Computer-Aided Arrhythmia Diagnosis with Bio-signal Processing: A Survey of Trends and Techniques

Article *in* ACM Computing Surveys · March 2019

DOI: 10.1145/3297711

3 authors:

Sai Manoj P D
George Mason University



Axel Jantsch
KTH Royal Institute of Technology

Muhammad Shafique
Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

Computer-Aided Arrhythmia Diagnosis with Bio-signal Processing: A Survey of Trends and Techniques

Sai Manoj Pudukotai Dinakarrao, George Mason University (GMU)
Axel Jantsch, Vienna University of Technology (TU Wien)
Muhammad Shafique, Vienna University of Technology (TU Wien)

Signals obtained from a patient i.e., bio-signals are utilized to analyze the health of patient. One such bio-signal of paramount importance is the Electrocardiogram (ECG), represents the functioning of the heart. Any abnormal behavior in the ECG signal is an indicative measure of malfunctioning of the heart termed as arrhythmia condition. Due to the involved complexities such as lack of human expertise and high probability to misdiagnose, long-term monitoring based on computer-aided diagnosis (CADiag) is preferred. There exist various CADiag techniques for arrhythmia diagnosis with their own benefits and limitations. In this work, we classify the arrhythmia detection approaches that make use of CADiag based on the utilized technique. A vast number of techniques useful for arrhythmia detection, their performances, involved complexities and comparison among different variants of same technique and across different techniques are discussed. The comparison of different techniques in terms of their performance for arrhythmia detection, and its suitability for hardware implementation towards body wearable devices is discussed in this work.

CCS Concepts: •**Mathematics of computing** → **Time series analysis;** •**Computing methodologies** → *Classification and regression trees; Neural networks; Feature selection;*

Additional Key Words and Phrases: Electrocardiogram (ECG), Arrhythmia detection, Computer-aided diagnosis, Health-care, Machine learning, Neural networks, Support-vector machine

ACM Reference Format:
Sai Manoj P D, Axel Jantsch, and Muhammad Shafique, 2019. Computer-Aided Arrhythmia Diagnosis with Bio-signal Processing: A Survey of Trends and Techniques *ACM Comput. Surv.* 9, 4, Article PP (March 2019), 35 pages.
DOI: 0000001.0000001

1. INTRODUCTION

Following the recent trends in health-monitoring devices and heterogeneous integration techniques, a large number of body wearable devices in different forms such as wristbands, smart watches that capture bio-signals are rapidly proliferating in the market. Bio-signals are the non-stationary signals representing the electrical output from the corresponding organ, captured by one or more sensors. Disparate techniques and devices are often utilized to acquire different kinds of bio-signals. Analysis of the bio-signal aids to monitor and assess the functionality of the corresponding organ.

Most of these bio-signals are deterministic and follow a pattern. For instance, a deterministic behavior can be seen in the depicted pseudo random Electrocardiogram (ECG) signal in Figure 1. Any malfunctioning in an organ can be mostly observed as an anomaly in

Author's addresses: S. Manoj Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, GMU (Current address) 4400 Patriot Circle, Fairfax 22030 VA, USA. Author's addresses: A. Jantsch, Institute of Computer Technology, TU Wien (Current address) Gusshausstrasse 27-29, 1040 Vienna, Austria. Author's addresses: M. Shafique, Institute of Computer Engineering, TU Wien (Current address) Treitlstrasse 3, 1040 Vienna, Austria.

DOI: 0000001.0000001

the corresponding bio-signal. Some examples of anomalies in an ECG signal is illustrated in Figure 3. An anomaly in a signal can be defined as a sequence or part of signal that does not obey with the behavior of the rest of the signal [Chandola et al. 2009]. Further, an in-depth analysis of anomaly in the bio-signal, such as morphological distortions and temporal variations help to derive conclusions and measures to nullify the cause of the anomaly. Hence, there is an emerging need to study a wide range of state-of-the-art techniques for bio-signal analysis and evaluate their pros and cons. Though various bio-signals can be obtained from a patient for analysis, we confine this article to anomaly detection in the ECG signals due to the various reasons mentioned above.

According to cardiovascular disease (CVD) statistics 2015 from world health organization (WHO) [WHO 2015], CVDs are the major cause of death globally. Additionally, anomaly detection in bio-signals obtained from the heart, i.e., arrhythmia detection has drawn a significant attention among researchers and practitioners. Cardiac diseases may be diagnosed by invasive and non-invasive techniques. *Cardiac auscultation* [Braunwald et al. 2011] i.e., listening to the heartbeat with the aid of stethoscope is used by physicians to diagnose the CVDs. The efficiency of cardiac auscultation is often hindered due to lack of ability to hear, or interpret the heartbeats by a physician and is prone to human errors or inaccuracies [de Medeiros et al. 2011]. *Electrocardiogram* (ECG) is a non-invasive and efficient technique that represents the electrical activity of heart. Electrocardiogram signals are useful to analyze and diagnose CVDs [Goldeberger et al. 2012; de Medeiros et al. 2011]. It is widely used to monitor patients' cardiovascular activities. Any deviation from the usual heart rhythm (60-100 beats per minute) is termed as *arrhythmia*, including disturbances in the heart rate, regularity or conduction of the cardiac electrical impulse [Thaler 2015]. In addition to CVDs, analysis of arrhythmia also helps in deriving conclusions about the lifestyle of the patient. For instance, a high frequency cardiac rhythm disturbances indicate that person is suffering from sleep disorders [Migliorini et al. 2011].

Capturing ECG signals for arrhythmia detection often demands special equipment and clinical setup along with expertise. At a large scale, this is not possible, especially in developing or under-developed countries where the availability of medical experts, clinics and medical devices is meager. This fueled the need for automatic, low-cost, real-time, and efficient physiological monitoring that can be used in the home or under ambulatory settings alike. This gradually led to arrhythmia detection and health diagnosis by computer-aided diagnosis (CADiag) systems.

1.1. Challenges in Arrhythmia Detection

The major challenges in arrhythmia detection that are vital to consider when designing a CADiag system are listed below:

— The symptoms of the arrhythmia might not show up at all or might not show up during the ECG signal capturing period [Ceylan and Özbay 2007].
— To improve the quality of diagnostics, ECG signals might need to be captured or monitored over several hours using devices like Holter monitor is not always feasible.
— ECG signal properties (such as time period, amplitude and so on) varies from person to person and depends on different factors such as age, gender, physical conditions, and lifestyle. As such, there exists no generalized framework and standards which are valid for all patients. This is one of the reasons why CADiag arrhythmia detection systems perform well on the training data, but has reduced performance when tested on different patients [Joshi et al. 2009; Ceylan and Özbay 2007].
— Variations in the ECG signal morphology for the same person with time, physical state (such as running, walking, sleeping and so on), and so on.
— The volume of data to be considered for ECG signal analysis is large, hence there is a higher probability of having false diagnosis of arrhythmia.

— The noise components from an electrical interface such as electrodes, mechanical disturbances (changes in the connection of electrodes on patient's skin) or interference from other nodes can result in morphological variations and discrepancies in captured ECG signal [Adams and Choi 2012; Yaghouby et al. 2009].
— Some other components of noise that contribute to a false diagnosis of arrhythmia are biological ones, such as patient's muscle movements that generate high-frequency noise, chest activity due to respiration may provoke baseline wandering and the signal interference from other organs.

1.2. Contributions of This Work

Despite the above-mentioned challenges, arrhythmia detection is possible by closely observing and learning the patterns in ECG signals. There exist distinct ways to detect arrhythmias, each of them has their own merits and demerits. In this work we try to systematically list and analyze notable works for ECG signal analysis from both the perspectives of performance and suitability to emerging body wearable devices. The main contributions of this work are outlined below:

— A comprehensive overview and analysis of different ECG pre-processing techniques along with their comparison.
— ECG arrhythmia detection is presented in a categorized manner based on the technique used in CADiag.
— Various arrhythmia detection techniques ranging from traditional to advanced methods like machine learning are analyzed.
— A comparison of different variants of a technique, and among various techniques is presented along with their achieved performance.
— Lastly, a trade-off analysis between arrhythmia detection techniques' performance and resource is presented, which is of great help for researchers to choose the technique depending on their requirements.
— In addition, hardware analysis w.r.t. the performance, and resource utilization is presented.

1.3. Distinction to other Surveys

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first survey work on ECG arrhythmia analysis that covers a broader range of topics in terms of arrhythmia detection algorithms (both traditional statistical method based as well as advanced machine learning based), analyze arrhythmia detection performance and overheads across different techniques as well as the variants of same technique. Furthermore, this work also presents hardware implementation analysis based on the performance and resource consumption, which is non-trivial for the design of future and current wearable and fitness tracking devices or to determine which of the techniques best suit to be deployed on embedded devices such as smart phones for a given power and performance budgets. This would be of great help for both researchers and developers to identify a subset that fits the requirements of their use cases. There are few short survey papers such as [da S. Luz et al. 2016; Jambukia et al. 2015; Dewangan and Shukla 2015; Sahoo et al. 2011]. In [da S. Luz et al. 2016], ECG arrhythmia classification based on techniques is presented with primary focus on the performance evaluation for a given technique. As such, no analysis of the processing overheads, requirements or suitability of an ECG arrhythmia detection technique to system or implementation resources is presented. This leaves the user with a void when evaluating algorithms for a given power or area constraints or determining the algorithm based on the complexity. Though a compact review of ECG classification is presented in [Jambukia et al. 2015], it mostly confines to machine learning techniques and focuses mainly on neural networks and Support Vector Machines (SVMs). On other hand, no intriguing comparisons and analysis (in terms of implementations) among different techniques are mentioned. A review that is confined to a specific type of arrhythmia is provided in [Sahoo et al. 2011]. Our article differs from the existing ones w.r.t its coverage of a wide range of topics regarding ECG signals, arrhythmias, pre-processing of ECG signals and different arrhythmia detection techniques. In addition, this work provides guidelines to users for selecting the appropriate Arrhythmia detection technique that fits their requirements in terms of performance, power or area budget.

1.4. Organization of This Article

The rest of this article is organized as follows. We introduce the ECG signal and its characteristics in Section 2. Different arrhythmias and their features are presented in Section 3. Possible techniques employed for extracting different components of an ECG signal is presented in Section 5. Further, we present different arrhythmia detection techniques in a grouped and compact form along with an analysis of individual techniques in Section 6. An in-depth analysis of CADiag based arrhythmia detection and comparison among various techniques is presented in Section 7. Analysis of other bio-signals are briefed in Section 8 with final conclusions in Section 9.

2. ECG SIGNAL CHARACTERISTICS

ECG is a time series signal with a few millivolts amplitude and a frequency of 0.01-250Hz [Webster 2010]. An ECG signal comprises of 5 major components namely P, Q, R, S, and T. The R component can be easily differentiated from others due to its large amplitude compared to other components. A pseudo random ECG signal is shown in Figure 1. The terminology used in this paper for referring to ECG signal properties is provided below:



Fig. 1. A pseudo-ECG signal and its components

Component:component of an ECG signal refer to the P, Q, R, S and T peaks.
Feature: Features of ECG refer to its inherent characteristics such as time period, amplitude, width. For instance, RR interval can be seen as a feature, similarly the width of the QRS complex, or the amplitude of the R peak.
Heartbeat: A heartbeat is an ECG signal starting from present P component to succeeding P component (1 cycle).

We describe the utilized medical terms (in the box), followed by how different components of the ECG signal are generated.

For a better understanding, we present the basic architecture and composition of the heart and the used taxonomy here. Heart comprises of four chambers, two upper atria (chambers that receives the blood) and two lower ventricles (chambers that discharge the blood). Upper atria and lower ventricles are linked through atrio-ventricular valves. Description of other terms used in this article are given below.

— *Chambers* in a heart refers to ventricles and atria.
— The *atrium* is the singular form of Atria.
— *Valves* in a heart separate the chambers i.e., one valve lies in between each atrium and ventricle.
— *Sino-atrial node* refers to the group of cells located in the wall of the right atrium, capable of producing the action potential (electrical impulse) that travels through the heart, resulting in the contraction of the heart.

— *Atrio-ventricular node* is responsible for the coordination of the electrical conduction system with the upper portion of the heart i.e., sino-atrial node's action potential is passed to the lower part of the heart through the atrio-ventricular nodes.
— *Depolarization* (in biology) refers to a shift in the charge distribution in the cell. Depolarization results in a less negative charge.
— *Atrial Depolarization* refers to the depolarization process in the atrium chambers, resulting in P component in the ECG.
— *Cardiac cycle* refers to the sequence of mechanical and electrical events happening in the heart that repeats with every heartbeat. It includes two phases: relaxation (diastole) and contraction (systole).
— *Systole* is the contraction of the cardiac muscles in response to electrochemical stimulus in the heart.
— *Diastole* refers to the part of the cardiac cycle during which the blood is filled into the heart. This phenomenon is observed as the physical relaxation of the chambers of heart.
— *Ventricular diastole* is the period during which the ventricles fill the blood and are relaxing.
— *Fusion beats* occur due to simultaneous action of impulses generated from different sources acting on the same region of the heart. If this phenomenon occurs in the ventricular chambers, it is termed as ventricular fusion beat and similarly, an atrial fusion beat is the result of colliding impulses in the atrial chambers.

The state of heart is generally reflected in the morphology of the ECG signal and the heart rate. Different components of ECG signal are originated from different parts of the heart, as discussed below [Zheng et al. 2013; Braunwald et al. 2011].

— *P component* is formed during atrial depolarization when the electrical wave propagates from sino-atrial (SA) node to atrio-ventricular node spreading from right atrium to left atrium [Wagner and Marriott 2013].
— *QRS complex* is formed due to the depolarization of the right and left ventricles. Due to higher mass of the ventricles compared to the atria, the amplitude of QRS complex is larger.
— *T component* is formed during the repolarization phase of the ventricles. The ST segments reflects the time period for ventricles to repolarize after depolarization. During normal state, the ST segment is isoelectric. The period post T component or wave is called as relative refractory period.



Fig. 2. ECG arrhythmia detection procedure.

While making a diagnosis, most of the medical experts take into account the following features: the relative positions of the components, magnitudes, morphology, and other derived interval features such as PR interval, PR segment, the width of QRS complex, QT interval and ST segment [Chakroborty 2013; Braunwald et al. 2011]. As such, the volume of required data for an efficient ECG analysis is enormous. The possibility for a medical analyst to miss (or misread) the vital information is high [Ceylan and Özbay 2007]. Arrhythmia detection with the aid of CADiag is performed in two steps, shown in Figure 2 and described below:

(1) Extraction of components in ECG signal.
(2) Analysis of features for the extracted components for arrhythmia detection.

Table I. Arrhythmias and their characteristics

Arrhythmia	Rhythm	Heart rate (bpm)	# of P components	PR (ms)	QRS (ms)
Normal	Regular	60-100	1	120-200	<120
Bradycardia	Regular	<60	1	120-200	<120
Tachycardia	Regular	>100	1	120-200	<120
Supraventricular tachycardia (SVT)	Regular	>150	1	-	<40
Ventricular tachycardia (VT)	Regular	>100	No	No	>120
Ventricular fibrillation (VF)	Irregular	>250	No	No	>120
Atrial fibrillation (AF)	Irregular	Any	>1	No	<120
Bundle branch block (BBB)	Regular	Any	1	-	>120
Atrial Premature Beat (APB)	Regular[a]	-	1[b]	>120	-
Atrial flutter	Regular	Any	>1	No	<120

[a]The rhythm is regular, but can as well be irregular depending on the sub-category of APB.
[b]P waves may be present or distorted, depending on the sub-category.

Before discussing the pre-processing and arrhythmia detection, we provide a brief overview of some kinds of arrhythmias in next section.

