessary and shall place the proposal if the same is made by members of the committee representing at least thirty-three per cent of the voting rights.”

Therefore, if an RP receives a proposal from members of committee which are less than 33%, he has discretion to place it before the CoC. In the given case, Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta submitted that he has received emails from creditors in a class having voting share of 24.99% till 19.04.2022 which is 48 hours before the CoC meeting. Thus, according to him he had the discretion to place the agenda before the CoC. He submitted that the agenda could not be included because as per regulation 19 read with regulation 21 of the CIRP Regulations the agenda cannot be added in the notice for CoC meeting once the same has been issued. The said provisions are reproduced as follows:

19. Subject to this Regulation, a meeting of the committee shall be called by giving not less than five days' notice in writing to every participant, at the address it has provided to the interim resolution professional or the resolution professional, as the case may be, and such notice may be sent by hand delivery, or by post but in any event, be served on every participant by electronic means in accordance with Regulation 20.

(2) The committee may reduce the notice period from five days to such other period of not less than twenty-four hours, as it deems fit:

Provided that the committee may reduce the period to such other period of not less than forty-eight hours if there is any authorised representative.

....

21. Contents of the notice for meeting.

(1) The notice shall inform the participants of the venue, the time and date of the meeting and of the option available to them to participate through video conferencing or other audio and visual means, and shall also provide all the necessary information to enable participation through video conferencing or other audio and visual means.

(2) The notice of the meeting shall provide that a participant may attend and vote in the meeting either in person or through an authorised representative:

Provided that such participant shall inform the resolution professional, in advance of the meeting, of the identity of the authorised representative who will attend and vote at the meeting on its behalf.

(3) The notice of the meeting shall contain the following-

- (i) a list of the matters to be discussed at the meeting;*
- (ii) a list of the issues to be voted upon at the meeting; and*
- (iii) copies of all documents relevant to the matters to be discussed and the issues to be voted upon at the meeting.*

(4) The notice of the meeting shall-

- (a) state the process and manner for voting by electronic means and the time schedule, including the time period during which the votes may be cast;*
- (b) provide the login ID and the details of a facility for generating password and for keeping security and casting of vote in a secure manner; and*
- (c) provide contact details of the person who will address the queries connected with the electronic voting.*

The DC notes the combined reading of above provisions do not inhibit issue of any amendment/inclusion or exclusion of agenda in notice of CoC meeting. Moreover, the issue could have been discussed in the meeting itself regarding replacement of IRP.

2.4.3. The DC refers regulation 16A(3A) of the CIRP Regulations which provides as follows:

*“The financial creditors in the class, representing **not less than ten per cent.** voting share may seek replacement of the authorised representative with an insolvency professional of their choice by making a request to the interim resolution professional or resolution professional who shall circulate such request to the creditors in that class and announce a voting window open for at least twenty-four hours.”*

Considering the importance being given to the voice of ten percent financial creditors in a class to replace AR, voice of a percentage equivalent to 24.99 percent of the financial creditors in a class cannot be ignored by an IP. Moreover, 24.99 percent is the number of financial creditors in a class till 48 hours from the first CoC meeting. The documents submitted by Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta at one place states that 379 (out of 1355) allottees having percentage of 26.66672 sent him email till the 48 hours of the meeting while at other place states that 350 (out of 1355) allottees having percentage of 24.99. There is some discrepancy in his calculation of emails received from allottees for his replacement. However, such a substantial voice was required to be paid heed.

2.4.4. Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta was further asked to provide the details of the emails received from financial creditors in a class for placing agenda for replacement of IRP before 48 hours and till first CoC meeting dated 21.04. 2022 along with their voting percentage and time and duration when such emails were received. But he stated his inability to provide the required details of the email due to inaccessibility of the email. Thus, the DC is not able to assess voting percentage of the financial creditors in a class whose emails were received after 48 hours from first CoC meeting. Hence, there is no clarity on the total number emails received from financial creditors in a class for replacement of IRP.

2.4.5. The DC further observes that Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta is now submitting that no such list of 496 homebuyers constituting voting share of 35.46% has ever been provided to him by the Board along with the complaint. However, in its earlier reply to the Board on 30.05.2022 he submitted that *“It is totally false that 496 homebuyers having vote share of 35.46% sent e-mails to the IRP for placing agenda for replacement of IRP in 1st CoC meeting.”* Thus, he had earlier denied of receiving the emails from homebuyers but now he himself has admitted that he received emails from homebuyers having vote shares and now he is requesting list of such allottees during the disciplinary proceedings reflects contradictory submissions.

2.4.6. In the interest of natural justice, the list of 496 homebuyers comprising of 35.46% voting share was provided to him by the DC as per his request. Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta raised objections regarding authenticity of homebuyers in the list with respect to flat number, claim amount, email, signature etc. The DC independently applied its mind in comparing both the list of homebuyers, one which was provided by the complainant (List-1) and other which was submitted by Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta (List-2). It is seen that the List-1 was having information with columns – ‘S. No.’, ‘1st Applicant’ (name), ‘Co applicant’, ‘Block no.’,

‘Unit No.’, ‘Admitted Amount’, ‘Voting %’. Similarly, List-2 was having information with columns – ‘Sr. No.’, ‘Date (of submission)’, ‘Name of Creditor’, ‘Units No.’, ‘Voting Share’. It is seen that except Column ‘Date of submission’ all other information given in List-2 exist in List-1 as well. Further, List-1 has exact amount of claim admitted which is corresponding consistently with the voting share. This further establishes the bonafide of the list submitted by the complainant. Further, the DC undertook an exercise to compare the names of homebuyers in List-1 with the homebuyers in List-2 on basis of name of creditor, flat no. and voting percentage. The DC finds that out of 350 homebuyers in List-2, 305 were common with List-1. It implies that this List-1 is a genuine list as otherwise the names would not have matched to such an extent. The list for above comparison is enclosed with the order as **Annexure-A**.

2.4.7. Further, it is seen that List-1 is a list of all homebuyers who have sought replacement of IRP who were in contact with the complainant. The complainant cannot be supposed to have an exhaustive list as he was not having official records of the CIRP. So List-2 which is list of homebuyers given by the Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta is supposed to have more numbers of homebuyers. This is corroborated by the fact that around 45 homebuyers, which comes to around 13% of the homebuyers in List-2 and not finding place in List-1 are persons whose names were not known to the complainant. However, List-2 given by the Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta is only that list of homebuyers who have emailed the IRP at least 48 hours in advance of the CoC meeting. While List-1 may contain even those homebuyers who have emailed subsequently. Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta has not provided the list of homebuyers who have emailed within 48 hours before the CoC meeting when so queried by the DC. However, the List-1 suggests that at least there were 496 homebuyers who had emailed for replacement of IRP and were in contact with the complainant. There may be some more homebuyers who were not in contact with the complainant and may have emailed for replacement of IRP. Therefore, it can be safely concluded that by the time of CoC meeting IRP had received emails from homebuyers constituting more than 35.46% voting share of the CoC as emerging from List-1. Even when such large number of emails seeking replacement were received by him before CoC meeting and which exceeded 33% voting share, he went ahead with the voting on resolution of his appointment as RP.

2.4.8. The DC further notes the following minutes from the first CoC meeting as follows:

“On the request of the Financial Creditors in Class the Authorised representative of the class of creditors presented the concerns of the homebuyers to replace the existing IRP with the new RP Mr. Manohar Lai Vij (IBBI Registration No.: IBBI/IPA-001/IP-P-01480/2018-19/12269)

Now for the purpose of determination whether to put this agenda on the voting or not, it was discussed in detail that the agenda be put to vote. However, the agenda for the new RP will not be taken up due to the reason that the stand of the buyers is not independent and not clear.”

From the above, the DC notes that the AR presented concerns of the homebuyers to replace existing Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta as IRP. However, he ignored such concerns on a unverified assumption that stand of the buyers is not independent and not clear and conducted voting on the resolution for his appointment as RP which was rejected by the allottees having 82.61 percent vote share in CoC. There is no ground to reach this conclusion that stand of homebuyer was not independent. Moreover, an IP is not required to be a judge of the independence of the intention of financial creditors in a class especially which they are raising voice with a substantial number on issue of replacement of IRP/RP. Even if Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta was of such view, he could have taken time to assess the viewpoint of the homebuyer by giving time to AR under regulation 16A(9) of the CIRP Regulations quoted above rather than ignoring concern of a quarter of CoC, whose emails were received before 48 hours of CoC meeting as per his submissions and the concern of more than one third of the CoC whose emails were received by the time of CoC meeting. On matters of appointment of an IP as RP, his conduct is expected to be beyond any questions. Hence, considering the circumstance and hasty and rash conduct Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta in his appointment as RP, the DC holds the contravention.

