



WIKIPEDIA
The Free Encyclopedia

Main page

Contents

Featured content

Current events

Random article

Donate to Wikipedia

Wikipedia store

Interaction

Help

About Wikipedia

Community portal

Recent changes

Contact page

Tools

What links here

Related changes

Upload file

Special pages

Permanent link

Page information

Wikidata item

Cite this page

Print/export

Create a book

Download as PDF

Printable version

Languages

العربية

Български

Català

Čeština

Deutsch

Ελληνικά

Español

Esperanto

فارسی

Français

한국어

Italiano

עברית

Қазақша

Malti

Nederlands

日本語

Norsk bokmål

Norsk nynorsk

Piemontèis

Polski

Português

Română

Русский

Shqip

Simple English

Slovenčina

Slovenščina

Suomi

Svenska

Українська

اردو

中文

Edit links

Create account

Log in

Article

Talk

Read

Edit

View history

Search

Q

Calculus of variations

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

(Redirected from Variational method)

"Variational method" redirects here. For the use as an approximation method in quantum mechanics, see Variational method (quantum mechanics).

Calculus of variations

is a field of mathematical analysis that deals with maximizing or minimizing functionals, which are mappings from a set of functions to the real numbers. Functionals are often expressed as definite integrals involving functions and their derivatives. The interest is in *extremal* functions that make the functional attain a maximum or minimum value – or *stationary* functions – those where the rate of change of the functional is zero.

A simple example of such a problem is to find the curve of shortest length connecting two points. If there are no constraints, the solution is obviously a straight line between the points. However, if the curve is constrained to lie on a surface in space, then the solution is less obvious, and possibly many solutions may exist. Such solutions are known as geodesics. A related problem is posed by Fermat's principle: light follows the path of shortest optical length connecting two points, where the optical length depends upon the material of the medium. One corresponding concept in mechanics is the principle of least action.

Many important problems involve functions of several variables. Solutions of boundary value problems for the Laplace equation satisfy the Dirichlet principle. Plateau's problem requires finding a surface of minimal area that spans a given contour in space: a solution can often be found by dipping a frame in a solution of soap suds. Although such experiments are relatively easy to perform, their mathematical interpretation is far from simple: there may be more than one locally minimizing surface, and they may have non-trivial topology.

Part of a series about

Calculus

Fundamental theorem

Limits of functions · Continuity

Mean value theorem · Rolle's theorem

Differential [show]

Integral [show]

Series [show]

Vector [show]

Multivariable [show]

Specialized [hide]

Fractional · Malliavin · Stochastic · Variations

v · t · e

Contents

1 History

2 Extrema

3 Euler–Lagrange equation

3.1 Example

4 Beltrami identity

5 Du Bois-Reymond's theorem

6 Lavrentiev phenomenon

7 Functions of several variables

7.1 Dirichlet's principle

7.2 Generalization to other boundary value problems

8 Eigenvalue problems

8.1 Sturm-Liouville problems

8.2 Eigenvalue problems in several dimensions

9 Applications

9.1 Fermat's principle

9.1.1 Snell's law

9.1.2 Fermat's principle in three dimensions

9.1.2.1 Connection with the wave equation

9.2 Action principle

10 Variations and sufficient condition for a minimum

11 See also

12 Notes

13 References

14 Further reading

15 External links

History

The calculus of variations may be said to begin with the brachistochrone curve problem raised by Johann Bernoulli (1696).^[1] It immediately occupied the attention of Jakob Bernoulli and the Marquis de l'Hôpital, but Leonhard Euler first elaborated the subject. His contributions began in 1733, and his Elementa Calculi Variationum gave to the science its name. Lagrange contributed extensively to the theory, and Legendre (1786) laid down a method, not entirely satisfactory, for the discrimination of maxima and minima. Isaac Newton and Gottfried Leibniz also gave some early attention to the subject.^[2] To this discrimination Vincenzo Brunacci (1810), Carl Friedrich Gauss (1829), Siméon Poisson (1831), Mikhail Ostrogradsky (1834), and Carl Jacobi (1837) have been among the contributors. An important general work is that of Sarrus (1842) which was condensed and improved by Cauchy (1844). Other valuable treatises and memoirs have been written by Strauch (1849), Jellet (1850), Otto Hesse (1857), Alfred Clebsch (1858), and Carli (1885), but perhaps the most important work of the century is that of Weierstrass. His celebrated course on the theory is epoch-making, and it may be asserted that he was the first to place it on a firm and unquestionable foundation. The 20th and the 23rd Hilbert problem published in 1900 encouraged further development.^[2] In the 20th century David Hilbert, Emmy Noether, Leonida Tonelli, Henri Lebesgue and Jacques Hadamard among others made significant contributions.^[2] Marston Morse applied calculus of variations in what is now called Morse theory.^[3] Lev Pontryagin, Ralph Rockafellar and F. H. Clarke developed new mathematical tools for the calculus of variations in optimal control theory.^[3] The dynamic

[programming](#) of [Richard Bellman](#) is an alternative to the calculus of variations.^{[4][5][6]}

Extrema [\[edit\]](#)

