IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 0F 2024
(arising out of SLP(C) NO. 14982 of 2017)

STATE BANK OF INDIA APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

DEVENDRA KUMAR SINHA & ORS. RESPONDENT(S)

ORDER

Leave granted.

Learned counsel for the contesting respondent no. 1 – Devendra Kumar Sinha fairly states that the impugned judgment is not sustainable in law and the present appeal may be allowed.

We have considered the impugned judgment, which arises out of Writ Petition (Civil) no. 11907/2016 preferred by respondent no. 1-Devendra Kumar Sinha, challenging the proceedings initiated by the appellant – State Bank of India under the Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002¹, by issue of notice under Section 13(4) of the SARFAESI Act.

There being a mortgage and the loan amounts recoverable, the appellant is entitled to proceed under the SARFAESI Act.

igitally signed by The liability of the guarantor is joint and several with the least cost of the guarantor is joint and several with the least cost of the guarantor is joint and several with the least cost of the guarantor is joint and several with the least cost of the guarantor is joint and several with the least cost of the guarantor is joint and several with the least cost of the guarantor is joint and several with the least cost of the guarantor is joint and several with the least cost of the guarantor is joint and several with the least cost of the guarantor is joint and several with the least cost of the guarantor is joint and several with the least cost of the guarantor is joint and several with the least cost of the guarantor is joint and several with the least cost of the guarantor is joint and several with the least cost of the guarantor is joint and several with the least cost of the guarantor is joint and several with the least cost of the guarantor is joint and several with the guarantor is joint and several with the least cost of the guarantor is joint and several with the guarantor is joint and guarantor is joint

1 For short, "SARFAESI Act".

In view of the said position, the impugned judgment is set aside. Consequently, the appellant- State Bank of India is entitled to proceed under the SARFAESI Act, including the notice under Section 13(4), which was impugned and quashed *vide* the impugned judgment.

However, we clarify that it will be open to respondent no. 1 and other respondents, if aggrieved, to initiate and take steps in accordance with the provisions of the SARFAESI Act.

It is pointed out that respondent no. 1- Devendra Kumar Sinha had made an application for a one-time settlement which was approved but some payments were not made. We make no comments in this regard.

The appeal is allowed and disposed of in the above terms. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(SANJIV KHAN	
(DIPANKAR DAT	
(PRASHANT KUMAR MISH	

MARCH 12, 2024.

ITEM NO.2 COURT NO.2 SECTION XI-A

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 14982/2017

STATE BANK OF INDIA

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

DEVENDRA KUMAR SINHA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 115669/2023 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS)

Date: 12-03-2024 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPANKAR DATTA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRASHANT KUMAR MISHRA

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Sanjay Kapur, AOR Mr. Surya Prakash, Adv. Mrs. Shubhra Kapur, Adv. Ms. Mahima Kapur, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Shovan Mishra, AOR Ms. Bipasa Tripathy, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Leave granted.

The appeal is allowed and disposed of in terms of the signed order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(DEEPAK GUGLANI)

(R.S. NARAYANAN)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

AR-cum-PS

(signed order is placed on the file)