2.5 Contravention-II

Non-Cooperation to the IA in conduct of Investigation

- 2.5.1. The Board noted that a notice of investigation was issued on 28.06.2022 by IA requesting Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta to provide response to the allegations raised therein. However, he failed to provide any specific response merely denying the allegations raised without submitting any relevant documents and provided incomplete replies. Despite subsequent reminder e-mails dated 30.06.2022, 05.07.2022, 27.09.2022, 03.10.2022 and 10.10.2022 incomplete response was received. The details of correspondences among IA and him in this regard are as under:

IA's queries/request	Response
E-mail dated 28.06.2022: Investigation Notice issued.	E-mail dated 28.06.2022: Request to provide copies of the complaints. IP informs that he had replied to earlier communications on the complaint in both the matters.
E-mail dated 30.06.2022: Copy of grievance dated 27.05.2022 was shared. It was informed that the said grievance was earlier sent on 31.05.2022 for clarifications but no reply was received. Again a reminder was sent on 17.06.2022 but still no reply was received.	E-mail dated 05.07.2022: Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta stated that he has already replied to these matters but he has not received e-mails dated 31.05.2022 and 17.06.2022. Since, DC order has already been issued, to inform if anything further is required.
E-mail dated 05.07.2022: The emails dated 31.05.2022 and 17.06.2022 were duly sent and copy of those emails were also attached in trailing. Further the notice of	E-mail dated 05.07.2022: Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta enquired that as the DC order is already issued, to confirm if reply is still required.

investigation, was shared on 28.06.2022. It was again requested to provide your clarifications alongwith relevant documents by 07.07.2022.	
E-mail dated 05.07.2022: IA informed that the requisite response mentioned in the trailing emails is to be provided.	E-mail dated 05.07.2022: Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta informed that he will be travelling for next 5-6 days, so he can submit his reply after 10-12 days and to allow submission by 20.07.2022.
E-mail dated 05.07.2022: Since investigation is a time bound process, it was requested to submit reply within timelines mentioned.	E-mail dated 14.07.2022: Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta submitted incomplete reply.
E-mail dated 03.10.2022: Specific reply to investigation notice sought.	E-mail dated 06.10.2022: Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta sought copy of investigation notice and sought time of one week.
E-mail dated 10.10.2022: IA informed that the investigation notice was already shared on 28.06.2022 and 05.07.2022 and it is again attached for ready reference. Since investigation is a time bound process, IA will not be able to consider request for extension as considerable time has already been granted.	E-mail dated 12.10.2022: Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta submitted parawise reply but the reply was merely denial of allegations without any substantiation or supporting documents.

2.5.2. In view of the above it is observed that you failed to provide satisfactory reply/ clarifications or any relevant documents/records on the following allegations in the matter of in the matter of Kamrup Housing Project Private Limited:

- a. Admission of huge claims of the promoter without audit (about 12 cr.) after 7 months and just before the submission of Resolution Plan.
- b. Allotment of some flats to two different home-buyers
- c. Non-furnishing of receipts of payments and statement of utilisation of funds received from the home-buyers.
- d. Payments, made to the vendors/ staff/ guards without due diligence, in spite of specific and repeated requests of the Home-buyers.
- e. Not replying to letters of home-buyers raising their grievances.

2.5.3. Regulation 8(4) of the Investigation Regulations provides that it shall be the duty of the service provider to produce records in his custody or control and furnish to the IA. Regulation 8(8) of the Investigation Regulations requires the IP to give to the IA all assistance which the IA may reasonably require. In view of the foregoing, it is evident that Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta has not rendered necessary assistance and cooperation to the IA in submission of relevant records and providing clarification for investigation. Further, due to non-submission of requisite documents by you, IA was unable to give its findings on various allegations as mentioned above thereby not only delaying the investigation but also frustrated the entire process.

2.5.4. In view of the above, the Board held the *prima facie* view that Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta have contravened Section 208(2)(e) of the Code, regulations 8(4) and 8(8) of Investigation Regulations, regulation 7(2)(h) of the IP Regulations read with clauses 18 and 19 of the Code of Conduct.

2.6 Submissions by Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta.

2.6.1. Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta submitted that all the purported contraventions delineated above with respect to CD-2 are a reiteration of the issues previously raised in the complaint communicated by the Board which lead to previous SCN dated 09.05.2022 disposed of vide order dated 01.07.2022. Significantly, the matters outlined in complaint dated 30.09.2021 are identically reflected above were adequately addressed by him *via* the reply furnished on 05.10.2021 & 12.10.2021, and the responses offered regarding the issues currently cited were duly accepted by the Board at that juncture. Therefore, given that these precise concerns had already been conclusively settled and replied to the satisfaction of the Board, the same matters in a subsequent notice should not be resurrected.

2.6.2. Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta submitted that in the aforesaid response, he provided the clarification with respect to above four allegations, the details of which are mentioned hereinunder:

At Para 4 (vii) of the email dated 05.10.2021, the allegation pertaining to admission of claims of the related parties was clarified and it was stipulated that the claims were admitted as per accounts and records provided by the ex-management of CD.

At Para 4 (xxi) of the email dated 05.10.2021, the allegation pertaining to non-furnishing of receipts of payments and statement of utilization of funds received from the homebuyers was clarified and it was stipulated that in the minutes of 10th meeting of CoC convened on 18.01.2020, the status of available funds and all the cost incurred during the CIRP was placed before the CoC.

At Para 4 (x) of the email dated 05.10.2021, the allegation about payments made to vendors, staff, and guards was addressed. It was explained that such payments by myself as Resolution Professional of the CD were within my powers and duties. It further elaborated that said payments enabled ongoing operations, thus keeping the CD a going concern. Additionally, he communicated all such payments to the CoC consistently at their periodic meetings.

At Para 4 (xx) of the email dated 05.10.2021, the allegation pertaining to the allegation about not replying to letters from homebuyers raising grievances was addressed. It was explained that during the CIRP process for the CD, he received various emails without specific requests. After reviewing the emails, he determined that responding would unproductively preoccupy me rather than achieve constructive outcomes, given their nonspecific nature.

2.6.3. He submitted that on 22.10.2021, the Board sought the clarification duplicate entries of some flats having same flat no. appearing in the list of creditors as on September 2019 and not thereafter. He responded on 22.10.2021 as follows:

“The list of the creditors till September 2019 was based on the list provided to us by the erstwhile Resolution professionals having duplicate entries for flats No. A- 803 allotted to Vandana Sharma & Rajendra Sharma and Satish Chand Dixit and flat No. B-505 allotted to Sandeep Sharma & Reem, Sharma and Kritika Singh.

After due verification of all the claims with the accounting records provided by the Corporate Debtor, it was learnt that the allotment to Mr. Satish Chand Dixit and Ms. Kritika Singh have been cancelled before the CIRP commencement and hence their names were removed from the list of financial creditors as home buyers and were added to the list of claims of other creditors which contained the name of Salish Chand Dixit (for Flat No. A-803) and Kritika Singh (for Flat no. B-505)”

The aforesaid makes it abundantly clear that the issue pertaining to the allotment of some flats to two different homebuyers was already addressed by him.

2.6.4. He submitted that on 02.11.2021 he was communicated by the Board that his inspection is being conducted, in terms of Section 218 (1) of the Code read with regulation 3 (2) and 3 (3) of the Investigation Regulations with respect of CIRP of CD-2. Subsequently, the Board communicated a draft inspection report (DIR) vide email dated 22.02.2022.

2.6.5. He submitted that a perusal of the DIR evinces that of all the issues raised initially in the complaint and subsequent clarification requests were not part of the observations of the IA in DIR. Notably, the 5 issues raised in the instant SCN with respect to CD-2 were part of the complaint but were not part of the DIR. This implies the IA were satisfied with my responses, closing all issues other than those stipulated in DIR. The fact that the above 5 issues mentioned in the instant SCN were omitted from the DIR strongly indicates no further justification was required, as the IA found my responses satisfactory. For purposes of presenting a chronological account it is relevant to mention here that the said DIR was responded by him on 11.03.2022. Subsequently, SCN dated 09.05.2022 was issued to him on alleged contravention on the account of removal of financial creditors (homebuyers) from CoC for not contributing to CIRP costs, deficiency in taking custody and control of assets of CD, delayed filing of disclosure, inadequate efforts for recovery of loans and advances, incurring expenses without seeking prior approval of CoC. He submitted reply to the said SCN which was followed by the personal hearing before the DC and same was disposed of on 01.07.2022 suspending his registration for a period of three years.

2.6.6. He submitted that the chronological progression of events reveals that after receiving satisfactory responses from him, the then IA closed all issues which led to non-inclusion of those issues in the previous SCN dated 09.05.2022. Thus, the issuance of a new SCN citing those same matters defies logical consistency. If those issues were adequately addressed

previously as confirmed by their omission from the earlier SCN, the grounds for basing a subsequent SCN upon them are dubious. Basic principles of procedural fairness and reason dictate matters once closed cannot freely be reopened at a later juncture without justification. As no new evidence has come to light, there is no tenable rationale for issuing a fresh SCN on issues already settled.

2.6.7. He submitted that he cooperated fully with the IA throughout the course of the investigation.

- a) A notice of investigation was issued by the IA on 28.06.2022, to which he responded seeking the copies of the complaints as referred to by the IA.
- b) On 30.06.2022, the IA shared a copy of the grievance with him. In response to the same, on 05.07.2022 he sent an email to IA inquiring, if any response was required, in view of the DC order dated 01.07.2022;
- c) As far as the reply to the mails dated 31.05.2022 and 17.06.2022 are concerned, he *vide* email dated 05.07.2022 had explained he has not received these mail.
- d) Subsequently, a series of emails were exchanged on 05.07.2022, wherein he reiterated his position by requesting a confirmation regarding the necessity of a response, considering the passing of the DC order dated 01.07.2022.

