\$~28

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

% Date of decision:04.05.2022

+ <u>LPA 566/2018 & CM APPL. 41158/2018</u>

INSOLVENCY & BANKRUPTCY BOARD

OF INDIA Appellant

Through: Mr Vikas Mehta, Mr Apoorv Khator

and Ms Akanksha Vigyan, Advocate.

versus

STATE BANK OF INDIA & ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr Rajiv Kapur with Mr Akshit

Kapur, Advocates for SBI

Ms Maneesha Dhir, Amicus Curiae, Ms Varsha Baneerjee and Mr Kanishk Khetan, Advocates, Assisting Amicus

Curiae

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE POONAM A. BAMBA

[Physical court hearing/ hybrid hearing (as per request)]

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J. (ORAL):-

- 1. This appeal is directed against an interlocutory order dated 26.09.2018, passed by the learned single judge in W.P.(C) No.10189/2018.
- 2. *Via* the impugned order, the learned single judge allowed the existing 'Expression of Interest', which had been issued by the Resolution Professional [in short "RP"], to progress further.
- 2.1. The learned single judge has also observed in the very same order, that in view of the said direction, the order dated 05.09.2018 passed by the National Company Law Tribunal [in short "NCLT"] in the matter of *State Bank of India vs. Su Kam Power Systems Ltd* will not come in the way in matters wherein 'Expression of Interest' has already been issued by the RPs.
- 2.2. The record shows that the appellant had assailed by way of W.P.(C)

LPA 566/2018 Page 1 of 2

No.10189/2018, the order dated 05.09.2018 passed by the NCLT, which is

referred to hereinabove.

2.3. Clearly, the said writ petition i.e., W.P.(C) No. 10189/2018 is pending

adjudication before the learned single judge.

3. Furthermore, the record also shows that the predecessor Bench in the

instant appeal vide order dated 05.10.2018, has stayed the operation of the

order dated 05.09.2018 passed by the NCLT.

3.1. In effect, Regulation 36A of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of

India (Insolvency Resolution Process for Corporate Persons) Regulations,

2016 continues to operate.

4. Given this position, in our view, the writ petition needs to be heard

and a final decision is required to be rendered in the said writ petition.

5. Accordingly, the appeal is disposed of, with a request to the learned

single judge to take up the writ petition for hearing and final disposal.

6. It is further directed that pending the disposal of the writ petition,

interim order dated 05.10.2018 passed by the Division Bench will continue

to operate.

7. Consequently, pending application shall stand closed.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

POONAM A. BAMBA, J

MAY 4, 2022/g.joshi

Click here to check corrigendum, if any

LPA 566/2018 Page 2 of 2