



WIKIPEDIA
The Free Encyclopedia

[Main page](#)

[Contents](#)

[Featured content](#)

[Current events](#)

[Random article](#)

[Donate to Wikipedia](#)

[Wikipedia store](#)

Interaction

[Help](#)

[About Wikipedia](#)

[Community portal](#)

[Recent changes](#)

[Contact page](#)

Tools

[What links here](#)

[Related changes](#)

[Upload file](#)

[Special pages](#)

[Permanent link](#)

[Page information](#)

[Wikidata item](#)

[Cite this page](#)

Print/export

[Create a book](#)

[Download as PDF](#)

[Printable version](#)

Languages

[العربية](#)

[Català](#)

[Čeština](#)

[Deutsch](#)

[Español](#)

[Esperanto](#)

[Français](#)

[Italiano](#)

[Magyar](#)

[Nederlands](#)

[Polski](#)

[Русский](#)

[Simple English](#)

[Српски / srpski](#)

[Українська](#)

[Tiếng Việt](#)

[中文](#)

[Edit links](#)

Article [Talk](#)

[Read](#) [Edit](#) [View history](#)

Fermat primality test

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

The **Fermat primality test** is a [probabilistic](#) test to determine whether a number is a [probable prime](#).

Contents [\[hide\]](#)

[1 Concept](#)

[2 Example](#)

[3 Algorithm and running time](#)

[4 Flaw](#)

[5 Applications](#)

[6 References](#)

Concept [\[edit\]](#)

[Fermat's little theorem](#) states that if *p* is prime and $0 < a < p$, then

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

If we want to test whether *p* is prime, then we can pick random *a*'s in the interval and see whether the equality holds. If the equality does not hold for a value of *a*, then *p* is composite. If the equality does hold for many values of *a*, then we can say that *p* is [probably prime](#).

It might be in our tests that we do not pick any value for *a* such that the equality fails. Any *a* such that

$$a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

when *n* is composite is known as a *Fermat liar*. Vice versa, in this case *n* is called [Fermat pseudoprime](#) to base *a*.

If we do pick an *a* such that

$$a^{n-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

then *a* is known as a *Fermat witness* for the compositeness of *n*.

Example [\[edit\]](#)

Suppose we wish to determine whether *n* = 221 is prime. Randomly pick $0 < a < 221$, say *a* = 38. We check the above equality and find that it holds:

$$a^{n-1} = 38^{220} \equiv 1 \pmod{221}.$$

Either 221 is prime, or 38 is a Fermat liar, so we take another *a*, say 24:

$$a^{n-1} = 24^{220} \equiv 81 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{221}.$$

So 221 is composite and 38 was indeed a Fermat liar.

Algorithm and running time [\[edit\]](#)

The algorithm can be written as follows:

Inputs: *n*: a value to test for primality, $n > 3$; *k*: a parameter that determines the number of times to test for primality

Output: *composite* if *n* is composite, otherwise *probably prime*

Repeat *k* times:

 Pick *a* randomly in the range $[2, n - 2]$

 If $a^{n-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$, then return *composite*

 If composite is never returned: return *probably prime*

The values 1 and *n*−1 are not used as the equality holds for all *n* and all odd *n* respectively, hence testing them adds no value.

Using fast algorithms for [modular exponentiation](#), the running time of this algorithm is $O(k \times \log^2 n \times \log \log n \times \log \log \log n)$, where k is the number of times we test a random a , and n is the value we want to test for primality.

Flaw [\[edit\]](#)

There are infinitely many values of n (known as [Carmichael numbers](#)) for which all values of a for which $\gcd(a, n) = 1$ are Fermat liars. For these numbers, repeated application of the Fermat primality test performs the same as a simple random search for factors and may be much less likely to determine that n is composite and performs the same as a simple random search for factors. While Carmichael numbers are substantially rarer than prime numbers,^[1] there are enough of them that Fermat's primality test is often not used in the above form. Instead, other more powerful extensions of the Fermat test, such as [Baillie-PSW](#), [Miller-Rabin](#), and [Solovay-Strassen](#) are more commonly used.