The calculus of variations is concerned with the maxima or minima of functionals, which are collectively called **extrema**. A functional depends on a [function](#), somewhat analogous to the way a function can depend on a numerical [variable](#), and thus a functional has been described as a function of a function. Functionals have extrema with respect to the elements y of a given [function space](#) defined over a given [domain](#). A functional $J[y]$ is said to have an extremum at the function f if $\Delta J = J[y] - J[f]$ has the same [sign](#) for all y in an arbitrarily small neighborhood of f .^[Note 1] The function f is called an **extremal** function or extremal. The extremum $J[f]$ is called a maximum if $\Delta J \leq 0$ everywhere in an arbitrarily small neighborhood of f , and a minimum if $\Delta J \geq 0$ there. For a function space of continuous functions, extrema of corresponding functionals are called **weak extrema** or **strong extrema**, depending on whether the first derivatives of the continuous functions are respectively all continuous or not.^[8]

Both strong and weak extrema of functionals are for a space of continuous functions but weak extrema have the additional requirement that the first derivatives of the functions in the space be continuous. Thus a strong extremum is also a weak extremum, but the [converse](#) may not hold. Finding strong extrema is more difficult than finding weak extrema.^[9] An example of a [necessary condition](#) that is used for finding weak extrema is the [Euler–Lagrange equation](#).^[10] ^[Note 2]

Euler–Lagrange equation [\[edit\]](#)

Main article: [Euler–Lagrange equation](#)

Finding the extrema of functionals is similar to finding the maxima and minima of functions. The maxima and minima of a function may be located by finding the points where its derivative vanishes (i.e., is equal to zero). The extrema of functionals may be obtained by finding functions where the [functional derivative](#) is equal to zero. This leads to solving the associated [Euler–Lagrange equation](#).^[Note 3]

Consider the functional

$$J[u] = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} L[x, u(x), u'(x)] dx.$$

where

x_1, x_2 are [constants](#),

$u(x)$ is twice continuously differentiable,

$u'(x) = du/dx$,

$L[x, u(x), u'(x)]$ is twice continuously differentiable with respect to its arguments x, u, u' .

If the functional $J[u]$ attains a [local minimum](#) at f , and $\eta(x)$ is an arbitrary function that has at least one derivative and vanishes at the endpoints x_1 and x_2 , then for any number ε close to 0,

$$J[f] \leq J[f + \varepsilon \eta].$$

The term $\varepsilon \eta$ is called the **variation** of the function f and is denoted by δf .^[11]

Substituting $f + \varepsilon \eta$ for u in the functional $J[u]$, the result is a function of ε ,

$$\Phi(\varepsilon) = J[f + \varepsilon \eta].$$

Since the functional $J[u]$ has a minimum for $u = f$, the function $\Phi(\varepsilon)$ has a minimum at $\varepsilon = 0$ and thus,^[Note 4]

$$\Phi'(0) \equiv \left. \frac{d\Phi}{d\varepsilon} \right|_{\varepsilon=0} = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \left. \frac{dL}{d\varepsilon} \right|_{\varepsilon=0} dx = 0.$$

Taking the [total derivative](#) of $L[x, u, u']$, where $u = f + \varepsilon \eta$ and $u' = f' + \varepsilon \eta'$ are functions of ε but x is not,

$$\frac{dL}{d\varepsilon} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial u} \frac{du}{d\varepsilon} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial u'} \frac{du'}{d\varepsilon}$$

and since $du/d\varepsilon = \eta$ and $du'/d\varepsilon = \eta'$,

$$\frac{dL}{d\varepsilon} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial u} \eta + \frac{\partial L}{\partial u'} \eta'.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \left. \frac{dL}{d\varepsilon} \right|_{\varepsilon=0} dx &= \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial f} \eta + \frac{\partial L}{\partial f'} \eta' \right) dx \\ &= \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial f} \eta - \eta \frac{d}{dx} \frac{\partial L}{\partial f'} \right) dx + \left. \frac{\partial L}{\partial f'} \eta \right|_{x_1}^{x_2} \end{aligned}$$

where $L[x, u, u'] \rightarrow L[x, f, f']$ when $\varepsilon = 0$ and we have used [integration by parts](#). The last term vanishes because $\eta = 0$ at x_1 and x_2 by definition. Also, as previously mentioned the left side of the equation is zero so that

$$\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \eta \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial f} - \frac{d}{dx} \frac{\partial L}{\partial f'} \right) dx = 0.$$

According to the [fundamental lemma of calculus of variations](#), the part of the integrand in parentheses is zero, i.e.

$$\boxed{\frac{\partial L}{\partial f} - \frac{d}{dx} \frac{\partial L}{\partial f'} = 0}$$

which is called the **Euler–Lagrange equation**. The left hand side of this equation is called the [functional derivative](#) of $J[f]$ and is denoted $\delta J/\delta f(x)$.

In general this gives a second-order [ordinary differential equation](#) which can be solved to obtain the extremal function $f(x)$. The Euler–

Lagrange equation is a [necessary](#), but not [sufficient](#), condition for an extremum $J[f]$. A sufficient condition for a minimum is given in the section [Variations and sufficient condition for a minimum](#).

Example [\[edit\]](#)

In order to illustrate this process, consider the problem of finding the extremal function $y = f(x)$, which is the shortest curve that connects two points (x_1, y_1) and (x_2, y_2) . The [arc length](#) of the curve is given by

$$A[y] = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \sqrt{1 + [y'(x)]^2} dx,$$

with

$$y'(x) = \frac{dy}{dx}, \quad y_1 = f(x_1), \quad y_2 = f(x_2).$$

The Euler–Lagrange equation will now be used to find the extremal function $f(x)$ that minimizes the functional $A[y]$.

