

The Feasibility of Fast MRI to Reduce CT Radiation Exposure With Acute Traumatic Head Injuries

Brett Burstein, MDCM, PhD, MPH,^a Christine Saint-Martin, MDCM, MSc^b

Traumatic brain injuries (TBIs) are among the most common causes of pediatric morbidity and mortality globally. After acute head trauma, children at risk for clinical deterioration must be identified rapidly and accurately, usually in the emergency department (ED) setting. Neuroimaging by computed tomography (CT) for pediatric head trauma proliferated rapidly in the late 1990s¹ and remains the diagnostic gold standard for the identification of clinically important TBIs. Therein lies the problem: the risk of missing significant intracranial pathology must be weighted against the risks of radiation-induced malignancy associated with CT imaging.²⁻⁴ Reducing CT scans for head-injured children has been the focus of international research⁵⁻⁸ and educational campaigns for nearly 2 decades.⁹⁻¹¹ Despite these long-standing efforts, neuroimaging of head-injured children by CT remains elevated.¹²

MRI presents a potentially attractive alternative to CT that would not expose children to the harmful effects of ionizing radiation. However, the use of MRI for pediatric head trauma has traditionally been limited by the need to remain motionless for a prolonged period and frequently necessitates procedural sedation with its additional inherent risks. A fast MRI protocol that is motion tolerant and sensitive for brain injury would curtail the limitations of conventional MRI.

Previous studies comparing fast MRI and CT have produced mixed results but have been predominantly small, retrospective studies using variable MRI sequencing protocols.¹³⁻¹⁹

In this issue of *Pediatrics*, Lindberg et al²⁰ sought to assess the feasibility and accuracy of fast MRI to identify TBIs in a large prospective cohort of children <6 years old. All children included received imaging by both MRI and CT modalities. Feasibility was defined as the proportion of successfully completed studies, and imaging time measured the first to last sequence including repeated sequences. The authors also report the sensitivity of MRI for detecting radiographically apparent TBIs versus CT as the criterion standard. A broad definition of radiographic TBI was used, which included uncomplicated skull fractures, and the study was powered to achieve a 95% confidence interval between 96.7% and 100% for sensitivity if fast MRI captured all CT-identified TBIs.

In this well-conducted study, 223 of 225 (99%) enrolled children successfully completed fast MRI in a median time of 365 seconds (range 340-392) compared to 59 seconds (range 52-78) for CT. Fast MRI performed with 92.8% sensitivity, missing 8 of 111 injuries identified by CT, but those would in general not be considered clinically important TBIs.⁷

An important strength of this study is the fact that all enrolled children had

^aDivision of Pediatric Emergency Medicine, Department of Pediatrics and ^bDivision of Pediatric Medical Imaging, Department of Diagnostic Radiology, Montreal Children's Hospital, McGill University Health Center, Montreal, Quebec, Canada

Opinions expressed in these commentaries are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the American Academy of Pediatrics or its Committees.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2019-2387>

Accepted for publication Jul 22, 2019

Address correspondence to Brett Burstein, MDCM, PhD, MPH, Division of Pediatric Emergency Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, Montreal Children's Hospital, McGill University Health Center, 1001 Decarie Blvd, Montreal, QC H4C 3J1, Canada. E-mail: brett.burstein@mail.mcgill.ca

PEDIATRICS (ISSN Numbers: Print, 0031-4005; Online, 1098-4275).

Copyright © 2019 by the American Academy of Pediatrics

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE: The authors have indicated they have no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose.

FUNDING: No external funding.

POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST: The authors have indicated they have no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

COMPANION PAPER: A companion to this article can be found online at www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2019-0419.

To cite: Burstein B and Saint-Martin C. The Feasibility of Fast MRI to Reduce CT Radiation Exposure With Acute Traumatic Head Injuries. *Pediatrics*. 2019;144(4):e20192387

CT scans to which fast MRI results could be compared. Moreover, 50% of the cohort had radiographic TBIs on CT, allowing a meaningful estimation of the precision of fast MRI sensitivity. The high rate of successfully completed fast MRIs is also noteworthy given the young age (median 12.6 months) of participants for whom imaging would be most expected to require sedation. Although 92.8% sensitivity for fast MRI did not meet the prespecified threshold (upper bound 96.8%), fast MRI identified an additional 5 TBIs missed by CT, suggesting that the test performance of fast MRI may even be underestimated when using CT as the gold standard.