2.6.8. Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta submitted that in his reply *vide* mail dated 14.07.2022 he mentioned that highlighted excerpts in the investigation notice were mere repetitions. He had already addressed the said points in the earlier response on 30.05.2022 (reply to the complaint dated 30.09.2021), accompanied by all the pertinent supporting documents. Therefore, no additional response or submission was necessary at this juncture. He submitted that barring paragraphs 8 and 9, the highlighted extracts were once again repetition. A brief summary of the replies given to the issues raised now are provided again for your ready reference:

- Point No a. Admission of huge claims of the promoter without audit (about Rs 12 Cr) after 7 months and just before the submission of the Resolution Plan - please refer to the reply dated 05.10.2021 - Para 4(vii).
- Point No b. Allotment of some flats to two different home buyers - Please refer the reply made by email dated 22.10.2021.
- Point No c. Non-furnishing of receipts of payments and statement of utilization of funds received from the homebuyers — Please refer to the reply dated 05.10.2021 - Para 4(xxi)
- Point No d. Payments made to the vendors /staff/guards without due diligence, in spite of specific and repeated request of the home buyers - Please refer to reply dated 05.10.2021 - Para 4(x).

- Point No e. not replying to homebuyers raising their grievances - Please refer to reply dated 05.10.2021 - para 4(xx)

2.6.9. He had previously addressed these matters in the form of a *"reply dated 14/07/2022 in addition to reply to the very first complaint via mail dated 05.10.2021". "reply dated 12/11/2021 to the Inspection order in the form of Check list of CIRP of the CD" "pre-inspection questionnaire"* as well as through various other supporting documents such as *"inspection records maintained by IRP/RP"* and a *"reply to the show cause notice dated 07.06.2022."* Hence, no further reply or submission was warranted at this point.

2.6.10. He submitted that on 27.09.2022, the IA sent an email to him stating that the aforementioned documents do not address the matters outlined in Paragraphs 2, 3, 4, 5. and 7 of the investigation notice dated 28.06.2022. Consequently, he was requested to solicit specific response pertaining to the issues raised in these five paragraphs of the investigation notice. The above email from the IA initially indicated a response deadline of 09.09.2022, which had already passed, leading to his lack of awareness regarding the due date. This error was subsequently corrected by the IA on 03.10.2022, specifying the accurate date of 29.09.2022. Additionally, the IA sought for his response within two days, by 06.10.2022. Consequently, he provided the requested response on 12.10.2022. He submitted that review of the timeline shows he has comprehensively addressed the allegations made by the IA and has cooperated fully by providing all requested information and documents to the best of his ability.

2.7 Analysis and Findings.

- 2.7.1. The DC notes that earlier a complaint dated 30.09.2021 was received against Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta. Consequently, inspection as initiated with respect to CD-2. The inspection culminated into SCN dated 09.05.2022 which was disposed by then DC on 01.07.2022 suspending registration of Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta fore a period of three years.
- 2.7.2. The DC notes that as per IA following allegations raised in complaints as mentioned in investigation notice dated 28.06.2022 were not satisfactorily replied/clarified by Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta.

Sr. No.	Allegations	Reply by Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta
(a)	Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta has accepted huge claims without audit (about 12 cr.) of CD after 7 months just before the submission of Resolution Plan.	Covered in complaint dated 30.09.2021 and replied by Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta on 05.10.2021.
(b)	As per details of creditors fists published by the RP it came to light that there have been several instances of allotment of the same flat to two different home buyers. Please provide the details	Covered in complaint dated 30.09.2021 and replied by Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta on 22.10.2021.

	of such transactions, if any as well as action taken by you.	
(c)	In spite of repeated requests by home buyers, Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta have not made available receipts payment and statement of utilisation of funds received by him from the home-buyers.	Covered in complaint dated 30.09.2021 and replied by Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta on 05.10.2021.
(d)	Several payments, made by Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta to the vendors /staff/ guards were, not made with due diligence, hi spite of specific and repeated requests of the Homebuyers.	Covered in complaint dated 30.09.2021 and replied by Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta on 05.10.2021.
(e)	Several letters were addressed by the home-buyers to Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta for their grievances but he have not replied to even one of them.	Covered in complaint dated 30.09.2021 and replied by Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta on 05.10.2021.

2.7.3. The DC observes that the issues raised above have already been attended by Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta in his earlier replies during inspection of CD-2. The issues were before the inspecting authority constituted on 02.11.2021. Further, the replies by Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta do not highlight non-cooperation by him and instead he was requesting the IA to consider his earlier replies. Hence the DC accepts the submission of Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta.

3. Order

3.1 In view of the forgoing discussion, SCN, reply to the SCN, oral and written submission made by Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta and the other materials made available to the DC, the DC finds Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta in contravention of sections 208(2)(a) & (e) of the Code, regulation 18(3) of the CIRP Regulations, regulation 7(2)(a) & (h) of the IP Regulations read with Clauses 1,2, 3, 9 and 14 of the Code of Conduct.

3.2 The DC, in exercise of the powers conferred under section 220(2) of the Code read with regulation 13 of the IBBI (Inspection and Investigation) Regulations, 2017 hereby suspends the registration of Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta for a period of one year considering the fact that the CIRP of CD-1 was stayed immediately after the voting results on 02.05.2022 and later on set aside by the NCLAT on 17.08.2022. The period of suspension will commence on the expiry of the period of suspension imposed on him *vide* earlier order of the DC dated 11.10.2024.

3.3 This Order shall come into force after 30 days from the date of issuance of this order.

3.4 A copy of this order shall be sent to the CoC/Stake Holders Consultation Committee (SCC) of all the corporate debtors in which Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta is providing his services, and

the respective CoC/SCC, as the case may be, will decide about continuation of existing assignment of Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta.

- 3.5 A copy of this order shall be forwarded to the Insolvency Professional Agency of Institute of Cost Accountants of India where Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta is enrolled as a member.
- 3.6 A copy of this Order shall also be forwarded to the Registrar of the Principal Bench of the National Company Law Tribunal.
- 3.7 Accordingly, the show cause notice is disposed of.

-sd/-
(Sandip Garg)
Whole-time Member, IBBI

Dated: 18th October 2024
Place: New Delhi

Annexure-A

Sr. No.	Date	Name Of Creditor	Units No.	Shares	S. No.	1st Applicant	Co applicant	Block-Unit	Admitted Amount	Voting %
1	16.04.2022	Rajesh Kumar	A-1408	0.119	460	Rajesh Kumar		A-1408	64,39,770	0.11
2	16.04.2022	Pradeep Dahiya	A-1906	0.109	407	Pradeep Dahiya		A-1906	61,31,937	0.11
3	16.04.2022	Saurabh Mishra & Anshu Pandey	B-1601	0.111	560	Saurabh Mishra	ANSHU PANDEY	-1601	62,48,394	0.11
4	16.04.2022	Lalit Kumar Mishra	B-1607	0.116	283	Lalit Kumar Mishra		B-1607	65,28,991	0.12
5	16.04.2022	Anurag Jain	B-303	0.086						
6	16.04.2022	Ram Deo Maurya	B-602	0.122	469	Ram Deo Morya		B-602	68,72,337	0.12
7	16.04.2022	Dinesh Kishwan & Veena Kishwan	B-803	0.11	166	DINESH KISHWAN		-803	45,67,353	0.08
8	16.04.2022	Atul Tolani and Dharna Tolani	B-906	0.123	115	Atul Tolani	Dharna Tolani	B-906	74,52,420	0.13
9	16.04.2022	Rahul Gopalkrishna Puranik	C-1801	0.094	450	Rahul Gopikrishna Puranik	Varsha Vilas Mangiraj	C-1801	52,84,097	0.09
10	16.04.2022	Manish Chaturvedi & Ashish Chaturvedi	C-203	0.127	311	Manish Chaturvedi	Ashish Chaturvedi	C-203	71,24,001	0.13
11	16.04.2022	Kamlesh Kumar yadav	C-506	0.117	721	Kamlesh Kumar Yadav		C-506	65,89,399	0.12
12	16.04.2022	Varun Walia & chandni walia	C-708	0.104	669	VARUN WALIA	Chandni Walia	-708	58,61,557	0.1
13	16.04.2022	Alka Kapoor & Medha Kapoor	C-808	0.099	753	Deepak Kapoor		C-808	55,63,144	0.1
14	16.04.2022	Pushpa Sajwan and Jagat Singh Sajwan	D-103	0.116	441	Pushpa Sajwan	Jagat Singh Sajwan	D-103	64,94,626	0.12

15	16.04.2022	Sarabjeet Singh and Harmeet kaur	D-105	0.116	767	Sarabjeet Singh	Harmeet Kaur	D-105	65,25,536	0.12
16	16.04.2022	Kamlesh Sirohi	D-106	0.122	240	Kamlesh Sirohi		D-106	68,20,256	0.12
17	16.04.2022	Mohit Kumar Sachdev	D-108	0.094	333	Mohit Kr Sachdeva		d-108	52,87,263	0.09
18	16.04.2022	Sanjeev Kumar and Mridula Alokasi	D-1105	0.115	537	Sanjeev Kumar	Mridula Alokasi	D-1105	64,58,470	0.12
19	16.04.2022	Abhishek Batra	D-1605	0.098	9	Abhishek Batra		D-1605	54,82,421	0.1
20	16.04.2022	Amit Jejurikar & Neha Jejurikar & Dilip Jejurikar	D-1606	0.111	37	Amit Jejurikar	Neha Jejurikar, Dilip Jejurikar	D-1606	62,40,705	0.11
21	16.04.2022	Sanjay Sharma	D-1701	0.101	533	Sanjay Sharma		D-1701	56,45,446	0.1
22	16.04.2022	Sivasish Bebartta	D-1702	0.115	593	Sivasish Bebartta		D-1702	64,63,054	0.12
23	16.04.2022	Manabesh Hota	D-1707	0.122						
24	16.04.2022	Kapil Vasudeva & kailash Vasudeva	D-201	0.098	245	Kapil Vasudeva	Kailash Vasudeva	D-201	54,84,724	0.1
25	16.04.2022	Ravijit Chaudhuri	D-301	0.095	481	Ravijit Chaudhuri		D-301	53,49,293	0.1
26	16.04.2022	NAVEEN RAWAT & MAMTA RAWAT	D-303	0.119	362	Naveen Rawat	Mamta Rawat	D-303	66,53,773	0.12
27	16.04.2022	Saumen Deb	D-403	0.117	558	Saumen Deb		D-403	65,88,166	0.12
28	16.04.2022	Rajneesh Behari Mathur	D-603	0.114	464	Rajneesh Bihari Mathur		D-603	63,90,129	0.11
29	16.04.2022	Hitesh Sharma and Ruchi Sharma	D-901	0.096						