3. ARRHYTHMIAS IN ECG

The heart rate i.e., the rhythm of the heartbeat can be normal, fast or slow. Heart rate together with other morphological characteristics (including spatio-temporal relations between different components) are taken into account to diagnose an arrhythmia. Any false diagnosis followed by treatment can be proven fatal. An overview of different arrhythmias is presented in this section. It needs to be noted that the details are provided considering a normal healthy adult. The diagnostic features may vary with age, gender, and race. A pseudo illustration of arrhythmias is given in Figure 3.

Figure 3 depicts different kinds of Arrhythmias, and a summary of the Arrhythmias is listed in Table I. Some of the common arrhythmias that are widely researched are Ventricular fibrillation (VF), premature ventricular contractions (PVCs) whose characteristics are described below. VF is one of the most commonly identified arrhythmias responsible for sudden cardiac arrests. It is often challenging to perform accurate detection of VFs in an ECG signal. ventricular fibrillation (VF) and Ventricular Tachycardia look similar in ECG signal, and an efficient classification leads to better treatment and improves survival rate of the patient [Joo et al. 2010]. Asystole is the medical condition where there is no cardiac electrical activity, and medical practitioners use this condition to certify clinical death.

Ventricular Premature Beats (VPB) or Premature Ventricular Contraction (PVC) results in premature contraction of ventricles during the ventricular diastole [Ayub and Saini 2011]. The morphology of PVC changes from person to person and with activity, hence no specific characteristics exist [Goldberger and et.al. 2000]. PVC could be identified from ECG with one or more of the following symptoms [Shan-xiao et al. 2010]:

— P component is misplaced or not present in the ECG signal.
— The QRS complex is widened and distorted with duration greater than or equal to 0.12 seconds and looks bizarre.
— The directions of T-wave and QRS complex are paradoxical (opposite in direction).
— There might exist a complete compensation pause.

Consecutive PVCs could result in cardiac arrests and can be proven fatal.



Fig. 3. An illustrative description of different arrhythmias [Thaler 2015]: (a) normal ECG; (b) Tachycardia; (c) Bradycardia; (d) Supraventricular Tachycardia; (e) Ventricular Tachycardia; (f) Bundle Branch Block; (g) Atrial Flutter; (h) Atrial Fibrillation; (i) Ventricular Fibrillation; (j) Ventricular Premature Beat; (k) Atrial Premature Beat[1],[2]; (l) Fusion Beat.

4. ECG DATASETS AND EVALUATION METRICS

4.1. ECG Database for Evaluation

Though ECG signals are captured in a non-invasive manner, to adhere the ethical aspects, most of the works use the ECG signals from existing database records. Most commonly used databases for evaluating the ECG signals are: MIT-BIH database [Moody and Mark 2001], Creighton University Ventricular Tachycardia database [FM et al. 1986], PhysioNet [Goldberger et al. 2000], American Heart Association (AHA) database [ECRI 2003], UCI Arrhythmia dataset [Dheeru and Karra Taniskidou 2017], and European ST-T ECG database [Taddei et al. 1992]. Each of these databases have a large number of records with some of them being clearly annotated. Most of these datasets are available in PhysioNet [Goldberger et al. 2000]. For instance, UCI Arrhythmia database [Dheeru and Karra Taniskidou 2017] has around 450 records in a well annotated manner, and MIT-BIH database [Moody and Mark 2001] has a large number of records (>1000) that covers all the different kinds of Arrhythmias. Furthermore, there exists option to download the tool and create a dataset for the required Arrhythmia(s) with a desired amount of time in [Goldberger et al. 2000].

4.2. Evaluation Metrics

The parameters used for evaluating arrhythmia detection performance are accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity. Accuracy is defined as the total number of true values (positives and negatives) among the total number of samples. Sensitivity is the ratio of the number of true positives to the total number of samples classified as positive (total number of true positives and false negatives). Specificity measures the amount of negatives that are correctly identified. This can also be defined as the percentage of normal beats identified as normal.

5. COMPONENT EXTRACTION IN AN ECG SIGNAL

Component extraction has to be performed for ECG analysis. The components in an ECG signal are extracted by employing different techniques. The QRS complex is the most widely extracted components, based on which other components could be extracted. QRS extraction techniques involve two major steps: *QRS enhancement* followed by *QRS detection*. Enhancement is applied for QRS complex with respect to other features such as P and T components. Some research works term this as *pre-processing*.

One of the oldest techniques for ECG component detection with low computational complexity is amplitude thresholding [Morizet-Mahoudeaux et al. 1981]. The major drawback is its inability to remove the noise. Thresholding with first order derivative is experimented [Okada 1979] and is proven to effectively remove artifacts [Zhang et al. 2007], but it fails to remove high-frequency noises. Some research works have applied the first-order derivative combined with a second-order derivative of an ECG signal, succeeded by thresholding [Ahlstrom and Tompkins 1983]; however, the signal noises are not completely filtered. Digital filters are applied for QRS detection with effective noise removal. Most widely used QRS detection technique, Pan and Tompkins [Pan and Tompkins 1985] uses a band-pass filter followed by first derivative and threshold. Some other techniques include Hidden Markov model [Cost and Cano 1989], matched filters [Kaplan 1990], Fast Fourier transform (FFT) [Tsai et al. 1990], QRS enhancement in filter banks [Afonso et al. 1995], Hermitian transform [Lagerholm et al. 2000], zero crossing technique by Köhler [Köhler et al. 2003], wavelet transform [Alesanco et al. 2003], discrete wavelet transform (DWT) [Prasad and Sahambi 2003], wavelet transform succeeded by neural networks Liang-Yu et al. (2004) [Shyu et al. 2004], principle component analysis (PCA) [Jiang et al. 2005], mathematical morphology based filtering by Yongli and Huilong (2005) [Yongli and Huilong 2005], empirical mode decomposition (EMD) [Tang et al. 2008], Hilbert transform [Arzeno et al. 2008], EMD followed by singularity and thresholding [Xing and Huang 2008].

Table II. QRS Detection in an ECG signal

Technique	Process	Note	Source
Amplitude threshold and derivative	Amplitude threshold succeeded by the first derivative	Fails to remove high-frequency noise	[Rodriguez et al. 2015a],[Thakor et al. 1990], [Morizet-Mahoudeaux et al. 1981]
	First derivative of ECG and the threshold	Reduces baseline drifts and motion artifacts	[Rodriguez et al. 2015a],[Okada 1979]
	First derivative combined with the second derivative of ECG, followed by the threshold		[Ahlstrom and Tompkins 1983]
Digital filters and threshold	Bandpass filter on ECG followed by its first derivative and adaptive threshold	Can increase SNR	[Pan and Tompkins 1985]
Mathematical morphology filtering and threshold	Mathematical morphology filtering followed by a threshold		[Yongli and Huilong 2005]
Hilbert-Huang transform and threshold	Empirical mode decomposition (EMD) filtering	Filters out noise, improve SNR	[Tang et al. 2008],[Arzeno et al. 2008]
Filter banks		Significantly improves SNR for Gaussian noise and muscle noise compared to median or mean averaging	[Afonso et al. 1999], [Afonso et al. 1995]
Wavelet transform	Wavelet transform with adaptive threshold	SNR can be improved by selecting coefficients with the largest amplitude	[Alesanco et al. 2003]
Matched filters	Correlation between input ECG and one or more samples ventricular complexes		[Kaplan 1990]
Syntactic method		Sensitive to noise	[Trahanias and Skordalakis 1990]
Structural analysis	Wavelet transform to ECG followed by neural networks	Neural networks are highly sensitive to noise ([Clifford et al. 2006])	[Shyu et al. 2004]
	Wavelet transform and adaptive threshold	Reduces probability of missing QRS complex	[Elgendi et al. 2014],[Burte and Ghongade 2012],[Rabbani et al. 2011],[Madeiro et al. 2007],[Xu and Liu 2005],[Köhler et al. 2003], [Li et al. 1995]
Hidden Markov Model		Sensitive to heart rate variation, baseline wander and noise	[Cost and Cano 1989]
Singularity method	Applied EMD filtering to an ECG and finding singularity of signal and thresholding	Sensitive to noise	[Ayat et al. 2009],[Xing and Huang 2008]
Zero-crossing technique		Sensitive to noise	[Köhler et al. 2003]

Detecting R waves with a fixed threshold is less complex in ECG signals, especially when the ECG signal has a normal morphology [Elgendi et al. 2014]. In case of arrhythmias, and noise effects, adaptive threshold based component detection has proven to be efficient with lesser probability to misdiagnose [Elgendi et al. 2014; Rabbani et al. 2011; Madeiro et al. 2007; Köhler et al. 2003]. Hilbert transform aids in differentiating the dominant peaks from other peaks i.e., find R peaks effectively; however, they tend to fail if the R peaks have low amplitudes [Köhler et al. 2003]. According to [Rodriguez et al. 2015a], a combination of Hilbert transform and the adaptive threshold has a significant effect on the detection of QRS. Some of the widely used QRS detection techniques are summarized in Table II.

Analysis and Summary of QRS Detection Techniques

By observing different QRS detection techniques, we could deduce the following:

— Simple thresholding technique is not accurate for QRS detection, especially in the presence of noise.

— Noises like baseline wandering, artifacts impact the effectiveness of QRS detection.
— Adaptive thresholding with the aid of derivatives (and filters) such as Pan-Tompkins [Pan and Tompkins 1985] improve QRS detection capabilities. Even the recent works on QRS detection employ adaptive thresholding.
— Transformation techniques with adaptive thresholding like Discrete wavelet transform (DWT) though requiring more computations than simple adaptive thresholding are relatively more accurate for QRS detection, and is suitable for high accurate detection systems.
— From the hardware implementation perspective, algorithms like Pan-Tompkins algorithm, DWT can be adopted relatively well due to their low complex computations and use of standard hardware components.
— The pre-processing (QRS) detection is computationally expensive compared to the arrhythmia detection in many of the proposed works and determines the overall accuracy of arrhythmia detection.

As the major focus is on arrhythmia detection and furthermore as very limited works focus solely on QRS detection, we provide the arrhythmia detection performance for various techniques rather than QRS detection here. In the next section, we present the arrhythmia detection techniques that fit CADiag.

6. DETECTION TECHNIQUES

Arrhythmia detection can be performed using morphological features as well as the ECG temporal properties such as time period [Dinakarrao and Jantsch 2018; Arif et al. 2009; Bortolan et al. 2005]. In this work, we classify arrhythmia detection techniques as traditional and machine learning based approaches. Irrespective of the technique, the data used for arrhythmia detection are the morphological and/or temporal properties of the ECG signal. However, the considered morphology or temporal properties might change.

6.1. Traditional Methods for Arrhythmia Detection

One of the primary and efficient for arrhythmia detection (especially sinus arrhythmia) is the heart rate variability (HRV) analysis. HRV analysis can be carried out in the time domain, frequency domain by performing traditional operations such as correlations, standard deviations on the derived statistical metrics such as mean, the variance of the ECG signal features. We review some of the notable works.

6.1.1. Arrhythmia Detection by Analyzing Derived Time Domain Metrics.

Analysis of derived statistical metrics of ECG signal such as variation in the mean of RR intervals, QRS widths and so on could be effective for arrhythmia detection. The main advantages of such approaches are its low complex computations and analysis is performed directly on the signal. Time domain analysis helps in assessing the severity of arrhythmia.

Arrhythmia detection using time domain metrics can be classified in two ways: statistical and geometrical metrics-based analysis. In statistical metrics based analysis, ECG signal features are directly extracted and analyzed to detect arrhythmia; whereas geometric metric based methods mostly make use of techniques like histogram analysis, and so on for arrhythmia detection, say for instance based on how often a component occurs in a window, arrhythmia could be estimated.

Conventional Statistical Metrics based Arrhythmia Detection.

Statistical metrics based Heart rate variability (HRV) analysis for arrhythmia detection makes use of metrics like duration of successive RR-intervals and the corresponding derived statistical metrics [Electrophysiology 1996]. Based on the variations in the RR-intervals, multiple statistical parameters such as root mean square difference between RR-intervals,

Table III. Basic statistical parameters for HRV analysis

Parameter	Definition	Estimation
PNN50(%)	Adjacent RR intervals which differ by more than 50ms	Determined primarily by the influence of changes in the contractions of the heart muscles
RMSSD	Root mean square difference between RR-intervals of neighboring beats	A measure of HRV between adjacent cycles
SDNN	Standard deviation of the average duration intervals	Integral indicator of the HRV as a whole
SDANN	Standard deviation of the mean of RR-intervals calculated every 5 min	It is a measure of the HRV for large number of cycles

Table IV. Basic geometric parameters for HRV analysis

Parameter	Definition
Mode (Mo)	Most often recurring value in a dynamic series (cardio interval value and peaks)
Variation range	Degree of variation of RR-interval values in the investigated dynamic row

the standard deviation of average duration intervals are derived, and the arrhythmias are detected. In this case, the intervals between adjacent complexes or RR components represent the rate norm. Some of the basic statistical indicators of heart rate variability (HRV) are given in Table III. Variations in these parameters indicate the presence of an arrhythmia.

Conventional Geometrical Metrics based Arrhythmia Detection.
HRV analysis to detect arrhythmia can as well be carried out using geometric metrics. Geometric metrics are derived by construction of the distribution density functions of RR intervals (histogram) and analysis of the parameters of its forms are widely used for geometric analysis of HRV are: Mo (Mode), Amo (amplitude mode) MxDMn (variation range), described in Table IV.

The main advantage of geometric metric based methods is its insensitivity to analytical quality of series RR-intervals. However, it requires a reasonable amount of RR-intervals to be recorded and considered (at least 20 minutes). The geometrical method of HRV analysis is uninformative in the presence of arrhythmias. Some other methods include minimum distance classifiers [Chakroborty and Patil 2014]. A drawback of arrhythmia detection using traditional time domain metric based methods is that the analysis for classification is performed on original (raw or partially pre-processed) data which has a larger dimensionality and comprises irrelevant or not useful data for analysis [Strauss et al. 2001].

6.1.2. Arrhythmia Detection with Frequency Domain Metrics.
Analysis of ECG signal with derived frequency domain metrics is an alternative for arrhythmia detection. In this method, frequency properties of the signal are studied [Electrophysiology 1996]. The frequency composition of the heart rate can be represented in a graph with power distribution vs. frequency i.e., power spectral density (PSD), by which it is possible to judge the severity of frequency components in the range of very low frequency (VLF: <0.04 Hz), low frequency (LF: 0.04-0.15 Hz) and high frequency (HF: 0.15-0.4 Hz). Total power in different bands and normalized total powers are used to evaluate the performance.

Classical methods of spectral analysis are widely used for arrhythmia detection because of ease of algorithms used (in most cases fast Fourier transform (FFT)), high processing speed, the reliability of the analysis results and implementable with standard hardware units. The advantages of employing methods such as FFT are their simplicity and high computational speeds. However, they suffer from statistical instability in the results.

Autocorrelation based Arrhythmia Detection
Calculation and construction of the autocorrelation function of the RR-intervals aim to study the internal structure as a random process. Calculation and construction of the autocorrelation function (ACF) of the dynamic series of RR-intervals aim to study the internal structure of this number as a random process. The correlation coefficient after the first shift

is small (typically less than 1), as respiratory waves are big. If there exists a domination of slow wave components, the correlation coefficient C1 is slightly smaller than 1 and subsequent developments lead to a gradual decrease in the coefficient value. The ACF provides an indication of the latent periodicity of heart rhythm. [Jekova 2000].

Autocorrelation functions (ACF95 and ACF99) [Jekova 2000; Chen et al. 1987] are used to analyze the periodicity within the ECG signal and the power spectrum power spectrum [Lee et al. 2016]. A linear regression of ACF peaks is carried out to detect the arrhythmias. Based on the detected period and the regression errors, normal sinus rhythms and ventricular fibrillations (VFs) are classified. However, the performance and accuracy achieved with this technique are questionable as VFs might have cosine-like shape [Amann et al. 2005].