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial f} - \frac{d}{dx} \frac{\partial L}{\partial f'} = 0$$

with

$$L = \sqrt{1 + [f'(x)]^2}.$$

Since f does not appear explicitly in L , the first term in the Euler–Lagrange equation vanishes for all $f(x)$ and thus,

$$\frac{d}{dx} \frac{\partial L}{\partial f'} = 0.$$

Substituting for L and taking the partial derivative,

$$\frac{d}{dx} \frac{f'(x)}{\sqrt{1 + [f'(x)]^2}} = 0.$$

Taking the derivative d/dx and simplifying gives,

$$\frac{d^2 f}{dx^2} \cdot \frac{1}{[\sqrt{1 + [f'(x)]^2}]^3} = 0,$$

and because $1 + [f'(x)]^2$ is non-zero,

$$\frac{d^2 f}{dx^2} = 0,$$

which implies that the shortest curve that connects two points (x_1, y_1) and (x_2, y_2) is

$$f(x) = mx + b \quad \text{with} \quad m = \frac{y_2 - y_1}{x_2 - x_1} \quad \text{and} \quad b = \frac{x_2 y_1 - x_1 y_2}{x_2 - x_1}$$

and we have thus found the extremal function $f(x)$ that minimizes the functional $A[y]$ so that $A[f]$ is a minimum. Note that $y = f(x)$ is the equation for a straight line, in other words, the shortest distance between two points is a straight line. [\[Note 5\]](#)

Beltrami identity [\[edit\]](#)

In physics problems it frequently turns out that $\partial L / \partial x = 0$. In that case, the Euler–Lagrange equation can be simplified to the [Beltrami identity](#):^[12]

$$L - f' \frac{\partial L}{\partial f'} = C,$$

where C is a constant. The left hand side is the [Legendre transformation](#) of L with respect to f' .

Du Bois-Reymond's theorem [\[edit\]](#)

The discussion thus far has assumed that extremal functions possess two continuous derivatives, although the existence of the integral A requires only first derivatives of trial functions. The condition that the first variation vanishes at an extremal may be regarded as a **weak form** of the Euler–Lagrange equation. The theorem of Du Bois-Reymond asserts that this weak form implies the strong form. If L has continuous first and second derivatives with respect to all of its arguments, and if

$$\frac{\partial^2 L}{(\partial f')^2} \neq 0,$$

then f_0 has two continuous derivatives, and it satisfies the Euler–Lagrange equation.

Lavrentiev phenomenon [\[edit\]](#)

Hilbert was the first to give good conditions for the Euler–Lagrange equations to give a stationary solution. Within a convex area and a positive thrice differentiable Lagrangian the solutions are composed of a countable collection of sections that either go along the boundary or satisfy the Euler–Lagrange equations in the interior.

However [Lavrentiev](#) in 1926 showed that there are circumstances where there is no optimum solution but one can be approached arbitrarily closely by increasing numbers of sections. For instance the following:

$$L(t, x, x') = (x^3 - t)^2 x'^6, \\ x(0) = 0, x(1) = 1.$$

Here a zig zag path gives a better solution than any smooth path and increasing the number of sections improves the solution.

Functions of several variables [\[edit\]](#)

Variational problems that involve multiple integrals arise in numerous applications. For example, if $\varphi(x,y)$ denotes the displacement of a membrane above the domain D in the x,y plane, then its potential energy is proportional to its surface area:

$$U[\varphi] = \iint_D \sqrt{1 + \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla \varphi} dx dy.$$

[Plateau's problem](#) consists of finding a function that minimizes the surface area while assuming prescribed values on the boundary of D ; the solutions are called **minimal surfaces**. The Euler–Lagrange equation for this problem is nonlinear:

$$\varphi_{xx}(1 + \varphi_y^2) + \varphi_{yy}(1 + \varphi_x^2) - 2\varphi_x\varphi_y\varphi_{xy} = 0.$$

See Courant (1950) for details.

Dirichlet's principle [\[edit\]](#)

It is often sufficient to consider only small displacements of the membrane, whose energy difference from no displacement is approximated by

$$V[\varphi] = \frac{1}{2} \iint_D \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla \varphi dx dy.$$

The functional V is to be minimized among all trial functions φ that assume prescribed values on the boundary of D . If u is the minimizing function and v is an arbitrary smooth function that vanishes on the boundary of D , then the first variation of $V[u + \varepsilon v]$ must vanish:

$$\frac{d}{d\varepsilon} V[u + \varepsilon v]|_{\varepsilon=0} = \iint_D \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx dy = 0.$$

