

Main page Contents Featured content Current events Random article Donate to Wikipedia Wikipedia store

Interaction

Help About Wikipedia Community portal Recent changes Contact page

Tools

What links here Related changes Upload file Special pages Permanent link Page information Wikidata item Cite this page

Print/export

Create a book Download as PDF Printable version

Languages

Deutsch

Español

Français

Nederlands

Português

Русский

Slovenščina

Svenska

中文

Ædit links



Bailey-Borwein-Plouffe formula

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia



This article **needs additional citations for verification**. Please help improve this article by adding citations to reliable sources. Unsourced material may be challenged and removed. (*March 2014*)

The **Bailey–Borwe in–Plouffe formula** (**BBP formula**) is a spigot algorithm for computing the nth binary digit of pi (symbol: π) using base 16 math. The formula can directly calculate the value of any given digit of π without calculating the preceding digits. The BBP is a summation-style formula that was discovered in 1995 by Simon Plouffe and was named after the authors of the paper in which the formula was published, David H. Bailey, Peter Borwein, and Simon Plouffe. [1] Before that paper, it had been published by Plouffe on his own site. [2] The formula is

$$\pi = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{16^k} \left(\frac{4}{8k+1} - \frac{2}{8k+4} - \frac{1}{8k+5} - \frac{1}{8k+6} \right) \right]$$

The discovery of this formula came as a surprise. For centuries it had been assumed that there was no way to compute the nth digit of π without calculating all of the preceding n-1 digits.

Since this discovery, many formulas for other irrational constants have been discovered of the general form

$$\alpha = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{b^k} \frac{p(k)}{q(k)} \right]$$

where α is the constant and p and q are polynomials in integer coefficients and $b \ge 2$ is an integer base.

Formulas in this form are known as **BBP-type formulas**. [3] Certain combinations of specific p, q and b result in well-known constants, but there is no systematic algorithm for finding the appropriate combinations; known formulas are discovered through experimental mathematics.

Contents [hide]

- 1 Specializations
 - 1.1 Previously known BBP-type formulae
 - 1.2 The search for new equalities
 - 1.3 The BBP formula for π
 - 1.3.1 BBP digit-extraction algorithm for $\boldsymbol{\pi}$
- 2 BBP compared to other methods of computing π
- 3 Generalizations
- 4 See also
- 5 References
- 6 Further reading
- 7 External links

Specializations [edit]

A specialization of the general formula that has produced many results is

$$P(s, b, m, A) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{b^k} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{a_j}{(mk+j)^s} \right]$$

where s, b and m are integers and $A=(a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_m)$ is a vector of integers. The P function leads to a compact notation for some solutions.

Previously known BBP-type formulae [edit]

Some of the simplest formulae of this type that were well known before BBP, and that the P function leads to a compact notation, are

$$\ln \frac{10}{9} = \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{200} + \frac{1}{3000} + \frac{1}{40000} + \frac{1}{500000} + \cdots$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{10^k \cdot k} = \frac{1}{10} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{10^k} \left(\frac{1}{k+1} \right) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{10} P(1, 10, 1, (1))$$

$$\ln 2 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2^2} + \frac{1}{3 \cdot 2^3} + \frac{1}{4 \cdot 2^4} + \frac{1}{5 \cdot 2^5} + \cdots$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k \cdot k} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{2^k} \left(\frac{1}{k+1} \right) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} P(1, 2, 1, (1)).$$

Plouffe was also inspired by the arctan power series of the form (the P notation can be also generalized to the case where *b* is not an integer) :

$$\arctan \frac{1}{b} = \frac{1}{b} - \frac{1}{b^3 3} + \frac{1}{b^5 5} - \frac{1}{b^7 7} + \frac{1}{b^9 9} + \cdots$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{b^k} \frac{\sin \frac{k\pi}{2}}{k} \right] = \frac{1}{b} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{b^{4k}} \left(\frac{1}{4k+1} + \frac{-b^{-2}}{4k+3} \right) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{b} P\left(1, b^4, 4, (1, 0, -b^{-2}, 0) \right).$$

The search for new equalities [edit]

Using the P function mentioned above, the simplest known formula for π is for s=1 but m>1. Many now-discovered formulae are known for b as an exponent of 2 or 3 and m is an exponent of 2 or it is some other factor-rich value, but where several of the terms of vector A are zero. The discovery of these formulae involves a computer search for such linear combinations after computing the individual sums. The search procedure consists of choosing a range of parameter values for s, b, and m, evaluating the sums out to many digits, and then using an integer relation finding algorithm (typically Helaman Ferguson's PSLQ algorithm) to find a vector A that adds up those intermediate sums to a well-known constant or perhaps to zero.

The BBP formula for π [edit]

The original BBP π summation formula was found in 1995 by Plouffe using PSLQ. It is also representable using the *P* function above:

$$\pi = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{16^k} \left(\frac{4}{8k+1} - \frac{2}{8k+4} - \frac{1}{8k+5} - \frac{1}{8k+6} \right) \right]$$
$$= P\left(1, 16, 8, (4, 0, 0, -2, -1, -1, 0, 0) \right)$$

which also reduces to this equivalent ratio of two polynomials

$$\pi = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{16^k} \left(\frac{120k^2 + 151k + 47}{512k^4 + 1024k^3 + 712k^2 + 194k + 15} \right) \right].$$

This formula has been shown through a rigorous and fairly simple proof to equal π . [4]

BBP digit-extraction algorithm for π [edit]

We would like to define a formula that returns the hexadecimal digit n of π . A few manipulations are required to implement a spigot algorithm using this formula.