Arrhythmia Detection using Correlation Coefficients
In contrast to other techniques, in [Hsia et al. 1986], an ECG analysis for arrhythmia diagnosis was performed with a gamma camera which has the capability to diagnose radionuclide ventriculography. Each beat is assigned with measured RR interval and waveform analysis of underlying rhythm. To overcome the baseline wandering effects, a new correlation coefficient based arithmetic is utilized in [Hsia et al. 1986]. Prior to ST segment analysis, averaging of normal beats is performed to improve the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). ST level and slope measurements are automatically computed by averaging the signal data for arrhythmia detection.

6.1.3. Graphical Analysis for Arrhythmia Detection.
The graphical analysis could as well be performed for detecting arrhythmias. One such method is Scatterogram [Suyama et al. 1993]. Scatterogram provides a graphic image of a plurality of adjacent pairs of RR-intervals in a 2D coordinate system. This graph provides information about the nature and patterns of rhythm. The scatterograms are plotted with R-R_n on the abscissa and the R-R_{n+1} on the ordinate axis. Here R-R_n represents the n^{th} RR interval value. A bisector is formed at the center based on the formed set of points. The shift point of bisector to the left indicates that the values of RR-intervals are shorter than the previous cycles and right shift indicates the vice-versa condition. In practice, the length of the cloud, width, and area are major indicators used to analyze a scatterogram.

6.1.4. Arrhythmia Detection with Filtering.
In addition to the use of filters for pre-processing, filters could also be employed for arrhythmia detection, a few are discussed here.

Spectral Analysis for Arrhythmia Detection with Kalman Filter Identifier.
Spectral analysis is used to study various sorts of cardiac arrhythmias. The time varying RR-intervals spectra could be filtered using filters like Kalman filter and any disturbances that are observed beyond the filtering indicates arrhythmia. The amplitude of disturbance could be used to deduce arrhythmia [Szilagyi 1998].

Discrete Wavelet Transform Coefficients Threshold.
The discrete wavelet transform (DWT) is one of the widely used techniques for extracting the features of an ECG signal [Sahoo et al. 2015; Selvakumar et al. 2007]. Feature extraction is one of the important applications of wavelet transforms [de Chazal et al. 2004]. The morphological features such as QRS complex, R-peaks can be used for classification of normal and arrhythmia pattern in an ECG signal. These can be extracted using DWT.

Similarly, in [Amann et al. 2005], a WT based ECG arrhythmia classification is proposed. Ventricular fibrillation (VF) is detected using discrete wavelet transform in two steps. DWT with 12 scales and a Daubechies wavelet is applied to find QRS complexes, the threshold is set to 0.14max in [Amann et al. 2005]. If the value of the signal in the third scale crosses a threshold, the according ECG part is considered as QRS complex. If more than two and less than 40 QRS complexes are found within 8 seconds window, 'no VF' is diagnosed.

Table V. Arrhythmia detection using Traditional Methods (Grouped according to class of Arrhythmia)

Technique	Performance parameters			Detected	References
	Accuracy	Specificity	Sensitivity		
Threshold crossing ([Thakor et al. 1990])	77.20-83.60%	77.50-84.40%	75.00-75.10%	VF	[Amann et al. 2005]
		75.00%	98.00%		[Jekova 2000]
Spectral analysis (SPEC)	93.80%	99.90%	29.10%		[Amann et al. 2005]
		93.00%	79.00%		[Jekova 2000]
Complexity measure algo.	89.2%	92.00%	59.20%		[Amann et al. 2005]
		75.00%	66.00%		[Jekova 2000]
Standard exponential algo.	79.00%	81.70%	50.10%		[Amann et al. 2005]
Modified exponential algo.	81.30%	84.10%	51.20%		[Amann et al. 2005]
Signal comparison algo.	96.20%	98.50%	71.20%		[Amann et al. 2005]
Autocorrelation (ACF_{95})		32.00%	78.00%		[Jekova 2000]
	49.00%	49.00%	49.60%		[Amann et al. 2005]
Autocorrelation (ACF_{99})	37.90%	35.00%	69.20%		[Amann et al. 2005]
Tompkins algorithm	45.00%	40.60%	92.50%		[Amann et al. 2005]
Wavelet transform and filtering	93.50%	99.70%	26.70%		[Amann et al. 2005]
Li algorithm (based on wavelet analysis)	86.60%	93.90%	9.00%		[Amann et al. 2005]
VF Filter algo.	93.00-93.10%	99.90-100.00%	18.80-19.60%		[Amann et al. 2005]
		91.00%	94.00%		[Jekova 2000]
	68.00%			16 arrhythmias	[Güvenir et al. 1997]
DWT			90.54-93.24%	SVT, VT, VF, V. Flutter	[Selvakumar et al. 2007]
Regularity measurement dubbed blanking variability	95.00%			VF, VT	[Clarkson et al. 1995]
Bi-spectral analysis		83.3-100.00%	81.8-100.00%	AF, VT, VF	[Khadra et al. 2005]
Teager Energy operator (TEO)			99.00%	PVC	[Sharmila and Reddy 2014]

Performances of different traditional techniques described above are provided in Table V. The accuracy and other performance indicate the accuracy of arrhythmia detection and classification from normal ECG signal. In all the methods listed, they are able to detect normal beats, hence not explicitly mentioned, unless needed.

Analysis and Summary on Arrhythmia Detection by Traditional Methods
The following conclusions can be derived from the above-discussed works:

— Frequency domain based ECG signal analysis helps in deriving the conclusions about arrhythmia based on the energy spectrum and related parameters. Frequency domain analysis is robust compared to time domain metrics based analysis, but requires relatively more computations or operations.
— However, these methods lack stability, are sensitive to noises and artifacts, and the amount of data required to obtain or derive the metrics is large.
— As there are no standard values available for the mentioned parameters, it needs to be calculated for the patient and is not efficient especially when there exists a large number of irregularities or very few irregularities in the ECG signal.
— Methods like auto-correlation and filtering, which are widely used for determining time periods and noise removal could also be used for arrhythmia detection, as they outperform some of the traditional metric based arrhythmia detection techniques.
— Based on achieved performance in arrhythmia detection using the derived metrics, it could be observed that the traditional methods including filtering and auto-correlation are inefficient in terms of performance, and is fatal especially in health-care applications.
— The performance with traditional methods have a large variation indicating that these techniques are more prone to noise and other kind of fluctuations.
— Additionally, most of these methods focus on detecting very few or single arrhythmia(s). This makes the metric based methods, not a generic potential arrhythmia detector.

On the other hand, with the advancements achieved in machine learning (ML) field, sophisticated and efficient techniques for arrhythmia detection is made possible with ML.

6.2. Application of Machine Learning for Arrhythmia Detection

Signal analysis or data analysis can be automated to make the analysis more efficient and faster with the aid of machine learning. Machine learning is widely employed in various applications [Pagani et al. 2019] and bio-signal analysis is no exception. Machine learning in the context of bio-signal analysis and arrhythmia detection is well researched. We present some of the existing major contributions here. Please note that the details of ML techniques are not presented, but their application in the context of arrhythmia detection is discussed.

6.2.1. Arrhythmia Detection with Neural Networks.
Artificial neural networks (ANN) [Anderson 1995; Lippmann 1987] are inspired by the mammalian brain architecture. A basic neural network comprises of at least three kinds of layers: an input layer, one or more hidden layers, and an output layer. In a fully connected neural network, all nodes in a succeeding layer are connected to all the nodes in the preceding layer. Each node has input, on which the activation function is applied to obtain outputs. Different layers could have different activation functions. Numerous variants of neural networks exist and the notable works in the context of arrhythmia detection is presented below [Wess et al. 2017; Ince et al. 2009; Jiang and Kong 2007].

Feedforward Artificial Neural Network (FfANN).
Artificial neural networks (ANN) are one of the widely used techniques to detect and classify arrhythmias. Vast amount of techniques using feedforward ANN with variations are published [Adams and Choi 2012]. Feedforward neural networks (FfNN) are a class of ANNs with the data flow always from the input layer towards the output layer i.e., only in forward direction. For arrhythmia detection, the ANN is often preceded by pre-processing stage. Noises and ectopic beats are removed in the pre-processing stage. Depending on the type of arrhythmia to be detected, corresponding features are used as input for the neural network. To detect a wide range of arrhythmias, training the neural network with morphology and the features of ECG is the best option.

One of the first know works for arrhythmia detection is by Devine and Macfarlane [Devine and Macfarlane 1993]. In [Devine and Macfarlane 1993], feedforward ANNs are used to detect left ventricular strain by detecting the ST segment abnormalities of the ECG. Hu et al. [Hu et al. 1993] proposed the use of ANN for QRS detection and classification. Mult-layer perceptron (MLP) is used to model the background noise and amplify the QRS complex towards an enhanced beat detection and classification.

As mentioned, FfANNs are applied in arrhythmia detection, some of the recent works are presented below. For predicting ventricular tachycardia, ANN trained with statistically derived metrics such as RR-interval, meanNN, SDNN, RMSDD and pNN50 (refer to Table III) is proposed in [Joo et al. 2010]. The major hurdle in this methodology is handling the amount of data to derive these metrics is high. In [Asl and Setarehdan 2006], a combination of linear and non-linear features of ECG, especially, heart-rate variability (HRV) is provided as input for ANN for ECG classification. Time domain and frequency domain based nonlinear methods are applied in [Asl and Setarehdan 2006] to extract the features of ECG and are fed to ANN classifier for ECG arrhythmia detection. The implementation in [Asl and Setarehdan 2006] detects and classifies up to 5 different arrhythmias. This implementation can be effective even when the training dataset is small. This architecture utilizes the features of the ECG and HRV metrics to derive conclusions on arrhythmias. This makes the system prone to noise if the neural network does not offset the effects of noise.

In [Inan et al. 2006], the wavelet and timing features of the ECG data is used to train the neural network for classification purpose. Dyadic wavelet transform with quadratic spline wavelet is employed with RR-interval ratios for an enhanced PVC detection. This implementation is efficient for PVC detection, however, the resources and computations needed are high. Similarly, the DWT coefficients are fed to the ANN for classifying arrhythmias in

[Arumugam et al. 2009], up to three arrhythmias can be detected. The amount of information to be derived from the ECG signal for arrhythmia detection is less.

Increasing the number of layers leads to an improved performance, as such the arrhythmia detection with multiple layers is as well utilized. A multi-layer feedforward neural network with back propagation learning is proposed in [Adams and Choi 2012] which classifies up to 6 classes (1 normal and 5 arrhythmias). It achieved an error of 1.4% over the entire analyzed data. This multi-layer approach though achieves decent accuracy, the number of inputs needed are high, which leads to a large number of computations. To overcome the problem of having a large number of inputs for the neural network, a reduced set of ECG features (from 17 to 4) using linear discriminant analysis (LDA) is fed to FfNN for arrhythmia detection is proposed in [Lee et al. 2005]. This technique outperforms principal component analysis (PCA) based feature reduction based implementation. This can detect SVTs, PVCs, VFs and normal rhythms. This enjoys the benefit of a smaller number of inputs i.e., small NN architecture, but demands efficient and careful pre-processing, especially LDA.

In addition to temporal features, morphological features could as well be utilized for arrhythmia detection. Morphological features such as average heart rate, and energy contained in different bands (33.3-100Hz, 66.7-100Hz), correlation dimension factor are used as inputs for the ANN and with the aid of fuzzy equivalence classifier, arrhythmia detection is proposed in [Acharya et al. 2003]. Data storage i.e., memory is one of the bottlenecks for arrhythmia detection using ECG morphology. A cascade feedforward (FF) network with *trainbfg* training algorithm was implemented in [Ayub and Saini 2011], that achieves 99.9% accuracy with low memory requirements. A radial basis function NN (RBFNN) for detecting five different arrhythmias is proposed in [Rai et al. 2012].

Modular Feedforward Neural Network.
To facilitate reusability and replicability of the neural networks, especially on hardware, modular FF neural networks are proposed. A modular neural network for classifying the ECG signal as normal and abnormal i.e., arrhythmia detection is proposed in [Jadhav et al. 2010b]. Some of the missing attributes from the database [Lichman 2013] are replaced by the approximated or closest column value of the concerned class. Though the missing data is restored, there is large of scope to improve the learning methodology in neural networks. A modular generalized FF neural network (GFNN) trained with static back propagation algorithm to classify ECG as normal and arrhythmia is proposed in [Jadhav et al. 2010c].

Block-based Neural Network.
To enjoy the benefits of block-based architectural design, parallel processing and modular structures in FPGAs, block-based neural networks are introduced. A block-based neural network (BbNN) [Moon and Kong 2001] is a 2-D array of neural network blocks with flexible configurations and structures (varying number of input and outputs and so on), and integer weights. This can be implemented with less complexity on digital hardware such as FPGAs, ASICs. In general, the BbNNs are trained using evolutionary algorithms such as generic algorithms (GA). Hermite basis functions are one of the efficient feature extraction methods for ECG signals [de Chazal et al. 2004]. The coefficients of Hermite expansions characterize the morphology of ECG signal, i.e., the shape of QRS complex. A BbNN with morphological features and temporal properties of ECG i.e., Hermite expansion coefficients and RR-intervals as inputs is proposed for arrhythmia detection and classification in [Jewajinda and Chongstitvatana 2010; Jiang and Kong 2007]. However, in [Jewajinda and Chongstitvatana 2010], an online updating mechanism for weights is incorporated. A multi-threaded training mechanism for a 4×4 BbNN is implemented in [Nambiar et al. 2012].

Cartesian Genetic Programming Evolved Artificial Neural Network (CGPANN).
Simple ANNs are limited in their precision and are often ambushed in local minima [Nambiar et al. 2012; Moon and Kong 2001]. To address this, a Cartesian genetic programming (CGP)

based artificial neural network (CGPANN) is proposed in [Ahmad and Khan 2012]. In contrast to genetic programming, in CGP, the nodes and connections are arranged in form of a 2D graph. The number of nodes, connectivity, number of inputs and outputs per node, height and width of the graph are the tunable parameters. In CGP, the genotype is an array of pre-specified length representing the node inputs, output genes and activation functions. To form a CGPANN, the nodes of a CGP are replaced by neurons having nonlinear activation functions and weighted connections. ECG morphological features such as P-R interval, QRS width, R-peak amplitude and other similar factors are used as an input for training and testing the CGPANN. The work in [Ahmad and Khan 2012] uses CGPANN detects and classifies up to four arrhythmias. The classes of arrhythmias it can detect is smaller compared to the involved computational complexity and resources utilized.

Auto-Associative Neural Network.
In neural networks, the overlap of data or properties and labels lead to different classification accuracies. Another drawback of neural networks is their long training time. To overcome the interaction of data with other classes, auto-associative neural network (AANN) is proposed. AANN learns in a non-discriminative manner. Non-discriminative learning helps in reducing the off-line training time. In contrast to the standard NN based approaches (supervised learning), input data need not be accompanied with class labels or targets in AANN. In AANN, for each class, a separate AANN was trained and weights of those networks are preserved for testing purpose. As such, an individual AANN has to be trained or designed to detect a particular arrhythmia with ECG features and morphology as the input. This could be efficient, but resource intensive. An AANN based arrhythmia detection is proposed in [Chakroborty 2013].