Provided that u has two derivatives, we may apply the divergence theorem to obtain

$$\iint_D \nabla \cdot (v \nabla u) dx dy = \iint_D \nabla u \cdot \nabla v + v \nabla \cdot \nabla u dx dy = \iint_C v \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} ds,$$

where C is the boundary of D , s is arclength along C and $\partial u / \partial n$ is the normal derivative of u on C . Since v vanishes on C and the first variation vanishes, the result is

$$\iint_D v \nabla \cdot \nabla u dx dy = 0$$

for all smooth functions v that vanish on the boundary of D . The proof for the case of one dimensional integrals may be adapted to this case to show that

$$\nabla \cdot \nabla u = 0 \text{ in } D.$$

The difficulty with this reasoning is the assumption that the minimizing function u must have two derivatives. Riemann argued that the existence of a smooth minimizing function was assured by the connection with the physical problem: membranes do indeed assume configurations with minimal potential energy. Riemann named this idea the [Dirichlet principle](#) in honor of his teacher [Peter Gustav Lejeune Dirichlet](#). However Weierstrass gave an example of a variational problem with no solution: minimize

$$W[\varphi] = \int_{-1}^1 (x\varphi')^2 dx$$

among all functions φ that satisfy $\varphi(-1) = -1$ and $\varphi(1) = 1$. W can be made arbitrarily small by choosing piecewise linear functions that make a transition between -1 and 1 in a small neighborhood of the origin. However, there is no function that makes $W=0$. The resulting controversy over the validity of Dirichlet's principle is explained in <http://turnbull.mcs.st-and.ac.uk/~history/Biographies/Riemann.html> [\[a\]](#). Eventually it was shown that Dirichlet's principle is valid, but it requires a sophisticated application of the regularity theory for [elliptic partial differential equations](#); see Jost and Li-Jost (1998).

Generalization to other boundary value problems [\[edit\]](#)

A more general expression for the potential energy of a membrane is

$$V[\varphi] = \iint_D \left[\frac{1}{2} \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla \varphi + f(x,y)\varphi \right] dx dy + \int_C \left[\frac{1}{2} \sigma(s)\varphi^2 + g(s)\varphi \right] ds.$$

This corresponds to an external force density $f(x,y)$ in D , an external force $g(s)$ on the boundary C , and elastic forces with modulus $\sigma(s)$ acting on C . The function that minimizes the potential energy **with no restriction on its boundary values** will be denoted by u . Provided that f and g are continuous, regularity theory implies that the minimizing function u will have two derivatives. In taking the first variation, no boundary condition need be imposed on the increment v . The first variation of $V[u + \varepsilon v]$ is given by

$$\iint_D [\nabla u \cdot \nabla v + fv] dx dy + \int_C [\sigma uv + gv] ds = 0.$$

If we apply the divergence theorem, the result is

$$\iint_D [-v \nabla \cdot \nabla u + vf] dx dy + \int_C v \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} + \sigma u + g \right] ds = 0.$$

If we first set $v=0$ on C , the boundary integral vanishes, and we conclude as before that

$$-\nabla \cdot \nabla u + f = 0$$

in D . Then if we allow v to assume arbitrary boundary values, this implies that u must satisfy the boundary condition

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} + \sigma u + g = 0,$$

on C . Note that this boundary condition is a consequence of the minimizing property of u : it is not imposed beforehand. Such conditions are called **natural boundary conditions**.

The preceding reasoning is not valid if σ vanishes identically on C . In such a case, we could allow a trial function $\varphi \equiv c$, where c is a constant. For such a trial function,

$$V[c] = c \left[\iint_D f \, dx \, dy + \int_C g \, ds \right].$$

By appropriate choice of c , V can assume any value unless the quantity inside the brackets vanishes. Therefore the variational problem is meaningless unless

$$\iint_D f \, dx \, dy + \int_C g \, ds = 0.$$

This condition implies that net external forces on the system are in equilibrium. If these forces are in equilibrium, then the variational problem has a solution, but it is not unique, since an arbitrary constant may be added. Further details and examples are in Courant and Hilbert (1953).

Eigenvalue problems [\[edit\]](#)

Both one-dimensional and multi-dimensional **eigenvalue problems** can be formulated as variational problems.

Sturm-Liouville problems [\[edit\]](#)

The Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problem involves a general quadratic form

$$Q[\varphi] = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} [p(x)\varphi'(x)^2 + q(x)\varphi(x)^2] \, dx,$$

where φ is restricted to functions that satisfy the boundary conditions

$$\varphi(x_1) = 0, \quad \varphi(x_2) = 0.$$

Let R be a normalization integral

$$R[\varphi] = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} r(x)\varphi(x)^2 \, dx.$$

The functions $p(x)$ and $r(x)$ are required to be everywhere positive and bounded away from zero. The primary variational problem is to minimize the ratio Q/R among all φ satisfying the endpoint conditions. It is shown below that the Euler–Lagrange equation for the minimizing u is

$$-(pu')' + qu - \lambda ru = 0,$$

where λ is the quotient

$$\lambda = \frac{Q[u]}{R[u]}.$$

It can be shown (see [Gelfand and Fomin 1963](#) [\[a\]](#)) that the minimizing u has two derivatives and satisfies the Euler–Lagrange equation. The associated λ will be denoted by λ_1 ; it is the lowest eigenvalue for this equation and boundary conditions. The associated minimizing function will be denoted by $u_1(x)$. This variational characterization of eigenvalues leads to the [Rayleigh–Ritz method](#): choose an approximating u as a linear combination of basis functions (for example trigonometric functions) and carry out a finite-dimensional minimization among such linear combinations. This method is often surprisingly accurate.

The next smallest eigenvalue and eigenfunction can be obtained by minimizing Q under the additional constraint

$$\int_{x_1}^{x_2} r(x)u_1(x)\varphi(x) \, dx = 0.$$

This procedure can be extended to obtain the complete sequence of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions for the problem.