The findings of this study suggest great promise for fast MRI; however, certain study limitations are worth consideration. More than half (56%) of children undergoing fast MRI were transferred from a referral center; none were “deemed clinically unstable,” and the median interval for fast MRI was 243 minutes after CT. Children selected for fast MRI would have a low pretest probability of neurosurgical intervention, intubation, or intensive care observation. Thus, the reported feasibility reflects a highly selected cohort of stable patients in whom fast MRI is already likely to succeed. Feasibility results in a more generalizable population of head-injured children cannot be extrapolated.

The outcome definition of imaging time should also be placed in context. The median imaging time did not include the total time spent away from the ED (transport, immobilization positioning, imaging). Authors of previous studies have compared ED length of stay,¹³ although the time to and from the ED might be the comparison of most interest and is generally the period of highest risk away from optimal medical observation. Moreover, the fast MRI sequencing protocol

minimum imaging time was 229 seconds plus time for sequences “repeated as needed.” No measure is reported for how frequently sequences were interrupted or repeated. This variable could significantly influence reproducibility of the reported 365-second imaging time, particularly among centers less adept at calming and repositioning young children in the scanner. Additionally, fast MRI was unavailable for 65 of 299 consenting, eligible patients because of lack of overnight staffing. Although not included among the outcome definitions of imaging time, this would be an important “feasibility” consideration in most centers.

It is not surprising that fast MRI identified 7 nontraumatic “incidentalomas” not resolved by CT.²¹ Fast MRI may provide a radiation-sparing alternative to CT; however, the authors appropriately caution against the potential for increased unnecessary imaging for low-risk head injuries. Centers migrating toward this modality for neuroimaging children with head injuries should still use clinical judgment and highly sensitive, validated clinical decision rules when determining the need for any neuroimaging for head-injured children.^{5,7}

So, is fast MRI ready for prime time? This study adds to the growing literature supporting the use of fast MRI in pediatric head trauma. The authors concluded that “fast MRI is a reasonable alternative to CT to identify radiographically evident TBI in clinically stable children.” However, the remaining real-world challenge will be using fast MRI to identify clinically important TBIs among children who most need neuroimaging. This study is an important first step that should prompt additional well-designed and more generalizable future research using fast MRI for acutely head-injured children.

ABBREVIATIONS

CT: computed tomography
ED: emergency department
TBI: traumatic brain injury

REFERENCES

1. Blackwell CD, Gorelick M, Holmes JF, Bandyopadhyay S, Kuppermann N. Pediatric head trauma: changes in use of computed tomography in emergency departments in the United States over time. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2007;49(3):320–324
2. Brenner DJ, Hall EJ. Computed tomography—an increasing source of radiation exposure. *N Engl J Med.* 2007;357(22):2277–2284
3. Pearce MS, Salotti JA, Little MP, et al. Radiation exposure from CT scans in childhood and subsequent risk of leukaemia and brain tumours: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet.* 2012;380(9840):499–505
4. Miglioretti DL, Johnson E, Williams A, et al. The use of computed tomography in pediatrics and the associated radiation exposure and estimated cancer risk. *JAMA Pediatr.* 2013;167(8):700–707
5. Babl FE, Borland ML, Phillips N, et al; Paediatric Research in Emergency Departments International Collaborative (PREDICT). Accuracy of PECARN, CATCH, and CHALICE head injury decision rules in children: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet.* 2017;389(10087):2393–2402
6. Dunning J, Daly JP, Lomas JP, et al; Children's head injury algorithm for the prediction of important clinical events study group. Derivation of the children's head injury algorithm for the prediction of important clinical events decision rule for head injury in children. *Arch Dis Child.* 2006;91(11):885–891
7. Kuppermann N, Holmes JF, Dayan PS, et al; Pediatric Emergency Care Applied Research Network (PECARN). Identification of children at very low risk of clinically-important brain injuries after head trauma: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet.* 2009;374(9696):1160–1170