Probabilistic Neural Network.
Back-propagation algorithms though utilize heuristics to discover underlying class, suffers from computational delays, false minima and lower classification accuracy. To surmount the drawbacks of back-propagation, a feedforward neural network forming the basis from Bayesian theory is introduced and termed as probabilistic neural net (PNN). This is as well employed for arrhythmia detection [Ghongade et al. 2014]. Authors utilize ten statistical characteristics for classifying ten classes of heartbeats (arrhythmias): power spectral density (PSD), energy of the signal, amplitude of the R-peak, RR-interval duration, mean, distance between Q and S components, area under the QRS complex, R-S slope, the area under autocorrelation curve, and singular value decomposition (SVD) value. Each beat is represented by these 10 features. This technique provides good accuracy with negligible training time. However, MLP-BPNN enjoys the benefits of consistency in training and with reduced iterations during testing. An identical work which is also based on Bayesian theory and logistic regression for arrhythmia detection is proposed in [Gao et al. 2005].

Adaptive Wavelet Network.
An extension of probabilistic neural networks is adaptive wavelet networks (AWN). In AWN, adaptive wavelets are used to derive the correlations based on which the classification is performed. An AWN based ECG anomaly detection is proposed in [Lin et al. 2005]. It consists of two stages: wavelet layer and an adaptive PNN. Features of the heartbeat are extracted in the wavelet layer using Morelet wavelets. These wavelet coefficients represent the similarity measure of the signal and the wavelet under different dilation and translation parameters. This layer is robust in detection, but not capable of recognition. This is followed by probabilistic neural network layer(s) for recognition of the beats i.e., normal, arrhythmias. In architecture, it is comprised of wavelet nodes, followed by a hidden, summation and output layers. The inputs can be binary or continuous signals [Specht 1988]. It performs well under dynamic conditions with supervised or unsupervised learning.

Wavelet Neural Network.
Wavelets can be seen as a matching function to identify a set of patterns. A wavelet neural network (WNN) is proposed in [Ceylan and Özbay 2011] with wavelets as the activation functions. Morlet and Mexican hat wavelet functions are widely selected for experimenting, and ANN with Mexican hat wavelet function outperforms Morlet activation function based ANN, in terms of classification accuracy [Ceylan and Özbay 2011]. In [Ceylan and Özbay 2011], ECG signals are first filtered using low-pass and high-pass filters. The RR-intervals are provided as inputs and training data for the NN. This work can classify bundle branch blocks (BBB) and normal beats. It is stated that with the increase in a number of beats used for training, the accuracy can be improved. Though the wavelet functions can be fine-tuned, the number of arrhythmias that could be detected are dependent on the wavelet properties in the hidden nodes.

Sparsely Connected RBF Neural Network.
The activation functions used in previously mentioned techniques are more generic and can be applied widely. Using a Gaussian distribution function when the input follows a Gaussian distribution yields better results [Husain and Fatt 2007]. Additionally, fully connected neural networks demand more computations. A sparsely connected radial basis function neural network (RBFNN) is proposed in [Husain and Fatt 2007]. In contrast to fully connected RBFNN, sparsely connected RBFNN has fewer connections. This lowers the computation costs, and an increase in classification accuracy is observed. By providing the features of the ECG signal, arrhythmia detection can be performed.

Elman Neural Network.
In all the previously described neural network architectures, there exists no information on context nor previous state. Elman network consists of an additional delay element facilitating the previous state of the hidden layer(s) as input to decide or calculate the the succeeding feed-forward mapping process [Shukri et al. 2012]. This state information helps to detect continuous irregular patterns with better accuracy. An Elman neural network implementation for ECG arrhythmia detection is proposed in [Mohamad et al. 2013]. In [Mohamad et al. 2013], for ECG anomaly detection, a three step process: pre-processing, processing and classification is followed. For pre-processing, median filter and moving average filters are used to remove high-frequency noises, smooth the signal and eliminate the jagged edges. This is followed by principal component analysis for reducing the features to save the computation costs. The reduced feature set is provided as input to the Elman neural network to detect arrhythmias. The major bottleneck in the Elman neural networks is the memory requirements, especially when the number of states to remember are high.

Performances of different neural network implementations for arrhythmia detection and classification is presented in Table VI. The accuracy determines the accuracy of classification of different arrhythmias compared to normal heartbeats.

Analysis on Arrhythmia Detection using Neural Networks
Neural networks are one of the widely employed machine learning techniques for different applications, including ECG arrhythmia detection. Based on the presented works and the achieved performances for arrhythmia detection using neural networks, we derive the following conclusions:

- Artificial neural networks (ANN MLP or FfNN) with back-propagation learning is the most commonly used and achieved good accuracy for arrhythmia detection, but is efficient only if the number of kinds of arrhythmias to detect are small.
- For better suitability to hardware platforms such as FPGA, block-based neural networks are widely deployed due to its modular structure.

Table VI. Arrhythmia detection using neural networks and their variants (Grouped according to neural network variant)

Technique	Performance parameters			Detected	References
	Accuracy	Specificity	Sensitivity		
FfNN(ANN MLP)	98.60%			5 different arrhythmias	[Adams and Choi 2012]
	56.00-100.00%			6 different arrhythmias	[Jadhav et al. 2010a]
	88.10%	89.70%	86.70%	Normal, abnormal	[Leutheuser et al. 2014]
	88.24%			16 different arrhythmias	[Raut and Dudul 2008]
	66.00%	72.00%	58.00%	VT	[Hoher et al. 1995]
	76.60%	71.40%	82.90%	VT	[Joo et al. 2010]
	98.53-99.98%	99.15-100.00%	90.00-100.00%	PVC, AF, VF, heart block	[Asl and Setarehdan 2006]
		82.10%	80.70%	PVC	[Bortolan et al. 2005]
	82.35%	89.13%	68.18%	Normal, abnormal	[Jadhav et al. 2010c]
	90.40±9.6%	90.20±9.8%	90.30±9.7%	Normal and abnormal	[Ramirez et al. 2010]
	98.80%	99.70%	98.84%	Tachycardia, LBBB, RBBB, PVC	[Rai et al. 2012]
Discrete wavelet with ANN	96.50%			PB, APB	[Sarkaleh 2012]
Wavelet decomposition with FfNN			86.67-100%	VT, VF, V. Flutter	[Arumugam et al. 2009]
Cascade FfNN	99.90%			Fusion beats, VPB, unclassified	[Ayub and Saini 2011]
FCM-PCA-NN	99.09%			10 different arrhythmias	[Ceylan and Özbay 2007]
Modular ANN	82.22%	82.76%	81.25%	Normal, abnormal	[Jadhav et al. 2010b]
BbNN	97.50-98.80%	98.80-99.40%	74.90-94.30%	Ventricular, SV ectopic beats	[Jiang and Kong 2007]
	99.64%			Normal, abnormal	[Nambiar et al. 2012]
Auto-associative NN	95.62-99.35%			LBBB, RBBB, PVC, APC	[Chakroborty 2013]
RBFNN	64.87±0.53%	40±2%	70±3%	Normal, abnormal	[Gao et al. 2005]
	99.60%	99.90%	99.60%	Tachycardia, LBBB, RBBB, PVC	[Rai et al. 2012]
Sparsely connected RBFNN			75-100%	AF, Malignant ventricular entropy	[Husain and Fatt 2007]
Bayesian ANN	80.69±1.67%	15±2%	76±4%	Normal, abnormal	[Gao et al. 2005]
Probabilistic ANN	98.10%	99.78%	98.10%	10 different arrhythmias	[Ghongade et al. 2014]
Adaptive wavelet NN	>90.00%			5 different arrhythmias	[Lin et al. 2005]
Elman NN	>95.00%		87.50-99.90%	Cardiomyopathy, LBBB, RBBB	[Mohamad et al. 2013]
Genetic ANN		84.10%		6 different arrhythmias	[Waseem et al. 2011]
Quadratic NN	98.16%	97.60%	97.05%	APC, PVC	[Rodriguez et al. 2015b]

— Sparsely connected neural networks can be used as an alternative when the system has been constrained on the number of computations that can be performed or energy efficiency is one of the optimization goals.
— Neural networks perform well when the number of arrhythmia types to detect and classify is smaller in number, say 5-6 types.
— Neural networks outperform traditional techniques but are computationally complex.
— Techniques such as approximations can be deployed for improved hardware efficiency, but can cost the accuracy of detection, which is crucial for health-care applications.

6.2.2. Arrhythmia Detection with SVM.
Support Vector Machines (SVMs) are used for classification and regression analysis. An SVM builds a model based on the input data with labels such that it could be classified as clear as possible (as provided in labels). Here the label indicates the class or a group to which the input data belongs to. Every new input is mapped to the corresponding category. SVMs operate on vectors rather than individual points, making them robust. We review most significant SVM based arrhythmia detection works here.

Similar to neural networks, features and morphological components are used as inputs for SVM. In [Faziludeen and Sabiq 2013], a SVM-based classification is performed to differentiate normal rhythms from PVCs and (left) bundle branch blocks. Classification phase

Table VII. Arrhythmia detection using SVM (Grouped according to variant of SVM)

Technique	Performance parameters			Detected	References
	Accuracy	Specificity	Sensitivity		
SVM	99.32%		99.32-99.71%	16 different arrhythmias	[Ye et al. 2012]
	98.91±0.12%	99.71±0.12%	94.91±0.33%	PVC beats	[Lashgari et al. 2013]
	77.00%	84.90%	72.00%	Normal, abnormal	[Leutheuser et al. 2014]
	90.80±1.5%	85.40±2.10%	98.20±1.20%	Normal, abnormal	[Ramirez et al. 2010]
OAO SVM	98.46-99.92%	97.80-99.97%	97.57-99.85%	LBBB, PVC	[Faziludeen and Sabiq 2013]
PCA with Linear SVM	92.00-97.50%			RBBB, LBBB, PVC, V. Fusion	[Imah et al. 2011]
MR-SVM	93.00%			normal, abnormal	[Zheng et al. 2013]
Multi-section vector quantization (OAA)	98.13%		97.80%	LBBB, RBBB, APC, PVC	[Chakroborty and Patil 2014]
Multi-section vector quantization (combined)	97.54%		97.86%	LBBB, RBBB, APC, PVC	[Chakroborty and Patil 2014]
PCA with Wavelet SVM	87.25-96.75%			RBBB, LBBB, PVC, V. Fusion	[Imah et al. 2011]
SVM with evolutionary learning	>93.00%			Tachycardia, LBBB, RBBB	[Nasiri et al. 2009]
Continuous wavelet transform with SVM	99.56%			VPC, APC	[She et al. 2010]
SVM with rejection option	89.20%			normal, abnormal	[Uyar and Gurgen 2007]

is with one-against-one (OAO) multi-class SVM. As OAO technique is employed and the number of output classes are three (normal, PVC, and BBB), three SVMs are designed and a final grouping (classification) is done using the maximum voting technique as in [Milgram et al. 2006]. As using three SVMs is computationally intensive, it is non-trivial to evaluate its performance against other SVM methods. In [Kohli et al. 2010], ECG classification using OAO SVM, one-against-all (OAA) and fuzzy decision function (FDF) based SVMs are employed. The OAO SVM outperforms other two, and FDF performs poorly.

As the amount of input data for the purpose of classification is large and SVMs are computationally expensive, data reduction techniques such as PCA is employed in preprocessing stage. In [Imah et al. 2011], four different arrhythmias are distinguished from normal signal using SVMs. The process comprises of data pre-processing, feature extraction and classification with SVM. With the advancement in data reduction algorithms such as PCA, genetic algorithms, they are employed for processing ECG signals together with classification algorithms such as SVM. In [Nasiri et al. 2009], a genetic algorithm is used in combination with SVM classifier for arrhythmia detection. This work is capable of distinguishing four types of arrhythmias. Overall classification accuracy of nearly 93.5% is achieved with SVM-genetic algorithm combination.

SVM genetic algorithms though has good learning methodology, the achieved performance is not satisfactory in health-care applications. Hence, a hybrid method of SVM called holder-SVM detection algorithm is introduced in [Joshi et al. 2009], which is designed to take care of imbalance rampant in bio-signals with a hybrid arrangement of binary and multi-class SVMs. ECG classification is performed as follows: noise patterns are removed, followed by wavelet transform modulus maxima (WTMM) based local holder exponents (LHE), which captures the hidden information in time series and few points with more information is calculated and then selected points are provided as inputs for multi-class SVM for classification. It is efficient in reducing false negative i.e., patient falsely classifying as normal.

Transformation functions can be realized using simpler functions and as filters in hardware, hence they are preferable candidates in combination with SVMs. A WT for feature extraction followed by SVM for arrhythmia classification is proposed [She et al. 2010]. This method outperforms the ambulatory ECG (AECG) arrhythmia intelligent software (AIAS). It can classify normal beats, atrial premature beats, and premature ventricular beats.

We have presented most of the works on SVMs methodologies with more focus on classification part rather than preprocessing or signal analysis. A multi-resolution support vector machine (MR-SVM) is proposed in [Zheng et al. 2013] for arrhythmia detection in ECG. This technique performs multi-resolution analysis (MRA) in signal processing and support vector (SVM) in data mining. Firstly, extraction of T wave is carried out with the MRA by decomposing the original signal i.e., data is transformed into coefficients by employing MRA. Secondly, these coefficients are fed to SVM for distinguishing normal and abnormal ST segments. A nice comparative study is presented in [Ye et al. 2012].

ECG morphological features can also be used as an input for arrhythmia detection using SVMs. An ECG classification method based on dynamics and the morphological features is presented in [Ye et al. 2012]. Morphological features of the ECG signal are extracted with the aid of Wavelet transform and independent component analysis (ICA). Further, the temporal behavior is evaluated based on the RR interval information. All this information together is provided to SVM with radial basis function (RBF) to perform classification.

Arrhythmia detection performance of different works that make use of SVM for arrhythmia detection is listed in Table VII.

Analysis on Arrhythmia Detection using SVM

SVMs are employed for the purpose of classification and regression in a variety of applications. SVMs are efficient when the datasets are labeled. Based on the existing works which use SVM for arrhythmia detection and classification, we derive the following conclusions:

— Depending on the kind of arrhythmia, SVM could be modified and trained to classify arrhythmias.
— SVMs are more flexible and could be combined with other kind of methods including statistical methods, regression techniques.
— SVMs can as well be employed together with dimensionality reduction techniques such as PCA for data reduction and pre-processing purpose.
— SVMs are efficient when the training data is labeled and sufficiently large compared to neural networks.
— Different kinds of SVMs, such as OAO, MR-SVM can as well be used for arrhythmia detection. OAO SVM outperforms OAA based SVM and FDF.
— SVMs are computationally expensive and is resource hungry (especially computing units)
— SVMs outperform neural networks and other techniques when the class of arrhythmias to detect are large.

6.2.3. Arrhythmia Detection with Bayesian Classifiers.

The Bayesian classifier is a branch of machine learning techniques that is effective to perform data classification. This uses probabilistic statistics for classification purpose. The main idea is to obtain the probability that the data belongs to a particular class. In general, features of the ECG signal are provided as inputs for Bayesian classifiers.

In [Elghazzawi and Geheb 1996], a Bayesian posterior probability based classifier is proposed for ECG arrhythmia detection and classification. The major features used for classification are the beat width, polarity, ST-area, polarity, correlation coefficient between QRS complex and a window of the same length from the patient, presence of the P-wave. The classification is performed based on the Bayes posterior probability. The posterior probability curves are derived from MIT-BIH database and used for classification purpose. Some other variants of Bayesian classifiers such as Naïve Bayes classifiers, one-vs-one error minimization Bayesian discriminant are employed for arrhythmia detection. The performance of Bayesian classifiers in arrhythmia detection is presented in Table VIII.