The variational problem also applies to more general boundary conditions. Instead of requiring that φ vanish at the endpoints, we may not impose any condition at the endpoints, and set

$$Q[\varphi] = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} [p(x)\varphi'(x)^2 + q(x)\varphi(x)^2] \, dx + a_1\varphi(x_1)^2 + a_2\varphi(x_2)^2,$$

where a_1 and a_2 are arbitrary. If we set $\varphi = u + \varepsilon v$ the first variation for the ratio Q/R is

$$V_1 = \frac{2}{R[u]} \left(\int_{x_1}^{x_2} [p(x)u'(x)v'(x) + q(x)u(x)v(x) - \lambda u(x)v(x)] \, dx + a_1u(x_1)v(x_1) + a_2u(x_2)v(x_2) \right),$$

where λ is given by the ratio $Q[u]/R[u]$ as previously. After integration by parts,

$$\frac{R[u]}{2} V_1 = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} v(x) [-(pu')' + qu - \lambda ru] \, dx + v(x_1)[-p(x_1)u'(x_1) + a_1u(x_1)] + v(x_2)[p(x_2)u'(x_2) + a_2u(x_2)].$$

If we first require that v vanish at the endpoints, the first variation will vanish for all such v only if

$$-(pu')' + qu - \lambda ru = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad x_1 < x < x_2.$$

If u satisfies this condition, then the first variation will vanish for arbitrary v only if

$$-p(x_1)u'(x_1) + a_1u(x_1) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad p(x_2)u'(x_2) + a_2u(x_2) = 0.$$

These latter conditions are the **natural boundary conditions** for this problem, since they are not imposed on trial functions for the

minimization, but are instead a consequence of the minimization.

Eigenvalue problems in several dimensions [edit]

Eigenvalue problems in higher dimensions are defined in analogy with the one-dimensional case. For example, given a domain D with boundary B in three dimensions we may define

$$Q[\varphi] = \iiint_D p(X) \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla \varphi + q(X) \varphi^2 dx dy dz + \iint_B \sigma(S) \varphi^2 dS,$$

and

$$R[\varphi] = \iiint_D r(X) \varphi(X)^3 dx dy dz.$$

Let u be the function that minimizes the quotient $Q[\varphi]/R[\varphi]$, with no condition prescribed on the boundary B . The Euler–Lagrange equation satisfied by u is

$$-\nabla \cdot (p(X) \nabla u) + q(x)u - \lambda r(x)u = 0,$$

where

$$\lambda = \frac{Q[u]}{R[u]}.$$

The minimizing u must also satisfy the natural boundary condition

$$p(S) \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} + \sigma(S)u = 0,$$

on the boundary B . This result depends upon the regularity theory for elliptic partial differential equations; see Jost and Li-Jost (1998) for details. Many extensions, including completeness results, asymptotic properties of the eigenvalues and results concerning the nodes of the eigenfunctions are in Courant and Hilbert (1953).

Applications [edit]

Main article: [Applications of the calculus of variations](#)

Some applications of the calculus of variations include:

- The derivation of the [Catenary](#) shape
- The [Brachistochrone](#) problem
- [Isoperimetric](#) problems
- [Geodesics](#) on surfaces
- [Minimal surfaces](#) and [Plateau's problem](#)
- [Optimal control](#)

Fermat's principle [edit]

Fermat's principle states that light takes a path that (locally) minimizes the optical length between its endpoints. If the x -coordinate is chosen as the parameter along the path, and $y = f(x)$ along the path, then the optical length is given by

$$A[f] = \int_{x=x_0}^{x_1} n(x, f(x)) \sqrt{1 + f'(x)^2} dx,$$

where the refractive index $n(x, y)$ depends upon the material. If we try $f(x) = f_0(x) + \varepsilon f_1(x)$ then the [first variation](#) of A (the derivative of A with respect to ε) is

$$\delta A[f_0, f_1] = \int_{x=x_0}^{x_1} \left[\frac{n(x, f_0) f_0'(x) f_1'(x)}{\sqrt{1 + f_0'(x)^2}} + n_y(x, f_0) f_1 \sqrt{1 + f_0'(x)^2} \right] dx.$$

After integration by parts of the first term within brackets, we obtain the Euler–Lagrange equation

$$-\frac{d}{dx} \left[\frac{n(x, f_0) f_0'}{\sqrt{1 + f_0'^2}} \right] + n_y(x, f_0) \sqrt{1 + f_0'(x)^2} = 0.$$

The light rays may be determined by integrating this equation. This formalism is used in the context of [Lagrangian optics](#) and [Hamiltonian optics](#).

Snell's law [edit]

There is a discontinuity of the refractive index when light enters or leaves a lens. Let

$$\begin{aligned} n(x, y) &= n_- & \text{if } x < 0, \\ n(x, y) &= n_+ & \text{if } x > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where n_- and n_+ are constants. Then the Euler–Lagrange equation holds as before in the region where $x < 0$ or $x > 0$, and in fact the path is a straight line there, since the refractive index is constant. At the $x=0$, f must be continuous, but f' may be discontinuous. After integration by parts in the separate regions and using the Euler–Lagrange equations, the first variation takes the form

$$\delta A[f_0, f_1] = f_1(0) \left[n_- \frac{f_0'(0_-)}{\sqrt{1 + f_0'(0_-)^2}} - n_+ \frac{f_0'(0_+)}{\sqrt{1 + f_0'(0_+)^2}} \right].$$

The factor multiplying n_- is the sine of angle of the incident ray with the x axis, and the factor multiplying n_+ is the sine of angle of the

refracted ray with the x axis. [Snell's law](#) for refraction requires that these terms be equal. As this calculation demonstrates, Snell's law is equivalent to vanishing of the first variation of the optical path length.

