

**NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL**  
**PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI**

**Company Appeal (AT) (Ins.) No. 106 of 2024 &**  
**I.A. No. 333 of 2024**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

**Rajesh Balkrishna Khanvilkar**

**....Appellant**

**Vs.**

**Dakshata Rajesh Singh**  
**Proprietor of Ved Communication & Anr.**

**....Respondents**

**Present:**

**For Appellant: Mr. Deep Roy, Mr. Shwetank Nigam, Advocates**

**For Respondents: Ms. Samita Vaviya, Advocate for R-1.**

**O R D E R**  
**(Hybrid Mode)**

**25.01.2024:** I.A. No. 333 of 2024:- This is an application praying for condonation of 13 days delay in filing the appeal. The reasons given in the application is that when the appellant became aware of the order he took some time to collate the documents and filing the appeal. Cause shown sufficient. Delay condoned.

Learned for the appellant submits that there was a pre-existing dispute which is reflected by the email dated 05.07.2020 and there are other materials to indicate that there was pre-existing dispute. The Corporate Debtor could not appear to file any reply and order was passed ex-parte. Submissions needs scrutiny.

Issue Notice to the Respondent through Speed Post as well as e-mail. Requisites along with process fee, if not filed, be filed within three days. The

Respondent No.1 appears through counsel, notice need not be issued to Respondent No.1

Let Reply be filed within two weeks. Rejoinder, if any, may be filed within two weeks.

List this appeal on **07.03.2024**.

In the meantime, no further steps shall be taken in pursuance to the impugned order.

**[Justice Ashok Bhushan]**  
**Chairperson**

**[Mr. Barun Mitra]**  
**Member (Technical)**

**[Mr. Arun Baroka]**  
**Member (Technical)**

*sa/nn*

*Company Appeal (AT) (Ins.) No. 106 of 2024*