8. Osmond MH, Klassen TP, Wells GA, et al; Pediatric Emergency Research Canada (PERC) Head Injury Study Group. CATCH: a clinical decision rule for the use of computed tomography in children with minor head injury. *CMAJ*. 2010;182(4):341–348
9. The ALARA (as low as reasonably achievable) concept in pediatric CT intelligent dose reduction. Multidisciplinary conference organized by the Society of Pediatric Radiology. August 18-19, 2001. *Pediatr Radiol*. 2002;32(4):217–313
10. The Image Gently Alliance. Campaign overview. Available at: www.imagegently.org/About-Us/Campaign-Overview. Accessed February 15, 2018
11. Bender JM, Taylor CS, Cumpio J, et al. Infants 1-90 days old hospitalized with human rhinovirus infection. *J Clin Lab Anal*. 2014;28(5):349–352
12. Burstein B, Upton JEM, Terra HF, Neuman MI. Use of CT for head trauma: 2007-2015. *Pediatrics*. 2018;142(4):e20180814
13. Cohen AR, Caruso P, Duhaime AC, Klig JE. Feasibility of “rapid” magnetic resonance imaging in pediatric acute head injury. *Am J Emerg Med*. 2015;33(7):887–890
14. Flom L, Fromkin J, Panigrahy A, Tyler-Kabara E, Berger RP. Development of a screening MRI for infants at risk for abusive head trauma. *Pediatr Radiol*. 2016;46(4):519–526
15. Ryan ME, Jaju A, Ciolino JD, Alden T. Rapid MRI evaluation of acute intracranial hemorrhage in pediatric head trauma. *Neuroradiology*. 2016;58(8):793–799
16. Mehta H, Acharya J, Mohan AL, et al. Minimizing radiation exposure in evaluation of pediatric head trauma: use of rapid MR imaging. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2016;37(1):11–18
17. Young JY, Duhaime AC, Caruso PA, Rincon SP. Comparison of non-sedated brain MRI and CT for the detection of acute traumatic injury in children 6 years of age or less. *Emerg Radiol*. 2016;23(4):325–331
18. Dremmen MHG, Wagner MW, Bosemani T, et al. Does the addition of a “black bone” sequence to a fast multisequence trauma MR protocol allow MRI to replace CT after traumatic brain injury in children? *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2017;38(11):2187–2192
19. Kralik SF, Yasrebi M, Supakul N, et al. Diagnostic performance of ultrafast brain MRI for evaluation of abusive head trauma. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2017;38(4):807–813
20. Lindberg DM, Stence NV, Grubenhoff JA, et al. Feasibility and accuracy of fast MRI vs CT for traumatic brain injury in young children. *Pediatrics*. 2019;144(4):e20190419
21. Oren O, Kebebew E, Ioannidis JPA. Curbing unnecessary and wasted diagnostic imaging. *JAMA*. 2019;321(3):245–246

The Feasibility of Fast MRI to Reduce CT Radiation Exposure With Acute Traumatic Head Injuries

Brett Burstein and Christine Saint-Martin

Pediatrics originally published online September 18, 2019;

Updated Information & Services

including high resolution figures, can be found at:
<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/early/2019/09/17/peds.2019-2387>

References

This article cites 20 articles, 7 of which you can access for free at:
<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/early/2019/09/17/peds.2019-2387#BIBL>

Subspecialty Collections

This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s):
Emergency Medicine
http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/emergency_medicine_sub
Radiology
http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/radiology_sub

Permissions & Licensing

Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at:
<http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/Permissions.xhtml>

Reprints

Information about ordering reprints can be found online:
<http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml>

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN®



PEDIATRICS®

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

The Feasibility of Fast MRI to Reduce CT Radiation Exposure With Acute Traumatic Head Injuries

Brett Burstein and Christine Saint-Martin

Pediatrics originally published online September 18, 2019;

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/early/2019/09/17/peds.2019-2387>

Pediatrics is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. Pediatrics is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 345 Park Avenue, Itasca, Illinois, 60143. Copyright © 2019 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 1073-0397.

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN®

