

**BEFORE THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR AND FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY
INSOLVENCY AND BANKRUPTCY BOARD OF INDIA**

2nd Floor, Jeevan Vihar Building
Sansad Marg, New Delhi- 110 001

Dated: 28th June, 2023

**Order under section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI Act) in respect of RTI
Appeal Registration No. ISBBI/A/E/23/00023**

IN THE MATTER OF

Ashok Kriplani

... Appellant

Vs.

Central Public Information Officer

The Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India

2nd Floor, Jeevan Vihar Building

Sansad Marg, New Delhi - 110 001.

... Respondent

-
1. The Appellant has filed the present Appeal dated 31st May 2023, challenging the communication of the Respondent dated 31st May 2023 in respect of his RTI application no. ISBBI/R/E/23/00060 filed under the Right to Information Act (RTI Act) filed on 26th April, 2023. I have carefully examined the application, the response of the Respondent and the Appeal and find that the matter can be decided based on the material available on record.
 2. It is noted that in his RTI application, the Appellant had requested for the following -
“*Kindly provide the status of the Compliant filed by the Applicant the Complaint No.: COMP-11011/8/2023-IBBI.*”
 3. The Respondent has stated that the matter is under examination. Aggrieved by the same, the Appellant has submitted the following in the Appeal –
“*CPIO HAS NOT REPLIED TO THE RTI APPLICATION WITHIN 30 DAYS OF FILING OF THE RTI, KINDLY PROVIDE THE DETAILS REQUESTED FOR*”
 4. It is noted that as per section 7(1) of the RTI Act, the Respondent is required to respond within 30 days of the receipt of the request. As per records, the Application was received by CPIO on 26th April 2023. However, it was not disposed till 25th May, 2023. Accordingly, I find that the Application was not disposed of by the Respondent within 30 days. Being CPIO of Public Authority like IBBI, Respondent should be sensitive to timelines and disposal of information request.

5. Coming to the query of the Appellant I note that he has requested for status of the complaint and same was replied as being under examination. Hence, it is clear that the Respondent has provided the available information.
6. In view of the above, I do not find any reason to interfere with the decision of Respondent. Accordingly, the Appeal is disposed of.

Sd/
(Santosh Kumar Shukla)
First Appellate Authority

Copy to:

1. Appellant, Ashok Kriplani.
2. CPIO, The Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India, 2nd Floor, Jeevan Vihar Building, Sansad Marg, New Delhi - 110 001.