Analysis on Arrhythmia Detection using Bayesian Classifier

Bayesian classifiers are helpful for classification of data, even when the data is not associated

Table VIII. Arrhythmia detection using Bayesian Classifiers and its variants (Grouped according to variant of Bayesian classifier)

Technique	Performance parameters			Detected	References
	Accuracy	Specificity	Sensitivity		
Bayesian classifier discriminant	69.38-94.67%			3-6 arrhythmias	[Ahmed et al. 2014]
One-vs-one error minimization with a Bayesian classifier	70.00-97.11%			3-6 arrhythmias	[Ahmed et al. 2014]
Laplacian Eigen map with a Bayesian classifier	98.85±0.90%	99.95±0.01%	98.97±0.99%	PVC beats	[Lashgari et al. 2013]
Naïve Bayes	70.46±1.11%	19.00±3.00%	59.00±3.00%	Normal, abnormal	[Gao et al. 2005]
	64.90%	74.90%	60.60%	Normal, abnormal	[Leutheuser et al. 2014]
	58.92%	33.14%	50.49%	Normal, abnormal	[Park et al. 2015]
	53.00%			16 arrhythmias	[Raut and Dudul 2008]

Table IX. Arrhythmia detection using Clustering and nearest neighbor techniques (Grouped according to technique)

Technique	Performance parameters			Detected	References
	Accuracy	Specificity	Sensitivity		
K-nearest neighbors	92.80%	93.30%	92.30%	Normal, abnormal	[Leutheuser et al. 2014]
		34.62%	85.84%	5 different arrhythmias	[Owis et al. 2002]
	97.95%	90.49%	85.21%	Normal, abnormal	[Park et al. 2015]
	75.00%			16 different arrhythmias	[Raut and Dudul 2008]
		75.40%	80.90%	PVC	[Bortolan et al. 2005]
Kernel difference weighted k-nearest neighbor	70.66%			15 different arrhythmias	[Zuo et al. 2008]
Prediction by partial matching	99.14%	99.37%	91.74%	AFib, PVC, Sinus Bradycardia	[de Medeiros et al. 2011]

with labels. Based on some of the presented existing works that use Bayesian classifiers for arrhythmia classification, we can derive the following conclusions:

— Bayesian learning could be applied for arrhythmia detection when there are no labels associated with data or the amount of training data is very little.
— Naïve Bayes though less complex compared to other discussed Bayes techniques, it has relatively lower accuracy.
— Bayesian learning associated with Laplacian could be more effective to accurately detect PVCs, which many of the CAD methods fail to detect and classify accurately.
— Bayesian classifiers can also be used even if the arrhythmias to be detected are unseen.
— However, the performance of Bayesian classifiers for arrhythmia detection is not as effective as neural networks or SVM based methods. Furthermore, the hardware implementation also incurs higher overheads due to involved computational complexity.

6.2.4. Clustering and Neighboring based Classification.

Among machine learning techniques, clustering and nearest neighbor techniques can be termed as relatively low complex techniques. Clustering is the process of grouping the data and to detect the outliers. Clustering is as well employed for arrhythmia detection. Similarly, one more low-complex technique to perform classification is to use the distance metrics. This method involves calculation of distance metrics such as Euclidean between the beats present in the databases. Based on the distance from different classes, the class with least distance is assigned to the beat. This technique is one-against-all scheme, and is computationally expensive [Chakroborty and Patil 2014].

Simple K-nearest Neighbor Classifier.

A simple K-nearest neighbor (SKNN) classifier can be employed by forming the clusters in the training phase and depending on the nearest neighbor value, the class or kind of arrhythmia could be determined. This involves calculation of Euclidean distances. The technique was employed in [Arif et al. 2009; Yeh et al. 2009] for the six types of beats namely left and right bundle branch blocks (BBB), paced beats, PVC, APB and normal beats.

SSA K-mean Clustering.
In addition to time domain metrics as input for clustering, spectral data can as well be used for clustering purposes. To detect arrhythmias, a combination of classical single-spectrum analysis (SSA) with k-means clustering can be employed [Uus and Liatsis 2011]. It employs a semi-supervised approach k-means clustering, where the library of patterns is serially annotated by clinicians.

Kernel Difference weighted k-nearest neighbor classifier (KDF-WKNN).
A kernel difference weighted k-nearest neighbor classifier (KDF-WKNN) is proposed for ECG anomaly diagnosis in [Zuo et al. 2008]. In contrast to the classical KNN, a weighted k-nearest neighbors is employed with least-squares optimization in KDF-WKNN. This is succeeded by Lagrangian multiplier for computing the weights. In case of any missing attributes, techniques like PCA could be employed to reconstruct the data.

The performance of KNNs and clustering is outlined in Table IX.

Analysis on Arrhythmia Detection using Clustering and Distance Classifiers
Techniques like clustering, distance based classifiers and so on can be implemented for classification purposes. Based on the observed performances with clustering and distance classifiers in different works, we could derive the following:

— Clustering and nearest neighbor techniques when integrated with fuzzy logic outperforms simple clustering and nearest neighbor techniques.
— Similar to neural networks, the nearest neighbors and clustering techniques are effective when the number of kinds of arrhythmias is smaller. Compared to neural networks, these techniques achieve lower specificity and sensitivity.
— These techniques are unsupervised adding the advantage of not having the labeled data, but has higher complexity and the robustness to the variations is small.

6.2.5. Arrhythmia Detection with Fuzzy Logic.
Fuzzy logic makes use of many-valued logic for true or false, whereas binary logic uses one or zero for true and false. This use of many-valued logic helps in determining confidence levels of true or false in addition to determining accuracy. Fuzzy logic is adapted in ECG signal analysis as well for arrhythmia detection. A few notable methods are discussed below.

Fuzzy Inference Model.
A three step procedure using Fuzzy inference model is proposed in [Huang and Chen 2012]. Initially, the amplitudes of heartbeats, intervals, slopes, angles and edge lengths are considered to get 21 heartbeat features. As processing using all the features, is computationally expensive, principal component analysis (PCA) is applied to reduce the state space, and only 6 principal features including QRS duration, QR duration, RS-slope, area under RS, length, and height of QR are selected. Then, the maximum, minimum, and mean values of each heartbeat type are used to construct the initial membership functions of fuzzy inference model. Using the extracted features, arrhythmia classification on ECG signal is performed.

Fuzzy Neural Network.
A neural network with weighted fuzzy membership function (NEWFM) for premature ventricular contraction (PVC) detection is proposed in [Lim 2009]. The NEWFM classifies normal and PVC beats by the trained bounded sum of weighted fuzzy membership functions (BSWFMs). Eight generalized coefficient features are extracted (from wavelet coefficients d_3 and d_4) by the non-overlap area distribution measurement method [Lim and Gupta 2004] is used to predict PVCs using Haar wavelet transform and NEWFMs [Lim and Gupta 2004].

Table X. Arrhythmia detection using Fuzzy logic (Grouped according to variant of Fuzzy logic)

Technique	Performance parameters			Detected	References
	Accuracy	Specificity	Sensitivity		
Fuzzy C-means with ANN	93.50%	95.30%	99.60%	PVC, RBBB, non-conducted P	[Engin 2004]
NN with weighted fuzzy membership	97.97-99.86%	99.20-99.99%	90.67-99.21%	PVC	[Lim 2009]
Fuzzy neural network	97.20-99.0%	99.20-100.00%	95.00-98.30%	AF, VF, VT	[Wang et al. 2001]
Fuzzy neural network	92.48%			VPC, APB	[Shan-xiao et al. 2010]
Neuro fuzzy approach		81.80%	85.80%	PVC	[Bortolan et al. 2005]
Fuzzy KNN	97.63±0.02%		94.74%	6 different arrhythmias	[Arif et al. 2009]
Pruned Fuzzy KNN	97.32±0.05%		94.58%	6 different arrhythmias	[Arif et al. 2009]
Polar Teager energy with Fuzzy C-means	98.93%		99.85%	PVC, LBBB, RBBB, Tachycardia	[Sutar and Kothari 2015]

Fuzzy-hybrid Neural Network.
Neural networks and fuzzy-based techniques are widely used in pattern recognition. In [Engin 2004], a fuzzy-hybrid neural network is proposed for classification of ECG beats. The fuzzy-hybrid neural network comprises of a fuzzy self-organizing layer to perform initial classification of ECG signals, followed by multi-layer perceptron (MLP) network, which works as a final classifier. For classification of beats, statistical features of ECG are used.

Fuzzy-Neuro Learning Vector Quantization.
To perform classification, a learning vector quantization (LVQ) is used together with the fuzzy-neuro network to overcome the noise and distortion impacts. A fuzzy-neuro learning vector quantization technique for ECG arrhythmia detection on FPGA is presented in [Jatmiko et al. 2011]. Arrhythmia detection is carried out in three steps: pre-processing, feature extraction and arrhythmia detection (classification). FLVQ utilizes fuzzy theory to form input vector, learn and decide. This method has advantages of speed and accuracy [Jatmiko et al. 2009].

Fuzzy K-nearest Neighbor Classifier.
Fuzzy K-nearest neighbor classifier is an extension of SKNN. Despite the high classification accuracy, SKNN or FKNN is hindered by the involved time and space complexities. The additional overhead comes in terms of extra memory. This can be overcome by performing pruning on training data. In order to reduce the complexity, ATRIA, a neighbor search technique [Merkwirth et al. 2000] has been used. Fuzzy K-nearest neighbor classifier enjoys the benefit over SKNN by having a robust and stable decision i.e., high confidence with the inclusion of higher-level decision process.

Fuzzy C-mean Clustering.
A fuzzy C-mean clustering algorithm is proposed in [Sutar and Kothari 2015]. These processes also employ pre-processing, feature extraction and classification for arrhythmia detection. For pre-processing, digital filters as in [Pan and Tompkins 1985] is employed. Digital filters are preferred over analog filters because of lower design complexity, effective noise removability and artifacts. For QRS detection i.e., feature extraction, polar teager energy (PTE), which is based on the entropy of the signal, has been utilized. Employing features which are linearly dependent or related leads to feature vector with smaller dimension. Hence, a relation between information entropy and mean teager energy is exploited. Based on these features, ECG beat classification using Fuzzy C-mean clustering algorithm is performed. The performance of fuzzy logic in arrhythmia detection is presented in Table X.

Analysis on Arrhythmia Detection using Fuzzy Logic

— Fuzzy neural networks are effective when operating in noisy environments and have proven to achieve higher performance in arrhythmia detection.

Table XI. Deep learning based Arrhythmia detection (Grouped according to technique and architecture)

Technique	Performance parameters			Detected	References
	Accuracy	Specificity	Sensitivity		
CNN (4 conv.+2 FC)	93.53-95.22%	92.83-94.19%	93.71-95.49%	Mycardial infraction	[Acharya et al. 2017b]
DNN (9 hidden layers)	89.07-94.03 %			5 arrhythmias	[Acharya et al. 2017]
DNN (11 hidden layers)	92.5-94.9%	81.44-93.13%	98.09-99.13%	AF, A. Flutter, VF	[Acharya et al. 2017a]
CNN (11 conv. layers)	93.18%	91.04%	95.32%	VT, VF	[Acharya et al. 2018]
DNN (3 conv. + 2 FC)	96.6-99%	98.1-99.5%	64.4-95.9%	5 arrhythmias	[Kiranyaz et al. 2016]
CNN	91.8%			AF	[Shashikumar et al. 2017]
3-layer Restricted Boltzman machine	75-99.5%	73.1-100%		4 arrhythmias	[Taji et al. 2017]
8-layer CNN-LSTM	99.85%	99.84%	99.85%	Coronary artery disease	[Tan et al. 2018]

— Fuzzy logic can be operated together with methods like SVM, neural networks to achieve good accuracy in arrhythmia detection.
— Fuzzy logic based methods perform well for arrhythmia detection. As seen, the accuracy ranges from nearly 92 to 99%, depending on the approach.
— The major drawback with fuzzy logic is, it is not always possible to have multi-valued logic for true and false values.

6.2.6. Deep Learning based Arrhythmia Detection.
Deep learning is also applied in the recent years for the purpose of arrhythmia detection and ECG signal analysis. Various deep learning techniques such as convolutional neural networks (CNNs) [Acharya et al. 2018], belief propagation deep neural networks (DNNs) [Taji et al. 2017], long-short term memory (LSTM) networks [Tan et al. 2018] are used. The primary advantage with deep learning compared to the traditional (shallow) machine learning techniques are the robustness to the noise and other artifacts arising during the signal acquisition. Furthermore, large amounts of data (say the data from all the 12-leads [Oh et al. 2017]) can be used to analyze the signal. Most of the works use large number of hidden layers such as 11 in [Acharya et al. 2017a], 9 hidden layers in [Acharya et al. 2017] for arrhythmia detection. The results reported in Table XI also includes the tests where the noise is injected and tested. One can observe in most of the cases the accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity are high, despite the presence of noise. It needs to be noted that all the works are primarily carried out in software (CPU or GPU), as the CNNs are resource intensive. Some of the popular DNN architectures used in arrhythmia detection are listed in Table XI. In addition, CNN based ECG analysis is also used for other purposes such as sleep apnea detection [Cheng et al. 2017].

Analysis on Arrhythmia Detection using Deep Learning:

— Deep learning techniques are proven to be effective in combating the noise issues that can arise during the ECG signal acquisition effectively.
— DNN based arrhythmia detection are deployed primarily on software due to large (hardware) resource consumption of the DNNs.
— DNNs are required to be fed with large amount of samples compared to shallow technique for a better performance.
— LSTM based DNNs have proven to be efficient for ECG signals, even in the presence of high noise.
— DNNs are more suitable for high-end or CPU/GPU based systems rather than only-hardware based computing systems.

6.3. Other Methods for Arrhythmia Detection

In addition to the above mentioned popular approaches like neural networks, SVMs, clustering, fuzzy logic, there exists other approaches for arrhythmia detection. An overview of those works in arrhythmia detection is provided in this section.

Hermite coefficients [Jiang and Kong 2007; Osowski et al. 2004; Lagerholm et al. 2000], high-order statistics features [de Lannoy et al. 2012; Osowski et al. 2004], wavelet features [Ince et al. 2009], wave-form shape features [de Lannoy et al. 2012; Llamedo and Martinez 2011; de Oliveira et al. 2011; Rodriguez et al. 2005; de Chazal and Reilly 2006; de Chazal et al. 2004] are some of the filtering based approaches used for ECG arrhythmia detection and classification. In these approaches, the inputs are filtered whose filtering characteristics are based on the characteristics of normal ECG. Any abnormality (arrhythmia) can be seen at the outputs, and depending on the characteristics of output, the arrhythmia can be classified. However, the design of the filters is one of the major concerns, as the ECG signal characteristics vary with person and time. Template matching is another approach where the incoming ECG is matched with a template of a normal ECG for diagnosing arrhythmias. Discrete time wrapping based template matching method is proposed [Huang and Kinsner 2002]. In DTW paradigm, the original training templates need to be stored for comparison. On the same test dataset, DTW-based distance measure was used to compare the distance with the templates (here, the ECG beats) stored in the training corpus. For any unknown test sample, the DTW distances between the test beat and all the training samples from a particular class are determined first. Similarly, an L1-norm based signal comparison is proposed in [Amann et al. 2005]. In this technique, signal comparison algorithm (SCA) compares four pre-defined reference signals (three sinus rhythms containing one PQRST segment and one ventricular fibrillation signal) with the ECG signal. The decision is made based on the residuals in the L1-norm. This technique, though simple, requires highly efficient and accurate reference signals for comparison, which is not always possible.

Numerous machine learning techniques are proposed for classification purpose in ECG, some of them are self-organizing map (SOM) [Lagerholm et al. 2000], linear discriminant analysis (LDs) [Llamedo and Martinez 2011; de Chazal and Reilly 2006; de Chazal et al. 2004], decision tree [Rodriguez et al. 2005], dynamic Bayesian network (DBN) [de Oliveira et al. 2011], conditional random field (CRF) [de Lannoy et al. 2012], and so on. A Fisher Linear discriminant based arrhythmia detection is proposed in [Elgendi et al. 2008]. The RR-interval duration and the PT interval is obtained as the basic features. Using these features, Fisher's Linear Discriminant is applied. The performance of techniques not discussed in the previous sections for arrhythmia detection and classification is outlined in Table XII.

