

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 1616 OF 2024

MURUGIAH KUTHALANATHAN

..... APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

C.A.MAHALINGAM SURESH KUMAR & ORS.

..... RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

Our attention is drawn to Form B, marked as Annexure-A2 of the appeal, which shows that the appellant, Murugiah Kuthalanathan, claimed that he had made an advance payment towards equity shares, and the claim was thereupon responded to by the Resolution Professional, *vide* communication dated 01.09.2022.

In view of the above, we do not find any merit in the present appeal and the same is dismissed.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJIV KHANNA)

.....J.
(SANJAY KUMAR)

Signature Not Verified


Digital Signature by
Deepa Singh
Date: 2024.08.17
16:04:21 IST
Reason: I am the author of this document.

NEW DELHI;
AUGUST 14, 2024.

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 1616 OF 2024

MURUGIAH KUTHALANATHAN APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

C.A.MAHALINGAM SURESH KUMAR & ORS. RESPONDENT(S)

(FOR ADMISSION)

Date : 14-08-2024 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

For Appellant(s) Mr. S.S. Ray, Adv.
Ms. Praveena Gautam, AOR
Mr. Pawan Shukla, Adv.
Ms. Akanksha Tyagi, Adv.
Mr. Raja Ram, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Rajat Sehgal, AOR
Mrs. Vandana Anand, Adv.

Mr. Chandra Prakash, AOR
Mr. Vivek Singh, Adv.
Mr. C.P. Rajwar, Adv.
Mr. Binay Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak, Adv.
Mr. Lav Kumar Agrawal, Adv.
Mr. Ramesh Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Kaushik Laik, AOR
Mr. Ashay Kauhsik, Adv.
Mr. Akash Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Shashank Tiwari, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(DEEPAK GUGLANI)
AR-cum-PS

(R.S. NARAYANAN)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR
(signed order is placed on the file)