Fermat's principle in three dimensions [\[edit\]](#)

It is expedient to use vector notation: let $\mathbf{X} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$, let t be a parameter, let $\mathbf{X}(t)$ be the parametric representation of a curve C , and let $\dot{\mathbf{X}}(t)$ be its tangent vector. The optical length of the curve is given by

$$A[C] = \int_{t=t_0}^{t_1} n(\mathbf{X}) \sqrt{\dot{\mathbf{X}} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{X}}} dt.$$

Note that this integral is invariant with respect to changes in the parametric representation of C . The Euler–Lagrange equations for a minimizing curve have the symmetric form

$$\frac{d}{dt} P = \sqrt{\dot{\mathbf{X}} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{X}}} \nabla n,$$

where

$$P = \frac{n(\mathbf{X}) \dot{\mathbf{X}}}{\sqrt{\dot{\mathbf{X}} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{X}}}}.$$

It follows from the definition that P satisfies

$$P \cdot P = n(\mathbf{X})^2.$$

Therefore the integral may also be written as

$$A[C] = \int_{t=t_0}^{t_1} P \cdot \dot{\mathbf{X}} dt.$$

This form suggests that if we can find a function ψ whose gradient is given by P , then the integral A is given by the difference of ψ at the endpoints of the interval of integration. Thus the problem of studying the curves that make the integral stationary can be related to the study of the level surfaces of ψ . In order to find such a function, we turn to the wave equation, which governs the propagation of light. This formalism is used in the context of [Lagrangian optics](#) and [Hamiltonian optics](#).

Connection with the wave equation [\[edit\]](#)

The [wave equation](#) for an inhomogeneous medium is

$$u_{tt} = c^2 \nabla \cdot \nabla u,$$

where c is the velocity, which generally depends upon \mathbf{X} . Wave fronts for light are characteristic surfaces for this partial differential equation: they satisfy

$$\varphi_t^2 = c(\mathbf{X})^2 \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla \varphi.$$

We may look for solutions in the form

$$\varphi(t, \mathbf{X}) = t - \psi(\mathbf{X}).$$

In that case, ψ satisfies

$$\nabla \psi \cdot \nabla \psi = n^2,$$

where $n = 1/c$. According to the theory of [first-order partial differential equations](#), if $P = \nabla \psi$, then P satisfies

$$\frac{dP}{ds} = n \nabla n,$$

along a system of curves (**the light rays**) that are given by

$$\frac{d\mathbf{X}}{ds} = P.$$

These equations for solution of a first-order partial differential equation are identical to the Euler–Lagrange equations if we make the identification

$$\frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{\sqrt{\dot{\mathbf{X}} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{X}}}}{n}.$$

We conclude that the function ψ is the value of the minimizing integral A as a function of the upper end point. That is, when a family of minimizing curves is constructed, the values of the optical length satisfy the characteristic equation corresponding the wave equation. Hence, solving the associated partial differential equation of first order is equivalent to finding families of solutions of the variational problem. This is the essential content of the [Hamilton–Jacobi theory](#), which applies to more general variational problems.

Action principle [\[edit\]](#)

Main article: [Action \(physics\)](#)

In classical mechanics, the action, S , is defined as the time integral of the Lagrangian, L . The Lagrangian is the difference of energies,

$$L = T - U,$$

where T is the [kinetic energy](#) of a mechanical system and U its [potential energy](#). [Hamilton's principle](#) (or the action principle) states that the motion of a conservative holonomic (integrable constraints) mechanical system is such that the action integral

$$S = \int_{t=t_0}^{t_1} L(x, \dot{x}, t) dt$$

is stationary with respect to variations in the path $x(t)$. The Euler–Lagrange equations for this system are known as Lagrange's equations:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x},$$

and they are equivalent to Newton's equations of motion (for such systems).

The conjugate momenta P are defined by

$$p = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}}.$$

For example, if

$$T = \frac{1}{2} m \dot{x}^2,$$

then

$$p = m \dot{x}.$$

Hamiltonian mechanics results if the conjugate momenta are introduced in place of \dot{x} , and the Lagrangian L is replaced by the Hamiltonian H defined by

$$H(x, p, t) = p \dot{x} - L(x, \dot{x}, t).$$

The Hamiltonian is the total energy of the system: $H = T + U$. Analogy with Fermat's principle suggests that solutions of Lagrange's equations (the particle trajectories) may be described in terms of level surfaces of some function of X . This function is a solution of the **Hamilton–Jacobi equation**:

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + H\left(x, \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}, t\right) = 0.$$

Variations and sufficient condition for a minimum [\[edit\]](#)

Calculus of variations is concerned with variations of functionals, which are small changes in the functional's value due to small changes in the function that is its argument. The **first variation** ^{[[Note 6](#)]} is defined as the linear part of the change in the functional and the **second variation** ^{[[Note 7](#)]} is defined as the quadratic part. ^{[[13](#)]}