30	16.04.2022	Kapil Bhatia and Monika Bhatia	D-902	0.105	243	Kapil Bhatia	Monika Bhatia	D-902	59,08,303	0.11
31	16.04.2022	Akhilesh Kumar Jha	E-103	0.118	712	Akhilesh Kumar Jha		E-103	71,69,121	0.13
32	16.04.2022	Jyotsna Bansal	E-106	0.115	233	Jyotsna Bansal		E-106	64,65,846	0.12
33	16.04.2022	Prabhat Kumar Sharma & Manju Sharma	E-1103	0.109	404	Prabhat Sharma	Manju Sharma	E-1103	61,00,960	0.11
34	16.04.2022	Neelam	E-1108	0.094						
35	16.04.2022	Amit Sreen & Suresh Sreen	E-1201	0.094	43	Amit Sareen	Suresh Sareen	E-1201	52,62,798	0.09
36	16.04.2022	Alok Negi & Mr Mrinal Negi	E-1402	0.102	33	Alok Negi	Mrinal Negi	E-1402	57,22,026	0.1
37	16.04.2022	Nirmal Bhagat, Rajesh Kr. Bhagat, and Dinesh kr. Bhagat	E-1708	0.095	376	Nirmal Bhagat	Rajesh And Dinesh	E-1708	53,13,418	0.09
38	16.04.2022	Anshuman Buryok	E-401	0.093	77	Anshuman Buryok	Geeta Buryok	E-401	51,92,089	0.09
39	16.04.2022	Usha Singh	E-404	0.102	662	Usha Singh		E-404	57,25,261	0.1
40	16.04.2022	Shivangi Kulshrestha & Uttkarsh	E-601	0.093	582	Shivango Kulshrestha	Utkarsh	E-601	52,19,935	0.09
41	16.04.2022	Puneet Kumar Aggarwal & Seema Aggarwal	E-705	0.112						
42	16.04.2022	Chhavi Kumar	F-101	0.117	138	Chhavi Kumar		F-101	65,59,643	0.12
43	16.04.2022	Laxmi Devi Bhatt	F-1103	0.092	280	Lakshmi Devi Bhatt		F-1103	51,34,748	0.09
45	16.04.2022	Ankur Gautam	F-1201	0.083	71	Ankur Gautam		F-1201	46,73,217	0.08

46	16.04.2022	Satinder Saini	F-1408	0.082	552	Satinder Saini		F-1408	46,19,214	0.08
47	16.04.2022	Upendra Kumar Sharma/Sharmistha sharma	F-1601	0.106	657	Upendra Kumar Sharma	Sharmishta Sharma	F304-1601	59,26,188	0.11
48	16.04.2022	Sarita	F-1602	0.106	549	Sarita		F-1602	59,39,185	0.11
49	16.04.2022	Dinesh Singh Rana & Meenu Rana	F-304	0.1	167	Dinesh Singh Rana	Meenu Rana	F-304	56,21,119	0.1
50	16.04.2022	Vishal Bindra & Deepak Bindra	F-605	0.121	697	Vishal Bindra	Deepak Bindra	F-605	68,03,143	0.12
51	16.04.2022	Paritosh Shukla	F-704	0.088	427	Pritosh Shukla		F-704	50,75,672	0.09
52	16.04.2022	Lalatendu Sutar	F-706	0.101	282	Lalatendu Sutra		F-706	56,49,261	0.1
53	16.04.2022	Ankaj Kumar	F-802	0.092	66	Ankaj Kumar		F-802	51,59,933	0.09
54	16.04.2022	Neeraj singh & Devesh Singh	F-805	0.097	368	Neeraj Singh	Devesh Singh	F-805	54,89,596	0.1
55	16.04.2022	Bhupinder Singh Saini	SAT10-416	0.047	125	Bhupinder Singh Saini		SAT10-416	26,32,189	0.05
56	16.04.2022	Devendra Dang , Karta	SAT10-617	0.039	160	Devendra Dang		SAT10-617	22,05,085	0.04
57	16.04.2022	Gurdeep Singh	SAT1-1010	0.044	203	Gurdeep Singh		SAT1-1010	24,65,803	0.04
58	16.04.2022	Neha Agarwal	SAT1-1012A	0.05	750	NEHA AGGARWAL		SAT7-1114	30,22,060	0.05
59	16.04.2022	Nalini Bajaj	SAT2-115	0.052	355	Nalini Bajaj		SAT2-115	29,31,768	0.05
60	16.04.2022	Devasish malik	SAT2-817	0.055	159	Devasish Malik		SAT2-817	30,60,135	0.05

61	16.04.2022	Rakesh Kumar Jain	SAT3-1008	0.048	467	Rakesh Kumar Jain		SAT3-1008	26,99,745	0.05
62	16.04.2022	Harpreet Kaur & Harpreet singh	SAT3-107	0.047	209	Harpreet Kaur	Harpreet Singh	SAT3-107	26,55,052	0.05
63	16.04.2022	Niraj Kanaujia	SAT3-120	0.041	375	Niraj Kanaujia		BZ-1166	22,79,741	0.04
64	16.04.2022	Sunil Mehta and hayat singh Mehta	SAT3-1220	0.029	624	Sunil Meta	Hayat Singh Mehta	SAT3-1220	16,07,337	0.03
65	16.04.2022	Ketan Gosain	SAT3-214	0.059	255	Ketan Gosain		SAT3-214	33,07,149	0.06
66	16.04.2022	Satya Prakash Jha	SAT3-220	0.041	771	Satya Prakash Jha		SAT3-220	23,06,936	0.04
67	16.04.2022	Paramjit jaggi	SAT3-304	0.04	388	Paramjit Jaggi		SAT3-304	22,31,177	0.04
68	16.04.2022	Amitabha Datta & Sutapa Datta	SAT3-415	0.039	48	Amitabha Datta	Sutapa Datta	SAT3-415	21,75,868	0.04
69	16.04.2022	Sudha Rani sharma	SAT3-418	0.048	605	Sudha Rani Sharma		SAT3-418	27,19,471	0.05
70	16.04.2022	KIRAN BATRA	SAT3-702	0.047	258	Kiran Batra	Naveen Batra	SAT3-702	26,46,530	0.05
71	16.04.2022	Vipul Kumar	SAT3-908	0.05	773	Vipin Kumar		SAT3-908	62,14,958	0.11
72	16.04.2022	Saurav Bhatia	SAT4-1017	0.046	561	Saurav Bhatia		SAT4-1017	25,84,294	0.05
73	16.04.2022	Sudel Lall & Aradhana Lall	SAT4-105	0.056	604	Sudel Lall	Aradhana Lall	SAT4-105	31,47,213	0.06
74	16.04.2022	Namita Gupta	SAT4-1116	0.031	357	Namita Gupta		SAT4-1116	17,22,436	0.03
75	16.04.2022	Charu Malik	SAT4-1212	0.037	137	Charu Malik		SAT4-1212	20,65,852	0.04

76	16.04.2022	Rama Agarwal	SAT4-215	0.043	470	Rama Aggarwal		SAT4-215	23,99,569	0.04
77	16.04.2022	Aditya Goel	SAT4-302	0.022	16	Aditya Goel		SAT4-302	12,46,444	0.02
78	16.04.2022	R. Boopathi	SAT4-312	0.046						
79	16.04.2022	Vikas Gaur & Manoj Kumar Sharma	SAT4-402	0.059	40	Amit Kumar		SAT4-402	19,75,217	0.04
80	16.04.2022	Praveen Jhangiani	SAT4-410	0.046	422	Praveen Jhangiani	Meena Jhangiani	SAT4-410	25,62,020	0.05
81	16.04.2022	Rekha Pandey	SAT4-512	0.038	488	Rekha Pandey		SAT4-512	21,60,041	0.04
82	16.04.2022	Aksha Dutta and Mr Rajat Dutta	SAT4-517	0.037	24	Aksha Dutta	Rajat Dutta	SAT4-517	20,80,778	0.04
83	16.04.2022	Laboni Singh	SAT4-518	0.039	279	Laboni Singh		SAT4-518	21,79,734	0.04
84	16.04.2022	Sanjay Gupta	SAT4-520	0.042	529	Sanjay Gupta		SAT4-520	23,44,110	0.04
85	16.04.2022	Pradeep Arora & Geeta Arora	SAT4-612A	0.053	406	Pradeep Arora	Geeta Arora	SAT4-612A	29,66,772	0.05
86	16.04.2022	Amitabh Ghai	SAT4-711	0.04						
87	16.04.2022	Abhishek Gupta	SAT7-1012A	0.054	10	Abhishek Gupta		SAT7-1012A	30,25,408	0.05
88	16.04.2022	Murali Krishna & Panchangam & PV Valli	SAT7-110	0.053	354	Murali Krishna Panchangam	Pv Valli	SAT7-110	29,88,523	0.05
89	16.04.2022	Ruby Kumari	SAT7-1103	0.053	506	Ruby Kumari		SAT7-1103	30,02,254	0.05
90	16.04.2022	Modhura Biswas	SAT7-1106	0.052	331	Modhura Biswas		SAT7-1106	28,93,565	0.05