Analysis on Arrhythmia Detection using Other Techniques
Based on the above-mentioned other techniques for arrhythmia detection, we could deduce the following statements:

— Techniques like regression analysis, linear discriminant analysis achieves higher accuracy in detecting the arrhythmias, but have lower specificity and sensitivity compared with some of the machine learning techniques discussed previously.
— The above mentioned other techniques perform poorly when the types of arrhythmias increases.
— Optimization techniques like ant-colony optimization (ACO), Bee colony algorithms can help to detect and classify a limited number of analysis, but are computationally more expensive and might run into convergence issues.

As such, it could be seen clearly that the above-mentioned other techniques, though some are less complex, have lower efficiency, which can be critical in health-care applications. Additionally, also has lower sensitivity and specificity which could create unnecessary false alarms and might not diagnose the arrhythmia(s).

Table XII. Other methods for Arrhythmia detection (Grouped according to technique)

Technique	Performance parameters			Detected	References
	Accuracy	Specificity	Sensitivity		
Space search	71.88-98.00%			3-6 arrhythmias	[Ahmed et al. 2014]
Linear regression	74.60%	82.30%	69.70%	Normal, abnormal	[Leutheuser et al. 2014]
Logistic regression	68.76±0.52%	23±0.02%	58.00±2.00%	Normal, abnormal	[Gao et al. 2005]
Auto-regression with Itakura distance	90.00-100.00%			VF, VT	[Alliche and Mokrani 2003]
Discriminant analysis		88.50%	81.70%	PVC	[Bortolan et al. 2005]
Hidden Markov model			97.25%	Ventricular Ectopic beats	[Cost and Cano 1989]
Hidden Markov modeling with mutual info estimation			99.00%	PVC, SVT, AF	[Lima and Cardoso 2007]
Hidden Markov modeling with maximum likelihood estimation			92.00-99.00%	PVC, SVT, AF	[Lima and Cardoso 2007]
PSO-ACO		93.10%		6 Arrhythmias	[Waseem et al. 2011]
Ant-miner		91.00%		6 Arrhythmias	[Waseem et al. 2011]
Modified Artificial Bee colony algorithm	98.73%			6 different arrhythmias	[Dilmac and Korurek 2013]
Reservoir computing with logistic regression	98.43%	97.75%	84.83%	5 different classes	[Escalona-Morán et al. 2015]
Decision tree	81.39±3.01%	14±0.05%	76.00±8.00%	Normal, abnormal	[Gao et al. 2005]
	92.54%	55.41%		T wave variations	[Hadjem and Abdesselam 2015]
	91.60%	92.30%	90.90%	Normal, abnormal	[Leutheuser et al. 2014]
	65.71%			16 different arrhythmias	[Raut and Dudul 2008]
Linear discriminant analysis (LDA)	93.04-97.21%	95.36-97.22%	90.20-97.18%	AFib, Ventricular bigeminy	[Sarlak et al. 2012]
Wavelet decomposition with LDA	99.48%	99.33%	94.38%	SVT, PVC, VF	[Lee et al. 2005]
Laplacian Eigenmaps with FLDA	99.69±0.25%	84.88±14.69%	99.91±0.12%	PVC beats	[Lashgari et al. 2013]
PSO-LDA		98.80%		6 Arrhythmias	[Waseem et al. 2011]
Wavelet decomposition with PCA	98.74%	97.17%	93.11%	SVT, PVC, VF	[Lee et al. 2005]
Random forest	98.69%	97.14%	86.40%	Normal, abnormal	[Park et al. 2015]
Statistical discriminant analysis	50.00%			16 different arrhythmias	[Raut and Dudul 2008]
Voting feature algorithm	62.00%			16 different arrhythmias	[Raut and Dudul 2008]

7. ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

In the previous sections, the arrhythmia detection using different techniques and their analysis is presented. Here, we present an overall analysis which not only compares variants of one technique but also provides a comparison across different techniques and suitability of a technique depending on the operational conditions and requirements.

The following is the analysis for different methods discussed previously:

— Statistical metrics based methods are simpler compared to many of the machine learning methodologies. These metrics-based methods can be realized effectively even when the available hardware and computing resources are limited. However, these techniques have lower efficiency in terms of performance in arrhythmia detection, and some of the methods operate on the data directly, resulting in a larger state space.

— Machine learning techniques are widely employed for arrhythmia detection. Machine learning techniques (in general) outperform most of the discussed traditional techniques for arrhythmia detection.

— Neural networks have gained attention for arrhythmia detection and are widely employed. Neural networks perform arrhythmia detection in an efficient manner i.e., good performance and they are suitable for moderate and medium size systems, depending on the variant of neural networks used.

— Neural networks, though efficient, are suitable only when the number of types of arrhythmia to detect are limited (around 5-6). However, when the number of types of arrhythmias are large, neural networks is not efficient with respect to resources.
— Support vector machine technique based classification can be seen as an alternative and perform effectively even when the number of kinds of arrhythmia to detect is large. However, the major drawback of SVMs is their complexity (O(N^3), where N is the size of the input).
— SVMs can be implemented together with other techniques like DWT, FCM and so on to achieve higher performances.
— In the case of training data associated with less or no labels (i.e., information of arrhythmia type), Bayesian classifiers can be employed. However, the achieved performance is limited.
— Clustering techniques when integrated with some data labeling techniques could perform well and are of lower complexity compared to SVMs, but also do not achieve as high performance as SVMs and neural networks.
— A vast variant of other techniques is as well proposed in the literature. However, the most successful methods are neural networks, SVMs, and their variants, but at the cost of more computations and required resources.
— Deep learning based analysis techniques are proven to be robust and efficient despite the presence of noises in the received ECG signal. However they are resource intensive and slower compared to other machine learning based techniques.

Though there exist numerous works on arrhythmia detection, some of the challenges still remain unanswered, such as: How to perform ECG signal analysis with less amount of data and independent of the patients' physical state, and characteristics (such as food, place, gender, and so on) still remains an unanswered, as most of the works focus on one or few characteristics. Which of the platforms (software or hardware or embedded) are best for arrhythmia detection, especially in the era of mobile devices that have higher processing capabilities? And most importantly, how reliable and robust are the existing techniques for arrhythmia detection? In addition, deep learning has been shown robustness to the noise impacts and other kinds of artifacts, which is one of the major problems for the ECG analysis. However, the deep learning works are performed on CPU/GPUs or at software-level. The major concern with such implementations are the resource consumption and involved latencies. Hence, there is an emerging need to devise lightweight architectures for performing hardware-based ECG analysis for the future health-care body wearable devices, as the existing hardware implementations (even optimized for image processing) are too big to fit on body wearable devices. Furthermore, as some other bio-signals can also be analyzed using similar techniques that are useful for arrhythmia detection, can we devise a generic bio-signal analyzer that can be used for analyzing multiple bio-signals (a set of similar bio-signals) are some of the future directions that have to be explored.

8. ANALYSIS OF OTHER BIO-SIGNALS

In addition to the ECG signals, there exist numerous other signals such as Electromyography (EMG) for muscular analysis, Electroencephalography (EEG) to monitor the electrical activity of the brain, Galvanic skin response (GSR) for electro-dermal activity, and Magnetoencephalogram (MEG) for neuroimaging purposes. ML techniques are also widely used for such bio-signal analysis. For instance, neural network based analysis for EEG, GSR, and EMG is proposed in [Matsumura et al. 2002; Übeyli 2009; Villarejo et al. 2012; Anusha et al. 2012; Zhang et al. 2016; Oleinikov et al. 2018], and SVM based in [Lin et al. 2008; Kumari and Jose 2011; Altaf and Yoo 2016]. For the purpose of brain-computer interfacing, image processing or deep learning techniques such as using CNNs are as well deployed [Park et al. 2018; Lee and Choi 2018]. In [Anusha et al. 2012], the features of the EEG signal are provided to the neural network for analyzing the EEG and detection of Epilepsy and Seizure. This is similar to how the ECG signal is processed using Neural networks to detect arrhythmias. However, in terms of implementation requirements and signal characteristics, some of the anomalies such as Epilepsy shows a symptom nearly 7.5s before the it can be observed clinically [Verma et al. 2010], which indicates detecting using body wearable devices can alert the patient much in advance than that can be done in some of the arrhythmias. As such, the symptoms and requirements are different. But in terms of analysis for other bio-signals, similar techniques that are used for ECG Arrhythmia detection can be employed, but under different requirements in terms of performance (accuracy and timing).

9. CONCLUSION

Arrhythmia detection is one of the widely researched topics. There exist numerous techniques for arrhythmia detection, ranging from simple statistical metrics based methods to sophisticated machine learning techniques like neural networks, SVMs, Bayesian classifiers and so on. Based on the existing works, it has been observed that the machine learning methods outperform traditional methods in arrhythmia detection. However, the complexity of most of the traditional techniques is much lower compared to the machine learning techniques. In machine learning techniques, neural networks, SVMs (including their variants) achieve better performances. However, neural networks are efficient when the number of types of arrhythmia to detect is small (5-6), whereas SVMs and their variants are efficient even when the number of types of arrhythmias to classify is large but of higher complexity. Additionally, SVMs can be effectively utilized when the amount of data is large, and can as well be utilized together with data reduction techniques such as PCAs. Lastly, Bayesian classifiers, though not efficient compared to neural networks, SVMs, are preferred especially when there exist no labels for the data.

REFERENCES

2015. World Health Organization Cardiovascular Disease Facts. (2015). http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs317/en/ Date last accessed: 2018-12-12.

U. Rajendra Acharya, P. Subbanna Bhat, S.S. Iyengar, Ashok Rao, and Sumeet Dua. 2003. Classification of heart rate data using artificial neural network and fuzzy equivalence relation. *Pattern Recognition* 36, 1 (2003), 61 – 68.

U. Rajendra Acharya, Hamido Fujita, Oh Shu Lih, Yuki Hagiwara, Jen Hong Tan, and Muhammad Adam. 2017a. Automated detection of arrhythmias using different intervals of tachycardia ECG segments with convolutional neural network. *Information Sciences* 405 (2017), 81 – 90.

U. Rajendra Acharya, Hamido Fujita, Shu Lih Oh, Yuki Hagiwara, Jen Hong Tan, and Muhammad Adam. 2017b. Application of deep convolutional neural network for automated detection of myocardial infarction using ECG signals. *Information Sciences* 415-416 (2017), 190 – 198.

U. Rajendra Acharya, Hamido Fujita, Shu Lih Oh, U. Raghavendra, Jen Hong Tan, Muhammad Adam, Arkadiusz Gertych, and Yuki Hagiwara. 2018. Automated identification of shockable and non-shockable life-threatening ventricular arrhythmias using convolutional neural network. *Future Generation Computer Systems* 79 (2018), 952 – 959.

U. Rajendra Acharya, Shu Lih Oh, Yuki Hagiwara, Jen Hong Tan, Muhammad Adam, Arkadiusz Gertych, and Ru San Tan. 2017. A Deep Convolutional Neural Network Model to Classify Heartbeats. *Comput. Biol. Med.* 89, C (Oct 2017), 389–396.

E. R. Adams and A. Choi. 2012. Using neural networks to predict cardiac arrhythmias. In *IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics (SMC).*

V. X. Afonso, W. J. Tompkins, T. Q. Nguyen, and Shen Luo. 1999. ECG beat detection using filter banks. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* 46, 2 (Feb 1999), 192–202.

V. X. Afonso, W. J. Tompkins, T. Q. Nguyen, S. Trautmann, and S. Luo. 1995. Filter bank-based processing of the stress ECG. In *IEEE Conference Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society.*

M. L. Ahlstrom and W. J. Tompkins. 1983. Automated High-Speed Analysis of Holter Tapes with Microcomputers. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* BME-30, 10 (Oct 1983), 651–657.

A. M. Ahmad and G. M. Khan. 2012. Bio-signal Processing Using Cartesian Genetic Programming Evolved Artificial Neural Network (CGPANN). In *Int. Conf. on Frontiers of Information Technology.*

A. F. Ahmed, M. I. Owis, and I. A. Yassine. 2014. Novel Bayesian classifier discriminant function optimization strategies for arrhythmia classification. In *IEEE-EMBS International Conference on Biomedical and Health Informatics*. 693–696.

A. Alesanco, S. Olmos, R. Istepanian, and J. Garcia. 2003. A novel real-time multilead ECG compression and de-noising method based on the wavelet transform. In *Computers in Cardiology*.

A. Alliche and K. Mokrani. 2003. Higher order statistics and ECG arrhythmia classification. In *IEEE Int. Symp. on Signal Processing and Information Technology*.

M. A. Bin Altaf and J. Yoo. 2016. A 1.83 *mu*J/Classification, 8-Channel, Patient-Specific Epileptic Seizure Classification SoC Using a Non-Linear Support Vector Machine. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Circuits and Systems* 10, 1 (Feb 2016), 49–60.

A. Amann, R. Tratnig, and K. Unterkofler. 2005. A new ventricular fibrillation detection algorithm for automated external defibrillators. In *Computers in Cardiology*. 559–562.

James A. Anderson. 1995. *Introduction to Neural Networks*. MIT Press.

K. S. Anusha, M. T. Mathews, and S. D. Puthankattil. 2012. Classification of Normal and Epileptic EEG Signal Using Time and Frequency Domain Features through Artificial Neural Network. In *International Conference on Advances in Computing and Communications*.

M. Arif, M. U. Akram, and F. A. Afsar. 2009. Arrhythmia Beat Classification Using Pruned Fuzzy K-Nearest Neighbor Classifier. In *International Conference of Soft Computing and Pattern Recognition*.

S. S. Arumugam, G. Gurusamy, and S. Gopalasamy. 2009. Wavelet based detection of ventricular arrhythmias with neural network classifier. *Journal of Biomedical Science and Engineering* 2, 6 (2009), 439–444.

N. M. Arzeno, Z. D. Deng, and C. S. Poon. 2008. Analysis of First-Derivative Based QRS Detection Algorithms. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* 55, 2 (Feb 2008), 478–484.

B. Mohammadzadeh Asl and S. K. Setarehdan. 2006. Neural network based arrhythmia classification using Heart Rate Variability signal. In *European Signal Processing Conference*.

M. Ayat, M. B. Shamsollahi, B. Mozaffari, and S. Kharabian. 2009. ECG denoising using modulus maxima of wavelet transform. In *Int. Conf. of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society*.

S. Ayub and J. P. Saini. 2011. ECG classification and abnormality detection using cascade forward neural network. *International Journal of Engineering, Science and Technology* 3, 3 (2011), 41–46.

G. Bortolan, I. Jekova, and I. Christov. 2005. Comparison of four methods for premature ventricular contraction and normal beat clustering. In *Computers in Cardiology*.

E. Braunwald, D. P. Zipes, P. Libby, and R. Bonow. 2011. *Braunwald's Heart Disease: A Textbook of Cardiovascular Medicine* (9 ed.). Elsevier.

R. Burte and R. Ghongade. 2012. Advances in QRS detection: Modified Wavelet energy gradient method. *International Journal of Emerging Trends in Signal processing* 1, 1 (Nov 2012), 23–29.

Rahime Ceylan and Yüksel Özbay. 2007. Comparison of FCM, PCA and WT Techniques for Classification ECG Arrhythmias Using Artificial Neural Network. *Expert Syst. Appl.* 33, 2 (Aug 2007), 286–295.

R. Ceylan and Yüksel Özbay. 2011. Wavelet Neural Network for Classification of Bundle Branch Blocks. In *World Congress on Engineering*.

S. Chakroborty. 2013. Accurate Arrhythmia classification using auto-associative neural network. In *International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC)*.

S. Chakroborty and M. A. Patil. 2014. Real-time arrhythmia classification for large databases. In *International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society*.