For example, if $J[y]$ is a functional with the function $y = y(x)$ as its argument, and there is a small change in its argument from y to $y + h$, where $h = h(x)$ is a function in the same function space as y , then the corresponding change in the functional is

$$\Delta J[h] = J[y + h] - J[y].$$
 ^{[[Note 8](#)]}

The functional $J[y]$ is said to be **differentiable** if

$$\Delta J[h] = \phi[h] + \epsilon \|h\|,$$

where $\phi[h]$ is a linear functional, ^{[[Note 9](#)]} $\|h\|$ is the norm of h , ^{[[Note 10](#)]} and $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ as $\|h\| \rightarrow 0$. The linear functional $\phi[h]$ is the first variation of $J[y]$ and is denoted by, ^{[[17](#)]}

$$\delta J[h] = \phi(h).$$

The functional $J[y]$ is said to be **twice differentiable** if

$$\Delta J[h] = \phi_1[h] + \phi_2[h] + \epsilon \|h\|^2,$$

where $\phi_1[h]$ is a linear functional (the first variation), $\phi_2[h]$ is a quadratic functional, ^{[[Note 11](#)]} and $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ as $\|h\| \rightarrow 0$. The quadratic functional $\phi_2[h]$ is the second variation of $J[y]$ and is denoted by, ^{[[19](#)]}

$$\delta^2 J[h] = \phi_2(h).$$

The second variation $\delta^2 J[h]$ is said to be **strongly positive** if

$$\delta^2 J[h] \geq k \|h\|^2,$$

for all h and for some constant $k > 0$. ^{[[20](#)]}

Sufficient condition for a minimum:

The functional $J[y]$ has a minimum at $y = \hat{y}$ if its first variation $\delta J[h] = 0$ at $y = \hat{y}$ and its second variation $\delta^2 J[h]$ is strongly positive at $y = \hat{y}$. ^{[[21](#)]} ^{[[Note 12](#)]}

See also [\[edit\]](#)

- First variation
- Isoperimetric inequality
- Variational principle
- Variational bicomplex
- Fermat's principle
- Principle of least action
- Infinite-dimensional optimization
- Functional analysis
- Ekeland's variational principle
- Inverse problem for Lagrangian mechanics
- Obstacle problem
- Perturbation methods
- Young measure
- Direct method in calculus of variations
- Noether's theorem
- De Donder–Weyl theory
- Variational Bayesian methods
- Chaplygin problem
- Nehari manifold
- Hu Washizu principle
- Luke's variational principle
- Mountain pass theorem
- Category:Variational analysts
- Measures of central tendency as solutions to variational problems
- Stampacchia Medal
- Fermat Prize

Notes [\[edit\]](#)

- [^] The neighborhood of f is the part of the given function space where $|y - f| < h$ over the whole domain of the functions, with h a positive number that specifies the size of the neighborhood.^[7]
- [^] For a sufficient condition, see section [Variations and sufficient condition for a minimum](#).
- [^] The following derivation of the Euler–Lagrange equation corresponds to the derivation on pp. 184–5 of: [Courant, R., Hilbert, D. \(1953\). *Methods of Mathematical Physics*. Vol. I \(First English ed.\). New York: Interscience Publishers, Inc. ISBN 978-0471504474.](#)
- [^] The product $\varepsilon\Phi'(0)$ is called the first variation of the functional J and is denoted by δJ . Some references define the [first variation](#) differently by leaving out the ε factor.
- [^] As an historical note, this is an axiom of [Archimedes](#). See e.g. [Kelland, Philip \(1843\). *Lectures on the principles of demonstrative mathematics* \[↗\]\(#\). Google Books. p. 58.](#)
- [^] The first variation is also called the variation, differential, or first differential.
- [^] The second variation is also called the second differential.
- [^] Note that $\Delta J[h]$ and the variations below, depend on both y and h . The argument y has been left out to simplify the notation. For example, $\Delta J[h]$ could have been written $\Delta J[y; h]$.^[14]
- [^] A functional $\varphi[h]$ is said to be **linear** if $\varphi[ah] = \alpha \varphi[h]$ and $\varphi[h_1 + h_2] = \varphi[h_1] + \varphi[h_2]$, where h, h_1, h_2 are functions and α is a real number.^[15]
- [^] For a function $h = h(x)$ that is defined for $a \leq x \leq b$, where a and b are real numbers, the norm of h is its maximum absolute value, i.e. $\|h\| = \max |h(x)|$ for $a \leq x \leq b$.^[16]
- [^] A functional is said to be **quadratic** if it is a bilinear functional with two argument functions that are equal. A **bilinear functional** is a functional that depends on two argument functions and is linear when each argument function in turn is fixed while the other argument function is variable.^[18]
- [^] For other sufficient conditions, see [Gelfand & Fomin 2000. Chapter 5: "The Second Variation. Sufficient Conditions for a Weak Extremum"](#). Sufficient conditions for a weak minimum are given by the theorem on p. 116. [Chapter 6: "Fields. Sufficient Conditions for a Strong Extremum"](#). Sufficient conditions for a strong minimum are given by the theorem on p. 148.