91	16.04.2022	NEERAJ SAXENA	SAT7-1108	0.025	770	Neeraj Saxena		SAT7-1108	13,80,894	0.02
92	16.04.2022	Satish Chand Devshali	SAT7-1110	0.021	553	Satish Chand Devshali		SAT7-1110	11,89,741	0.02
93	16.04.2022	Sudhir Pande	SAT7-1112	0.053	608	Sudhir Pande		SAT7-1112	29,88,460	0.05
94	16.04.2022	Amit Chauhan and Ved Pal Anal	SAT7-1212	0.024	36	Amit Chauhan	Ved Pal Anal	SAT7-1212	13,64,287	0.02
95	16.04.2022	Anand Arzu	SAT7-304	0.044	50	Anand Aarzu		SAT7-304	24,77,002	0.04
96	16.04.2022	Richa Nautiyal and Sachin Nautiyal	SAT7-307	0.02						
97	16.04.2022	Deepa Gupta & Neeraj Gupta	SAT7-314	0.053	144	Deepa Gupta	Neeraj Gupta	SAT7-314	29,59,369	0.05
98	16.04.2022	Deepshikha Kejriwal	SAT7-414	0.053	156	Deepshikha Kejriwal		SAT7-414	29,59,210	0.05
99	16.04.2022	Yogesh Kumar	SAT7-415	0.046	707	Yogesh Kumar		SAT7-415	28,72,619	0.05
100	16.04.2022	Sidharth Gaur	SAT7-705	0.043	591	Sidharth Gaur		SAT7-705	23,90,819	0.04
101	16.04.2022	Neeru Mehra	SAT7-801	0.061	369	Neeru Mehra		SAT7-801	34,06,121	0.06
102	16.04.2022	K G Kumar	SAT7-804	0.054	234	K G Kumar		SAT7-804	32,71,110	0.06
103	16.04.2022	Arun Gaba	SAT7-816	0.052	93	Arun Gaba		SAT7-816	29,16,195	0.05
104	16.04.2022	Bishnu Priya Roy Choudhary & Deepankar Roy Choudhary	SAT7-918	0.052	128	Bishnu Priya Roy Choudhary	Deepankar Roy Choudhary	SAT7-918	29,33,564	0.05

105	16.04.2022	Harsh Shreekant Pandit	SAT8-115	0.044	210	Harsh Shreekant Pandit		SAT8-115	24,73,459	0.04
106	16.04.2022	Alvin Singh	SAT8-220	0.021	34	Alvin Singh		SAT8-220	11,63,581	0.02
107	16.04.2022	Vikram dubey	SAT8-411	0.053	683	Vikram Dubey	Manjari Ojha	SAT8-411	29,87,156	0.05
108	16.04.2022	Jaikrishan Dubey and Madhu Kumari	SAT8-412	0.051	225	Jaikishan Dubey		SAT8-412	28,23,094	0.05
109	16.04.2022	Rashmi & Manjeet sharma	SAT8-712	0.012						
110	16.04.2022	NEERAJ SAXENA	SAT8-804	0.019	769	Neeraj Saxena		SAT8-804	10,71,816	0.02
111	16.04.2022	Emad Ahmad Anis	SAT9-1113	0.038	181	Emad Ahmad Anis		SAT9-1113	21,07,407	0.04
112	16.04.2022	NEERAJ SAXENA	SAT9-1210	0.019	768	Neeraj Saxena		SAT9-1210	10,71,816	0.02
113	16.04.2022	Sanjeev Kumar Govil	SAT9-1217	0.037	736	Sanjeev Kumar Govil		SAT9-1217	20,95,174	0.04
114	16.04.2022	Shweta Bharti	SAT9-205	0.046	637	Sweta Bharti		SAT9-205	25,72,108	0.05
115	16.04.2022	Gatikrishna Rana	SAT9-304	0.048	187	Gatikrishna Rana		SAT9-304	27,15,962	0.05
116	16.04.2022	Tarun Sachdev	SAT9-306	0.042	644	Tarun Sachdev		SAT9-307	23,76,331	0.04
117	16.04.2022	Sarabjeet Singh	SAT9-601	0.046	546	Sarabjeet Singh		SAT9-601	25,76,824	0.05
118	16.04.2022	INDRANI CHAUDHURI	SAT9-604	0.047	222	Indrani Choudhary		SAT9-604	26,62,004	0.05
119	16.04.2022	Laxima Luthra	SAT9-707	0.034						

120	17.04.2022	Umesh Gangadhar	A-1504	0.122	656	Umesh Gangadhar		A-1504	68,72,309	0.12
121	17.04.2022	Pooja Rani & Praveen Kumar Bansal	A-503	0.123	401	Pooja Rani	Praveen Kumar Bansal	A-503	69,08,791	0.12
122	17.04.2022	Suruchi	A-508	0.108						
123	17.04.2022	Arvind Kumar agarwal	A-701	0.116	101	Arvind Kumar Agrawal		A-701	65,27,533	0.12
124	17.04.2022	Chetan Guliani	A-903	0.133	340	Mr. Chetan Guliyani	Ms. Sonia Guliyani	A-903	74,43,787	0.13
125	17.04.2022	Varun Sharma & Priyadarshna Sharma	B-1706	0.117	667	Varun Sharma	Priyadarshna Sharma	-1706	65,87,468	0.12
126	17.04.2022	Arun Gupta & Manish Gupta	B-1708	0.126	94	Arun Gupta	Manisha Gupta	B-1708	70,89,434	0.13
127	17.04.2022	Goldy Arora & Perna Arora	B-502	0.113	755	Goldy Arora	Perna Arora	B-502	63,48,818	0.11
128	17.04.2022	Arun Khanna	B-607	0.067	95	Arun Khanna		B-607	63,12,850	0.11
129	17.04.2022	Lalit Mohan Aggarwal & Anjana Aggarwal	C-1002	0.117	284	Lalit Mohan Aggarwal	Anjana Aggarwal	C-1002	65,51,163	0.12
130	17.04.2022	Shantanu Roy & Nabanita Ray	C-1004	0.086	572	Shantanu		C-1004	48,52,818	0.09
131	17.04.2022	Rahul Sharma & Shweta Sharma	C-1406	0.109	452	Rahul Sharma	Shweta Sharma	C-1406	61,34,102	0.11
132	17.04.2022	Shwetajali Kumari	C-1608	0.114	587	Shwetanjali Kumari		C-1608	64,03,983	0.11
133	17.04.2022	Sunny Mehra	C-1901	0.117	627	Sunny Mehra		C-1901	65,37,575	0.12
134	17.04.2022	Rakesh Kumar	C-502	0.123	465	RAKESH KUMAR		-502	68,93,738	0.12

135	17.04.2022	Kshama Gupta	C-908	0.101	271	Kusum Gupta	Om Prakash Gupta	C-908	56,95,019	0.1
136	17.04.2022	Pankaj Saluja	D-102	0.105	384	PANKAJ PAHUJA		-102	59,16,578	0.11
137	17.04.2022	Sridas Garg	D-203	0.113	625	Sunita Garg		D-203	63,63,248	0.11
138	17.04.2022	Bhawna Dhamija Jauhari & Puneet Jauhari	D-405	0.095	436	Puneet Jauhari		D-405	53,23,437	0.09
139	17.04.2022	Anil Gupta	D-506	0.112	55	Anil Gupta		D-506	62,70,112	0.11
140	17.04.2022	Gaurav Rai	D-507	0.12	191	Gaurav Rai		D-507	67,44,899	0.12
141	17.04.2022	Harmanpreet Singh & Priyanka Singh	E-504	0.078	754	Harman Preet Singh	Priyanka Singh	E-504	43,69,820	0.08
142	17.04.2022	Nishkarsh Kulshrestha	E-608	0.093	377	Nishkarsh Kulshrestha		E-608	52,16,605	0.09
143	17.04.2022	Kunal Monga	E-902	0.098						
144	17.04.2022	Lunalisa Potsangbam & Anuj Shanker Saxena	F-1002	0.102	294	Lunalisa Postsangbam	Anuj Shankar Saxena	F-1002	57,12,844	0.1
145	17.04.2022	Diwan Azhar Khan	F-107	0.115	172	Diwan Azhar Khan		F-107	64,46,955	0.11
146	17.04.2022	Syed Nowazes Rahaman	F-1105	0.086	761	Sayed Nawajish Rahaman		F-1105	48,32,865	0.09
147	17.04.2022	Abhishek Singh	F-1406	0.112	13	Abhishek Singh		F-1406	62,86,164	0.11
148	17.04.2022	Uma Sangwan & Jitendra Sangwan	F-1608	0.11						
149	17.04.2022	Uday	F-604	0.098	650	Uday Vir Singh Kotwal	Suman Kotwal	F-604	54,73,884	0.1