Varun Chandola, Arindam Banerjee, and Vipin Kumar. 2009. Anomaly Detection: A Survey. *Comput. Surveys* 41, 3 (Jul 2009), 15:1–15:58.

S. Chen, N. V. Thakor, and M. M. Mower. 1987. Ventricular fibrillation detection by a regression test on the autocorrelation function. *Medical and Biological Engineering and Computing* 25, 3 (1987), 241–249.

M. Cheng, W. J. Sori, F. Jiang, A. Khan, and S. Liu. 2017. Recurrent Neural Network Based Classification of ECG Signal Features for Obstruction of Sleep Apnea Detection. In *IEEE International Conference on Embedded and Ubiquitous Computing*.

P. M. Clarkson, Szi-Wen Chen, and Qi Fan. 1995. A robust sequential detection algorithm for cardiac arrhythmia classification. In *Int. Conf. on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing*.

Gari D. Clifford, Francisco Azuaje, and Patrick McSharry. 2006. *Advanced Methods And Tools for ECG Data Analysis*. Artech House, Inc.

A. A. Cost and G. G. Cano. 1989. QRS detection based on hidden Markov modeling. In *International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society*.

Eduardo José da S. Luz, William Robson Schwartz, Guillermo Cámara-Chávez, and David Menotti. 2016. ECG-based heartbeat classification for arrhythmia detection: A survey. *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine* 127 (2016), 144 – 164.

Philip de Chazal, M. O'Dwyer, and R. B. Reilly. 2004. Automatic classification of heartbeats using ECG morphology and heartbeat interval features. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* 51, 7 (July 2004), 1196–1206.

P. de Chazal and R. B. Reilly. 2006. A Patient-Adapting Heartbeat Classifier Using ECG Morphology and Heartbeat Interval Features. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* 53, 12 (Dec 2006), 2535–2543.

G. de Lannoy, D. Francois, J. Delbeke, and M. Verleysen. 2012. Weighted Conditional Random Fields for Supervised Interpatient Heartbeat Classification. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* 59, 1 (Jan 2012), 241–247.

T. F. L. de Medeiros, E. V. C. de Lima Borges, B. É S. Cavalcante, A. B. Cavalvanti, I. L. P. Andrezza, and L. V. Batista. 2011. Heart arrhythmia classification using the PPM algorithm. In *ISSNIP Biosignals and Biorobotics Conference*.

L. S. C. de Oliveira, R. V. Andreão, and M. Sarcinelli-Filho. 2011. Premature Ventricular beat classification using a dynamic Bayesian Network. In *International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society*.

B. Devine and PW. Macfarlane. 1993. Detection of electrocardiographic 'left ventricular strain' using neural nets. *Med Biol. Eng. Comput.* 31, 4 (Jul 1993), 343–348.

N. K. Dewangan and S. P. Shukla. 2015. A Survey on ECG Signal Feature Extraction and Analysis Techniques. *Int. J. of Innovative Research in Electrical, Electronics, Instrumentation and Control Engineering* 3, 6 (June 2015), 12–19.

Dua Dheeru and Efi Karra Taniskidou. 2017. UCI Machine Learning Repository. (2017). http://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml

S. Dilmac and M. Korurek. 2013. A new ECG arrhythmia clustering method based on Modified Artificial Bee Colony algorithm, comparison with GA and PSO classifiers. In *IEEE INISTA*.

Sai Manoj Pudukotai Dinakarrao and Axel Jantsch. 2018. ADDHard: Arrhythmia Detection on Digital Hardware by Learning ECG Signal. In *ACM Great Lakes Symposium on VLSI (GLSVLSI)*.

ECRI. 2003. American Heart Association ECG Database. (2003). https://www.ecri.org/components/Pages/AHA_ECG_USB.aspx Date last accessed: 2018-12-12.

Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology the North American Society of Pacing Electrophysiology. 1996. Heart Rate Variability. *Circulation* 93, 5 (1996), 1043–1065.

M. Elgendi, B. Eskofier, S. Dokos, and D. Abott. 2014. Revisiting QRS Detection Methodologies for Portable, Wearable, Battery-Operated, and Wireless ECG Systems. *PLoS ONE* 9, 1 (Jan 2014), 1–18.

M. Elgendi, M. Jonkman, and F. De Boer. 2008. Premature atrial complexes detection using the Fisher Linear Discriminant. In *IEEE International Conference on Cognitive Informatics*.

Z. Elghazzawi and F. Geheb. 1996. A knowledge-based system for arrhythmia detection. In *Computers in Cardiology*.

Mehmet Engin. 2004. {ECG} beat classification using neuro-fuzzy network. *Pattern Recognition Letters* 25, 15 (2004), 1715 – 1722.

M. A. Escalona-Morán, M. C. Soriano, I. Fischer, and C. R. Mirasso. 2015. Electrocardiogram Classification Using Reservoir Computing With Logistic Regression. *IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics* 19, 3 (May 2015), 892–898.

S. Faziludeen and P. V. Sabiq. 2013. ECG beat classification using wavelets and SVM. In *IEEE Conference on Information Communication Technologies*.

Nolle FM, Badura FK, Catlett JM, Bowser RW, and Sketch MH. 1986. CREI-GARD, a new concept in computerized arrhythmia monitoring systems. *Computers in Cardiology* 13 (1986), 515–518.

Dayong Gao, M. Madden, D. Chambers, and G. Lyons. 2005. Bayesian ANN classifier for ECG arrhythmia diagnostic system: a comparison study. In *IEEE International Joint Conference on Neural Networks*.

R. Ghongade, M. Deshmukh, and D. Joshi. 2014. Arrhythmia classification using morphological features and probabilistic neural networks. In *Innovative Applications of Computational Intelligence on Power, Energy and Controls with their impact on Humanity*.

Ary L. Goldberger, Luis A. N. Amaral, Leon Glass, Jeffrey M. Hausdorff, Plamen Ch. Ivanov, Roger G. Mark, Joseph E. Mietus, George B. Moody, Chung-Kang Peng, and H. Eugene Stanley. 2000. PhysioBank, PhysioToolkit, and PhysioNet. *Circulation* 101, 23 (June 2000), e215–e220.

A. L. Goldberger and et.al. 2000. PhysioBank, PhysioToolkit, and PhysioNet: Components of a New Research Resource for Complex Physiologic Signals. *Circulation* 101, 23 (Jun 2000), e215–e220.

A. L. Goldeberger, Z. D. Goldberger, and A. Shvilkin. 2012. Electrocardiography: A Simplified Approach. Elsevier/Saunders.

H. A. Güvenir, B. Acar, G. Demiröz, and A. Çekin. 1997. A supervised machine learning algorithm for arrhythmia analysis. In *Computers in Cardiology*.

M. Hadjem and F. Naït Abdesselam. 2015. An ECG T-wave anomalies detection using a lightweight classification model for wireless body sensors. In *IEEE Int. Conf. on Communication Workshop*.

M. Hoher, H. A. Kestler, S. Bauer, P. Weismuller, G. Palm, and V. Hombach. 1995. Neural network based analysis of the signal-averaged electrocardiogram. In *Computers in Cardiology*.

P. W. Hsia, J. M. Jenkins, Y. Shimoni, K. P. Gage, J. T. Santinga, and B. Pitt. 1986. An Automated System for ST Segment and Arrhythmia Analysis in Exercise Radionuclide Ventriculography. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* BME-33, 6 (June 1986), 585–593.

Y. H. Hu, W. J. Tompkins, J.L. Urrusti, and V. X. Afonso. 1993. Applications of artificial neural networks for ECG signal detection and classification. *J. on Electrocardiology* 26 (1993), 66–73.

B. Huang and W. Kinsner. 2002. ECG frame classification using dynamic time warping. In *IEEE Canadian Conference on Electrical and Computer Engineering*.

Y. P. Huang and S. R. Chen. 2012. A fuzzy approach to discriminating heartbeat types and detecting arrhythmia. In *International conference on Fuzzy Theory and Its Applications*.

H. Husain and L. L. Fatt. 2007. Effecient ECG Signal Classification Using Sparsely Connected Radial Basis Function Neural Network. *International Conference of Circuits, Systems, Electronics, Control and Singal Processing* (2007).

Elly Matul Imah, Faris Al Afif, M. Ivan Fanany, W. Jatmiko, and T. Basaruddin. 2011. A comparative study on Daubechies Wavelet Transformation, Kernel PCA and PCA as feature extractors for arrhythmia detection using SVM. In *IEEE TENCON*.

O. T. Inan, L. Giovangrandi, and G. T. A. Kovacs. 2006. Robust Neural-Network-Based Classification of Premature Ventricular Contractions Using Wavelet Transform and Timing Interval Features. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* 53, 12 (Dec 2006), 2507–2515.

T. Ince, S. Kiranyaz, and M. Gabbouj. 2009. A Generic and Robust System for Automated Patient-Specific Classification of ECG Signals. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* 56, 5 (May 2009), 1415–1426.

S. M. Jadhav, S. L. Nalbalwar, and A. A. Ghatol. 2010a. Arrhythmia disease classification using Artificial Neural Network model. In *IEEE International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Computing Research*.

S. M. Jadhav, S. L. Nalbalwar, and A. A. Ghatol. 2010b. ECG arrhythmia classification using modular neural network model. In *IEEE EMBS Conference on Biomedical Engineering and Sciences*.

S. M. Jadhav, S. L. Nalbalwar, and A. A. Ghatol. 2010c. Generalized Feedforward Neural Network based cardiac arrhythmia classification from ECG signal data. In *International Conference on Advanced Information Management and Service*.

S. H. Jambukia, V. K. Dabhi, and H. B. Prajapati. 2015. Classification of ECG signals using machine learning techniques: A survey. In *Int. Conference on Advances in Computer Engineering and Applications*.

W. Jatmiko, P. Mursanto, A. Febrian, M. Fajar, W. T. Anggoro, R. S. Rambe, M. I. Tawakal, F. F. Jovan, and M. Eka S. 2011. Arrhythmia classification from wavelet feature on FGPA. In *International Symposium on Micro-NanoMechatronics and Human Science*.

W. Jatmiko, Rochmatullah Rochmatullah, B. Kusumoputro, K. Sekiyama, and T. Fukuda. 2009. Fuzzy Learning Vector Quantization Based on Particle Swarm Optimization for Artificial Odor Dicrimination System. *WTOS* 8, 12 (Dec 2009), 1239–1252.

Irena Jekova. 2000. Comparison of five algorithms for the detection of ventricular fibrillation from the surface ECG. *Physiological Measurement* 21, 4 (2000), 429.

Y. Jewajinda and P. Chongstitvatana. 2010. FPGA-based online-learning using parallel genetic algorithm and neural network for ECG signal classification. In *International Conference on Electrical Engineering/Electronics Computer Telecommunications and Information Technology (ECTI-CON)*.

W. Jiang and S. G. Kong. 2007. Block-Based Neural Networks for Personalized ECG Signal Classification. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks* 18, 6 (Nov 2007), 1750–1761.

Wei Jiang, S. G. Kong, and G. D. Peterson. 2005. ECG signal classification using block-based neural networks. In *IEEE International Joint Conference on Neural Networks*.

S. Joo, K. J. Choi, and S. J. Huh. 2010. Prediction of ventricular tachycardia by a neural network using parameters of heart rate variability. In *Computing in Cardiology*.

Aniruddha J. Joshi, Sharat Chandran, V. K. Jayaraman, and B. D. Kulkarni. 2009. Hybrid SVM for Multiclass Arrhythmia Classification. In *IEEE International Conference on Bioinformatics and Biomedicine*.

D. T. Kaplan. 1990. Simultaneous QRS detection and feature extraction using simple matched filter basis functions. In *Computers in Cardiology*.

L. Khadra, A. S. Al-Fahoum, and S. Binajjaj. 2005. A quantitative analysis approach for cardiac arrhythmia classification using higher order spectral techniques. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* 52, 11 (Nov 2005), 1840–1845.

S. Kiranyaz, T. Ince, and M. Gabbouj. 2016. Real-Time Patient-Specific ECG Classification by 1-D Convolutional Neural Networks. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* 63, 3 (March 2016), 664–675.

B.-U. Köhler, C. Hennig, and R. Orglmeister. 2003. QRS detection using zero crossing counts. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* 8, 3 (Sep 2003), 138–145.

N. Kohli, N. K. Verma, and A. Roy. 2010. SVM based methods for arrhythmia classification in ECG. In *International Conference on Computer and Communication Technology*.

R. Shantha Selva Kumari and J. Prabin Jose. 2011. Seizure detection in EEG using time frequency analysis and SVM. In *International Conference on Emerging Trends in Electrical and Computer Technology*.

M. Lagerholm, C. Peterson, G. Braccini, L. Edenbrandt, and L. Sornmo. 2000. Clustering ECG complexes using Hermite functions and self-organizing maps. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* 47, 7 (Jul 2000), 838–848.

E. Lashgari, M. Jahed, and B. Khalaj. 2013. Manifold learning for ECG arrhythmia recognition. In *Iranian Conference on Biomedical Engineering (ICBME)*.

H. K. Lee and Y. S. Choi. 2018. A convolution neural networks scheme for classification of motor imagery EEG based on wavelet time-frequecy image. In *International Conference on Information Networking*.

J. Lee, J. H. Chang, and J. Sohn. 2016. On using parameterized multi-channel non-causal Wiener filter-adapted convolutional neural networks for distant speech recognition. In *Int. Conf. on Electronics, Information, and Communications*.

J. Lee, K. L. Park, M. H. Song, and K. J. Lee. 2005. Arrhythmia Classification with Reduced Features by Linear Discriminant Analysis. In *IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology*.

H. Leutheuser, S. Gradl, P. Kugler, L. Anneken, M. Arnold, S. Achenbach, and B. M. Eskofier. 2014. Comparison of real-time classification systems for arrhythmia detection on Android-based mobile devices. In *Int. Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society*.

Cuiwei Li, Chongxun Zheng, and Changfeng Tai. 1995. Detection of ECG characteristic points using wavelet transforms. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* 42, 1 (Jan 1995), 21–28.

M. Lichman. 2013. UCI Machine Learning Repository. (2013). http://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml

J. S. Lim. 2009. Finding Features for Real-Time Premature Ventricular Contraction Detection Using a Fuzzy Neural Network System. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks* 20, 3 (Mar 2009), 522–527.

J. S. Lim and S. Gupta. 2004. Feature selection using weighted neuro-fuzzy membership functions. In *International conference on Artifical Intelligence*.

C. S. Lima and M. J. Cardoso. 2007. Cardiac Arrhythmia Detection by Parameters Sharing and MMIE Training of Hidden Markov Models. In *Int. Conf. of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society*.

Chia-Hung Lin, Pei-Jarn Chen, Yung-Fu Chen, You-Yun Lee, and Tainsong Chen. 2005. Multiple Cardiac Arrhythmia Recognition Using Adaptive Wavelet Network. In *IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology*.

Y. P. Lin, C. H. Wang, T. L. Wu, S. K. Jeng, and J. H. Chen. 2008. Support vector machine for EEG signal classification during listening to emotional music. In *IEEE Workshop on Multimedia Signal Processing*.

R. Lippmann. 1987. An introduction to computing with neural nets. *IEEE ASSP Magazine* 4, 2 (Apr 1987), 4–22.

M. Llamedo and J. P. Martinez. 2011. Heartbeat Classification Using Feature Selection Driven by Database Generalization Criteria. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* 58, 3 (March 2011), 616–625.

J. P.V. Madeiro, Paulo C. Cortez, Francisco I. Oliveira, and Robson S. Siqueira. 2007. A new approach to QRS segmentation based on wavelet bases and adaptive threshold technique. *Medical Engineering & Physics* 29, 1 (2007), 26 – 37.

Y. Matsumura, Y. Mitsukura, M. Fukumi, N. Akamatsu, Y. Yamamoto, and K. Nakaura. 2002. Recognition of EMG signal patterns by neural networks. In *International Conference on Neural Information Processing*.