References [\[edit\]](#)

- [^] [Gelfand, I. M.; Fomin, S. V. \(2000\). Silverman, Richard A., ed. *Calculus of variations* \[↗\]\(#\) \(Unabridged repr. ed.\). Mineola, New York: Dover Publications. p. 3. ISBN 978-0486414485.](#)
- [^] [van Brunt, Bruce \(2004\). *The Calculus of Variations*. Springer. ISBN 0-387-40247-0.](#)
- [^] [Ferguson, James \(2004\). "Brief Survey of the History of the Calculus of Variations and its Applications". *arXiv.math/0402357* \[↗\]\(#\).](#)
- [^] [Dimitri Bertsekas](#). Dynamic programming and optimal control. Athena Scientific, 2005.
- [^] [Bellman, Richard E. \(1954\). "Dynamic Programming and a new formalism in the calculus of variations" \[↗\]\(#\) \(PDF\). *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* **40** \(4\): 231–235. PMC 527981 \[↗\]\(#\). PMID 16589462 \[↗\]\(#\).](#)
- [^] [Kushner, Harold J. \(2004\). "Richard E. Bellman Control Heritage Award" \[↗\]\(#\). American Automatic Control Council. Retrieved 2013-07-28.](#) See **2004: Harold J. Kushner**: regarding Dynamic Programming, "The calculus of variations had related ideas (e.g., the work of Caratheodory, the Hamilton-Jacobi equation). This led to conflicts with the calculus of variations community."
- [^] [Courant, R; Hilbert, D \(1953\). *Methods of Mathematical Physics*. Vol. I \(First English ed.\). New York: Interscience Publishers, Inc. p. 169. ISBN 978-0471504474.](#)
- [^] [Gelfand & Fomin 2000](#), pp. 12–13
- [^] [Gelfand & Fomin 2000](#), p. 13
- [^] [Gelfand & Fomin 2000](#), pp. 14–15
- [^] [Courant & Hilbert 1953](#), p. 184
- [^] [Weisstein, Eric W. "Euler-Lagrange Differential Equation." \[↗\]\(#\) From MathWorld \[↗\]\(#\)—A Wolfram Web Resource. See Eq. \(5\).](#)
- [^] [Gelfand & Fomin 2000](#), pp. 11–12, 99
- [^] [Gelfand & Fomin 2000](#), p. 12, footnote 6
- [^] [Gelfand & Fomin 2000](#), p. 8
- [^] [Gelfand & Fomin 2000](#), p. 6
- [^] [Gelfand & Fomin 2000](#), pp. 11–12
- [^] [Gelfand & Fomin 2000](#), pp. 97–98
- [^] [Gelfand & Fomin 2000](#), p. 99
- [^] [Gelfand & Fomin 2000](#), p. 100
- [^] [Gelfand & Fomin 2000](#), p. 100, Theorem 2

Further reading [\[edit\]](#)

- Dacorogna, Bernard. *Introduction to the Calculus of Variations (3rd Edition)* [↗](#), 2014, World Scientific Publishing, ISBN 978-1-78326-551-0. *Introduction* [↗](#)
- [Bolza, O.](#): Lectures on the Calculus of Variations. Chelsea Publishing Company, 1904, available on Digital Mathematics library [\[1\]](#) [↗](#). 2nd edition republished in 1961, paperback in 2005, ISBN 978-1-4181-8201-4.
- Cassel, Kevin W.: *Variational Methods with Applications in Science and Engineering* [↗](#), Cambridge University Press, 2013.
- Clegg, J.C.: *Calculus of Variations* [↗](#), Interscience Publishers Inc., 1968.
- [Courant, R.](#): *Dirichlet's principle, conformal mapping and minimal surfaces* [↗](#). Interscience, 1950.
- [Elsgolc, L.E.](#): *Calculus of Variations* [↗](#), Pergamon Press Ltd., 1962.
- [Forsyth, A.R.](#): *Calculus of Variations* [↗](#), Dover, 1960.
- [Fox, Charles](#): *An Introduction to the Calculus of Variations* [↗](#), Dover Publ., 1987.
- [Jost, J. and X. Li-Jost](#): *Calculus of Variations* [↗](#). Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [Lebedev, L.P. and Cloud, M.J.](#): *The Calculus of Variations and Functional Analysis with Optimal Control and Applications in Mechanics* [↗](#), World Scientific, 2003, pages 1–98.
- [Logan, J. David](#): *Applied Mathematics* [↗](#), 3rd Ed. Wiley-Interscience, 2006

- Roubicek, T.: *Calculus of variations* . Chap.17 in: *Mathematical Tools for Physicists* . (Ed. M. Grinfeld) J. Wiley, Weinheim, 2014, ISBN 978-3-527-41188-7, pp. 551–588.
- Sagan, Hans: *Introduction to the Calculus of Variations* , Dover, 1992.
- Weinstock, Robert: *Calculus of Variations with Applications to Physics and Engineering* , Dover, 1974 (reprint of 1952 ed.).
- Chapter 8: *Calculus of Variations* , from *Optimization for Engineering Systems* , by Ralph W. Pike, Louisiana State University.

External links [edit]

- Hazewinkel, Michiel, ed. (2001), "Variational calculus" , *Encyclopedia of Mathematics*, Springer, ISBN 978-1-55608-010-4
- Calculus of variations at PlanetMath.org.
- Weisstein, Eric W., "Calculus of Variations" , *MathWorld*.
- Calculus of variations example problems.
- Selected papers on Geodesic Fields*, Part I, trans. and edited by D. H. Delphenich
- Selected papers on Geodesic Fields*, Part II

Authority control NDL: 00563089

Categories: Calculus of variations | Mathematical optimization

This page was last modified on 28 August 2015, at 15:20.

Text is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike License; additional terms may apply. By using this site, you agree to the Terms of Use and Privacy Policy.
Wikipedia® is a registered trademark of the Wikimedia Foundation, Inc., a non-profit organization.

Privacy policy About Wikipedia Disclaimers Contact Wikipedia Developers Mobile view