150	17.04.2022	Shweta Tomar	SAT10-1114	0.044	586	Shweta Tomar		SAT10-1114	24,90,037	0.04
151	17.04.2022	Swati Jaswal	SAT10-417	0.048	633	Swati Jaswal		SAT10-417	27,06,548	0.05
152	17.04.2022	Vijay Shankar Yadav	SAT1-1111	0.065	677	Vijay Shankar Yadav		SAT1-1111	61,59,026	0.11
153	17.04.2022	Rishi Kumar Kaushik	SAT1-903	0.056	735	Rishi Kumar Kaushik		SAT1-903	31,46,138	0.06
154	17.04.2022	Shalini Bhatagalikar	SAT1-904	0.05	739	Shalini Abhishek Bhatagalikar		SAT1-904	27,88,697	0.05
155	17.04.2022	Kapil Ahuja	SAT2-1807	0.048	242	Kapil Ahuja		SAT2-1807	26,80,618	0.05
156	17.04.2022	Ramesh Kumar Garg	SAT2-520	0.054	473	Ramesh Kumar Garg		SAT2-520	30,38,669	0.05
157	17.04.2022	Sarita Jain & Alok Kumar Jain	SAT3-1002	0.053	550	Sarita Jain	Ashok Kumar Jain	SAT3-1002	29,69,457	0.05
158	17.04.2022	Deepak Kapoor & Geeta Kapoor	SAT3-1204	0.036	147	Deepak Kapoor	Geeta Kapoor	SAT3-1204	20,47,061	0.04
159	17.04.2022	Vishnu Gaurav Krishna & Dipti Krishna	SAT3-1208	0.048	774	Vishnu Gaurav Krishna	Deepti	SAT3-1208	26,88,184	0.05
160	17.04.2022	Sunil kumar Kushwaha	SAT3-202	0.064	622	Sunil Khushwaha		SAT3-202	35,64,143	0.06
161	17.04.2022	Kalyani Bhargava & Deepak Bhargava	SAT3-318	0.048						
162	17.04.2022	Anajna Singh & Sona Singh	SAT3-411	0.044	63	Anjana Singh	Sona Singh	SAT3-411	24,72,193	0.04
163	17.04.2022	Shaloo Khanna	SAT3-412	0.047	571	Shaloo Khanna	Neelam Khanna	SAT3-412	26,49,428	0.05
164	17.04.2022	Krishan Khanna	SAT3-412A	0.047	265	Krishan Khanna	Ankur Khanna	SAT3-412A	26,52,498	0.05

165	17.04.2022	Vikas Kumar Nindrajog	SAT3-618	0.045	678	Vikas Kumar Nidrajog	Manoj Kumar Sharma	SAT4-402	25,19,739	0.04
166	17.04.2022	Sourabh Shrestha & Aancchal Loomba	SAT3-704	0.022	601	Sourabh Shrestha		SAT3-704	12,35,929	0.02
167	17.04.2022	Kiran Puri & Rakesh Puri	SAT3-918	0.047	260	Kiran Puri	Rakesh Puri	SAT3-918	38,00,298	0.07
168	17.04.2022	Geeta Kalra	SAT4-107	0.046	199	Geeta Kalra		SAT4-107	25,68,398	0.05
169	17.04.2022	Aditya Dwivedi & Aastha Dwivedi	SAT4-1208	0.054	114	Astha Dwedi		SAT4-1208	30,20,842	0.05
170	17.04.2022	Padma Srinivasan	SAT4-212	0.047	344	Ms Padma Srinivasan		SAT4-212	26,57,425	0.05
171	17.04.2022	Padma Srinivasan	SAT4-212A	0.047	345	Ms Padma Srinivasan		SAT4-212A	26,57,605	0.05
172	17.04.2022	Punam Chandra Aggarwal & Meena Aggarwal & Sakshi Verma	SAT4-310	0.031	762	Poonam C Aggarwal	Meena Aggarwal And Sakshi	SAT4-310	17,14,155	0.03
173	17.04.2022	A J S Chhatwal & Praveen Chhatwal	SAT4-412	0.045	2	A.Js. Chhatwal	Parveen Chhatwal	SAT4-412	25,28,000	0.05
174	17.04.2022	Rajiv anand and Puja Anand	SAT4-502	0.049	462	Rajiv Anand	Puja Anand	SAT4-502	27,32,052	0.05
175	17.04.2022	Vishal Chugh	SAT4-910	0.047	698	Vishal Chugh		SAT4-910	26,23,897	0.05
176	17.04.2022	Mahesh Babbar	SAT7-118	0.052	299	Mahesh Babbar		SAT7-118	29,30,058	0.05
177	17.04.2022	Aruna Rishi	SAT7-119	0.047	98	Aruna Rishi		SAT7-119	26,27,317	0.05
178	17.04.2022	Damanjit Kaur & Upinder S. Dhingra	SAT7-1204	0.052	658	Upinder Dhingra	Dharamjeet Kaur	SAT7-1204	29,32,513	0.05

179	17.04.2022	Vamsee Krishna DV & Divya S.	SAT7-1209	0.053	663	Vamsee Krishna D.V	Divya S	SAT7-1209	29,88,358	0.05
180	17.04.2022	Yogesh Gupta	SAT7-1211	0.058	775	Yogesh Gupta		SAT7-1211	32,78,751	0.06
181	17.04.2022	Mona Sethi & Amit Sethi	SAT7-1217	0.059	44	Amit Sethi	Mona Sethi	SAT7-1217	32,89,819	0.06
182	17.04.2022	Arun Pujari	SAT7-212	0.053	96	Arun Pujari	Vinaya Pujari	SAT7-212	29,52,988	0.05
183	17.04.2022	Garima Srivastava	SAT7-218	0.046	186	Garima Srivastava		SAT7-218	25,99,115	0.05
184	17.04.2022	Rachna Srivastava	SAT7-219	0.046	445	Rachna Srivastava		SAT7-219	25,99,115	0.05
185	17.04.2022	Saurabh Gupta & Veena Gupta	SAT7-315	0.053	559	Saurabh Gupta	Veena Gupta	SAT7-315	29,58,178	0.05
186	17.04.2022	Ankur Khurana & Harish Khurana	SAT7-408	0.053	72	Ankur Khurana	Harish Khurana	SAT7-408	29,56,361	0.05
187	17.04.2022	Rumki Guha	SAT7-505	0.054	508	Rumki Guha		SAT7-505	30,54,125	0.05
188	17.04.2022	Madhu Malhotra & Manohar Lal Malhotra	SAT7-701	0.047	297	Madhu Malhotra	M L Malhotra	SAT7-701	26,39,918	0.05
189	17.04.2022	Rajesh Kumar Nigam	SAT7-704	0.052	461	Rajesh Nigam		SAT7-704	29,24,491	0.05
190	17.04.2022	Jyotsna Kapoor	SAT7-808	0.047	757	Jyotsana Kapoor		SAT7-808	26,53,977	0.05
191	17.04.2022	Chanda Sharma	SAT8-1012	0.018	133	Chanda Sharma		SAT8-1012	11,10,117	0.02
192	17.04.2022	Bijay Singh	SAT8-1120	0.063	126	BIJAY SINGH	PRIYA SINGH	SAT5-1120	35,09,982	0.06
193	17.04.2022	Vijey Koul	SAT8-205	0.048	676	Vijay Koul		SAT8-205	27,13,303	0.05

194	17.04.2022	Prerna Chauhan & Santosh Verma	SAT8-706	0.06	764	Prema Chouhan		SAT8-706	33,61,585	0.06
195	17.04.2022	Divya Madan	SAT9-1111	0.042	169	Divya Madan		SAT9-1111	23,60,551	0.04
196	17.04.2022	Nilesh Akar	SAT9-1120	0.043	374	Nilesh Akar		SAT9-1120	23,94,660	0.04
197	17.04.2022	Kavya Nagarajan & Nagarajan S.	SAT9-1206	0.045	253	Kavya Nagarajan	Nagarajan S	SAT9-1206	25,11,668	0.04
198	17.04.2022	Naren Nagarajan & Nagarajan S.	SAT9-1207	0.046						
199	17.04.2022	Lalitha Nagarajan & Nagarajan S.	SAT9-1208	0.045	254	Kavya Nagarajan	Nagarajan S	SAT9-1208	25,11,668	0.04
200	17.04.2022	Sandhya Ghosh & Shubhra Ghosh	SAT9-1216	0.046	513	Sadhya Ghosh	Shubra Ghosh	SAT9-1216	25,81,952	0.05
201	17.04.2022	Gaurishna Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	SAT9-203	0.047	194	Gaurshna Consultants Pvt Ltd		SAT9-203	26,10,716	0.05
202	17.04.2022	Gaurishna Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	SAT9-218	0.046	195	Gaurshna Consultants Pvt Ltd		SAT9-218	25,84,547	0.05
203	17.04.2022	Mukesh Jairath	SAT9-605	0.046	350	Mukesh Jairath		SAT9-605	25,77,545	0.05
204	17.04.2022	Kalpana Gupta	SAT9-615	0.061	237	Kalpana Gupta		SAT9-615	34,32,167	0.06
205	17.04.2022	Namarta	SAT9-909	0.026	356	Namarta		SAT9-909	13,38,235	0.02
206	18.04.2022	Ashwani Chawla	A-1001	0.127	113	Ashwani Chawla		A-1001	71,00,968	0.13
207	18.04.2022	Vipin Rawat	A-507	0.131	694	Vipin Rawat		A-507	73,47,417	0.13
208	18.04.2022	Ajay Grover	A-702	0.132	19	Ajay Grover		A-700	73,84,780	0.13