Christian Merkwirth, Ulrich Parlitz, and Werner Lauterborn. 2000. Fast nearest-neighbor searching for nonlinear signal processing. *Phys. Rev. E* 62 (Aug 2000), 2089–2097. Issue 2.

M. Migliorini, R. Cabiddu, S. Cerutti, L. T. Mainardi, J. M. Kortelainen, and A. M. Bianchi. 2011. Automatic arrhythmia detection based on heart beat interval series recorded through bed sensors during sleep. In *2011 Computing in Cardiology*.

Jonathan Milgram, Mohamed Cheriet, and Robert Sabourin. 2006. One Against One or One Against All: Which One is Better for Handwriting Recognition with SVMs. In *International Workshop on Frontiers in Handwriting Recognition*.

F. N. Mohamad, M. S. A. Megat Ali, A. H. Jahidin, M. F. Saaid, and M. Z. H. Noor. 2013. Principal component analysis and arrhythmia recognition using Elman neural network. In *IEEE Control and System Graduate Research Colloquium*.

G. B. Moody and R. G. Mark. 2001. The impact of the MIT-BIH Arrhythmia Database. *IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Magazine* 20, 3 (May 2001), 45–50.

Sang-Woo Moon and Seong-Gon Kong. 2001. Block-based neural networks. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks* 12, 2 (Mar 2001), 307–317.

P. Morizet-Mahoudeaux, C. Moreau, D. Moreau, and J. J Quarante. 1981. Simple microprocessor-based system for on-line ECG arrhythmia analysis. *Medical and Biological Engineering and Computing* 4, 19 (1981), 497–500.

V. P. Nambiar, M. Khalil-Hani, and M. N. Marsono. 2012. Evolvable Block-based Neural Networks for real-time classification of heart arrhythmia From ECG signals. In *IEEE EMBS Conference on Biomedical Engineering and Sciences*.

J. A. Nasiri, M. Naghibzadeh, H. S. Yazdi, and B. Naghibzadeh. 2009. ECG Arrhythmia Classification with Support Vector Machines and Genetic Algorithm. In *European Symposium on Computer Modeling and Simulation*.

Shu Lih Oh, Muhammad Adam, Jen Hong Tan, Yuki Hagiwara, Vidya K. Sudarshan, Joel En Wei Koh, Kuang Chua Chua, Kok Poo Chua, Ru San Tan, and Eeedi Y. K. Ng. 2017. Automated Identification of Coronary Artery Disease from Short-term 12 Lead Electrocardiogram Signals by Using Wavelet Packet Decomposition and Common Spatial Pattern Techniques. *Journal of Mechanics in Medicine and Biology* 17, 07 (2017), 1740007.

M. Okada. 1979. A Digital Filter for the QRS Complex Detection. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* BME-26, 12 (Dec 1979), 700–703.

A. Oleinikov, B. Abibullaev, A. Shintemirov, and M. Folgheraiter. 2018. Feature extraction and real-time recognition of hand motion intentions from EMGs via artificial neural networks. In *International Conference on Brain-Computer Interface*.

S. Osowski, L. T. Hoai, and T. Markiewicz. 2004. Support vector machine-based expert system for reliable heartbeat recognition. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* 51, 4 (April 2004), 582–589.

M. I. Owis, A. H. Abou-Zied, A. B. M. Youssef, and Y. M. Kadah. 2002. Study of features based on nonlinear dynamical modeling in ECG arrhythmia detection and classification. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* 49, 7 (July 2002), 733–736.

Santiago Pagani, Sai Manoj Pudukotai Dinakarrao, Axel Jantsch, and Jörg Henkel. 2019. Machine Learning for Power, Energy, and Thermal Management on Multi-core Processors: A Survey. *IEEE Transactions on Computer Aided Systems of Integrated Circuits and Systems* (2019).

Jiapu Pan and Willis J Tompkins. 1985. A real-time QRS detection algorithm. *IEEE Tran. on Biomedical Engineering* 3 (1985), 230–236.

C. Park, G. Choi, J. Kim, S. Kim, T. J. Kim, K. Min, K. Y. Jung, and J. Chong. 2018. Epileptic seizure detection for multi-channel EEG with deep convolutional neural network. In *International Conference on Electronics, Information, and Communication*.

J. Park, S. Lee, and K. Kang. 2015. Arrhythmia detection using amplitude difference features based on random forest. In *Int. Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC)*.

G. K. Prasad and J. S. Sahambi. 2003. Classification of ECG arrhythmias using multi-resolution analysis and neural networks. In *Conference on Convergent Technologies for the Asia-Pacific Region*.

H. Rabbani, M.P. Mahjoob, E. Farahabadi, and A. Farahabadi. 2011. R peak detection in electrocardiogram signal based on an optimal combination of wavelet transform. *J. Med. Signals Sens.* 2, 1 (2011), 91–98.

H. M. Rai, A. Trivedi, S. Shukla, and V. Dubey. 2012. ECG arrhythmia classification using daubechies wavelet and radial basis function neural network. In *Nirma University International Conference on Engineering (NUiCONE)*.

F. Ramirez, H. Allende-Cid, A. Veloz, and H. Allende. 2010. Neuro-fuzzy-based Arrhythmia Classification Using Heart Rate Variability Features. In *Int. Conf. of the Chilean Computer Science Society*.

R. D. Raut and S. V. Dudul. 2008. Arrhythmias Classification with MLP Neural Network and Statistical Analysis. In *International Conference on Emerging Trends in Engineering and Technology*.

J. Rodriguez, A. Goni, and A. Illarramendi. 2005. Real-time classification of ECGs on a PDA. *IEEE Transactions on Information Technology in Biomedicine* 9, 1 (March 2005), 23–34.

R. Rodriguez, A. Mexicano, J. Bila, S. Cervantes, and R. Ponce. 2015a. Feature Extraction of Electrocardiogram Signals by Applying Adaptive Threshold and Principal Component Analysis. *Journal of Applied Research and Technology* 13, 2 (2015), 261 – 269.

R. Rodriguez, O. O. Vergara Villegas, V. G. Cruz Sanchez, J. Bila, and A. Mexicano. 2015b. Arrhythmia disease classification using a higher-order neural unit. In *Int. Conf. on Future Generation Communication Technology*.

S. K. Sahoo, W. Lu, S. D. Teddy, D. Kim, and M. Feng. 2011. Detection of Atrial fibrillation from non-episodic ECG data: A review of methods. In *Int. Conf. of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society*.

S. K. Sahoo, A. K. Subudhi, B. Kanungo, and S. K. Sabut. 2015. Feature extraction of ECG signal based on wavelet transform for arrhythmia detection. In *International Conference on Electrical, Electronics, Signals, Communication and Optimization*.

M. K. Sarkaleh. 2012. Classification Of Ecg Arrhythmias Using Discrete Wavelet Transform and Neural Networks. *International Journal of Computer Science, Engineering and Applications* 2, 1 (Feb 2012), 1–13.

P. Sarlak, A. Homayoon Jafari, G. Attarodi, N. J. Dabanloo, S. K. Setarehdan, and N. Hemmati. 2012. HRV signal dynamic extraction in the poincare plot by analyzing the extended U-Sequences for cardiac arrhythmia classification. In *Computing in Cardiology*.

G. Selvakumar, K. Bhoopathy Bagan, and B. Chidambararajan. 2007. Wavelet Decomposition for Detection and Classification of Critical ECG Arrhythmias. In *Int. Conference on Mathematics and Computers in Biology and Chemistry*.

Y. Shan-xiao, C. Cai-ming, D. Yu-liang, and Y. Guang-ying. 2010. The Research of Arrhythmia Algorithm Based on Fuzzy Neural Network. In *International Symposium on Electronic Commerce and Security*.

V. Sharmila and K. Ashoka Reddy. 2014. Identification of PVCs based on Peak Detection with Teager Energy Operator. *International Journal of Computer Applications* 103, 16 (Oct. 2014), 38–43.

S. P. Shashikumar, A. J. Shah, Q. Li, G. D. Clifford, and S. Nemati. 2017. A deep learning approach to monitoring and detecting atrial fibrillation using wearable technology. In *IEEE EMBS International Conference on Biomedical Health Informatics (BHI)*.

L. She, Y. Song, S. Zhang, and Z. Xu. 2010. A precise ambulatory ECG arrhythmia intelligent analysis algorithm based on support vector machine classifiers. In *International Conference on Biomedical Engineering and Informatics*.

M. H. Ahmad Shukri, M. S. A. M. Ali, M. Z. H. Noor, A. H. Jahidin, M. F. Saaid, and M. Zolkapli. 2012. Investigation on Elman neural network for detection of cardiomyopathy. In *IEEE Control and System Graduate Research Colloquium*.

Liang-Yu Shyu, Ying-Hsuan Wu, and W. Hu. 2004. Using wavelet transform and fuzzy neural network for VPC detection from the holter ECG. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* 51, 7 (July 2004), 1269–1273.

D. F. Specht. 1988. Probabilistic neural networks for classification, mapping, or associative memory. In *IEEE International Conference on Neural Networks*.

D. Strauss, G. Steidl, and J. Jung. 2001. Arrhythmia detection using signal-adapted wavelet preprocessing for support vector machines. In *Computers in Cardiology 2001*.

R. G. Sutar and A. G. Kothari. 2015. Intelligent electrocardiogram pattern classification and recognition using low-cost cardio-care system. *IET Science, Measurement Technology* 9, 1 (2015), 134–143.

A C Suyama, K Sunagawa, M Sugimachi, T Anan, K Egashira, and A Takeshita. 1993. Differentiation between aberrant ventricular conduction and ventricular ectopy in atrial fibrillation using RR interval scattergram. *Circulation* 88, 5 (1993), 2307–2314.

L. Szilagyi. 1998. Application of the Kalman filter in cardiac arrhythmia detection. In *International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society*.

A. Taddei, G. Distante, M. Emdin, P. Pisant, G. B. Moody, C. Zeelenberg, and C. Marchesi. 1992. The European ST-T database: standard for evaluating systems for the analysis of ST-T changes in ambulatory electrocardiography. *European Heart Journal* 13, 9 (Sep 1992), 1164–1172.

B. Taji, A. D. C. Chan, and S. Shirmohammadi. 2017. Classifying measured electrocardiogram signal quality using deep belief networks. In *IEEE International Instrumentation and Measurement Technology Conference (I2MTC)*.

Jen Hong Tan, Yuki Hagiwara, Winnie Pang, Ivy Lim, Shu Lih Oh, Muhammad Adam, Ru San Tan, Ming Chen, and U. Rajendra Acharya. 2018. Application of stacked convolutional and long short-term memory network for accurate identification of CAD ECG signals. *Computers in Biology and Medicine* 94 (2018), 19 – 26.

J. T. Tang, X. l. Yang, J. c. Xu, Y. Tang, Q. Zou, and X. k. Zhang. 2008. The Algorithm of R Peak Detection in ECG Based on Empirical Mode Decomposition. In *International Conference on Natural Computation*.

N. V. Thakor, Y. S. Zhu, and K. Y. Pan. 1990. Ventricular tachycardia and fibrillation detection by a sequential hypothesis testing algorithm. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* 37, 9 (Sept 1990), 837–843.

Malcolm S. Thaler. 2015. *The only EKG Book You'll Ever Need* (8 ed.). Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.

P. Trahanias and E. Skordalakis. 1990. Syntactic Pattern Recognition of the ECG. *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.* 12, 7 (Jul 1990), 648–657.

Y. S. Tsai, B. N. Hung, and S. F. Tung. 1990. An Experiment On ECG Classification Using Back-propagation Neural Network. In *International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society*.

Elif Derya Übeyli. 2009. Analysis of EEG signals by implementing eigenvector methods/recurrent neural networks. *Digital Signal Processing* 19, 1 (2009), 134 – 143.

A. Uus and P. Liatsis. 2011. Singular Spectrum Analysis for detection of abnormalities in periodic biosignals. In *International Conference on Systems, Signals and Image Processing*.

A. Uyar and F. Gurgen. 2007. Arrhythmia Classification Using Serial Fusion of Support Vector Machines and Logistic Regression. In *IEEE Workshop on Intelligent Data Acquisition and Advanced Computing Systems: Technology and Applications*.

N. Verma, A. Shoeb, J. Bohorquez, J. Dawson, J. Guttag, and A. P. Chandrakasan. 2010. A Micro-Power EEG Acquisition SoC With Integrated Feature Extraction Processor for a Chronic Seizure Detection System. *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits* 45, 4 (April 2010), 804–816.

María Viqueira Villarejo, Bego na García Zapirain, and Amaia Méndez Zorrilla. 2012. A Stress Sensor Based on Galvanic Skin Response (GSR) Controlled by ZigBee. *Sensors* 12, 5 (2012), 6075–6101.

G. S. Wagner and J. J. L. Marriott. 2013. *Marriot's practical electrocardiography* (12 ed.). Williams & Wilkins.

Yang Wang, Yi-Sheng Zhu, N. V. Thakor, and Yu-Hong Xu. 2001. A short-time multifractal approach for arrhythmia detection based on fuzzy neural network. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* 48, 9 (Sept 2001), 989–995.

K. Waseem, A. Javed, R. Ramzan, and M. Farooq. 2011. Using evolutionary algorithms for ECG Arrhythmia detection and classification. In *Int. Conf. on Natural Computation*.

John G. Webster. 2010. *Medical Instrumentation Application and Design* (4 ed.). John Wiley & Sons.

Matthias Wess, Sai Manoj Pudukotai Dinakarrao, and A. Jantsch. 2017. Neural Network based ECG Anomaly Detection on FPGA and Trade-off Analysis. In *International Symposium on Circuits and Systems (ISCAS)*.

H. Xing and M. Huang. 2008. A New QRS Detection Algorithm Based on Empirical Mode Decomposition. In *International Conference on Bioinformatics and Biomedical Engineering*.

Xiaomin Xu and Ying Liu. 2005. Adaptive Threshold for QRS Complex Detection Based on Wavelet Transform. In *IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology*.

F. Yaghouby, A. Ayatollahi, and R. Soleimani. 2009. Classification of Cardiac Abnormalities Using Reduced Features of Heart Rate Variability Signal. *World Applied Sciences Journal* 6, 11 (2009), 1547–1554.

C. Ye, B. V. K. Vijaya Kumar, and M. T. Coimbra. 2012. Heartbeat Classification Using Morphological and Dynamic Features of ECG Signals. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* 59, 10 (Oct 2012), 2930–2941.

Yun-Chi Yeh, Wen-June Wang, and Che Wun Chiou. 2009. Cardiac arrhythmia diagnosis method using linear discriminant analysis on {ECG} signals. *Measurement* 42, 5 (2009), 778 – 789.

C. Yongli and D. Huilong. 2005. A QRS complex detection algorithm based on mathematical morphology and envelope. In *International Conference of the Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society*.

F. Zhang, J. Tan, and Y. Lian. 2007. An Effective QRS Detection Algorithm for Wearable ECG in Body Area Network. In *IEEE Biomedical Circuits and Systems Conference*.

Q. Zhang, X. Lai, and G. Liu. 2016. Emotion Recognition of GSR Based on an Improved Quantum Neural Network. In *International Conference on Intelligent Human-Machine Systems and Cybernetics (IHMSC)*.

Q. Zheng, C. Chen, Z. Li, A. Huang, B. Jiao, X. Duan, and L. Xie. 2013. A novel multi-resolution SVM (MR-SVM) algorithm to detect ECG signal anomaly in WE-CARE project. In *Biosignals and Biorobotics Conference*.

W. M. Zuo, W. G. Lu, K. Q. Wang, and H. Zhang. 2008. Diagnosis of cardiac arrhythmia using kernel difference weighted KNN classifier. In *Computers in Cardiology*.