ITEM NO.13 COURT NO.14 SECTION XVII

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 26459/2023

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 09-05-2022 in CAAT(I) No. 411/2022 passed by the National Company Law Appellate Tribunal)

ASHOK KUMAR SARAWAGI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

ENFORCEMENT DIRECTORATE & ANR.

Respondent(s)

Date: 30-07-2024 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE BELA M. TRIVEDI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH CHANDRA SHARMA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Dhruv Mehta, Sr. Adv.

Ms. Riddhi Sancheti, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Suryaprakash V.Raju, A.S.G.

Mr. Sourav Roy, Adv.

Mr. Annam Venkatesh, Adv.

Mr. Zoheb Hussain, Adv.

Mr. Kanu Agrawal, Adv.

Ms. Anamika Agarwal, Adv.

Mr. B.K.Satija, Adv.

Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, AOR

Mr. M.K. Maroria, Adv.

Mr. Vivek Gaurav, Adv.

Ms. Rashi Bansal, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The 1. learned counsel for the respondent No.1-Directorate, has Enforcement raised the preliminary Yerfied objections with regard to the non-maintainability of the special leave petition under Article 136 of the Constitution of India, as the Statutory Appeal could have been filed, and with regard to the suppression of the material facts in the SLP more particularly the fact that though the petitioner had challenged the Order of Attachment passed under the Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002 before the PMLA Appellate Tribunal, the said fact has not been disclosed in the special leave petition. However, the learned senior counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Dhruv Mehta, after arguing at length, seeks permission to withdraw the present special leave petition, on our expressing reservation in entertaining the present petition.

- 2. In view of the above, the permission to withdraw, as sought for, is granted.
- 3. The special leave petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

(NISHA KHULBEY)
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT

(MAMTA RAWAT)
COURT MASTER (NSH)