209	18.04.2022	Jwalant Joshipura	A-810	0.046	230	Jwalant Joshipura		A-801	69,48,068	0.12
210	18.04.2022	Prasanjit Mazumdar	B-1204	0.099	417	Prasanjit Mazumdar		B-1204	55,31,027	0.1
211	18.04.2022	Hans Raj	B-1401	0.086	756	Hans Raj		B-1401	48,37,625	0.09
212	18.04.2022	Pratap Singh Ningthoujam	B-305	0.107	421	Pratap Singh Ningthoujam		-305	59,89,365	0.11
213	18.04.2022	Thoudam Rajeshor Singh	B-306	0.107	647	Thoudam Rajeshor Singh		-306	59,89,366	0.11
214	18.04.2022	Ramnish Khanna	B-508	0.116	475	Ramnish Khanna		B-508	64,94,406	0.12
215	18.04.2022	Akhilesh kaplish	B-702	0.117	22	Akhilesh Kaplish		B-702	62,46,618	0.11
216	18.04.2022	Ankur Jain & Shubhi Jain	B-802	0.107	715	Ankur Jain	Shubhi Jain	B-802	69,82,293	0.12
217	18.04.2022	Ajay Garg	C-1001	0.104	18	Ajay Garg		C-1001	58,13,589	0.1
218	18.04.2022	Karan Ramani	C-1008	0.113						
219	18.04.2022	Mayank Arora	C-106	0.11	322	Mayank Arora		C-106	61,70,124	0.11
220	18.04.2022	Divya Pant & Amit Pant	C-1106	0.107						
221	18.04.2022	Saurabh Saggi	C-1203	0.109	1	Saurabh Saggi		C-1203	61,41,859	0.11
222	18.04.2022	Ravi Prakash	C-1207	0.124	479	Ravi Prakash	POOJA Prakash	-1207	69,50,480	0.12
223	18.04.2022	Col Rakesh Sharma and Mrs Sanyukta Sharma.	C-1504	0.032						

224	18.04.2022	Bhavya Devrani	C-1607	0.101	442	R.P.Shahi		C-1607	65,81,290	0.12
225	18.04.2022	Gokul Dasan P	C-706	0.13	752	Gokul Dasan		C-706	72,81,250	0.13
226	18.04.2022	Pranaya Thapliyal	C-603	0.125						
227	18.04.2022	Dhruv Mehra & Princey Mehra	C-606	0.128	164	Dhruv Mehra	Princey Mehra	C-606	71,60,512	0.13
228	18.04.2022	Sandeep	C-607	0.111	518	Sandeep		C-607	62,46,242	0.11
229	18.04.2022	Archna Pant	C-707	0.129	90	Archna Pant		C-707	72,44,412	0.13
230	18.04.2022	Manash Protim Kashyap & Ipsita Devi	D-1106	0.12						
231	18.04.2022	Parwinderjit Singh & Gurmeet Singh	D-1201	0.101						
232	18.04.2022	Anish Verma	D-1402	0.106	714	Anish Verma		D-1402	59,48,870	0.11
233	18.04.2022	Gurukirpal Arora	D-1506	0.112	207	Gurukirpal Arora		D-1506	62,69,627	0.11
234	18.04.2022	SUNIL DABRAL & KANCHAN DABRAL	D-503	0.116	619	Sunil Dabral	Kanchan Dabral	-503	65,36,404	0.12
235	18.04.2022	Devendra Taneja	D-702	0.021						
236	18.04.2022	Rohit Srivastava	D-706	0.106	766	Rohit Srivastava		D-706	59,71,042	0.11
237	18.04.2022	Saksham Anand	D-903	0.111	516	SAKSHAM ANAND		-903	62,09,943	0.11
238	18.04.2022	Somya Kumar & Amit Kumar	E-1001	0.095	598	Somya Kumar	Amit Kumar	-1001	53,26,931	0.09

239	18.04.2022	Sapna Jain & Mohit jain	E-1008	0.107	544	Sapna Jain	Mohit Jain	E-1008	60,12,472	0.11
240	18.04.2022	Amit Walia and Davinder Kaur	E-105	0.122	47	Amit Walia		E-105	68,68,017	0.12
241	18.04.2022	Monika Kochar & Pradeep Bhatia	E-1208	0.093	338	Monika Kochar	Pradeep Bhatia	E-1208	75,98,717	0.14
242	18.04.2022	Renu Narang	E-1605	0.078						
243	18.04.2022	Manish Ahuja	E-1801	0.102	759	Manish Ahuja		E-1801	57,07,789	0.1
244	18.04.2022	Rawel Arora	E-303	0.111	485	Rawel Arora		E-303	62,34,703	0.11
245	18.04.2022	Pranay jairath and vinita jairath	E-304	0.123	415	Pranay Jairath	Vinita Jairath	E-304	69,26,153	0.12
246	18.04.2022	Abhishek Kumar Singh & Dinesh pratap Singh	E-503	0.092	746	Abhishek		E-503	51,64,386	0.09
247	18.04.2022	Siddharth Mathur	E-505	0.117	592	Sidharth Mathur	Anibhuti Mathur	E-505	65,82,737	0.12
248	18.04.2022	Devendra Taneja	E-704	0.021						
249	18.04.2022	Rajeev Manchanda	E-808	0.1	731	Rajeev Manchanda		E-808	56,08,697	0.1
250	18.04.2022	Himanshu Dutt	F-1202	0.08	213	Himanshu Dutt		F-1202	45,03,066	0.08
251	18.04.2022	Sachin Kumar & Gunjan Srivastava	F-1206	0.093	510	Sachin Kumar	Gunjan Srivastwa	F-1206	53,63,936	0.1
252	18.04.2022	NANITA CHOPRA	F-1605	0.079						
253	18.04.2022	Ajay Singh Rana & Yogini Rana	F-303	0.1	21	Ajay Singh Rana	Yogini Rana	F-303	56,21,444	0.1

254	18.04.2022	Vinod Kumar	F-403	0.089	689	Vinod Kumar		F-403	50,16,402	0.09
255	18.04.2022	Vidhushi Agarwal Devrani & Ashish Devrani	SAT10-105	0.023						
256	18.04.2022	Sonia Shokeen & Shakti Singh Nain	SAT10-317	0.06						
257	18.04.2022	Autar Krishan Kachru & Nirmala Kachru & Aditya Kachru	SAT10-519	0.051	116	Avtar Krishan Kachroo	Nirmala Kachroo	SAT10-519	28,43,577	0.05
258	18.04.2022	Sandhya Karoria and Mukul Karoria	SAT1-1119	0.053	521	Sandhya Karoria	Mukul Karoria	SAT1-1119	29,48,662	0.05
259	18.04.2022	K.C Bidani & Yogesh Bidani	SAT1-212	0.052	235	K.C. Bidani	Yogesh Bidani	SAT1-212	29,25,928	0.05
260	18.04.2022	ASHUTOSH KUMAR	SAT1-318	0.057	110	Ashutosh Kumar		SAT1-318	31,94,787	0.06
261	18.04.2022	Pradeep Kumar Upadhyay	SAT1-320	0.047	410	Pradeep Kumar Upadhyay		SAT1-320	26,15,109	0.05
262	18.04.2022	Abhishek Tripathi and Tanu Tripathi	SAT1-403	0.054	14	Abhishek Tripathi		SAT4-801	30,20,187	0.05
263	18.04.2022	Shivangi Rawat	SAT2-1207	0.052	581	Shivangi Rawat		SAT2-1702	29,43,264	0.05
264	18.04.2022	Tanuja Prasad	SAT2-1509	0.098	640	Tanuja Prasad		SAT2-1509	39,54,679	0.07
265	18.04.2022	Swati Wagh	SAT2-1609	0.073	772	Swati Wagh		SAT2-1609	41,05,920	0.07
266	18.04.2022	Gurleen Kaur and Pritpal Singh	SAT2-209	0.061	204	Gurleen Kaur	Pritpal Singh	SAT2-209	34,19,991	0.06
267	18.04.2022	Sarika Kalia & Manish Kalia	SAT2-301	0.046	760	Sarika Kalia	Manish Kalia	SAT2-301	25,54,154	0.05

268	18.04.2022	Advocate Chiradeep Majumdar	SAT2-409	0.062	751	Adv Chiradeep Majumdar		SAT2-409	34,54,474	0.06
269	18.04.2022	Baban Deep Singh	SAT3-3	0.016	117	Baban Deep Singh		SAT3-3	8,91,407	0.02
270	18.04.2022	Abhishek Raghav	SAT3-204	0.04	12	Abhishek Raghav		SAT3-204	22,21,568	0.04
271	18.04.2022	Sachin Kumar	SAT3-217	0.048	511	Sachin Kumar		SAT3-217	15,78,321	0.03
272	18.04.2022	Akhilesh Kumar Gupta	SAT3-404	0.05	23	Akhilesh Kumar Gupta		SAT3-404	28,02,292	0.05
273	18.04.2022	Punita Taneja	SAT3-419	0.05						
274	18.04.2022	Punita Taneja	SAT3-420	0.05						
275	18.04.2022	Mohit Rastogi and Charu Rastogi	SAT3-502	0.044	336	Mohit Rastogi	Deepshikha Pant	SAT3-502	24,77,245	0.04
276	18.04.2022	Amit Singh	SAT3-608	0.059	45	Amit Singh	Priyanka Singh	SAT3-608	33,00,600	0.06
277	18.04.2022	Sudhakar Jee	SAT3-711	0.041	606	Sudhakar Jee		SAT3-711	22,81,057	0.04
278	18.04.2022	Punita Taneja	SAT3-816	0.047						
279	18.04.2022	Punita Taneja	SAT3-817	0.047						
280	18.04.2022	Vijay Bhatnagar & Anita Bhatnagar	SAT4-5	0.059	675	Vijay Bhatnagar	Anita Bhatnagar	SAT4-5	33,18,763	0.06
281	18.04.2022	Rajeev Rattan & Savita Gupta	SAT4-101	0.036	562	Savita Gupta	Rajeev Rattan	SAT4-101	20,25,218	0.04
282	18.04.2022	Vandana Mehra	SAT4-1202	0.06	747	Vandana Mehra		SAT4-1202	33,38,942	0.06