We must first rewrite the formula as

$$\pi = 4\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(16^k)(8k+1)} - 2\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(16^k)(8k+4)} - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(16^k)(8k+5)} - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(16^k)(8k+6)}.$$

Now, for a particular value of n and taking the first sum, we split the sum to infinity across the nth term

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(16^k)(8k+1)} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{(16^k)(8k+1)} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(16^k)(8k+1)}.$$

We now multiply by 16 ⁿ so that the hexadecimal point (the divide between fractional and integer parts of the

number) is in the nth place.

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{16^{n-k}}{8k+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{16^{n-k}}{8k+1} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{16^{n-k}}{8k+1}.$$

Since we only care about the fractional part of the sum, we look at our two terms and realise that only the first sum is able to produce whole numbers; conversely, the second sum cannot produce whole numbers since the numerator can never be larger than the denominator for k > n. Therefore, we need a trick to remove the whole numbers for the first sum. That trick is mod 8k + 1. Our sum for the first fractional part then becomes:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{16^{n-k} \bmod (8k+1)}{8k+1} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{16^{n-k}}{8k+1}.$$

Notice how the modulo operator always guarantees that only the fractional sum will be kept. To calculate $16^{n-k} \mod (8k + 1)$ quickly and efficiently, use the modular exponentiation algorithm. When the running product becomes greater than one, take the modulo just as you do for the running total in each sum.

Now to complete the calculation you must apply this to each of the four sums in turn. Once this is done, take the four summations and put them back into the sum to π .

$$4\Sigma_1 - 2\Sigma_2 - \Sigma_3 - \Sigma_4$$

Since only the fractional part is accurate, extracting the wanted digit requires that one removes the integer part of the final sum and multiplies by 16 to "skim off" the hexadecimal digit at this position (in theory, the next few digits up to the accuracy of the calculations used would also be accurate).

This process is similar to performing long multiplication, but only having to perform the summation of some middle columns. While there are some carries that are not counted, computers usually perform arithmetic for many bits (32 or 64) and they round and we are only interested in the most significant digit(s). There is a possibility that a particular computation will be akin to failing to add a small number (e.g. 1) to the number 9999999999999, and that the error will propagate to the most significant digit.

BBP compared to other methods of computing π [edit]

This algorithm computes π without requiring custom data types having thousands or even millions of digits. The method calculates the *n*th digit *without* calculating the first n-1 digits, and can use small, efficient data types.

The algorithm is the fastest way to compute the *n*th digit (or a few digits in a neighborhood of the *n*th); because of this, by using multiple machines, it is the fastest way to compute all the digits from 1 to *n*.

Though the BBP formula can directly calculate the value of any given digit of π with less computational effort than formulas that must calculate all intervening digits, BBP remains linearithmic whereby successively larger values of n require increasingly more time to calculate; that is, the "further out" a digit is, the longer it takes BBP to calculate it, just like the standard π -computing algorithms. [5]

Generalizations [edit]

D.J. Broadhurst provides a generalization of the BBP algorithm that may be used to compute a number of other constants in nearly linear time and logarithmic space. Explicit results are given for Catalan's constant, π^3 , $\log^3 2$, Apéry's constant $\zeta(3)$ (where $\zeta(x)$ is the Riemann zeta function), π^4 , $\log^4 2$, $\log^5 2$, $\zeta(5)$, and various products of powers of π and $\log 2$. These results are obtained primarily by the use of polylogarithm ladders.

See also [edit]

- $\bullet \ \ \text{Computing} \ \pi$
- · Experimental mathematics
- · Bellard's formula
- Feynman point

References [edit]

- A Bailey, David H.; Borwein, Peter B.; Plouffe, Simon (1997). "On the Rapid Computation of Various Polylogarithmic Constants". *Mathematics of Computation* 66 (218): 903–913. doi:10.1090/S0025-5718-97-00856-9 & MR 1415794 &.
- 2. ^ Plouffe's website &
- 3. ^ Weisstein, Eric W., "BBP Formula" &, MathWorld.

- 4. * Bailey, David H.; Borwein, Jonathan M.; Borwein, Peter B.; Plouffe, Simon (1997). "The quest for pi". *Mathematical Intelligencer* **19** (1): 50–57. doi:10.1007/BF03024340 & MR 1439159 &.
- 5. A Bailey, David H. (8 September 2006). "The BBP Algorithm for Pi" (PDF). Retrieved 17 January 2013. "Run times for the BBP algorithm ... increase roughly linearly with the position d."

Further reading [edit]

D.J. Broadhurst, "Polylogarithmic ladders, hypergeometric series and the ten millionth digits of ζ(3) and ζ(5) &", (1998) arXiv math.CA/9803067

External links [edit]

- Richard J. Lipton, "Making An Algorithm An Algorithm BBP &", weblog post, July 14, 2010.
- Bailey, David H. "A compendium of BBP-type formulas for mathematical constants" (PDF). Retrieved 2010-04-30.
- David H. Bailey, "BBP Code Directory ♣", web page with links to Beiley's code implementing the BBP algorithm, September 8, 2006.

Categories: Pi algorithms

This page was last modified on 30 June 2015, at 04:58.

Text is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike License; additional terms may apply. By using this site, you agree to the Terms of Use and Privacy Policy. Wikipedia® is a registered trademark of the Wikimedia Foundation, Inc., a non-profit organization.

Privacy policy About Wikipedia Disclaimers Contact Wikipedia Developers Mobile view