In general, if n is not a Carmichael number then at least half of all

$$a \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*$$

are Fermat witnesses. For proof of this, let a be a Fermat witness and a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s be Fermat liars. Then

$$(a \cdot a_i)^{n-1} \equiv a^{n-1} \cdot a_i^{n-1} \equiv a^{n-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod n$$

and so all $a \times a_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ are Fermat witnesses.

Applications [\[edit\]](#)

As mentioned above, most applications use a [Miller-Rabin](#) or [Baillie-PSW](#) test for primality. Sometimes a Fermat test (along with some trial division by small primes) is performed first to improve performance. [GMP](#) since version 3.0 uses a base-210 Fermat test after trial division and before running Miller-Rabin tests. [Libgcrypt](#) uses a similar process with base 2 for the Fermat test, but [OpenSSL](#) does not.

In practice with most big number libraries such as GMP, the Fermat test is not noticeably faster than a Miller-Rabin test, and can be slower for many inputs.^[2]

As an exception, OpenPFGW uses only the Fermat test for probable prime testing. The program is typically used with multi-thousand digit inputs with a goal of maximum speed with very large inputs. Another well known program that relies only on the Fermat test is [PGP](#) where it is only used for testing of self-generated large random values (an open source counterpart, [GNU Privacy Guard](#), uses a Fermat pretest followed by Miller-Rabin tests).

References [\[edit\]](#)

- **Thomas H. Cormen, Charles E. Leiserson, Ronald L. Rivest, Clifford Stein** (2001). "Section 31.8: Primality testing". *Introduction to Algorithms* (Second ed.). MIT Press; McGraw-Hill. p. 889–890. ISBN 0-262-03293-7.
 - ↑ Erdős' upper bound for the number of Carmichael numbers is lower than the prime number function $n/\log(n)$
 - ↑ Joe Hurd (2003), *Verification of the Miller-Rabin Probabilistic Primality Test* , p. 2

<div>V · T · E</div>	Number-theoretic algorithms <div>[hide]</div>
Primality tests	<div><div>AKS test · APR test · Baillie–PSW · ECPP test · Elliptic curve · Pocklington · Fermat · Lucas · Lucas–Lehmer · Lucas–Lehmer–Riesel · Proth's theorem · Pépin's · Quadratic Frobenius test · Solovay–Strassen · Miller–Rabin</div></div>
Prime-generating	<div><div>Sieve of Atkin · Sieve of Eratosthenes · Sieve of Sundaram · Wheel factorization</div></div>
Integer factorization	<div><div>Continued fraction (CFRAC) · Dixon's · Lenstra elliptic curve (ECM) · Euler's · Pollard's rho · $p - 1$ · $p + 1$ · Quadratic sieve (QS) · General number field sieve (GNFS) · Special number field sieve (SNFS) · Rational sieve · Fermat's · Shanks' square forms · Trial division · Shor's</div></div>
Multiplication	<div><div>Ancient Egyptian · Long · Karatsuba · Toom–Cook · Schönhage–Strassen · Fürer's</div></div>
Discrete logarithm	<div><div>Baby-step giant-step · Pollard rho · Pollard kangaroo · Pohlig–Hellman · Index calculus · Function field sieve</div></div>
Greatest common divisor	<div><div>Binary · Euclidean · Extended Euclidean · Lehmer's</div></div>
Modular square root	<div><div>Cipolla · Pocklington's · Tonelli–Shanks</div></div>
Other algorithms	<div><div>Chakravala · Cornacchia · Integer relation · Integer square root · Modular exponentiation · Schoof's</div></div>
<i>Italics indicate that algorithm is for numbers of special forms · <small>SMALLCAPS</small> indicate a deterministic algorithm</i>	

This page was last modified on 27 August 2015, at 21:10.

Text is available under the [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike License](#); additional terms may apply. By using this site, you agree to the [Terms of Use](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). Wikipedia® is a registered trademark of the [Wikimedia Foundation, Inc.](#), a non-profit organization.

[Privacy policy](#) [About Wikipedia](#) [Disclaimers](#) [Contact Wikipedia](#) [Developers](#) [Mobile view](#)