283	18.04.2022	Dalip Singh	SAT4-1209	0.031	142	Dalip Singh		SAT4-1209	17,20,681	0.03
284	18.04.2022	Asheesh Malik	SAT4-1212A	0.037	716	Asheesh Malik		SAT4-1212A	20,82,090	0.04
285	18.04.2022	Ranjan Kumar	SAT4-206	0.045						
286	18.04.2022	Naveen Chopra	SAT4-211	0.042						
287	18.04.2022	Shyam Singh	SAT4-408	0.041	590	Shyam Singh		SAT4-408	22,98,365	0.04
288	18.04.2022	Pankaj Jain	SAT4-416	0.037						
289	18.04.2022	Renu Mangla	SAT4-505	0.033						
290	18.04.2022	Kiran Singh & Chandan Kumar Singh	SAT4-506	0.045	263	Kiran Singh	Chandan Kumar Singh	SAT4-506	25,38,066	0.05
291	18.04.2022	Neha Agarwal	SAT4-716	0.05	750	NEHA AGGARWAL		SAT7-1114	30,22,060	0.05
292	18.04.2022	Amarendra Kumar & Shweta Sinha	SAT7-1119	0.047	588	Shwetha Sinha	Amarendra Kumar	SAT7-1119	26,25,257	0.05
293	18.04.2022	Ms. Kiran Shaheen	SAT7-204	0.047	261	Kiran Shaheen		SAT7-204	26,18,699	0.05
294	18.04.2022	Seema Dora	SAT7-301	0.056	564	Seema Dora		SAT7-301	31,63,145	0.06
295	18.04.2022	Satish Kumar Bansal	SAT7-620	0.063	555	Satish Kumar Bansal		SAT7-620	35,14,889	0.06
296	18.04.2022	Ghulam Qadir Khan	SAT7-703	0.052	201	Gulam Qaudir Khan		SAT7-703	28,92,724	0.05
297	18.04.2022	Anisha Mehta & Amit Kumar Mehta	SAT8-1105	0.051	41	Amit Kumar Mehta	Anisha Mehta	SAT8-1105	28,67,872	0.05

298	18.04.2022	Pooja Anand Bhandari nee Pooja Anand	SAT8-1109	0.044	399	Pooja Anand		SAT8-1109	24,69,398	0.04
299	18.04.2022	Amit Khullar	SAT8-405	0.049	39	Amit Khullar		SAT8-405	27,43,493	0.05
300	18.04.2022	Kartik Malik	SAT8-814	0.052						
301	18.04.2022	MUNEESH CHOPRA & ANITA CHOPRA	SAT9-1005	0.044	353	Muneesh Chopra	Anita Chopra	SAT9-1005	24,41,574	0.04
302	18.04.2022	Sandeep Gupta	SAT9-610	0.035	198	Geeta Gupta	Sandeep Gupta	SAT9-610	19,68,797	0.04
303	18.04.2022	Minakshi Beecha	SAT9-706	0.036	328	Meenakshi Beecha		SAT9-706	19,96,324	0.04
304	18.04.2022	Vinod Jain	SAT9-903	0.037	688	Vinod Jain		SAT3-903	20,52,481	0.04
305	19.04.2022	Navneet Dhawan	D-1008	0.077	364	Navneet Dhawan		D-1008	43,02,914	0.08
306	19.04.2022	Anoorag Saxena	SAT7-406	0.055	86	Anurag Saxena		SAT7-406	30,70,700	0.05
307	19.04.2022	Abhishek Kumar	SAT8-1204	0.006	11	Abhishek Kumar		SAT8-1204	3,27,773	0.01
308	19.04.2022	Parul Sharma & Anil Kumar	F-301	0.094	390	Parul Sharma	Anil Kumar	F-301	52,51,895	0.09
309	19.04.2022	Mohit Ralen & Jyotika Rani	C-1403	0.128	335	Mohit Ralen	Jyotika Rani	C-1403	71,95,725	0.13
310	19.04.2022	Jagdish Kumar	F-302	0.101						
311	19.04.2022	Meena sharma	SAT9-301	0.037	326	Meena Sharma		SAT9-301	20,90,134	0.04
312	19.04.2022	Madhumita Shome & Subir Shome	SAT9-609	0.045	298	Madhumita Shome	Subir Shome	SAT9-609	25,25,278	0.05

313	19.04.2022	Fazle Ali	B-407	0.106	183	Fazle Ali		B-407	59,63,793	0.11
314	19.04.2022	Suman Sharma	SAT4-801	0.046	14	Abhishek Tripathi		SAT4-801	30,20,187	0.05
315	19.04.2022	Swati Kohli	SAT2-114	0.056	634	Swati Kohli		SAT2-114	31,41,139	0.06
316	19.04.2022	Manu Kohli	SAT2-112A	0.056	320	Manu Kohli		SAT2-112A	31,20,488	0.06
317	19.04.2022	Chandra Pal Singh Bisht	SAT3-719	0.053	134	Chandra Pal Singh Bisht		SAT3-719	29,80,159	0.05
318	19.04.2022	Manveen Kaur	SAT4-1016	0.045	321	Manveen Kaur		SAT4-1016	25,40,421	0.05
319	19.04.2022	Satender Singh Patwal	SAT8-1101	0.044	711	Satender Singh Patwal	Aditi Patwal	SAT7-1201	24,91,160	0.04
320	19.04.2022	Anjali Agarwal	F-502	0.092	62	ANJALI AGARWAL		-502	51,53,011	0.09
321	19.04.2022	PAYAL GOEL	SAT10-119	0.046						
322	19.04.2022	Sumita Kainthola	SAT7-308	0.022	616	Sumita Kainthola		SAT7-308	12,58,887	0.02
323	19.04.2022	Arpit Agarwal	C-1007	0.112	781	Arpit Agarwal		C-1007	62,79,092	0.11
324	19.04.2022	LEENA PAHWA	SAT10-120	0.046	291	Leena Pahwa		SAT10-120	25,83,183	0.05
325	19.04.2022	Akshat Naithani	A-901	0.122	30	Akshat Naithani	Aradhana Dimri	A-901	68,59,273	0.12
326	19.04.2022	Sangeeta Saxena	SAT8-1005	0.046	524	Sangeeta Saxena		SAT8-1005	25,95,473	0.05
327	19.04.2022	Sanjay Verma	SAT9-612	0.047	535	Sanjay Verma		SAT9-612	26,10,885	0.05

328	19.04.2022	MADHU ARORA	SAT10-116	0.046	785	Madhu Arora		SAT10-116	25,68,665	0.05
329	19.04.2022	Sunil Kumar Jain	B-304	0.115	784	Sunil K Jain		B-304	64,45,428	0.11
330	19.04.2022	Rachna Dudy	SAT2-408	0.036						
331	19.04.2022	BINDU RANA	SAT4-102	0.045	127	Bindu Rana		SAT4-102	25,34,085	0.05
332	19.04.2022	Rosalin Mohapatra	SAT7-1015	0.052	504	Rosalin Mohapatra		SAT7-1015	29,32,005	0.05
333	19.04.2022	Rabinder Singh & Vijender Singh	SAT9-819	0.036						
334	19.04.2022	Balwant Singh	F-208	0.106	783	Balwant Singh		F-208	59,51,245	0.11
335	19.04.2022	Gargi Devi & Divyanshu Choudhary	B-1806	0.047	185	GARGI DEVI	DIVYANSHU CHOUDHARY	-1806	26,33,218	0.05
336	19.04.2022	Alind Kapil	F-1004	0.113	400	Pooja Gupta	Alind Kapil	F-1004	63,19,098	0.11
337	19.04.2022	Anil Juyal	A-606	0.136	56	Anil Juyal		A-606	76,31,893	0.14
338	19.04.2022	SANJEEV KUMAR KALRA	SAT9-302	0.046	538	Sanjeev Kumar Kalra		SAT9-302	25,81,024	0.05
339	19.04.2022	ramesh Bhardwaj	SAT7-220	0.057	780	Ramesh Bhardwaj		SAT7-220	32,13,750	0.06
340	19.04.2022	Inder Raj Singh	SAT7-605	0.056	219	Inder Raj Singh		SAT7-605	31,21,524	0.06
341	19.04.2022	Shweta Blana and Sukant Blana	D-1604	0.108	584	Shweta Blana	Sukant Blana	-1604	36,20,974	0.06
342	19.04.2022	Abhimanyu Sharda	SAT9-201	0.063	779	Abhimanyu Sharda		SAT9-201	35,38,512	0.06

343	19.04.2022	Prashant trivedi / Madhvi Kumari	A-1405	0.112	419	PRASHANT TRIVEDI	MADHAVI KUMARI	-1405	62,66,949	0.11
344	19.04.2022	Ratan Roy	SAT10-615	0.06						
345	19.04.2022	Aruna Malhan & Naveen Malhan	D-608	0.099						
346	19.04.2022	Ashish Mishra	C-503	0.126	106	Ashish Mishra		C-503	70,54,992	0.13
347	19.04.2022	Shyama Ratan Sharda	SAT9-202	0.043						
348	19.04.2022	Shailendra Mittal and Suresh Chand Mittal	SAT7-1006	0.06						
349	19.04.2022	Anju Solanki & Suneet Singh Rana	SAT2-1112	0.041	778	Anju solanki	suneet singh rana	SAT2-1212	22,90,782	0.04
350	19.04.2022	Vishal Gujral	A-1106	0.115	699	Vishal Gujral		-1106	64,48,263	0.11
		Total voting share		24.993						